

Appendix E.2

Appendix E.2

Proof. Using the expression of ∇F_δ , the inequality $\nabla_{j_0} F_\delta(\mu) < 0$ is equivalent to

$$\sum_{i \in [n]} B_i \left(\frac{1}{\sum_{j \in [m]} e^{\frac{\mu_j - \mu_{j_0} + \log(d_{ij_0}) - \log(d_{ij})}{\delta}}} \right) < q_{j_0}(\mu) = \sum_{i \in [n]} B_i \left(\frac{1}{\sum_{j \in [m]} e^{\mu_j - \mu_{j_0}}} \right).$$

Let $a = \max_{i,j} \{\log(d_{ij})\} - \min_{i,j} \{\log(d_{ij})\}$. It suffices to show that

$$\sum_{j \in [m]} e^{\frac{\mu_j - \mu_{j_0} - a}{\delta}} > \sum_{j \in [m]} e^{\mu_j - \mu_{j_0}}. \quad (1)$$

We partition $[m]$ into two sets:

$$J_0 := \{j : \mu_j - \mu_{j_0} \leq a/(1 - \delta) + \log(2)\}; \quad J_1 = [m] \setminus J_0.$$

We then show that $J_1 \neq \emptyset$. Since $\sum_{j \in [m]} q_j(\mu) = \sum_{i \in [n]} B_i$ and $q_j(\mu) > 0$, there exists an index $j_1 \in [m]$ such that $q_{j_1}(\mu) \geq \sum_{i \in [n]} B_i / m$, i.e.,

$$\mu_{j_1} \geq \log\left(\sum_{j \in [m]} e^{\mu_j}\right) - \log(m).$$

On the other hand, since $q_{j_0}(\mu) < e^{\underline{\mu}_\delta}$, we have

$$\mu_{j_0} < \log\left(\sum_{j \in [m]} e^{\mu_j}\right) - \log\left(\sum_{i \in [n]} B_i\right) + \underline{\mu}_\delta.$$

Combining the two inequalities and using the definition of $\underline{\mu}_\delta$, we see that

$$\mu_{j_1} - \mu_{j_0} \geq \log\left(\frac{\sum_{i \in [n]} B_i}{m}\right) - \underline{\mu}_\delta > \frac{a}{(1 - \delta)} + \log(2), \quad (2)$$

which implies that $j_1 \in J_1$. Therefore, J_1 is non-empty.

The definition of J_1 , together with $\delta \leq 1/(2 + \log(m - 1)) < \frac{1}{2}$, implies that

$$\frac{\mu_j - \mu_{j_0} - a}{\delta} + \log\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \geq \mu_j - \mu_{j_0} \quad \text{for all } j \in J_1.$$

It follows that

$$\frac{1}{2} e^{\frac{(\mu_j - \mu_{j_0} - a)}{\delta}} > e^{\mu_j - \mu_{j_0}}, \quad \forall j \in J_1. \quad (3)$$

We then estimate

$$\Phi(\mu) := \sum_{j \in [m]} e^{\frac{\mu_j - \mu_{j_0} - a}{\delta}} - \sum_{j \in [m]} e^{\mu_j - \mu_{j_0}}$$

to prove (1):

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(\mu) &= \sum_{j \in J_0} \left(e^{\frac{\mu_j - \mu_{j_0} - a}{\delta}} - e^{\mu_j - \mu_{j_0}} \right) + \sum_{j \in J_1} \left(e^{\frac{\mu_j - \mu_{j_0} - a}{\delta}} - e^{\mu_j - \mu_{j_0}} \right) \\ &\geq \sum_{j \in J_0} -e^{\frac{a}{1-\delta} + \log(2)} + \sum_{j \in J_1} \frac{1}{2} e^{\frac{\mu_j - \mu_{j_0} - a}{\delta}}. \end{aligned}$$

Here the inequality is due to $e^{\frac{\mu_j - \mu_{j_0} - a}{\delta}} \geq 0$, $\mu_j - \mu_{j_0} \leq a/(1-\delta) + \log(2)$ for $j \in J_0$, and (3). Recall $j_1 \in J_1$. We further have

$$\Phi(\mu) \geq -2me^{\frac{a}{1-\delta}} + \frac{1}{2} e^{\frac{\mu_{j_1} - \mu_{j_0} - a}{\delta}} \geq -2me^{\frac{a}{1-\delta}} + \frac{1}{2} e^{\frac{-\underline{\mu}_\delta + \log(\sum_{i \in [n]} B_i/m) - a}{\delta}} \geq 0,$$

where the second inequality is due to (2); the last one follows from the definition of $\underline{\mu}_\delta$. We complete the proof. \square