

Lecture 1, 4/4/23

We use the following two books:

1. Linear Analysis, by B. Bolobás
2. Analysis, by E. Lieb and M. Loss

We begin with metric spaces

Definition 0.1. Let X be a nonempty set and let $\rho : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$. Then $\rho(x, y)$ is called a metric on X if

- (i) $\rho(x, y) \geq 0$ for all $x, y \in X$ and $\rho(x, y) = 0$ iff $x = y$.
- (ii) $\rho(x, y) = \rho(y, x)$ for all $x, y \in X$
- (iii) $\rho(x, y) \leq \rho(x, z) + \rho(z, y)$ for any $x, y, z \in X$. This is called the triangle inequality

ρ is also called a distance. As in, $\rho(x, y)$ is the distance between x and y .

Example 0.1. Let $X = \mathbb{R}^n$, and define $\rho(x, y) = (\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - y_i)^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$. We can in fact replace 2 in this expression with any real $r \geq 1$, or with ∞ (in which case we just take the maximum)

Example 0.2. Let $X = C[a, b]$, the set of continuous $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, and define $\rho(x, y) = \max_{x \in [a, b]} |f(x) - g(x)|$

Definition 0.2. Let (X, ρ) be a metric space. For all $x \in X$ and $r > 0$, we defined the open ball centered at x and having radius r as

$$B_r(x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{y \in X \mid \rho(x, y) < r\}$$

The closed ball is

$$\overline{B}_r(x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{y \in X \mid \rho(x, y) \leq r\}$$

Definition 0.3. Let (X, ρ) be a metric space and let $A \subseteq X$. Then $a \in A$ is

- (i) an interior point of A if there is some $r > 0$ such that $B_r(a) \subseteq A$
- (ii) The set of all interior points of A is called the interior of A and is denoted by $\text{int } A$, or A°
- (iii) A set A is said to be open if $A = A^\circ$

Example 0.3. Let $X = \mathbb{R}^3$, $A = \{(x, y, 0) \mid x, y \in \mathbb{R}\}$. We can see that $A^\circ = \emptyset$.

Proposition 1. For any x, r , $B_r(x)$ is open.

Proof. Let $y \in B_r(x)$. Let $r_1 = r - \rho(x, y) > 0$.

Consider $z \in B_{r_1}(y)$. By the triangle inequality, $\rho(x, z) \leq \rho(z, y) + \rho(y, x) < r_1 + \rho(x, y) = r$. So $z \in B_r(x)$, so $B_{r_1}(y) \subseteq B_r(x)$, so y is an interior point. y was arbitrary, so we are done. ■

Definition 0.4. $A \subseteq X$ is closed if $A^c = X \setminus A$ is open.

Definition 0.5. The point $x \in X$ is a limit point of A if there exists a sequence $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subseteq A$ such that $\rho(x, x_n) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$

Definition 0.6. Let $\{x_n\} \subseteq X$, $x \in X$. Then we say x_n converges to x , or $x_n \rightarrow x$, if $\rho(x_n, x) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. In this case, $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is said to be convergent, with limit x .

Theorem 0.1. If a limit of a sequence $\{x_n\} \subseteq X$ exists, then it is unique.

Proof. Think ■

Definition 0.7. A sequence $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subseteq X$ is called a Cauchy sequence if $\rho(x_n, x_m) \rightarrow 0$ as $n, m \rightarrow \infty$. That is, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists an $N \in \mathbb{N}$, such that if $n, m \geq N$, then $\rho(x_n, x_m) < \varepsilon$

Theorem 0.2. Any convergent sequence is Cauchy

Proof. Think ■

Definition 0.8. A metric space (X, ρ) is called complete if every Cauchy sequence converges to some point in X . A metric space which is not complete is called incomplete.

Example 0.4. $X = \mathbb{R}^n$ or $X = C[a, b]$ with the metrics above are complete.

Example 0.5. \mathbb{Q} is incomplete.

Definition 0.9. Let (X, ρ) and $(Y, \tilde{\rho})$ be metric spaces. Then X and Y are isometric if there exist a bijection $f : X \rightarrow Y$ such that $\rho(x_1, x_2) = \tilde{\rho}(f(x_1), f(x_2))$ for all $x_1, x_2 \in X$.

Definition 0.10. Let (X, ρ) be a metric space and let $A, B \subseteq X$. Then we say that A is dense in B if $B \subseteq \overline{A}$, where $\overline{A} = \{\text{all limit points of } A\}$

Definition 0.11. Let (X, ρ) and $(\tilde{X}, \tilde{\rho})$ be metric spaces. Then $(\tilde{X}, \tilde{\rho})$ is a completion of (X, ρ) if

- (i) $X \subseteq \tilde{X}$, and $\tilde{\rho}(x, y) = \rho(x, y)$ for any $x, y \in X$
- (ii) X is dense in \tilde{X} in the $\tilde{\rho}$ metric

(iii) $(\tilde{X}, \tilde{\rho})$ is complete

Theorem 0.3. *Any incomplete metric space (X, ρ) admits a completion which is unique up to isometry.*

Proof. Think ■

Theorem 0.4. *(The nested ball theorem)*

Let (X, ρ) be a complete metric space, and let $\overline{B}_n = \overline{B}_{r_n}(x_n) \subseteq X$ be a sequence of nested closed balls (meaning $\overline{B}_{n+1} \subseteq \overline{B}_n$) such that $r_n \rightarrow 0$. Then $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \overline{B}_n \neq \emptyset$.

Proof. Consider the centers $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subseteq X$.

