

1 Ranges (My preferred version)

Table 1: Likely Schechter parameters ranges for SMF given by 16th and 84th percentile.

z	ϕ_* [cMpc $^{-1}$ dex $^{-1}$]	$\log M_*$ [M_\odot]	α
0	$[0.3-1.0] \cdot 10^{-3}$	[11.1-11.3]	$-[1.5-1.2]$
1	$[0.4-1.1] \cdot 10^{-3}$	[10.9-11.2]	$-[1.5-1.2]$
2	$[3.0-8.0] \cdot 10^{-4}$	[10.9-11.1]	$-[1.5-1.2]$
3	$[1.7-3.5] \cdot 10^{-4}$	[10.9-11.1]	$-[1.5-1.3]$
4	$[0.5-1.6] \cdot 10^{-4}$	[10.7-10.9]	$-[1.8-1.5]$
5	$[1.8-3.9] \cdot 10^{-5}$	[10.9-12.0]	$-[1.9-1.4]$
6	$[0.5-1.3] \cdot 10^{-5}$	[10.8-11.0]	$-[2.1-1.8]$
7	$[2.4-7.0] \cdot 10^{-6}$	[10.9-11.5]	$-[2.1-1.7]$
8	$[0.4-1.6] \cdot 10^{-6}$	[10.8-11.6]	$-[2.2-1.7]$
9	$[1.0-4.1] \cdot 10^{-7}$	[10.9-11.5]	$-[2.1-1.8]$
10	$[1.5-7.4] \cdot 10^{-8}$	[10.9-11.5]	$-[2.1-1.8]$

Table 2: Likely Schechter parameters ranges for UVLF given by 16th and 84th percentile. Ranges for ϕ_* and M_*^{UV} larger, since little data is available for the faint-end UVLF, resulting in bimodal parameter distribution.

z	ϕ_* [cMpc $^{-1}$ dex $^{-1}$]	M_*^{UV} (mag)	α
0	$[0.2-1.2] \cdot 10^{-4}$	$-[22.5-21.5]$	$-[1.7-1.4]$
1	$[0.5-9.4] \cdot 10^{-4}$	$-[40.9-20.8]$	$-[1.5-1.2]$
2	$[0.5-2.0] \cdot 10^{-3}$	$-[21.6-20.5]$	$-[1.8-1.5]$
3	$[0.4-1.2] \cdot 10^{-3}$	$-[21.5-20.9]$	$-[1.8-1.5]$
4	$[3.6-7.5] \cdot 10^{-4}$	$-[21.7-21.2]$	$-[1.9-1.6]$
5	$[1.5-2.4] \cdot 10^{-4}$	$-[21.8-21.5]$	$-[2.0-1.8]$
6	$[1.1-1.7] \cdot 10^{-4}$	$-[21.6-21.3]$	$-[2.1-1.9]$
7	$[0.7-1.0] \cdot 10^{-4}$	$-[21.6-21.2]$	$-[2.1-1.9]$
8	$[3.9-7.5] \cdot 10^{-5}$	$-[21.9-21.3]$	$-[2.1-1.9]$
9	$[0.9-2.4] \cdot 10^{-5}$	$-[22.2-21.2]$	$-[2.1-1.8]$
10	$[2.2-6.0] \cdot 10^{-6}$	$-[22.0-21.3]$	$-[2.1-1.9]$

2 With Medians (Misleading representation in my opinion)

Table 3: Schechter parameters for SMF (median of distribution). Errors are 16th and 84th percentile.

