

# Lecture 1, 4/4/23

We use the following two books:

1. Linear Analysis, by B. Bolobás
2. Analysis, by E. Lieb and M. Loss

We begin with metric spaces

**Definition 0.1.** Let  $X$  be a nonempty set and let  $\rho : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ . Then  $\rho(x, y)$  is called a metric on  $X$  if

- (i)  $\rho(x, y) \geq 0$  for all  $x, y \in X$  and  $\rho(x, y) = 0$  iff  $x = y$ .
- (ii)  $\rho(x, y) = \rho(y, x)$  for all  $x, y \in X$
- (iii)  $\rho(x, y) \leq \rho(x, z) + \rho(z, y)$  for any  $x, y, z \in X$ . This is called the triangle inequality

$\rho$  is also called a distance. As in,  $\rho(x, y)$  is the distance between  $x$  and  $y$ .

**Example 0.1.** Let  $X = \mathbb{R}^n$ , and define  $\rho(x, y) = (\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - y_i)^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ . We can in fact replace 2 in this expression with any real  $r \geq 1$ , or with  $\infty$  (in which case we just take the maximum)

**Example 0.2.** Let  $X = C[a, b]$ , the set of continuous  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , and define  $\rho(x, y) = \max_{x \in [a, b]} |f(x) - g(x)|$

**Definition 0.2.** Let  $(X, \rho)$  be a metric space. For all  $x \in X$  and  $r > 0$ , we defined the open ball centered at  $x$  and having radius  $r$  as

$$B_r(x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{y \in X \mid \rho(x, y) < r\}$$

The closed ball is

$$\overline{B}_r(x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{y \in X \mid \rho(x, y) \leq r\}$$

**Definition 0.3.** Let  $(X, \rho)$  be a metric space and let  $A \subseteq X$ . Then  $a \in A$  is

- (i) an interior point of  $A$  if there is some  $r > 0$  such that  $B_r(a) \subseteq A$
- (ii) The set of all interior points of  $A$  is called the interior of  $A$  and is denoted by  $\text{int } A$ , or  $A^\circ$
- (iii) A set  $A$  is said to be open if  $A = A^\circ$

**Example 0.3.** Let  $X = \mathbb{R}^3$ ,  $A = \{(x, y, 0) \mid x, y \in \mathbb{R}\}$ . We can see that  $A^\circ = \emptyset$ .

**Proposition 1.** For any  $x, r$ ,  $B_r(x)$  is open.

*Proof.* Let  $y \in B_r(x)$ . Let  $r_1 = r - \rho(x, y) > 0$ .

Consider  $z \in B_{r_1}(y)$ . By the triangle inequality,  $\rho(x, z) \leq \rho(z, y) + \rho(y, x) < r_1 + \rho(x, y) = r$ . So  $z \in B_r(x)$ , so  $B_{r_1}(y) \subseteq B_r(x)$ , so  $y$  is an interior point.  $y$  was arbitrary, so we are done. ■

**Definition 0.4.**  $A \subseteq X$  is closed if  $A^c = X \setminus A$  is open.

**Definition 0.5.** The point  $x \in X$  is a limit point of  $A$  if there exists a sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subseteq A$  such that  $\rho(x, x_n) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$

**Definition 0.6.** Let  $\{x_n\} \subseteq X$ ,  $x \in X$ . Then we say  $x_n$  converges to  $x$ , or  $x_n \rightarrow x$ , if  $\rho(x_n, x) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . In this case,  $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  is said to be convergent, with limit  $x$ .

**Theorem 0.1.** If a limit of a sequence  $\{x_n\} \subseteq X$  exists, then it is unique.

*Proof.* Think ■

**Definition 0.7.** A sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subseteq X$  is called a Cauchy sequence if  $\rho(x_n, x_m) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n, m \rightarrow \infty$ . That is, for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists an  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , such that if  $n, m \geq N$ , then  $\rho(x_n, x_m) < \varepsilon$

**Theorem 0.2.** Any convergent sequence is Cauchy

*Proof.* Think ■

**Definition 0.8.** A metric space  $(X, \rho)$  is called complete if every Cauchy sequence converges to some point in  $X$ . A metric space which is not complete is called incomplete.

**Example 0.4.**  $X = \mathbb{R}^n$  or  $X = C[a, b]$  with the metrics above are complete.

**Example 0.5.**  $\mathbb{Q}$  is incomplete.

**Definition 0.9.** Let  $(X, \rho)$  and  $(Y, \tilde{\rho})$  be metric spaces. Then  $X$  and  $Y$  are isometric if there exist a bijection  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  such that  $\rho(x_1, x_2) = \tilde{\rho}(f(x_1), f(x_2))$  for all  $x_1, x_2 \in X$ .

