

# Robust Quadratic Programming

Nam Ho-Nguyen

There is a summary for computing subgradients of robust quadratic constraints at the bottom if you want to skip the details.

## 1 Robust Portfolio Optimization

Given  $n$  assets, let:

- $r \in \mathbb{R}^n$  be a vector of returns for each asset.
- $\mu \in \mathbb{R}^n$  be a vector of mean returns for each asset.
- $f \in \mathbb{R}^m$  be factors for the market.
- $V \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  be a matrix of factor loadings.
- $\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}^n$  be a vector of residual errors for each asset.

The factor model specifies that

$$r = \mu + V^\top f + \epsilon.$$

In addition, we assume that  $f$  and  $\epsilon$  are independently distributed, with

- $\mathbb{E}[f] = \mathbf{0}_m$ ,  $\text{Cov}(f) = \mathbb{E}[ff^\top] = F$  for a given positive definite  $F \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$ .
- $\mathbb{E}[\epsilon] = \mathbf{0}_n$ ,  $\text{Cov}(\epsilon) = \mathbb{E}[\epsilon\epsilon^\top] = D$  for a given positive definite diagonal  $D \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ .

Then  $\mathbb{E}[r] = \mu$ ,  $\text{Cov}(r) = \mathbb{E}[(r - \mu)(r - \mu)^\top] = V^\top FV + D$ . Let  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  be an allocation of investments in each asset. We allow shorting, so we need not restrict  $x$  to be non-negative, but we do need our total investments not to exceed our wealth, and after normalising by initial wealth, we need the constraint  $\mathbf{1}_n^\top x = 1$ . Our return from  $x$  is  $r^\top x$ . We can easily check that

$$\mathbb{E}[r^\top x] = \mu^\top x, \quad \text{Cov}(r^\top x) = \mathbb{E}[(r - \mu)^\top x]^2 = x^\top (V^\top FV + D)x.$$

The Markowitz mean-variance portfolio is the one that minimizes the variance (or risk) while maximizing the mean. In practice, we don't know  $\mu$  and  $V$  a priori, so we estimate them via linear regression from past data on  $r$  and  $f$  to obtain  $\mu_0$  and  $V_0$ . The portfolio optimization problem is

$$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & b + c - \lambda a \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & \mu_0^\top x \geq a \\ & x^\top (V_0^\top FV_0)x \leq b \\ & x^\top Dx \leq c \\ & \mathbf{1}_n^\top x = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Here,  $\lambda \geq 0$  is a fixed parameter that trades off minimizing risk and maximizing return.

Since we learn  $\mu_0, V_0$  from a regression, they are uncertain. We use robust optimization to immunize our solutions against this uncertainty. Specifically, we will define two uncertainty sets  $U_\mu, U_V$  and instead solve

$$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & b + c - \lambda a \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & \min_{\tilde{\mu} \in U_\mu} \tilde{\mu}^\top x \geq a \\ & \max_{\tilde{V} \in U_V} x^\top (\tilde{V}^\top F \tilde{V}) x \leq b \\ & x^\top D x \leq c \\ & \mathbf{1}_n^\top x = 1. \end{aligned}$$

For  $\mu_0$ , we define

$$U_\mu = \{\mu_0 + u : u \in \mathbb{R}^n, |u_i| \leq \gamma_i, i \in [n]\}$$

where  $\gamma_i$  are fixed constants defined from the regression output. Note that

$$\min_{\tilde{\mu} \in U_\mu} \tilde{\mu}^\top x \geq \alpha \iff \mu_0^\top x - \sum_{i \in [n]} \gamma_i |x_i| \geq \alpha.$$

This can be recast as a linear system

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_0^\top x &\geq \alpha + \sum_{i \in [n]} \gamma_i w_i \\ w_i &\geq x_i, \quad i \in [n] \\ w_i &\geq -x_i, \quad i \in [n]. \end{aligned}$$

For  $V_0$ , we will define the uncertainty set

$$U_V = \left\{ V_0 + \sum_{j \in [k]} P_j u_j : u \in \mathbb{R}^k, \|u\|_2 \leq 1 \right\}$$

where  $P_j \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  are fixed matrices. We examine how to process these next.

## 2 Robust Convex Quadratic Constraints

We now examine a certain type of uncertainty on convex quadratic constraints of the form

$$\|Ax\|_2^2 = x^\top A^\top A x \leq c,$$

where  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ , and  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ . We can also include a linear term  $b^\top x$  but this will not affect things much, so for simplicity we exclude it. The uncertainty we consider is on the  $A$  matrix, and takes the form

$$U_A = \left\{ A_0 + \sum_{j \in [k]} P_j u_j : u \in \mathbb{R}^k, \|u\|_2 \leq 1 \right\},$$

for fixed matrices  $P_j \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ ,  $j \in [k]$ . For  $A \in U_A$ , rewrite

$$\begin{aligned}
x^\top A^\top A x &= x^\top \left( A_0 + \sum_{j \in [k]} P_j u_j \right)^\top \left( A_0 + \sum_{j \in [k]} P_j u_j \right) x \\
&= x^\top A_0^\top A_0 x + 2 \sum_{j \in [k]} (x^\top A_0^\top P_j x) u_j + x^\top \left( \sum_{j \in [k]} P_j u_j \right)^\top \left( \sum_{j \in [k]} P_j u_j \right) x \\
&= x^\top A_0^\top A_0 x + 2 \sum_{j \in [k]} (x^\top A_0^\top P_j x) u_j + \sum_{j, j' \in [k]} \left( x^\top P_j^\top P_{j'} x \right) u_j u_{j'}.
\end{aligned}$$