Claim. $\{x_n\}$ is Cauchy

Proof. If $m \geq n$, then $\overline{B}_m \subseteq \overline{B}_n$, so $x_m \in \overline{B}_n$, so $\rho(x_m, x_n) \leq r_n$, so $\rho(x_n, x_m) \rightarrow 0$ as $m, n \rightarrow \infty$. ■

Lecture 2, 4/6/23

Definition 0.12. Let (X, ρ) be a metric space, and let $A \subseteq X$. Then A is nowhere dense if $\text{int}(\overline{A}) = \emptyset$

Definition 0.13. Let (X, ρ) be a metric space, and A a set. $A \subseteq X$ is of Baire first category if $A = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$, where A_n are nowhere dense. Otherwise, A is of Baire second category

Theorem 0.5. *(Baire Category Theorem)*

A complete space is of Baire second category.

Proof. Towards contradiction, assume $X = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$, where $A_n \subseteq X$ are nowhere dense.

Let $B_1 = B(x_1, 1)$ be a ball in X .

Since A_1 is nowhere dense, there exists $\overline{B}_2 = \overline{B}(x_2, r_2) \subseteq \overline{B}_1$ such that $\overline{B}_2 \cap A_1 = \emptyset$.

Without loss of generality, assume $r_2 < \frac{1}{2}$. Now there exists $\overline{B}_3 = \overline{B}(x_3, r_3) \subseteq \overline{B}_2$ such that $\overline{B}_3 \cap A_1 = \emptyset$.

Without loss of generality, assume $r_3 < \frac{1}{3}$.

At the k th step, there exists $\overline{B}_{k+1} = \overline{B}(x_{k+1}, r_{k+1}) \subseteq \overline{B}_k$ such that $\overline{B}_{k+1} \cap A_k = \emptyset$, $r_{k+1} \leq \frac{1}{k+1}$.

By the nested balls theorem, $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \overline{B}_n = \{x\}$. By construction, $x \notin A_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. So $X \neq \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$, a contradiction. ■

Definition 0.14. Let (X, ρ) be a metric space and let $A \subseteq X$. A collection $\{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$ of open subsets of X is an open cover of A if $A \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in A} U_\alpha$.

A set $K \subseteq X$ is called compact if any open cover of K has a finite subcover.

Equivalently, $K \subseteq X$ is compact if any sequence $\{x_n\} \subseteq K$ has a limit point $x \in K$.

Theorem 0.6. (*Nested compact set theorem*)

Let (X, ρ) be a metric space and let $\{K_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ be a sequence of nonempty and nested compact sets. Then $\bigcap_{n=1}^\infty K_n \neq \emptyset$.

Proof. Consider $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ with $x_n \in K_n$.

Note for all $n, x_n \in K_1$. Thus there exists a subsequence $\{x_{n_k}\}$ converging to some $x \in K_1$.

We claim that $x \in \bigcap_{n=1}^\infty K_n$.

Fix $m \in \mathbb{N}$. $x_{n_m}, x_{n_{m+1}}, x_{n_{m+2}}, \dots \in K_m$.

The only limit point is x , thus $x \in K_m$. ■

Definition 0.15. Let (X, ρ) be a metric space and let $A \subseteq X$. Then A is bounded if $A \subseteq B(x, r)$ for some $x \in X, r > 0$.

Theorem 0.7. A compact set in (X, ρ) is closed and bounded.

Proof. think ■

Normed Spaces

Definition 0.16. Let X be a vector space. A function $\|\cdot\| : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called a norm on X if

- (i) $\|x\| \geq 0$ for all $x \in X$. Further, $\|x\| = 0 \iff x = 0$
- (ii) $\|\alpha x\| = |\alpha| \|x\|$ for all $x \in X, \alpha \in \mathbb{C}$.
- (iii) $\|x + y\| \leq \|x\| + \|y\|$

$(X, \|\cdot\|)$ is called a normed space

Remark:

If one defines $\rho(x, y) = \|x - y\|$, then (X, ρ) is a metric space.

Lecture 3, 4/11/23

Return to metric spaces

Definition 0.17. Let (X, d) be a metric space. Let $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping. Then T is said to be a contraction if there exists $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ such that $\rho(Tx, Ty) \leq \alpha\rho(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in X$.

Theorem 0.8. (*Contraction mapping principle*)

Let (X, ρ) be a complete metric space and let $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a contraction. Then T has a unique fixed point, i.e. there exists a unique $x \in X$ such that $Tx = x$.

Proof. Proven in 221A

■

Claim. $(0, 1) \neq \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} [a_n, b_n]$, $[a_n, b_n]$ are disjoint.

Proof. Assume $(0, 1) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} [a_n, b_n]$

We claim the set $X = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \{a_n, b_n\} \cup \{0\} \cup \{1\}$ is closed. Thus X is a complete metric space. Next, we claim that $\{a_n\}, \{b_n\}, \{0\}, \{1\}$

Alternative proof:

Assume $(0, 1) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} [a_n, b_n]$.

We construct a function $f : (0, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ continuous that takes countably many values. blah blah blah

■

Return to normed spaces

Definition 0.18. A complete normed space is called a Banach Space

Definition 0.19. Let X, Y be normed spaces on $K = \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{C} . A mapping $T : X \rightarrow Y$ is linear if $T(\alpha x_1 + \beta x_2) = \alpha T x_1 + \beta T x_2$ for all $x_1, x_2 \in X$ and all $\alpha, \beta \in K$.

Definition 0.20. Let X, Y be normed spaces on $K = \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{C} . Let $T : X \rightarrow Y$ be linear. Then

1. T is bounded if there exists $M > 0$ such that for all $x \in X$, $\|Tx\| \leq M\|x\|$
2. The operator norm is

$$\|T\| \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sup_{x \in X, x \neq 0} \frac{\|Tx\|}{\|x\|}$$

If T is bounded, then $\|T\| \leq M$

Definition 0.21. Let X and Y be normed spaces on $K = \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{C} . Let $T : X \rightarrow Y$ be linear. T is continuous at $x_0 \in X$ if $x \rightarrow x_0$ implies $Tx \rightarrow Tx_0$.