z	ϕ_* [cMpc $^{-1}$ dex $^{-1}$]	$\log M_*$ [M_\odot]	α
0	$(5.5^{+3.3}_{-3.0}) \cdot 10^{-4}$	$11.4^{+0.3}_{-0.1}$	$-1.4^{+0.2}_{-0.1}$
1	$(5.9^{+2.3}_{-2.5}) \cdot 10^{-4}$	$11.1^{+0.1}_{-0.1}$	$-1.3^{+0.1}_{-0.2}$
2	$(4.2^{+2.7}_{-1.6}) \cdot 10^{-4}$	$11.0^{+0.2}_{-0.1}$	$-1.4^{+0.2}_{-0.1}$
3	$(2.1^{+1.8}_{-0.6}) \cdot 10^{-4}$	$11.0^{+0.1}_{-0.1}$	$-1.5^{+0.1}_{-0.1}$
4	$(9.0^{+6.4}_{-3.8}) \cdot 10^{-5}$	$10.9^{+0.1}_{-0.1}$	$-1.6^{+0.2}_{-0.2}$
5	$(2.5^{+1.0}_{-0.7}) \cdot 10^{-5}$	$11.0^{+0.1}_{-0.1}$	$-1.7^{+0.1}_{-0.2}$
6	$(8.5^{+5.6}_{-3.3}) \cdot 10^{-6}$	$10.9^{+0.1}_{-0.1}$	$-1.9^{+0.1}_{-0.1}$
7	$(4.1^{+2.4}_{-2.0}) \cdot 10^{-6}$	$11.0^{+0.3}_{-0.2}$	$-1.9^{+0.2}_{-0.2}$
8	$(1.1^{+0.8}_{-0.6}) \cdot 10^{-6}$	$11.2^{+0.4}_{-0.3}$	$-1.9^{+0.2}_{-0.3}$
9	$(2.6^{+1.6}_{-1.3}) \cdot 10^{-7}$	$11.3^{+0.3}_{-0.4}$	$-1.9^{+0.1}_{-0.2}$
10	$(3.6^{+2.7}_{-1.8}) \cdot 10^{-8}$	$11.3^{+0.1}_{-0.3}$	$-2.0^{+0.1}_{-0.2}$

Table 4: Schechter parameters for UVLF (median of distribution). Errors are 16th and 84th percentile. value at for M at z=0 so high because distribution at that value is very weird: bimodal with one center at approx -22 and one at approx -39. Hopefully that gets resolved with Pratikas additional data, otherwise choose the more sensible mode manually

z	ϕ_* [cMpc $^{-1}$ dex $^{-1}$]	M_*^{UV} (mag)	α
0	$(3.9^{+5.8}_{-3.6}) \cdot 10^{-4}$	$-24.9^{+2.7}_{-15.4}$	$-1.3^{+0.1}_{-0.2}$
1	$(7.6^{+4.2}_{-5.1}) \cdot 10^{-4}$	$-22.4^{+1.0}_{-0.8}$	$-1.4^{+0.1}_{-0.2}$
2	$(7.9^{+4.2}_{-2.4}) \cdot 10^{-4}$	$-22.1^{+0.4}_{-0.4}$	$-1.6^{+0.1}_{-0.1}$
3	$(8.1^{+4.2}_{-2.9}) \cdot 10^{-4}$	$-21.7^{+0.3}_{-0.3}$	$-1.7^{+0.1}_{-0.1}$
4	$(4.8^{+2.7}_{-1.2}) \cdot 10^{-4}$	$-21.6^{+0.2}_{-0.3}$	$-1.7^{+0.1}_{-0.1}$
5	$(1.9^{+0.5}_{-0.3}) \cdot 10^{-4}$	$-21.7^{+0.2}_{-0.1}$	$-1.8^{+0.1}_{-0.1}$
6	$(1.3^{+0.4}_{-0.2}) \cdot 10^{-4}$	$-21.4^{+0.1}_{-0.1}$	$-2.0^{+0.1}_{-0.1}$
7	$(7.5^{+2.0}_{-1.3}) \cdot 10^{-5}$	$-21.4^{+0.1}_{-0.2}$	$-2.0^{+0.1}_{-0.1}$
8	$(5.1^{+1.9}_{-1.3}) \cdot 10^{-5}$	$-21.4^{+0.2}_{-0.2}$	$-2.0^{+0.1}_{-0.1}$
9	$(1.9^{+1.2}_{-0.8}) \cdot 10^{-5}$	$-21.3^{+0.2}_{-0.2}$	$-2.1^{+0.1}_{-0.1}$
10	$(5.7^{+4.2}_{-2.7}) \cdot 10^{-6}$	$-21.1^{+0.2}_{-0.1}$	$-2.2^{+0.1}_{-0.1}$