**Definition 0.10.** Let  $(X, \rho)$  be a metric space and let  $A, B \subseteq X$ . Then we say that  $A$  is dense in  $B$  if  $B \subseteq \overline{A}$ , where  $\overline{A} = \{\text{all limit points of } A\}$

**Definition 0.11.** Let  $(X, \rho)$  and  $(\tilde{X}, \tilde{\rho})$  be metric spaces. Then  $(\tilde{X}, \tilde{\rho})$  is a completion of  $(X, \rho)$  if

- (i)  $X \subseteq \tilde{X}$ , and  $\tilde{\rho}(x, y) = \rho(x, y)$  for any  $x, y \in X$
- (ii)  $X$  is dense in  $\tilde{X}$  in the  $\tilde{\rho}$  metric

(iii)  $(\tilde{X}, \tilde{\rho})$  is complete

**Theorem 0.3.** *Any incomplete metric space  $(X, \rho)$  admits a completion which is unique up to isometry.*

*Proof.* Think ■

**Theorem 0.4.** *(The nested ball theorem)*

*Let  $(X, \rho)$  be a complete metric space, and let  $\overline{B}_n = \overline{B}_{r_n}(x_n) \subseteq X$  be a sequence of nested closed balls (meaning  $\overline{B}_{n+1} \subseteq \overline{B}_n$ ) such that  $r_n \rightarrow 0$ . Then  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \overline{B}_n \neq \emptyset$ .*

*Proof.* Consider the centers  $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subseteq X$ .

**Claim.**  $\{x_n\}$  is Cauchy

*Proof.* If  $m \geq n$ , then  $\overline{B}_m \subseteq \overline{B}_n$ , so  $x_m \in \overline{B}_n$ , so  $\rho(x_m, x_n) \leq r_n$ , so  $\rho(x_n, x_m) \rightarrow 0$  as  $m, n \rightarrow \infty$ . ■

## Lecture 2, 4/6/23

**Definition 0.12.** Let  $(X, \rho)$  be a metric space, and let  $A \subseteq X$ . Then  $A$  is nowhere dense if  $\text{int}(\overline{A}) = \emptyset$

**Definition 0.13.** Let  $(X, \rho)$  be a metric space, and  $A$  a set.  $A \subseteq X$  is of Baire first category if  $A = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$ , where  $A_n$  are nowhere dense. Otherwise,  $A$  is of Baire second category

**Theorem 0.5.** *(Baire Category Theorem)*

*A complete space is of Baire second category.*

*Proof.* Towards contradiction, assume  $X = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$ , where  $A_n \subseteq X$  are nowhere dense.

Let  $B_1 = B(x_1, 1)$  be a ball in  $X$ .

Since  $A_1$  is nowhere dense, there exists  $\overline{B}_2 = \overline{B}(x_2, r_2) \subseteq \overline{B}_1$  such that  $\overline{B}_2 \cap A_1 = \emptyset$ .

Without loss of generality, assume  $r_2 < \frac{1}{2}$ . Now there exists  $\overline{B}_3 = \overline{B}(x_3, r_3) \subseteq \overline{B}_2$  such that  $\overline{B}_3 \cap A_1 = \emptyset$ .

Without loss of generality, assume  $r_3 < \frac{1}{3}$ .

At the  $k$ th step, there exists  $\overline{B}_{k+1} = \overline{B}(x_{k+1}, r_{k+1}) \subseteq \overline{B}_k$  such that  $\overline{B}_{k+1} \cap A_k = \emptyset$ ,  $r_{k+1} \leq \frac{1}{k+1}$ .

By the nested balls theorem,  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \overline{B}_n = \{x\}$ . By construction,  $x \notin A_n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . So  $X \neq \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$ , a contradiction. ■

**Definition 0.14.** Let  $(X, \rho)$  be a metric space and let  $A \subseteq X$ . A collection  $\{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$  of open subsets of  $X$  is an open cover of  $A$  if  $A \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in A} U_\alpha$ .

A set  $K \subseteq X$  is called compact if any open cover of  $K$  has a finite subcover.

Equivalently,  $K \subseteq X$  is compact if any sequence  $\{x_n\} \subseteq K$  has a limit point  $x \in K$ .

**Theorem 0.6.** (*Nested compact set theorem*)

Let  $(X, \rho)$  be a metric space and let  $\{K_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  be a sequence of nonempty and nested compact sets. Then  $\bigcap_{n=1}^\infty K_n \neq \emptyset$ .

