

Developer-Focused Summary: Integrating Fuzzy Position Sizing

The sizing logic is built around a strict upper-bound risk constraint combined with a fuzzy-logic-driven scaling mechanism. The process begins by computing the **maximum allowable risk per trade**, defined as a fixed fraction of account equity:

$$\text{MaximumRisk} = 0.02 \times \text{Equity}$$

This risk budget is then converted into a **base contract quantity**, using the maximum possible loss of a single iron condor contract:

$$q_0 = \text{floor}(\text{MaximumRisk} \div \text{MaxLossPerContract})$$

The value q_0 represents a **hard ceiling** on position size. Under no circumstances may the system exceed this quantity. Before any sizing computation occurs, **all hard constraints must pass**, including:

- Market regime validity
- Multi-timeframe alignment
- Minimum credit-to-risk ratio
- Greek exposure limits
- Liquidity thresholds
- Macro-event and volatility halts

If any hard constraint fails, position size is set to zero and the trade is rejected.

Once all hard constraints are satisfied, the system evaluates a set of **soft conditions** using fuzzy logic. Each soft condition is mapped to a membership value μ_j in the closed interval $[0, 1]$, where higher values indicate more favorable conditions. Typical inputs include:

- Implied volatility favorability
- Regime stability
- Multi-timeframe directional coherence
- Delta balance symmetry
- Liquidity quality

These membership values are combined via a weighted aggregation to form a **fuzzy confidence score**:

$$F_t = \sum (w_j \times \mu_j)$$

where all weights w_j are non-negative and sum to 1. By construction:

$$0 \leq F_t \leq 1$$

In parallel, realized or forecast volatility is normalized into a **volatility penalty factor**:

$$\sigma^*_t \in [0, 1]$$

Higher values of σ^*_t correspond to elevated volatility and therefore increased risk.

The system then computes a **scaling function**:

$$g = g(F_t, \sigma^*_t)$$

subject to the constraint:

$$0 \leq g \leq 1$$

The function g is monotonically increasing in F_t and monotonically decreasing in σ^*_t . Intuitively, confidence increases size, while volatility suppresses it.

The final position size is computed as:

$$q = q_0 \times g$$

This produces a **continuously variable position size** rather than a binary “all-in or all-out” allocation. Under ideal conditions (high confidence, low volatility), g approaches 1 and the system deploys the full 2% risk budget. Under mixed conditions, g yields a fractional allocation. Under low confidence or elevated volatility, g approaches 0, resulting in no position—even though all hard constraints may technically pass.

The result is a **deterministic, auditable, and risk-aware sizing mechanism** that adapts position size to market quality rather than blindly consuming the maximum allowable risk. This logic is implementation-ready and integrates cleanly into any systematic options trading or execution engine.

Next, I put together a simplistic python implementation developer-centric.

Reference Python Implementation: Fuzzy Position Sizing

```
1. from typing import Dict
2.
3.
4. def compute_base_quantity(
5.     equity: float,
6.     max_loss_per_contract: float,
7.     risk_fraction: float = 0.02
8. ) -> int:
9.     """
10.    Compute the hard ceiling on position size.
11.
12.    q0 = floor((risk_fraction * equity) / max_loss_per_contract)
13.    """
14.    if equity <= 0.0:
15.        return 0
16.
17.    if max_loss_per_contract <= 0.0:
18.        return 0
19.
20.    max_risk = risk_fraction * equity
21.    q0 = int(max_risk // max_loss_per_contract)
22.
23.    return max(q0, 0)
24.
```

Fuzzy Confidence Aggregation

```
1. def compute_fuzzy_confidence(
2.     memberships: Dict[str, float],
3.     weights: Dict[str, float]
4. ) -> float:
5.     """
6.    Compute fuzzy confidence score Ft in [0, 1].
7.
8.    Ft = sum(w_j * mu_j)
9.
10.    Assumes:
11.    - All mu_j are already normalized to [0, 1]
12.    - All w_j >= 0
13.    - sum(w_j) == 1
14.    """
15.    confidence = 0.0
16.
17.    for key, mu in memberships.items():
18.        w = weights.get(key, 0.0)
19.        confidence += w * mu
20.
21.    # Hard clamp for numerical safety
22.    if confidence < 0.0:
23.        return 0.0
24.    if confidence > 1.0:
25.        return 1.0
26.
27.    return confidence
28.
```