We know that this is convex in  $x$  since  $A^\top A$  is always positive semidefinite. In fact, it is also convex in  $u$ . To see this, let  $Y(x) \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times k}$  be the matrix with entries  $Y(x)_{jj'} = x^\top P_j^\top P_{j'} x$ . Then in fact  $Y(x)$  is positive semidefinite, since we can write

$$Y(x) = \begin{bmatrix} x^\top P_1^\top \\ \vdots \\ x^\top P_k^\top \end{bmatrix} \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} P_1 x & \dots & P_k x \end{bmatrix}}_{=Y'(x)} = Y'(x)^\top Y'(x).$$

We can now write

$$\begin{aligned}
&x^\top \left( A_0 + \sum_{j \in [k]} P_j u_j \right)^\top \left( A_0 + \sum_{j \in [k]} P_j u_j \right) x \\
&= x^\top A_0^\top A_0 x + 2 \sum_{j \in [k]} (x^\top A_0^\top P_j x) u_j + u^\top Y(x) u.
\end{aligned}$$

The first term is constant in  $u$ , the second term is linear, and the third term is a convex quadratic in  $u$ . To satisfy the robust constraint, we need

$$\max_{A \in U_A} x^\top A^\top A x = \max_{\|u\|_2 \leq 1} \left\{ x^\top A_0^\top A_0 x + 2 \sum_{j \in [k]} (x^\top A_0^\top P_j x) u_j + u^\top Y(x) u \right\} \leq c.$$

Thus, a pessimization oracle for this constraint needs to maximize a convex quadratic in  $u$ , which is a trust region subproblem (TRS). We can make the TRS objective concave by shifting the  $Y(x)$  matrix (letting  $I_k$  be the  $k \times k$  identity matrix):

$$\begin{aligned}
&\max_{\|u\|_2 \leq 1} \left\{ x^\top A_0^\top A_0 x + 2 \sum_{j \in [k]} (x^\top A_0^\top P_j x) u_j + u^\top Y(x) u \right\} \\
&= \max_{\|u\|_2 \leq 1} \left\{ x^\top A_0^\top A_0 x + 2 \sum_{j \in [k]} (x^\top A_0^\top P_j x) u_j + u^\top (Y(x) - \lambda_{\max}(Y(x)) I_k) u + \lambda_{\max}(Y(x)) \right\} \\
&= \max_{\|u\|_2 \leq 1} \left\{ x^\top A_0^\top A_0 x + 2 \sum_{j \in [k]} (x^\top A_0^\top P_j x) u_j + u^\top Y(x) u + \lambda_{\max}(Y(x)) (1 - \|u\|_2^2) \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

For a pessimization oracle, we can just input this into Gurobi, since the new objective is a concave quadratic. Note that this new quadratic is still convex in  $x$ , as we will explain later.

Let us now examine this new objective within a subgradient scheme. Define

$$f(x, u) = x^\top A_0^\top A_0 x + 2 \sum_{j \in [k]} (x^\top A_0^\top P_j x) u_j + u^\top Y(x) u + \lambda_{\max}(Y(x))(1 - \|u\|_2^2).$$

We are interested in  $\nabla_x f(x, u)$  and  $\nabla_u f(x, u)$ . The gradient in  $u$  is straightforward:

$$\nabla_u f(x, u) = 2\{x^\top A_0^\top P_j x\}_{j \in [k]} + 2Y(x)u - 2\lambda_{\max}(Y(x))u.$$

The gradient in  $x$  is a bit more complicated. It helps to rewrite  $f(x)$  using the original objective:

$$f(x, u) = x^\top \left( A_0 + \sum_{j \in [k]} P_j u_j \right)^\top \left( A_0 + \sum_{j \in [k]} P_j u_j \right) x + \lambda_{\max}(Y(x))(1 - \|u\|_2^2).$$

Then

$$\nabla_x f(x, u) = 2 \left( A_0 + \sum_{j \in [k]} P_j u_j \right)^\top \left( A_0 + \sum_{j \in [k]} P_j u_j \right) x + (1 - \|u\|_2^2) \nabla_x \lambda_{\max}(Y(x)).$$

The term we need to investigate further is  $\nabla_x \lambda_{\max}(Y(x))$ . To do this, we rewrite

