

**Example Solutions for Classroom Assignment 12 (C12)**

---

**Problem 1 (Optic Flow Constraint in 1-D and 3-D)**

- (a) For a 1-D signal  $f(x, t)$ , the grey value constancy assumption can be formulated as

$$f(x + u, t + 1) - f(x, t) = 0.$$

If  $u$  is small and  $f$  varies smoothly, we can perform a linearisation by means of first order Taylor expansion:

$$f(x + u, t + 1) \approx f(x, t) + f_x(x, t)u + f_t(x, t).$$

Thus, we obtain the following optic flow constraint:

$$f_x(x, t)u + f_t(x, t) = 0.$$

Since one equation is sufficient to determine one unknown uniquely, no aperture problem exists in the 1-D case. In fact, we can compute  $u$  via

$$u = -\frac{f_t}{f_x}.$$

However, there are cases where  $u$  cannot be computed: At locations, where  $f_x = 0$ .

- (b) In the case of a 3-D signal  $f(x, y, z, t)$ , the grey value constancy assumption is given by

$$f(x + u, y + v, z + w, t + 1) - f(x, y, z, t) = 0.$$

As in the 1-D case, we can perform a linearisation of this assumptions by means of first order Taylor expansion here. To this end, we assume again that  $u$  is small and  $f$  only varies smoothly. This give us

$$\begin{aligned} f(x + u, y + v, z + w, t + 1) \approx f(x, y, z, t) &+ f_x(x, y, z, t)u \\ &+ f_y(x, y, z, t)v \\ &+ f_z(x, y, z, t)w \\ &+ f_t(x, y, z, t). \end{aligned}$$

The resulting optic flow constraint then reads

$$f_x(x, y, z, t)u + f_y(x, y, z, t)v + f_z(x, y, z, t)w + f_t(x, y, z, t) = 0.$$

This time the aperture problem is present: We have three unknowns but only one equation. Taking a closer look at the previous constraint, one can see that it is actually (save for the missing normalisation) the Hesse normal form of a plane that contains all possible solutions at a point  $(x, y, z, t)^\top$ . This plane has unit normal vector  $\frac{(f_x, f_y, f_z)^\top}{\|(f_x, f_y, f_z)^\top\|}$  and distance  $\frac{f_t(x, y, z, t)}{\|(f_x, f_y, f_z)^\top\|}$  from the origin.

Let us now embed this optic flow constraints in a Bigün-like approach. Then, we obtain

$$E(\mathbf{a}) = \int_{B_\rho(x_0, y_0, z_0, t_0)} \left( f_x a_1 + f_y a_2 + f_z a_3 + f_t a_4 \right)^2 dx dy dz dt,$$

where the last component of the minimising vector has to be normalised after the computation to 1. This yields the 3-D optic flow

$$u = \frac{a_1}{a_4}, \quad v = \frac{a_2}{a_4}, \quad w = \frac{a_3}{a_4}.$$

As in the original method of Bigün *et al.* the approach can be formulated as a quadratic form. In the 3-D case this form is given by

$$E(\mathbf{a}) = \mathbf{a}^\top \hat{\mathbf{J}}_\rho \mathbf{a},$$

with the 3-D spatiotemporal structure tensor

$$\hat{\mathbf{J}}_\rho = \begin{pmatrix} K_\rho * (f_x^2) & K_\rho * (f_x f_y) & K_\rho * (f_x f_z) & K_\rho * (f_x f_t) \\ K_\rho * (f_x f_y) & K_\rho * (f_y^2) & K_\rho * (f_y f_z) & K_\rho * (f_y f_t) \\ K_\rho * (f_x f_z) & K_\rho * (f_y f_z) & K_\rho * (f_z^2) & K_\rho * (f_z f_t) \\ K_\rho * (f_x f_t) & K_\rho * (f_y f_t) & K_\rho * (f_z f_t) & K_\rho * (f_t^2) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let  $\mu_1 \geq \mu_2 \geq \mu_3 \geq \mu_4 \geq 0$  be the eigenvalues of  $\hat{\mathbf{J}}_\rho$ . Then, we have five different cases that are processed in the following order

- **No eigenvalue is large** ( $\text{tr} \hat{\mathbf{J}}_\rho = \hat{j}_{11} + \hat{j}_{22} + \hat{j}_{33} + \hat{j}_{44} \leq \tau_1$ ): There is a *homogeneous spatiotemporal volume* and thus not sufficient local information to compute the optic flow.
- **All eigenvalues are large** ( $\mu_4 \geq \tau_2$ ): Either the grey value constancy assumption or the assumption of a constant flow is violated.
- **One eigenvalue is large** ( $\mu_2 \leq \tau_3$ ): There is an *edge*, the solution lies on a plane.

- **Two eigenvalues are large** ( $\mu_3 \leq \tau_4$ ): There is a *2-D corner*, the solution lies on a line.
  - **Three eigenvalues are large** (the remaining case): There is a *3-D corner*. Then, we have to compute the eigenvector to the smallest eigenvalue of  $\hat{\mathbf{J}}_\rho$  and normalise it so that its last component becomes 1.
-