

## Credit Risk Analysis Report

### Overview of the Analysis

The goal of this analysis was to evaluate how well a machine learning model can predict credit risk. Specifically, the model classifies clients as either low-risk (non-default) or high-risk (default). The idea is to see if the model can help the company make smarter decisions when it comes to managing credit risk and identifying potential defaults.

### Results

Here's how the model performed:

- **Overall Accuracy:** 99%
  - The model correctly predicted the outcomes for most clients.
- **Class 0 (No Default):**
  - **Precision:** 1.00
  - **Recall:** 0.99
  - **F1-Score:** 1.00
- **Class 1 (Default):**
  - **Precision:** 0.84
  - **Recall:** 0.94
  - **F1-Score:** 0.89
- **Macro Average** (treating both classes equally):
  - **Precision:** 0.92
  - **Recall:** 0.97
  - **F1-Score:** 0.94
- **Weighted Average** (accounting for class imbalance):
  - **Precision:** 0.99
  - **Recall:** 0.99
  - **F1-Score:** 0.99

## **Summary**

### **Model Performance**

Overall, the model performed really well, with an accuracy of 99%. It's especially good at identifying low-risk clients (Class 0), where it achieved perfect precision and near-perfect recall. For high-risk clients (Class 1), it also performed well, with a recall of 94%. This means the model is great at catching most default cases. However, its precision for Class 1 (84%) is slightly lower, which means it does incorrectly flag some non-default clients as high-risk. That said, the model still has a good balance.

### **Recommendation**

We believe this model is a good fit for the company's credit risk analysis. Its ability to identify default cases (Class 1) makes it a valuable tool for managing risk proactively. While there's room to improve precision for Class 1 to reduce false positives, the overall performance is strong enough to recommend its use. Refinements like tweaking the classification threshold or using cost-sensitive learning could further enhance the results.