

**Exercise 1** (online: 24.04.2023. Return by: **Mo 01.05.2023 10:00**) **20P****1. Schottky Contact** **3P**

Consider a contact between Al and n-Si doped at  $N_d = 10^{16} \text{ cm}^{-3}$  at  $T = 300 \text{ K}$ . The work function of Al is 4.28 eV, the electron affinity for Silicon is 4.01 eV, the energy separation between the conduction band edge and the Fermi energy in the n-Si is 206 meV and the relative permittivity of Silicon  $\epsilon_r = 11.68$ .

- Draw the energy-band diagrams of the two materials before the junction is formed. (1P)
- Draw the energy-band diagrams after the junction is formed. (1P)
- What are the values of the Schottky barrier height  $e\Phi_{\text{SB}}$  and the built-in potential  $eV_B$ ? (1P)

**2. Semiconductor Doping** **3P**

In a doped semiconductor with a donor concentration  $N_d$  and an acceptor concentration  $N_a$  the densities of the majority and minority charge carriers,  $n_c$  and  $p_v$ , can be determined by taking the “Law of Mass Action” into account  $n_c p_v = n_i^2$  with  $n_i$  the intrinsic carrier concentration. For simplicity it is suggested that one uses the effective donor density in the following  $\Delta n = N_d - N_a$ . Hint: assume that both the donor and acceptor atoms are all fully ionized.

- Derive the general expressions for  $n_c$  and  $p_v$  as a function of  $n_i$  and  $\Delta n$ . (1P)
- Approximate  $n_c$  and  $p_v$  in terms of  $n_i$  and  $\Delta n$  in the limit of weak doping i.e.  $\Delta n \ll n_i$ . (1P)
- Approximate  $n_c$  and  $p_v$  in terms of  $n_i$  and  $\Delta n$  in the limit of strong doping i.e.  $\Delta n \gg n_i$ . (1P)

**3. p-n-Diode** **14P**

A p-n-diode is fabricated with the following doping profile:

$$N_d(x) = \begin{cases} N_d, & x > 0 \\ 0, & x < 0 \end{cases}, \quad N_a(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & x > 0 \\ N_a, & x < 0 \end{cases}. \quad (1)$$

Far away from the junction at  $x = 0$  we may assume the validity of the relevant chemical potentials  $\mu_n = \mu_e(x \rightarrow \infty)$  and  $\mu_p = \mu_e(x \rightarrow -\infty)$ . In these regions (I and IV) we expect the concentrations of the local majority carriers to be given by the dopant concentrations. Assume that only in a narrow region in the immediate vicinity of the junction a depletion region arises where there is no significant concentration of carriers. Hence the following (approximate) charge density distribution is expected to arise:

$$\rho(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & x < -d_p \text{ (region I)} \\ -eN_a, & -d_p < x < 0 \text{ (region II)} \\ +eN_d, & 0 < x < d_n \text{ (region III)} \\ 0, & x > d_n \text{ (region IV)} \end{cases}. \quad (2)$$

The 1D Poisson equation may be written as:

$$\frac{d^2\phi}{dx^2} = -\frac{\rho(x)}{\epsilon_r \epsilon_0}. \quad (3)$$

- (a) By directly integrating the Poisson equation (3) once, derive the explicit expression for  $\phi'(x) = -E(x)$ . Assuming that the total voltage across the junction is finite, determine the integration constants in region I and region IV. Further, use the appropriate boundary condition (continuity) for  $E(x)$  at  $x = -d_p$  and  $x = d_n$  to determine the other two integration constants. Finally, use the boundary condition (continuity) for  $E(x)$  at  $x = 0$  to show that  $N_d d_n = N_a d_p$ . What is the physical interpretation of the last result? (2P)
- (b) Integrate the obtained expression for  $\phi'(x)$  the second time to obtain  $\phi(x)$ . Use the appropriate boundary conditions (continuity) for  $\phi(x)$  at  $x = -d_p$  and  $x = d_n$  to determine two integration constants. (2P)
- (c) Use the boundary condition (continuity) for  $\phi(x)$  at  $x = 0$  to show that the potential difference between the two doped regions I and IV far from the junction  $\Delta\phi = \phi(\infty) - \phi(-\infty)$  is given by (1P) :

$$\Delta\phi = \frac{e}{2\epsilon_r\epsilon_o} (N_d d_n^2 + N_a d_p^2) . \quad (4)$$

- (d) Derive an expression for the lateral extent of the depletion regions  $d_n$  and  $d_p$  and their dependence on  $\Delta\phi$ . (2P)
- (e) In the absence of an applied voltage  $U$  the potential difference is given by:  $e(\Delta\phi)_o = \mu_n - \mu_p$ . How does  $\Delta\phi$  change when a positive voltage  $U$  is applied to the p-side of the diode (the n-side remains at ground potential). How are the depletion regions expected to change as a result? Express your result as  $d_n(U) = d_n(0) \cdot f(U)$ , where  $f(U)$  is a function that explicitly shows the  $U$ -dependence of the size of the depletion region. (2P)
- (f) Qualitatively the resistance of such a diode may be considered as arising from the series resistance of three resistive components: two highly-doped contact regions and the depletion region located between the two contacts. Describe qualitatively how the resulting current-voltage characteristic is expected to look. (1P)
- (g) From which processes do the recombination and generation current arise at a pn-junction? Which condition must they fulfill if there is no external voltage? (1P)
- (h) Now consider a pn-junction made of p- and n-doped Si ( $\epsilon_r = 11.9$ ) with the doping levels  $N_a = 10^{15} \text{ cm}^{-3}$  and  $N_d = 2 \cdot 10^{17} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ . For  $\Delta\phi = 0.717 \text{ V}$  calculate the width of the space charge region at room temperature for the case of externally applied voltages  $U = 0 \text{ V}$  and for  $U = -10 \text{ V}$ . (2P)
- (i) Calculate how the size of the space charge region changes, if  $N_a = 1 \cdot 10^{17} \text{ cm}^{-3}$  and  $N_d = 5 \cdot 10^{17} \text{ cm}^{-3}$  ( $U_{\text{pn}} = -10 \text{ V}$ ). (1P)