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_{\max}(Y(x)) &= \max_{\|v\|_2 \leq 1} v^\top Y(x) v = \max_{\|v\|_2 \leq 1} \sum_{j, j' \in [k]} (x^\top P_j^\top P_{j'} x) v_j v_{j'} \\ &= \max_{\|v\|_2 \leq 1} x^\top \left( \sum_{j \in [k]} P_j v_j \right)^\top \left( \sum_{j \in [k]} P_j v_j \right) x \end{aligned}$$

In fact, this shows that  $f(x, u)$  is convex in  $x$ : the first part  $x^\top \left( A_0 + \sum_{j \in [k]} P_j u_j \right)^\top \left( A_0 + \sum_{j \in [k]} P_j u_j \right) x$  is a convex quadratic, while the second part  $\lambda_{\max}(Y(x))(1 - \|u\|_2^2)$  is a maximum over convex quadratic functions, which is convex. To get the (sub)gradient of the max term, we need to compute the maximizing  $v$ , which is simply the leading eigenvector of  $Y(x)$ , denote this by  $\bar{v}$ . Then we simply take the gradient of  $\bar{v}^\top Y(x) \bar{v}$ , thus:

$$\nabla_x \lambda_{\max}(Y(x)) = 2 \left( \sum_{j \in [k]} P_j \bar{v}_j \right)^\top \left( \sum_{j \in [k]} P_j \bar{v}_j \right) x.$$

Putting this together, we have

$$\nabla_x f(x, u) = 2 \left( A_0 + \sum_{j \in [k]} P_j u_j \right)^\top \left( A_0 + \sum_{j \in [k]} P_j u_j \right) x + 2(1 - \|u\|_2^2) \left( \sum_{j \in [k]} P_j \bar{v}_j \right)^\top \left( \sum_{j \in [k]} P_j \bar{v}_j \right) x.$$

Note that if  $\|u\|_2 = 1$  (which may often be the case for a subgradient scheme), we need not compute the second term.

## 2.1 Summary

Given  $x, u$ , and *fixed* data  $A_0, P_j \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times n}$ , we have a robust constraint

$$\max_{\|u\|_2 \leq 1} \left\{ x^\top \left( A_0 + \sum_{j \in [k]} P_j u_j \right)^\top \left( A_0 + \sum_{j \in [k]} P_j u_j \right) x \right\} \leq c.$$

Defining  $Y(x) = \{x^\top P_j^\top P_{j'} x\}_{j,j' \in [k]} \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times k}$ , the max term can be transformed into

$$\begin{aligned} & \max_{\|u\|_2 \leq 1} \left\{ x^\top \left( A_0 + \sum_{j \in [k]} P_j u_j \right)^\top \left( A_0 + \sum_{j \in [k]} P_j u_j \right) x \right\} \\ &= \max_{\|u\|_2 \leq 1} \left\{ x^\top A_0^\top A_0 x + 2 \sum_{j \in [k]} (x^\top A_0^\top P_j x) u_j + u^\top Y(x) u \right\} \\ &= \max_{\|u\|_2 \leq 1} \left\{ x^\top A_0^\top A_0 x + 2 \sum_{j \in [k]} (x^\top A_0^\top P_j x) u_j + u^\top Y(x) u + \lambda_{\max}(Y(x))(1 - \|u\|_2^2) \right\} \\ &= \max_{\|u\|_2 \leq 1} \left\{ x^\top \left( A_0 + \sum_{j \in [k]} P_j u_j \right)^\top \left( A_0 + \sum_{j \in [k]} P_j u_j \right) x + \lambda_{\max}(Y(x))(1 - \|u\|_2^2) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Here is a recipe for computing the gradients. Given  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n, u \in \mathbb{R}^k$ :

- Compute  $Y(x) = \{x^\top P_j^\top P_{j'} x\}_{j,j' \in [k]} \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times k}$ .
- Compute  $\lambda_{\max}(Y(x))$  and associated leading eigenvector  $\bar{v}(x)$ .
- Compute  $b(x) = \{x^\top A_0^\top P_j x\}_{j \in [k]} \in \mathbb{R}^k$ .
- Compute

$$\nabla_u f(x, u) = 2b(x) + 2Y(x)u - 2\lambda_{\max}(Y(x))u.$$

- Compute  $A(u) = \left( A_0 + \sum_{j \in [k]} P_j u_j \right)^\top \left( A_0 + \sum_{j \in [k]} P_j u_j \right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ .
- If  $\|u\|_2 = 1$ :

- Compute

$$\nabla_x f(x, u) = 2A(u)x.$$

Else:

- Compute  $P(\bar{v}(x)) = \left( \sum_{j \in [k]} P_j \bar{v}(x)_j \right)^\top \left( \sum_{j \in [k]} P_j \bar{v}(x)_j \right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ .
- Compute

$$\nabla_x f(x, u) = 2A(u)x + 2(1 - \|u\|_2^2)P(\bar{v}(x))x.$$