*Proof.* Consider  $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  with  $x_n \in K_n$ .

Note for all  $n, x_n \in K_1$ . Thus there exists a subsequence  $\{x_{n_k}\}$  converging to some  $x \in K_1$ .

We claim that  $x \in \bigcap_{n=1}^\infty K_n$ .

Fix  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ .  $x_{n_m}, x_{n_{m+1}}, x_{n_{m+2}}, \dots \in K_m$ .

The only limit point is  $x$ , thus  $x \in K_m$ . ■

**Definition 0.15.** Let  $(X, \rho)$  be a metric space and let  $A \subseteq X$ . Then  $A$  is bounded if  $A \subseteq B(x, r)$  for some  $x \in X, r > 0$ .

**Theorem 0.7.** A compact set in  $(X, \rho)$  is closed and bounded.

*Proof.* think ■

## Normed Spaces

**Definition 0.16.** Let  $X$  be a vector space. A function  $\|\cdot\| : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is called a norm on  $X$  if

- (i)  $\|x\| \geq 0$  for all  $x \in X$ . Further,  $\|x\| = 0 \iff x = 0$
- (ii)  $\|\alpha x\| = |\alpha| \|x\|$  for all  $x \in X, \alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ .
- (iii)  $\|x + y\| \leq \|x\| + \|y\|$

$(X, \|\cdot\|)$  is called a normed space

Remark:

If one defines  $\rho(x, y) = \|x - y\|$ , then  $(X, \rho)$  is a metric space.

## Lecture 3, 4/11/23

### Return to metric spaces

**Definition 0.17.** Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space. Let  $T : X \rightarrow X$  be a mapping. Then  $T$  is said to be a contraction if there exists  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  such that  $\rho(Tx, Ty) \leq \alpha\rho(x, y)$  for all  $x, y \in X$ .

**Theorem 0.8.** (*Contraction mapping principle*)

Let  $(X, \rho)$  be a complete metric space and let  $T : X \rightarrow X$  be a contraction. Then  $T$  has a unique fixed point, i.e. there exists a unique  $x \in X$  such that  $Tx = x$ .

*Proof.* Proven in 221A

■

**Claim.**  $(0, 1) \neq \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} [a_n, b_n]$ ,  $[a_n, b_n]$  are disjoint.

*Proof.* Assume  $(0, 1) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} [a_n, b_n]$

We claim the set  $X = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \{a_n, b_n\} \cup \{0\} \cup \{1\}$  is closed. Thus  $X$  is a complete metric space. Next, we claim that  $\{a_n\}, \{b_n\}, \{0\}, \{1\}$

Alternative proof:

Assume  $(0, 1) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} [a_n, b_n]$ .

We construct a function  $f : (0, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  continuous that takes countably many values. blah blah blah

■

### Return to normed spaces

**Definition 0.18.** A complete normed space is called a Banach Space

**Definition 0.19.** Let  $X, Y$  be normed spaces on  $K = \mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$ . A mapping  $T : X \rightarrow Y$  is linear if  $T(\alpha x_1 + \beta x_2) = \alpha T x_1 + \beta T x_2$  for all  $x_1, x_2 \in X$  and all  $\alpha, \beta \in K$ .

**Definition 0.20.** Let  $X, Y$  be normed spaces on  $K = \mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$ . Let  $T : X \rightarrow Y$  be linear. Then

1.  $T$  is bounded if there exists  $M > 0$  such that for all  $x \in X$ ,  $\|Tx\| \leq M\|x\|$
2. The operator norm is

$$\|T\| \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sup_{x \in X, x \neq 0} \frac{\|Tx\|}{\|x\|}$$

If  $T$  is bounded, then  $\|T\| \leq M$

**Definition 0.21.** Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be normed spaces on  $K = \mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$ . Let  $T : X \rightarrow Y$  be linear.  $T$  is continuous at  $x_0 \in X$  if  $x \rightarrow x_0$  implies  $Tx \rightarrow Tx_0$ .

**Theorem 0.9.** Let  $X, Y$  be normed spaces on  $K = \mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$ . Let  $T : X \rightarrow Y$  be linear. Then the following are equivalent:

1.  $T$  is continuous at some  $x_0 \in X$ .
2.  $T$  is continuous at 0
3.  $T$  is continuous on  $X$
4.  $T$  is bounded
5.  $T$  is Lipschitz

*Proof.*

$$(3) \Rightarrow (1), (2)$$

This is obvious

$$(1) \Rightarrow (3)$$

Assume  $T$  is continuous at some  $x_0$ . We want to show continuity at  $y_0$ .

