

1 Hw. 3 Chris Badolato Ryan Devoung
Caleb Feliciano

Prove or disprove if the quotient (as defined by the division Algorithm) when dividing a_1 by b_1 is q_1 , and the quotient when dividing a_2 by b_2 is q_2 , then the quotient when dividing $a_1 a_2$ by $b_1 b_2$ is $q_1 q_2$.

$$\frac{a_1}{b_1} = q_1 + r \quad 3 = 2(1) + 1$$

$$\frac{a_2}{b_2} = q_2 + r$$

$$(a_1)(a_2) = (b_1 b_2)(q_1 q_2) + r$$

$$\frac{(a_1)(a_2)}{(b_1)(b_2)} = \frac{(q_1)(q_2) + r}{b_1 b_2}$$

$$a_1 = 15 \quad b_1 = 5 \quad q_1 = 3 \quad r = 0$$

$$a_2 = 20 \quad b_2 = 5 \quad q_2 = 4 \quad r = 0$$

$$\frac{(15)(20)}{(5)(5)} = \frac{(3)(4) + 0}{25} \quad \frac{300}{25} = 12 \quad \checkmark$$

If our remainder is 0, all values of $(a_1 a_2)$ divided by $(b_1 b_2)$ will be equal the values of $(q_1 q_2)$.

But if our values are so we Disprove

$$a_1 = 12 \quad b_1 = 9 \quad q_1 = 1 \quad r_1 = 3$$

$$a_2 = 3 \quad b_2 = 1 \quad q_2 = 2 \quad r_2 = 1$$

$$12 = 9(1) + 3$$

$$3 = 1(2) + 1$$

$$\frac{3}{1} = 2 + \frac{1}{1} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{12}{9} = 1 + \frac{3}{9} \quad \checkmark$$

$$\frac{(12)(3)}{(9)(1)} = \frac{(1)(2) + (3)(1)}{9} \quad \frac{36}{9} = \frac{18}{9} + \frac{3}{9}$$

$$\text{So } \frac{36}{9} \neq \frac{21}{9} \text{ therefore } \frac{(a_1)(a_2)}{(b_1)(b_2)} \neq (q_1 q_2)$$

5 Hw 3 Chris Badolato Ryan Deyoung
2 Caleb Feliciano

a) $12345 \rightarrow \text{base } 8$

$(12345)_{10}$

$$12345 = 8 \cdot 1543 + 1$$

$$1543 = 8 \cdot 192 + 7$$

$$192 = 8 \cdot 24 + 0$$

$$24 = 8 \cdot 3 + 0$$

$$3 = 8 \cdot 0 + 3$$

$(30071)_8$

$$10 = A$$

$$11 = B$$

B) $(54321)_{10} \rightarrow \text{base } 16$

$$12 = C$$

$$54321 = 16 \cdot 3395 + 1$$

$$13 = D$$

$$3395 = 16 \cdot 212 + 3$$

$$14 = E$$

$$212 = 16 \cdot 13 + 4$$

$$15 = F$$

$$13 = 16 \cdot 0 + 13$$

$$16 = G$$

$(D431)_{16}$

C) $(9999)_{10} \rightarrow \text{base } 7$

$$9999 = 7 \cdot 1428 + 3$$

E) $(1024)_{10}$

$$1428 = 7 \cdot 204 + 0$$

$$\rightarrow \text{base } 2$$

$$204 = 7 \cdot 29 + 1$$

$$1024 = 2 \cdot 512 + 0$$

$$29 = 7 \cdot 4 + 1$$

$$512 = 2 \cdot 256 + 0$$

$$4 = 7 \cdot 0 + 4$$

$$256 = 2 \cdot 128 + 0$$

$(41103)_7$

$$128 = 2 \cdot 64 + 0$$

$$64 = 2 \cdot 32 + 0$$

D) $(7364)_{10} \rightarrow \text{base } 5$

$$32 = 2 \cdot 16 + 0$$

$$7364 = 5 \cdot 1472 + 4$$

$$16 = 2 \cdot 8 + 0$$

$$1472 = 5 \cdot 294 + 2$$

$$8 = 2 \cdot 4 + 0$$

$$294 = 5 \cdot 58 + 4$$

$$4 = 2 \cdot 2 + 0$$

$$58 = 5 \cdot 11 + 3$$

$$2 = 2 \cdot 1 + 0$$

$$11 = 5 \cdot 2 + 1$$

$$1 = 2 \cdot 0 + 1$$

$(213424)_5$

$$2 = 5 \cdot 0 + 2$$

$$(100000000000)_2$$



Hw 3 Chris Badolatti Ryan Devoung
Caleb Feliciano

(3)