Theorem 0.9. *Let X, Y be normed spaces on $K = \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{C} . Let $T : X \rightarrow Y$ be linear. Then the following are equivalent:*

1. T is continuous at some $x_0 \in X$.
2. T is continuous at 0
3. T is continuous on X
4. T is bounded
5. T is Lipschitz

Proof.

$$(3) \Rightarrow (1), (2)$$

This is obvious

$$(1) \Rightarrow (3)$$

Assume T is continuous at some x_0 . We want to show continuity at y_0 .

Suppose $(y_n) \rightarrow y$. Define $x_n = y_n - y_0 + x_0$.

Note $x_n \rightarrow x_0$. So $Tx_n \rightarrow Tx_0$. Thus

$$\|Ty_n - Ty_0\| = \|Tx_n + Ty_0 - Tx_0 - Ty_0\| \rightarrow 0$$

Letting $x_0 = 0$, we get $(2) \Rightarrow (3)$

$$(4) \Rightarrow (2)$$

Suppose $\|Tx\| \leq M\|x\|$ for all $x \in X$.

Then as $x \rightarrow 0$, $\|Tx - T0\| = \|Tx\| \leq M\|x\| \rightarrow M\|0\| = 0$.

$$(2) \Rightarrow (4)$$

For all $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $\|x\| < \delta \implies \|Tx\| < \varepsilon$.

Choose $\varepsilon = 1$. Then there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $\|x\| < \delta \implies \|Tx\| < 1$

For any $x \in X, x \neq 0 \implies Tx = \frac{\|x\|}{\delta} T(\frac{x}{\|x\|}\delta)$

Set $\bar{x} = \frac{x}{\|x\|}\delta$.

Thus $\|Tx\| = \frac{\|x\|}{\delta} \|T\bar{x}\| \leq \frac{\|x\|}{\delta} = \frac{1}{\delta} \|x\|$

So $\|Tx\| \leq \frac{1}{\delta} \|x\|$ for all $x \in X$, i.e. $M = \frac{1}{\delta}$.

Lecture 4, 4/13/23

(4) \Rightarrow (5)

$\|Tx_1 - Tx_2\| = \|T(x_1 - x_2)\| \leq M\|x_1 - x_2\|$. Thus T is Lipschitz.

Clearly, (5) \Rightarrow (3). ■

Definition 0.22. For X, Y normed spaces, the set of all bounded linear operators $T : X \rightarrow Y$ is denoted by $B(X, Y)$.

Theorem 0.10. Let X, Y be normed spaces and let $T \in B(X, Y)$. Then

$$\|T\| = \sup_{\|x\| \leq 1} \|Tx\| = \sup_{\|x\|=1} \|Tx\| = \sup_{\|x\| < 1} \|Tx\|$$

Proof. $\|T\| = \sup_{x \in X, x \neq 0} \frac{\|Tx\|}{\|x\|} = \sup_{x \in X, x \neq 0} \|T(\frac{x}{\|x\|})\|$.

So, letting $\bar{x} = \frac{x}{\|x\|}$, $\|T\| = \sup_{x \in X, x \neq 0} \|T\bar{x}\| \leq \sup_{\|x\|=1} \|Tx\|$

Similarly, $\|T\| \leq \sup_{\|x\| \leq 1} \|Tx\|$

$\|T\| = \sup_{x \in X, x \neq 0} \frac{\|Tx\|}{\|x\|} \geq \sup_{x \in X, \|x\|=1} \frac{\|Tx\|}{\|x\|}$, so we get equality.

We could prove $<$ using limits.

Theorem 0.11. Let X and Y be normed spaces and let $T : X \rightarrow Y$ be linear. Then T is bounded iff T maps bounded sets to bounded sets.

Proof. Later ■

Note: $\|Tx\| \leq \|T\|\|x\|$. Also, a convergent sequence in a metric space is bounded.

Theorem 0.12. Let X and Y be normed spaces. Then $B(X, Y)$ is a normed space endowed with the operator norm $\|T\|$. Moreover, if Y is Banach, then $B(X, Y)$ is Banach.

Proof. $B(X, Y)$ is a vector space:

Choose $\alpha, \beta \in K, T_1, T_2 \in B(X, Y)$. Then $\alpha T_1 + \beta T_2$ is linear and continuous. Hence, $\alpha T_1 + \beta T_2 \in B(X, Y)$.

Now we show that $(B(X, Y), \|T\|)$ is a normed space.

1. $\|T\| \geq 0$ for all $T \in B(X, Y)$ clearly, and $\|T\| = 0$ means $Tx = 0$ for any $x \in X$, so $T = 0$.

2. $\|\alpha T\| = |\alpha| \|T\|$

3. Triangle inequality: Choose $x \in B(X, Y)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\|(T_1 + T_2)x\| &= \|T_1x + T_2x\| \\ &\leq \|T_1x\| + \|T_2x\| \\ &\leq \|T_1\|\|x\| + \|T_2\|\|x\| \\ &= \|x\|(\|T_1\| + \|T_2\|)\end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Thus, } \|T_1 + T_2\| = \sup_{x \in X, x \neq 0} \|(T_1 + T_2)x\| \leq \sup_{\|x\| \leq 1} (\|T_1\| + \|T_2\|)\|x\| \leq \|T_1\| + \|T_2\|$$

Now assume Y is a Banach space.

Let $\{T_n\} \subseteq B(X, Y)$ be a Cauchy sequence.

We construct an operator $T : X \rightarrow Y$ as follows.

For all $x \in X$, $\{T_nx\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in Y .

Since Y is complete, $\{T_nx\}$ converges to some Tx

We want to show T is linear and bounded.

For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\alpha, \beta \in K$, $x_1, x_2 \in X$, $T_n(\alpha x_1 + \beta x_2) = \alpha T_nx_1 + \beta T_nx_2$.