Volatility Normalization

```
1. def normalize_volatility(  
2.     realized_vol: float,  
3.     low_vol: float,  
4.     high_vol: float  
5. ) -> float:  
6.     """  
7.     Normalize volatility into sigma_star in [0, 1].  
9.     sigma_star = (realized_vol - low_vol) / (high_vol - low_vol)  
11.    Values below low_vol map to 0.  
12.    Values above high_vol map to 1.  
13.    """  
14.    if high_vol <= low_vol:  
15.        return 1.0  
16.  
17.    sigma_star = (realized_vol - low_vol) / (high_vol - low_vol)  
18.  
19.    if sigma_star < 0.0:  
20.        return 0.0  
21.    if sigma_star > 1.0:  
22.        return 1.0  
24.    return sigma_star  
25.
```

Scaling Function $g(F, \sigma^*)$

This implementation uses a **multiplicative attenuation model**, which is simple, monotonic, and easy to reason about.

```
1. def compute_scaling_factor(  
2.     confidence: float,  
3.     volatility_penalty: float,  
4.     min_scale: float = 0.0  
5. ) -> float:  
6.     """  
7.     Compute  $g(F_t, \sigma_{star})$ .  
8.  
9.      $g = F_t * (1 - \sigma_{star})$   
10.  
11.    min_scale enforces a floor if desired (e.g. for minimum viable size).  
12.    """  
13.    g = confidence * (1.0 - volatility_penalty)  
14.  
15.    if g < min_scale:  
16.        g = min_scale  
17.  
18.    if g > 1.0:  
19.        g = 1.0  
20.  
21.    return g  
22.
```

Final Position Size Computation

```
1. def compute_position_size(  
2.     equity: float,  
3.     max_loss_per_contract: float,  
4.     memberships: Dict[str, float],  
5.     weights: Dict[str, float],  
6.     realized_vol: float,  
7.     low_vol: float,  
8.     high_vol: float,  
9.     risk_fraction: float = 0.02  
10. ) -> int:  
11.     """  
12.     Full sizing pipeline.  
13.     Hard constraints MUST be validated before calling this function.  
14.     """  
15.  
16.     # Step 1: Hard ceiling  
17.     q0 = compute_base_quantity(  
18.         equity=equity,  
19.         max_loss_per_contract=max_loss_per_contract,  
20.         risk_fraction=risk_fraction  
21.     )  
22.  
23.     if q0 == 0:  
24.         return 0  
25.  
26.     # Step 2: Fuzzy confidence  
27.     Ft = compute_fuzzy_confidence(  
28.         memberships=memberships,  
29.         weights=weights  
30.     )  
31.  
32.     # Step 3: Volatility penalty  
33.     sigma_star = normalize_volatility(  
34.         realized_vol=realized_vol,  
35.         low_vol=low_vol,  
36.         high_vol=high_vol  
37.     )  
38.  
39.     # Step 4: Scaling factor  
40.     g = compute_scaling_factor(  
41.         confidence=Ft,  
42.         volatility_penalty=sigma_star  
43.     )  
44.  
45.     # Step 5: Final size  
46.     q = int(q0 * g)  
47.  
48.     return max(q, 0)  
49.
```

Example Usage

```
1. memberships = {
2.     "iv_favorability": 0.85,
3.     "regime_stability": 0.90,
4.     "mtf_alignment": 0.80,
5.     "delta_balance": 0.75,
6.     "liquidity_quality": 0.95,
7. }
8.
9. weights = {
10.    "iv_favorability": 0.25,
11.    "regime_stability": 0.20,
12.    "mtf_alignment": 0.20,
13.    "delta_balance": 0.15,
14.    "liquidity_quality": 0.20,
15. }
16.
17. position_size = compute_position_size(
18.    equity=250_000.0,
19.    max_loss_per_contract=1_200.0,
20.    memberships=memberships,
21.    weights=weights,
22.    realized_vol=18.0,
23.    low_vol=12.0,
24.    high_vol=30.0
25. )
26.
```

Engineering Notes

- All components are deterministic and side-effect free
- Hard clamps prevent numerical leakage
- Scaling logic is monotonic and interpretable
- Function boundaries align with unit-test isolation
- Can be extended with nonlinear g-functions or regime-specific weight vectors