$$a = bq_0 + r_0$$

$$F_{n+1} = F_n + F_{n-1}$$

$$b = r_0 \cdot q_1 + r_1$$

$$1, 1, 2, 3, 5, 8, 13, 21$$

$$r_0 = r_1 \cdot q_2 + r_2$$

The greatest common divisor of two consecutive Fibonacci's number is always one because each are mutually prime.

$\gcd(f_2, f_1) = 1$, for $n \geq 2$, we assume $(f_n, f_{n-1}) = 1$.

$$f_{n+1} = f_n + f_{n-1}$$

$$a = 3 \quad b = 2 \quad r_0 = 1$$

$$3 = (1)(2) + 1$$

$$2 = (2)(1) + 0$$

$$f_2 + f_{n-1} = f_n$$

Each f_{n-1} will only divide into each f_n one time with some remainder.

$$\frac{a}{q_0} = b + r_0$$

$$\frac{a - b}{q_0} = r_0.$$



Hw 3 Chris Badolato Ryan Deyoung Caleb Feliciano

A) $\gcd(123, 67)$

$$123 = 1 \times 67 + 53$$
$$67 = 1 \times 53 + 14$$
$$53 = 3 \times 14 + 11$$
$$14 = 1 \times 11 + 3$$
$$11 = 3 \times 3 + 2$$
$$3 = 1 \times 2 + 1$$
$$2 = 2 \times 1 + 0$$

1 is our $\gcd(123, 67)$

B) $\gcd(871, 546)$

$$871 = 1 \times 546 + 325$$
$$546 = 1 \times 325 + 221$$
$$325 = 1 \times 221 + 104$$
$$221 = 2 \times 104 + 13$$
$$104 = 8 \times 13 + 0$$

$\boxed{\gcd(123, 67) = 13}$

C) $\gcd(609, 377)$

$$609 = 1 \times 377 + 232$$
$$377 = 1 \times 232 + 145$$
$$232 = 1 \times 145 + 87$$
$$145 = 1 \times 87 + 58$$
$$87 = 1 \times 58 + 29$$
$$58 = 2 \times 29 + 0$$

$\boxed{\gcd(609, 377) = 29}$

D) $\boxed{\gcd(399, 138) = 3}$

$$399 = 2 \times 138 + 123$$
$$138 = 1 \times 123 + 15$$
$$123 = 8 \times 15 + 3$$
$$15 = 5 \times 3 + 0$$



Hw 3 Chris Badolato Ryan Devouc
Caleb Feliciano
prove for positive ints a, b, c , and n

if $an \equiv b \pmod{c}$, then $\frac{an}{\gcd(a,c)} \equiv \frac{b}{\gcd(a,c)} \pmod{\frac{c}{\gcd(a,c)}}$

$$n = 10 \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$$

$$b = 1$$

$$c = 3$$

$$\frac{an}{\gcd(a,c)} = \frac{10}{1} \quad a = 5 \quad \frac{b}{\gcd(a,c)} \pmod{\frac{c}{\gcd(a,c)}} = \frac{1}{1} \pmod{3}$$
$$n = 2 \quad \frac{10}{\gcd(5,3)} = \frac{1}{1}$$

Therefore

$$10 \equiv 1 \pmod{3} \quad \frac{1}{1} \pmod{3} = 10 \quad \checkmark$$

Determine $73^{-1} \pmod{129}$ via Euclid's Algorithm.