Thus $T(\alpha x_1 + \beta x_2) = \alpha Tx_1 + \beta Tx_2$

Now we show T is bounded.

Since a Cauchy sequence in a metric space is bounded, $\|T_n\| \leq M$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

For all $x \in \overline{B(0, 1)}$, $(T_nx) \rightarrow Tx$.

Thus $\|Tx\| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|T_nx\| \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M\|x\| \leq M$.

Hence $\|T\| \leq M$.

Finally, we show $(T_n) \rightarrow T$.

Choose $\varepsilon > 0$. There exists N such that for all $n \geq N$, for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $\|T_n - T_{n+k}\| < \varepsilon$.

For all $x \in \overline{B(0, 1)}$, $\|T_nx - T_{n+k}x\| \leq \varepsilon\|x\|$.

Fix $n \geq N$, and let $k \rightarrow \infty$ to get $\|T_nx - Tx\| \leq \varepsilon\|x\|$. Thus, $\|T_n - T\| \leq \varepsilon$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

So $(T_n) \rightarrow T$ in $B(X, Y)$

■

Definition 0.23. Let X be a normed space over a field $K = \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{C} . Then $B(X, K) = X^*$ is called the dual space of X . $T \in X^*$ is called a functional.

Special case: $X = L^p(\Omega)$, we will characterize X^* .

When $1 \leq p < \infty$, then $(L^p(\Omega))^* = L^q(\Omega)$, where $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ (when $p = 1, q = \infty$)

Theorem 0.13. Let X be a normed space and Y a Banach space. Assume $A \subseteq X$ is a dense subspace of X and $T \in B(A, Y)$. Then T admits a unique extension $\bar{T} \in B(X, Y)$. Moreover, $\|\bar{T}\| = \|T\|$.

Proof. Chose $x \in X \setminus A$.

There exists $\{a_n\} \in A$ converging to x because A is dense. Define $Tx = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ta_n$.

First we show this limit exists.

Note $\{Ta_n\}$ is Cauchy, since $\|Ta_n - Ta_m\| \leq \|T\|\|a_n - a_m\| \rightarrow 0$.

Since Y is Banach, $\{Ta_n\}$ converges.

Now we show the limit does not depend on choice of sequence $\{a_n\}$.

Assume $\{b_n\} \rightarrow X$. Then

$$\|Ta_n - Tb_n\| = \|T\|\|a_n - b_n\| \leq \|T\|(\|a_n - x\| + \|b_n - x\|) \rightarrow 0$$

Thus $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ta_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Tb_n$

Next we show \bar{T} is linear.

Choose $\alpha, \beta \in K, x_1, x_2 \in X$.

Let $\{a_n\} \rightarrow x_1, \{b_n\} \rightarrow x_2$ where $\{a_n\}, \{b_n\} \subseteq A$.

$\alpha a_n \rightarrow \alpha x_1, \beta b_n \rightarrow \beta x_2$

Thus $\alpha a_n + \beta b_n \rightarrow \alpha x_1 + \beta x_2$

So

$$\bar{T}(\alpha x_1 + \beta x_2) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T(\alpha a_n + \beta b_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T(\alpha a_n) + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T(\beta b_n) = \alpha \bar{T}x_1 + \beta \bar{T}x_2$$

■

Lecture 5, 4/18/23

Theorem 0.14. *Let X be a normed space, and Y a Banach space. Assume $A \subseteq X$ is a dense subspace of X and $T \in B(A, Y)$. Then T admits a unit extension $\bar{T} \in B(X, Y)$. Moreover, $\|\bar{T}\| = \|T\|$*

Proof. If $x \notin A$, choose $a_n \in A$ such that $a_n \rightarrow x$. Define $\bar{T}x = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ta_n$. Then $\{Ta_n\}$ is Cauchy, and Y is Banach.

1. \bar{T} is linear

2. \bar{T} is bounded, with $\|\bar{T}\| \leq \|T\|$.

For any $x \in B(0, 1)$, there exists $a_n \in B(0, 1)$ such that $a_n \rightarrow x, a_n \in A$.

$$\begin{aligned} \|\bar{T}x\| &\leq \|\bar{T}x - \bar{T}a_n\| + \|Ta_n\| \\ &\leq \|\bar{T}x - Ta_n\| + \|T\|\|a_n\| \\ &\leq \|\bar{T}x - Ta_n\| + \|T\| \end{aligned}$$

As $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get $\|\bar{T}x\| \leq \|T\|$ for all $x \in B(0, 1)$. Thus $\|T\| = \sup_{x \in B(0, 1)} \|\bar{T}x\| \leq \|T\|$



Theorem 0.15. *Let X be a normed space, and let $A = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$, where $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^n$ is linearly independent. Then*

1. A is closed

2. Let $a_k = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i x_i \in A$, and $a_k \rightarrow x \in X$. Then by 1, $x \in \langle A \rangle$, i.e. $x = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i x_i$.
Then $\alpha_i^k \rightarrow \alpha_i$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

(Convergence in A is equivalent to convergence of coordinates).

Proof. First, assume X is a Euclidean space. Define $T : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \langle A \rangle$ given by $T(c_1, \dots, c_n) = c_1 x_1 + c_2 x_2 + \dots + c_n x_n$.

