



Lab 6: Graphics Processing Unit

CME433-01

Addi Amaya

Caa746

11255790

Dec 6th, 2021

Contents

Single-Line Cache	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Microprocessor Layout	Error! Bookmark not defined.
My Program Sequencer	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Questions	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Question 1	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Question 2	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Question 3	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Question 4	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Cache Memory Benefits	Error! Bookmark not defined.
My Program Sequencer	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Questions	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Question 1	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Question 2	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Question 3	Error! Bookmark not defined.

Step 1: matrixMul.c

This will outline the proof that confirms step one is working as intended

Expected Output

The below photo shows in the input to the matrix calculator

Matrix A				
	A ₁	A ₂	A ₃	A ₄
1	1383	886	777	915
2	1793	335	1386	492
3	649	1421	362	27
4	690	59	1763	1926

Matrix B				
	B ₁	B ₂	B ₃	B ₄
1	540	1426	1172	1736
2	1211	1368	567	429
3	1782	1530	862	1123
4	67	1135	1929	1802

The below image shows the output to the matrix multiplication between matrix A and matrix B.

Result:				
	C ₁	C ₂	C ₃	C ₄
1	3265685	5411541	4558047	5302383
2	3876721	5694098	4435141	5699425
3	2718184	3453907	1930462	2191453
4	3714757	5948052	6077093	6673652

Actual Output

After finishing matrixMul.c and compiling the code. The below picture shows the outcome with the same inputs.

```

[caa746@engr-elec70-09L files]$ ./matrixMul
matrixA =
1383      886      777      915
1793      335     1386      492
649       1421     362       27
690        59     1763     1926

matrixB =
540       1426     1172     1736
1211      1368     567       429
1782      1530     862       1123
67        1135     1929     1802

matrixC=
3265685 5411541 4558047 5302383
3876721 5694098 4435141 5699425
2718184 3453907 1930462 2191453
3714757 5948052 6077093 6673652

Dimension of matrixA: 4 x 4
Dimension of matrixB: 4 x 4
Multiplication of matrixA and matrixB need 1.000000 ms

```

Table of Matrixes

Matrix Size	Time
3x3	1ms
10x10	5ms
123x123	8077ms
210x210	40031ms
421x421	323071ms
512x512	579135ms

Conclusion

The matrixMul.c does work and its quite slow when it hits 512x512

Step 2: matrixMul_host.c and matrixMul_kernel.cl

The below photo shows the outcome of the adjusted files

```
[caa746@engr-elec70-09L files]$ ./matrixmul_host
Initializing OpenCL device...
Device: Intel(R) UHD Graphics 630 [0x9bc5]
Running matrix multiplication for matrices A (512x512) and B (512x512) ...
Matrix multiplication completed...

Execution time in milliseconds = 7.483 ms
[caa746@engr-elec70-09L files]$
```

Conclusion

The parallel processing is a magnitude faster than the single thread processing. Using the GPU implementation shows the value of using processing on the GPU and the speed that it can save you. OpenCL implementation on the GPU offers faster processing than the just a single core CPU.