$$129 \text{ mod } 73 = 1$$

$$73 \text{ mod } 129 = 1$$

6

Hw 3 Chris Badal

Ryan Deyoung
Caleb Feliciano

$$73^{-1} \bmod 129$$

$$129 = 73 \times 1 + 56 \quad (1)$$

$$73 = 56 \times 1 + 17 \quad (2)$$

$$56 = 17 \times 3 + 3 \quad (3)$$

$$17 = 3 \times 5 + 2 \quad (4)$$

$$3 = 2 \times 1 + 1 \quad (5)$$

$$1 = 3 + 2(-1) \quad (6)$$

$$2 = 17 + 3(-5) \quad (7)$$

$$3 = 56 + 17(-3) \quad (8)$$

$$17 = 73 + 56(-1) \quad (9)$$

$$56 = 129 + 73(-1) \quad (10)$$

$$3 + (17 + 3(-5))(-1) = 1$$

$$3 + (17)(-1) + 3(-5) = 1$$

$$17(-1) + 3(6) = 1$$

$$(-1)(73 + 56(-1)) + (6)(56 + 17(-3)) = 1$$

$$(-1)73 + 56(1) + 6(56) + 17(-18) = 1$$

$$(-1)73 + 56(7) + 17(-18) = 1$$

$$(-1)73 + (7)(129 + 73(-1)) + (-18)(73 + 56(-1)) = 1$$

$$(-1)73 + 7(129) + (-7)(73) + (-18)(73) + 18(56) = 1$$

$$(-26)73 + 7(129) + 18(56) = 1$$

$$(-26)73 + 7(129) + 18(129 + 73(-1)) = 1$$

$$(-26)73 + 25(129) + (-18)73 = 1$$

$$(-44)73 + 25(129) = 1$$

$$129 - 44 = 85$$

$$73(85) + 0 = 1 \bmod 129$$

$$85 = \frac{1}{73} \bmod 129$$

Hw 3 Chris Badolato

7 a and n are relative prime numbers thus $\gcd(a, n) = 1$

Prove that each S value is a unique mod n

$$S = \{a_i | i \in \mathbb{Z}, 0 \leq i \leq n$$

if $x|(yz)$, and $\gcd(x, y) = 1$ then $x|z$.

if two values are equivalent mod n then their difference is divisible by n.

$$S \equiv 1 \pmod{n} \equiv \frac{a_{i_1} - a_{i_2}}{n} \quad a_{i_1} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$$

for each

$a_{i_1} \equiv a_{i_2} \pmod{n}$ for $0 \leq (a_{i_1})(a_{i_2}) \leq n$

$$a_{(i_1 - i_2)} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$$

using mod definition we can rewrite this as

$$n | a_{(i_1 - i_2)} \quad \text{we know } \gcd(a, n) = 1$$

we can show that $n | (i_1 - i_2)$

therefore $i_2 - i_1 = 0$ and $i_2 = i_1$

But this contradicts that our i values cannot be the same.

Finally this proves that

S cannot be a unique value of mod n.

Aw 3 Chris Badolato Ryan Deyoung
Caleb Feliciano

- 8 Let a be an int such that $a \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$
Prove $a^3 \equiv 1 \pmod{9}$

$$a = 3c + 1$$

$$(3c+1)^3 \equiv 1 \pmod{9}$$

$$a^3 = (3c)^3 + 3(3c)^2 + 3(3c) + 1$$

All terms are multiples of 9 except for 1 so it's left outside

therefore $a^3 = 9n + 1$
 $a^3 \equiv 1 \pmod{9}$

9

Using results for a in problem 8
also if $x \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$, $x \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ and
 $\gcd(m, n) = 1$, then $x \equiv 1 \pmod{mn}$

$$6666666667^3 \equiv 1 \pmod{18}$$

odd number cubed is odd.

so $66666666666 + 1$ is a multiple of 3 + 1

so x being 6666666667 means
that

$x \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ 1 being the remainder
as proved in the previous
problem, $x^3 \equiv 1 \pmod{9}$.

So $n = 2$ $m = 1$

$$18 = 2 \times 9 \quad \text{our } \gcd(n, m) = 1$$
$$x^3 \equiv 1 \pmod{18}$$



Hw3 Chris Badolato

10 Srinivasa Ramanujan from Indian was a mathematician who contributed to analytical theory of numbers. He also work on elliptic functions, continued fractions infinite series, and prime numbers which are all important to the mathematics of computer science. Srinivasa Searched for patterns in his mathematics, showing relationships between different numbers. Way ahead of his time, often not having a proof feeling intuitively that he was correct and often was. His most outstanding contribution was his formula for $p(n)$ or the number of "partitions" of " n ". He was a natural genius.