Note that

- T is linear on \mathbb{R}^n .
- T is continuous:

$$\begin{aligned} \|T(c_1, \dots, c_n)\| &= \|c_1 x_1 + \dots + c_n x_n\| \\ &\leq |c_1| \|x_1\| + \dots + |c_n| \|x_n\| \\ &\leq |c| \max_i \|x_i\| \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|T(c_1, \dots, c_n) - T(b_1, \dots, b_n)\| &= \|T(c_1 - b_1, \dots, c_n - b_n)\| \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^n |c_i - b_i| \|x_i\| \\ &\leq n |c - b| (\max_i \|x_i\|) \\ &\leq |c - b| \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \|x_i\|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{aligned}$$

Thus T is continuous.

$$S^{n-1} = \partial B(0, 1) = \{(c_1, \dots, c_n) \mid \|c\| = 1\}.$$

S^{n-1} is compact, so $f(c) = \|Tc\|$, $c \in S^{n-1}$ attains its minimal value on S^{n-1} .

$$\|x\| - \|y\| \leq \|x - y\| \text{ for all } x, y \in X.$$

$$\min_{c \in S^{n-1}} \|Tc\| = \|Tc_0\|, \text{ where } c_0 = (c_1^\circ, \dots, c_n^\circ) \in S^{n-1}$$

I claim now that $\|Tc_0\| > 0$.

$$Tc_0 = c_0^1 x_1 + \dots + c_0^n x_n \neq 0, \text{ so } \|Tc_0\| > 0.$$

$$r = \|Tc_0\| = \min_{c \in S^{n-1}} \|Tc\| > 0$$

$\|Tc\| \geq r > 0$ for all $c \in S^{n-1}$.

For all $b \in \mathbb{R}^n, b \neq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}\|Tb\| &= \left\| |b| T\left(\frac{b}{|b|}\right) \right\| \\ &= |b| \left\| T\left(\frac{b}{|b|}\right) \right\| \\ &\geq r|b|\end{aligned}$$

Thus $\|Tb\| \geq rb$ for all $b \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Assume now $a_k = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i^k x_i \rightarrow x \in X$.

$a_k = T(\alpha_1^k, \dots, \alpha_n^k), x = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i x_i$.

Since $a_k \rightarrow a$, $\|a_k\| \leq M$.

So $|(\alpha_1^k, \dots, \alpha_n^k)| \leq \frac{1}{r} \|T(\alpha_1^k, \dots, \alpha_n^k)\| \leq \frac{M}{r}$

By Bolzano-Weierstrass, there exists $(\alpha_1^{k_\ell}, \dots, \alpha_n^{k_\ell}) \rightarrow (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ as $\ell \rightarrow \infty$

Since T is continuous,

$$\alpha_{k_\ell} = T(\alpha_1^{k_\ell}, \dots, \alpha_n^{k_\ell}) = \alpha_1 x_1 + \dots + \alpha_n x_n \in \langle A \rangle$$

■

Theorem 0.16 (Uniform Boundedness Principle, Banach-Steinhaus Theorem). *Let X be a Banach space, and let Y be a normed space. Let $\{T_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A} \subseteq B(X, Y)$ be a family such that the set $\{T_\alpha x\}_{\alpha \in \Delta}$ is bounded for all $x \in X$. Then $\{T_\alpha\}$ is bounded in $B(X, Y)$, i.e. there exists $M > 0$ such that $\|T_\alpha\| \leq M$ for all $\alpha \in \Delta$*

Proof. Consider the sets $A_n = \{x \in X \mid \|T_\alpha x\| \leq n \forall \alpha \in \Delta\} \subseteq X$

1. $\bigcup_{n=1}^\infty A_n = X$.

2. Each A_n is closed in X .

Let $x_k \in A_n, x_k \rightarrow x \in X$.

We want to show $x \in A_n$.

$\forall \alpha \in \Delta, \|T_\alpha x_k\| \leq n, k = 1, 2, \dots$

As $k \rightarrow \infty$, we have that $\|T_\alpha x\| \leq n$

So $x \in A_n$.

By Baire Category Theorem, there exists some A_N not nowhere dense.

$\text{int } \overline{A_n} = \text{int } A_n \neq \emptyset$.

So there exists $x_0 \in X, r > 0$ such that $B(x_0, r) \subseteq A_N$.

Thus $\overline{B}(x_0, \frac{r}{2}) \subseteq A_N$. Let $R = \frac{r}{2}$

$$\|T_\alpha(\overline{B}(x_0, R))\| \leq N \forall \alpha \in \Delta$$

Let $x \in X, \|x\| < R$. $x = x_0 + x - x_0$

$$x_0 + x \in \overline{B}(x_0, R).$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_\alpha x\| &= \|T_\alpha(x + x_0) - T_\alpha x_0\| \\ &\leq \|T_\alpha(x + x_0)\| + \|T_\alpha x_0\| \\ &\leq 2N \end{aligned}$$

$$\forall x \in \overline{B}(0, R), \forall \alpha \in \Delta, \|T_\alpha x\| \leq 2N$$

$$\forall x \in \overline{B}(0, 1), \forall \alpha \in \Delta, \|T_\alpha x\| = \|\frac{1}{R}T_\alpha(Rx)\| = \frac{1}{R}\|T_\alpha(Rx)\| \leq \frac{1}{R}2N = \frac{2N}{R} = M$$

$$\forall x \in \overline{B}(0, 1), \forall \alpha \in \Delta, \|T_\alpha x\| \leq M \implies \|T_\alpha\| \leq M$$

Lecture 6, 4/20/23

Open Mapping Theorem

Definition 0.24. Let X and Y be normed spaces and let $A : X \rightarrow Y$. Then A is open if it maps open sets in X to open sets in Y .

Theorem 0.17. (*Open Mapping Theorem*)

Let X and Y be Banach spaces and let $T \in B(X, Y)$ be onto. Then T is open.

Proof. omitted from these notes

■

Theorem 0.18. (*Bounded Inverse Theorem*)

Let X and Y be Banach spaces and let $T \in B(X, Y)$ be a bijection. Then $T^{-1} \in B(Y, X)$.

Proof. omitted from these notes

■

Composition of Operators

Assume X, Y, Z are normed spaces.

Assume $T \in B(X, Y), S \in B(Y, Z)$.