Suppose  $(y_n) \rightarrow y$ . Define  $x_n = y_n - y_0 + x_0$ .

Note  $x_n \rightarrow x_0$ . So  $Tx_n \rightarrow Tx_0$ . Thus

$$\|Ty_n - Ty_0\| = \|Tx_n + Ty_0 - Tx_0 - Ty_0\| \rightarrow 0$$

Letting  $x_0 = 0$ , we get  $(2) \Rightarrow (3)$

$$(4) \Rightarrow (2)$$

Suppose  $\|Tx\| \leq M\|x\|$  for all  $x \in X$ .

Then as  $x \rightarrow 0$ ,  $\|Tx - T0\| = \|Tx\| \leq M\|x\| \rightarrow M\|0\| = 0$ .

$$(2) \Rightarrow (4)$$

For all  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that  $\|x\| < \delta \implies \|Tx\| < \varepsilon$ .

Choose  $\varepsilon = 1$ . Then there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that  $\|x\| < \delta \implies \|Tx\| < 1$

For any  $x \in X, x \neq 0 \implies Tx = \frac{\|x\|}{\delta} T(\frac{x}{\|x\|}\delta)$

Set  $\bar{x} = \frac{x}{\|x\|}\delta$ .

Thus  $\|Tx\| = \frac{\|x\|}{\delta} \|T\bar{x}\| \leq \frac{\|x\|}{\delta} = \frac{1}{\delta} \|x\|$

So  $\|Tx\| \leq \frac{1}{\delta} \|x\|$  for all  $x \in X$ , i.e.  $M = \frac{1}{\delta}$ .

## Lecture 4, 4/13/23

(4)  $\Rightarrow$  (5)

$\|Tx_1 - Tx_2\| = \|T(x_1 - x_2)\| \leq M\|x_1 - x_2\|$ . Thus  $T$  is Lipschitz.

Clearly, (5)  $\Rightarrow$  (3). ■

**Definition 0.22.** For  $X, Y$  normed spaces, the set of all bounded linear operators  $T : X \rightarrow Y$  is denoted by  $B(X, Y)$ .

**Theorem 0.10.** Let  $X, Y$  be normed spaces and let  $T \in B(X, Y)$ . Then

$$\|T\| = \sup_{\|x\| \leq 1} \|Tx\| = \sup_{\|x\|=1} \|Tx\| = \sup_{\|x\| < 1} \|Tx\|$$

*Proof.*  $\|T\| = \sup_{x \in X, x \neq 0} \frac{\|Tx\|}{\|x\|} = \sup_{x \in X, x \neq 0} \|T(\frac{x}{\|x\|})\|$ .

So, letting  $\bar{x} = \frac{x}{\|x\|}$ ,  $\|T\| = \sup_{x \in X, x \neq 0} \|T\bar{x}\| \leq \sup_{\|x\|=1} \|Tx\|$

Similarly,  $\|T\| \leq \sup_{\|x\| \leq 1} \|Tx\|$

$\|T\| = \sup_{x \in X, x \neq 0} \frac{\|Tx\|}{\|x\|} \geq \sup_{x \in X, \|x\|=1} \frac{\|Tx\|}{\|x\|}$ , so we get equality.

We could prove  $<$  using limits.

**Theorem 0.11.** Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be normed spaces and let  $T : X \rightarrow Y$  be linear. Then  $T$  is bounded iff  $T$  maps bounded sets to bounded sets.

*Proof.* Later ■

Note:  $\|Tx\| \leq \|T\|\|x\|$ . Also, a convergent sequence in a metric space is bounded.

**Theorem 0.12.** Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be normed spaces. Then  $B(X, Y)$  is a normed space endowed with the operator norm  $\|T\|$ . Moreover, if  $Y$  is Banach, then  $B(X, Y)$  is Banach.

*Proof.*  $B(X, Y)$  is a vector space:

Choose  $\alpha, \beta \in K, T_1, T_2 \in B(X, Y)$ . Then  $\alpha T_1 + \beta T_2$  is linear and continuous. Hence,  $\alpha T_1 + \beta T_2 \in B(X, Y)$ .

Now we show that  $(B(X, Y), \|T\|)$  is a normed space.

1.  $\|T\| \geq 0$  for all  $T \in B(X, Y)$  clearly, and  $\|T\| = 0$  means  $Tx = 0$  for any  $x \in X$ , so  $T = 0$ .