Then $S \circ T : X \rightarrow Z$ satisfies the properties

1. $S \circ T \in B(X, Z)$
2. $\|S \circ T\| \leq \|T\| \cdot \|S\|$

Linearity:

$$\begin{aligned} S \circ T(\alpha x_1 + \beta x_2) &= S(T(\alpha x_1 + \beta x_2)) \\ &= S(\alpha T x_1 + \beta T x_2) \\ &= \alpha(S \circ T)x_1 + \beta(S \circ T)x_2 \end{aligned}$$

Boundedness: choose $x \in X$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|(S \circ T)x\| &= \|S(T(x))\| \\ &\leq \|S\| \|Tx\| \\ &\leq \|S\| \|T\| \|x\| \end{aligned}$$

Product space and its norm

Let X and Y be normed vector spaces. Then $X \times Y = \{(x, y) \mid x \in X, y \in Y\}$ is a vector space.

Theorem 0.19. $X \times Y$ becomes a normed space with the norm $\|(x, y)\| = \|x\| + \|y\|$. Moreover, if X and Y are Banach, then $X \times Y$ is Banach.

Proof. omitted ■

Lecture 7

Theorem 0.20. (Product space as a normed space)

Let X and Y be normed space. Then

1. $(X \times Y, \|(\cdot, \cdot)\|)$ is a normed space.
2. Convergence in $X \times Y$ is equivalent to convergence in coordinates.
3. If in addition X and Y are equivalent, then $(X \times Y, \|(\cdot, \cdot)\|)$ is complete.

Theorem 0.21. (Closed graph theorem)

Let X, Y be Banach spaces and let $A : X \rightarrow Y$ be linear. Then A is continuous (bounded) iff the graph of A is closed in $X \times Y$.

Proof. ■

Definition 0.25. Given X and Y sets and an operator $A : X \rightarrow Y$, we define the graph of A to be the set $\text{Gr}\{(x, Ax) \mid x \in X\} \subseteq X \times Y$.

Assume now that X, Y are normed spaces. What does it mean for $\text{Gr } A \subseteq X \times Y$ to be closed?

$\text{Gr } A$ is closed if it contains all its limit points.

Assume $(x_n, Ax_n) \rightarrow (x, y)$.

Thus $x_n \rightarrow x, Ax_n \rightarrow y$. We require that $(x, y) \in \text{Gr } A$, so $y = Ax$.

In sum, whenever $x_n \rightarrow x$ and $Ax_n \rightarrow y$, $y = Ax$.

The Hahn-Banach Theorems

Definition 0.26. Let X be a vector spaces over $\mathbb{F} \in \{\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}\}$.

(a) A map $q : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called a quasi-seminorm if

- (i) $q(x + y) \leq q(x) + q(y)$ for all $x, y \in X$ (triangle inequality)
- (ii) $q(tx) = tq(x)$ for all $t > 0, x \in X$.

(b) A map $P : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called a seminorm if P is a quasi-seminorm and in addition $P(\alpha x) = |\alpha|P(x)$ for all $\alpha \in K, x \in X$.

Theorem 0.22. (*Hahn-Banach, \mathbb{R} -version*)

Let X be a real vector space and let $Y \subseteq X$ be a subspace. Assume $\phi : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a linear function and $q : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a quasi-seminorm such that $\phi(y) \leq q(y)$ for all $y \in Y$. Then there exists a linear extension ψ of ϕ onto X such that it is dominated by q in X .

- (i) $\psi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is linear
- (ii) $\psi(y) = \phi(y)$ for all $y \in Y$
- (iii) $\psi(x) \leq q(x)$ for all $x \in X$

Theorem 0.23. (*\mathbb{C} -version, first version*)

Let X be a vector space on \mathbb{C} and let $Y \subseteq X$ be a subspace. Assume $\phi : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a \mathbb{C} -linear map and $q : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a quasi-seminorm such that $\text{Re } \phi(y) \leq q(y)$ for all $y \in Y$. Then there exists a linear map $\psi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that

- (i) $\psi(y) = \phi(y)$ for all $y \in Y$
- (ii) $\text{Re } \psi(x) \leq q(x)$ for all $x \in X$.

Lemma 1. Let X be a \mathbb{C} -vector space and let $\phi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be given by $\phi(x) = u(x) + iv(x)$, where $u, v : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. $u(x) = \operatorname{Re} \phi(x)$, $v(x) = \operatorname{Im} \phi(x)$. Then ϕ is \mathbb{C} -linear iff u is \mathbb{R} -linear and $v(x) = -u(ix)$.

Proof. omitted ■

Lecture 8, 5/2/23

Definition 0.27. $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is convex if for all $\lambda \in [0, 1]$, $x, y \in D$, $f(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y) \leq \lambda f(x) + (1 - \lambda)f(y)$.

Recall a quasi-seminorm is convex, since $q(x + y) \leq q(x) + q(y)$, $q(tx) = tq(x)$ for all $t > 0$.

Theorem 0.24. (*Hahn-Banach*)

Let X be a vector space on $K \in \{\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{R}\}$ and let $Y \subseteq X$ be a nonempty subspace. Assume $\phi : Y \rightarrow K$ is a K -linear map and $q : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a seminorm such that $|\phi(y)| \leq q(y)$ for all $y \in Y$. Then there exists a linear map $\psi : X \rightarrow K$ such that

$$(i) \quad \psi(y) = \phi(y) \text{ for all } y \in Y.$$

$$(ii) \quad |\psi(x)| \leq q(x) \text{ for all } x \in X.$$

Proof. omitted ■

Definition 0.28. A Banach space X is called reflexive if $X^{**} = \{F_x\}_{x \in X}$, where F_x is the evaluation operator, $f \mapsto f(x)$.

Lecture 9, 5/4/23

Let X be a normed space. For all $x \in X$, $F_x \in (X^*)^*$, $F_x(f) = f(x)$, for all $f \in X^*$. Then $F : X \rightarrow \{F_x \mid x \in X\} \subseteq (X^*)^*$

1. The mapping F is an isometry

Definition 0.29. A Banach space X is called reflexive if $(X^*)^* = \{F_x \mid x \in X\}$.

One identifies the subspace $\{F_x \mid x \in X\}$ with X . One sets $X \subseteq (X^*)^*$.

We will prove later that $(L^p(\mathbb{R}^n))^* = L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for all $1 < p < \infty$ where $q > 0$ and $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$. In this case q is called the dual exponent of p .

Then $((L^p(\mathbb{R}^n))^*)^* = (L^q(\mathbb{R}^n))^* = L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, so $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is reflexive.

Geometric Hahn-Banach theorems, separation of convex sets

Definition 0.30. Let X be a vector space.

1. A set $C \subseteq X$ is called convex if $\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y \in C$ for all $\lambda \in [0, 1]$, whenever $x, y \in C$.
2. A set $A \subseteq X$ is called absorbing if for every $x \in X$, there exists $t > 0$ such that $tx \in A$. This is equivalent to $X = \bigcup_{t>0} t \cdot C$

Example 0.6. For X a normed space, then any open or closed ball is convex.

Example 0.7. Any open set containing the origin is absorbing.

Definition 0.31. Let X be a real vector space and let $C \subseteq X$ be a convex and absorbing set containing the origin. So $0 \in C$, $X = \bigcup_{t>0} t \cdot C$.

For every $x \in X$, define $M_c(x) = \inf\{t > 0 \mid x \in t \cdot C\}$. So $M_c : X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$. This is called the Minkowski functional of C

Theorem 0.25. Under the conditions of the previous definition, M_c is a quasi-seminorm.

Proof.

Claim. Define $I_C(x) = \{t > 0 \mid x \in t \cdot C\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ for all $x \in X$. $I_c(x)$ has the following properties

1. $I_C(\lambda x) = \lambda \cdot I_C(x)$ for all $x \in X, \lambda > 0$.
2. $I_C(x) + I_C(y) \subseteq I_C(x + y)$ for all $x, y \in X$.

Proof.

1. Let's prove that $I_C(\lambda x) \leq \lambda \cdot I_C(x)$ for all $\lambda > 0$ and all $x \in X$.

$$\text{Then } I_C(x) = I_C\left(\frac{1}{\lambda} \cdot (\lambda x)\right) \subseteq \frac{1}{\lambda} \cdot I_C(\lambda x)$$

$$\text{So } \lambda \cdot I_C(x) \subseteq I_C(\lambda x).$$

Let $t \in I_C(\lambda x)$. Then $\lambda x \in t \cdot C$, $x \in \frac{t}{\lambda} \cdot C$. So $\frac{t}{\lambda} \in I_C(x)$, $t \in \lambda \cdot I_C(x)$, so $I_C(\lambda x) \subseteq \lambda I_C(x)$

2. Let $T \in I_C(x)$ and $s \in I_C(y)$. We need to prove $s + t \in I_C(x + y)$. We know $x \in t \cdot C$, and $y \in s \cdot C$.

For some $t, s > 0, c_1, c_2 \in C$, $x = t \cdot c_1, y = s \cdot c_2$. Consider the convex combination $\frac{t}{t+s} \cdot c_1 + \frac{s}{t+s} \cdot c_2 \in C$. So $\frac{1}{t+s}(x + y) \in C$.

$$\text{Thus } t + s \in I_C(x + y)$$

So $M_C(\lambda \cdot X) = \lambda \cdot M_C(x)$ for all $\lambda > 0, x \in X$. ■

Suppose $A + B \subseteq D$. Then $\inf A + \inf B \geq \inf D$.

So $M_C(x + y) \leq M_C(x) + M_C(y)$ for all $x, y \in X$. ■

Theorem 0.26. *Under the conditions of the definition, one has*

$$\{x \in X \mid M_C(x) < 1\} \subseteq C \subseteq \{x \in X \mid M_C(x) \leq 1\}$$

Proof.

1. $C \subseteq \{x \in X \mid M_C(x) \leq 1\}$. Assume $x \in C$. Then $1 \cdot x \in C$. So $1 \in I_C(x)$, so $\inf I_C(x) \leq 1$, so $M_C(x) \leq 1$

2. $\{x \in X \mid M_C(x) < 1\} \subseteq C$. Let $x \in \{x \in X \mid M_C(x) < 1\}$. $M_C(x) < 1$, so $\inf I_C(x) < 1$.

So there exists $t < 1$ such that $x \in t \cdot C$.

So $t \in I_C(x)$. $x = t \cdot c, c \in C$. So $x = t \cdot c + (1 - t) \cdot 0 \in C$ because C is convex. ■

Theorem 0.27. *Let X be a real normed space and let $C \subseteq X$ be an open, convex set containing the origin.*

Then $C = \{x \in X \mid M_C(x) < 1\}$

Proof. By previous theorem, we have $\{x \in X \mid M_C(x) < 1\} \subseteq X$.

We need to prove that if $M_C(x) = 1$, then $x \notin C$.

Assume $x \in C$. There exists $\overline{B}_\delta(x) \subseteq C, \delta > 0$.

$$y = x \frac{\|x\| + \delta}{\|x\|} \in \overline{B}_\delta(x), \|y - x\| = \left\| \frac{\delta x}{\|x\|} \right\| = \delta$$

$$\text{So } \|y\| = \|x\| + \delta > \|x\|$$

$$\text{So } t = \frac{\|x\|}{\|x\| + \delta} < 1, \text{ so } x \in t \cdot C, \text{ so } x = t \cdot y, y \in C.$$

$$\text{So } M_C(x) \leq t < 1$$

Lecture 10, 5/9/23

Theorem 0.28. *(Separation of a convex set from a point)*

Let X be a real normed space, let $C \subseteq X$ be an open convex set and let $x_0 \notin C$.