2.  $\|\alpha T\| = |\alpha| \|T\|$

**3. Triangle inequality:** Choose  $x \in B(X, Y)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}\|(T_1 + T_2)x\| &= \|T_1x + T_2x\| \\ &\leq \|T_1x\| + \|T_2x\| \\ &\leq \|T_1\|\|x\| + \|T_2\|\|x\| \\ &= \|x\|(\|T_1\| + \|T_2\|)\end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Thus, } \|T_1 + T_2\| = \sup_{x \in X, x \neq 0} \|(T_1 + T_2)x\| \leq \sup_{\|x\| \leq 1} (\|T_1\| + \|T_2\|)\|x\| \leq \|T_1\| + \|T_2\|$$

Now assume  $Y$  is a Banach space.

Let  $\{T_n\} \subseteq B(X, Y)$  be a Cauchy sequence.

We construct an operator  $T : X \rightarrow Y$  as follows.

For all  $x \in X$ ,  $\{T_n x\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $Y$ .

Since  $Y$  is complete,  $\{T_n x\}$  converges to some  $Tx$

We want to show  $T$  is linear and bounded.

For all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\alpha, \beta \in K$ ,  $x_1, x_2 \in X$ ,  $T_n(\alpha x_1 + \beta x_2) = \alpha T_n x_1 + \beta T_n x_2$ .

Thus  $T(\alpha x_1 + \beta x_2) = \alpha T x_1 + \beta T x_2$

Now we show  $T$  is bounded.

Since a Cauchy sequence in a metric space is bounded,  $\|T_n\| \leq M$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

For all  $x \in \overline{B(0, 1)}$ ,  $(T_n x) \rightarrow Tx$ .

Thus  $\|Tx\| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|T_n x\| \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M\|x\| \leq M$ .

Hence  $\|T\| \leq M$ .

Finally, we show  $(T_n) \rightarrow T$ .

Choose  $\varepsilon > 0$ . There exists  $N$  such that for all  $n \geq N$ , for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\|T_n - T_{n+k}\| < \varepsilon$ .

For all  $x \in \overline{B(0, 1)}$ ,  $\|T_n x - T_{n+k} x\| \leq \varepsilon\|x\|$ .

Fix  $n \geq N$ , and let  $k \rightarrow \infty$  to get  $\|T_n x - Tx\| \leq \varepsilon\|x\|$ . Thus,  $\|T_n - T\| \leq \varepsilon$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

So  $(T_n) \rightarrow T$  in  $B(X, Y)$

■

**Definition 0.23.** Let  $X$  be a normed space over a field  $K = \mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$ . Then  $B(X, K) = X^*$  is called the dual space of  $X$ .  $T \in X^*$  is called a functional.

Special case:  $X = L^p(\Omega)$ , we will characterize  $X^*$ .

When  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , then  $(L^p(\Omega))^* = L^q(\Omega)$ , where  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$  (when  $p = 1, q = \infty$ )

**Theorem 0.13.** Let  $X$  be a normed space and  $Y$  a Banach space. Assume  $A \subseteq X$  is a dense subspace of  $X$  and  $T \in B(A, Y)$ . Then  $T$  admits a unique extension  $\bar{T} \in B(X, Y)$ . Moreover,  $\|\bar{T}\| = \|T\|$ .

*Proof.* Chose  $x \in X \setminus A$ .

There exists  $\{a_n\} \in A$  converging to  $x$  because  $A$  is dense. Define  $Tx = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ta_n$ .



First we show this limit exists.

Note  $\{Ta_n\}$  is Cauchy, since  $\|Ta_n - Ta_m\| \leq \|T\|\|a_n - a_m\| \rightarrow 0$ .

Since  $Y$  is Banach,  $\{Ta_n\}$  converges.

Now we show the limit does not depend on choice of sequence  $\{a_n\}$ .

Assume  $\{b_n\} \rightarrow X$ . Then

$$\|Ta_n - Tb_n\| = \|T\|\|a_n - b_n\| \leq \|T\|(\|a_n - x\| + \|b_n - x\|) \rightarrow 0$$

Thus  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ta_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Tb_n$

Next we show  $\bar{T}$  is linear.

Choose  $\alpha, \beta \in K, x_1, x_2 \in X$ .

Let  $\{a_n\} \rightarrow x_1, \{b_n\} \rightarrow x_2$  where  $\{a_n\}, \{b_n\} \subseteq A$ .

$\alpha a_n \rightarrow \alpha x_1, \beta b_n \rightarrow \beta x_2$

Thus  $\alpha a_n + \beta b_n \rightarrow \alpha x_1 + \beta x_2$

So

$$\bar{T}(\alpha x_1 + \beta x_2) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T(\alpha a_n + \beta b_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T(\alpha a_n) + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T(\beta b_n) = \alpha \bar{T}x_1 + \beta \bar{T}x_2$$

■