There exists a linear continuous functional $\varphi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$1. \varphi(x_0) = 1$$

2. $\varphi(c) < 1$ for all $c \in C$.

In Euclidean space, $\varphi(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i x_i$.
 $\varphi(x) = 1$ - hyperplane.

Proof. C open and $0 \in C \Rightarrow C$ is absorbing.

Thus the Minkowski functional of C , $M_C(x)$, is a quasi-seminorm.

Theorem 3 gives $C = \{x \in X \mid M_C(x) < 1\}$.

Consider the subspace $Y = \{tx_0 \mid t \in \mathbb{R}\} \subseteq X$.

Define $\phi : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ linear functional as $\phi(t \cdot x_0) = t$, for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, or for all $tx_0 \in Y$.

Claim 1: $\phi(y) \leq M_C(y)$ for all $y \in Y$.

Let $y = tx_0$. We want to show that $t = \phi(y) \leq M_C(tx_0)$.

If $y < 0$, this is obvious, since $M_C(y) \geq 0$ for all $y \in Y$.

Now assume $t > 0$.

M_C is a quasi-seminorm, so $M_C(tx_0) = tM_C(x_0)$.

Since $x_0 \notin C = \{x \in X \mid M_C(x) < 1\}$, we have $M_C(x_0) \geq 1$.

So $M_C(tx_0) \geq t$.

By Hahn-Banach, we can extend ϕ to X as a linear functional that $\leq M_C$.

Further, $\phi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is linear, $\phi(x_0) = 1$.

I'm not finishing this

■

Theorem 0.29. (Separation of two convex sets)

Let X be a real normed space and let A, B be disjoint convex sets with A open. There exists a continuous linear functional $\phi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\phi(a) < \alpha \leq \phi(b)$ for all $a \in A, b \in B$, where $\alpha = \inf_{b \in B} \phi(b)$

Proof.

■

Theorem 0.30. (Separation of Two convex sets)

Let X be a complex normed space and let A, B be disjoint convex sets with A open. There exists a continuous linear functional $\phi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $\operatorname{Re} \phi(a) < \alpha \leq \operatorname{Re} \phi(b)$ for all $a \in A, b \in B$, where $\alpha = \inf_{b \in B} \operatorname{Re} \phi(b)$

L^p spaces

Definition 0.32. Assume Ω is an open set, $p \in [1, \infty]$. For a measurable (Lebesgue-measurable) function $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, define

$$\|f\|_{L^p(\Omega)} = \begin{cases} \left(\int_{\Omega} |f(x)|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} & p \in [1, \infty) \\ \operatorname{esssup}_{x \in \Omega} |f(x)| & p = \infty \end{cases}$$

We define $\operatorname{esssup}_{x \in \Omega} |f(x)| = \inf \{M : |\{x \in \Omega : |f(x)| > M\}| = 0\}$

Here $|A|$ = Lebesgue measure of A .

Define the set $L^p(\Omega) = \{f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : f \text{ measurable, } \|f\|_{L^p(\Omega)} < \infty\}$.

Theorem 0.31. $L^p(\Omega)$ is a banach space with norm $\|f\|_{L^p(\Omega)}$ (modulo almost everywhere agreement of functions).

Proof. ■

General setting: X a nonempty set, μ a measure on X . Choose $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. For $f : X \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ μ -measurable, define

$$\|f\|_{L^p(X, \mu)} = \begin{cases} \left(\int_X |f(x)|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} & 1 \leq p < \infty \\ \text{esssup}_{x \in X} |f(x)| & p = \infty \end{cases}$$

Definition 0.33. $\text{esssup}_{x \in X} |f(x)| = \inf\{M : \mu\{x \in X : |f(x)| > M\} = 0\}$

Proposition 2. Denote $M_0 = \text{esssup}_{x \in X} |f(x)|$. Then $\mu\{|f(x)| > M_0\} = 0$.

Proof. ■

Definition 0.34. Define for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$,

$$\underline{L^p(X, \mu)} = L^p(X) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{f : X \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}, f\mu\text{-measurable}, \|f\|_p < \infty\}$$

For a function f , denote by \hat{f} the equivalence class of f under μ -almost everywhere equivalence.

From now on, we identify two functions that agree μ -almost everywhere in X .

Theorem 0.32. $\{\hat{f}\}$ with the norm $\|\cdot\|_p$ is a Banach space, called the Lebesgue space.

Lemma 2. (Young's Inequality)

Assume $1 < p < \infty$ and $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$. Then $ab \leq \frac{a^p}{p} + \frac{b^q}{q}$ for all $a, b \geq 0$. Equivalently, $a^\lambda b^{1-\lambda} \leq \lambda a + (1-\lambda)b$ for all $\lambda \in [0, 1]$, $a, b \geq 0$. Convention is to take $0^0 = 0$, or $\lambda \in (0, 1)$.

Theorem 0.33. If $\phi \in C^2(\mathbb{R})$, then ϕ is convex iff $\phi''(t) \geq 0$.

Proof. ■

Lecture 11, 5/16/23

Definition 0.35. Let X be a normed space and let $(x_n) \subseteq X, x \in X$. (x_n) converges weakly to x if $\phi(x_n) \rightarrow \phi(x)$ for all $\phi \in X^*$.

Note strong convergence implies weak convergence.

Definition 0.36. Let X be a normed space and let $(f_n) \subseteq X^*$, $f \in X^*$. (f_n) converges weak-* to f if $f_n(x) \rightarrow f(x)$ for all $x \in X$.

If X is reflexive, the above two definitions are equivalent.

Theorem 0.34. *(Alaoglu's Theorem)*

Let X be a normed space. The closed unit ball in X^ is weak-* compact.*