

Current Topics in Ecosystem Research

Seminar spring term 2020

Christina Bogner

Last updated: 10 April, 2020

Contents

1	Prerequisites	5
2	Introduction	7
2.1	Curriculum and grading	7
2.2	Intended learning outcomes	7
2.3	Class room values	7
3	Presentation	9
3.1	Presenting student	9
3.2	Peers	9
3.3	Feedback rules	10
4	Literature review	11
4.1	Literature search	11
4.2	General structure and length of your review	11
4.3	Software	12
4.4	Plagiarism	12
5	Helpful literature	13
5.1	How to write a literature review	13
5.2	How to improve your scientific English	13
5.3	How to prepare and give a good scientific presentation	13

Chapter 1

Prerequisites

The corona virus pandemic impacts our lives and changes the way we teach and learn. This course will start as an online course and depending on the situation will or will not turn into a traditional seminar. Please be patient if not all activities turn out to be successful and not all technical tools function as desired. We all will do our best to support you in your curriculum.

In this seminar we will use different tools for communication:

1. **ILIAS**: the e-learning platform of the university. You should have been registered automatically for the course.
2. **Campuswire**: the online chat and communication platform. You should have received an invitation to join.
3. **Zoom**: the video conference tool for live sessions. The link to the zoom meeting room and detailed instructions can be found on the ILIAS website.

In this companion, we will use blocks to highlight particular content

This is an info block.

This is an alert block.

This is an example block.

Chapter 2

Introduction

2.1 Curriculum and grading

This course is part of either *Fachinhaltliche Vertiefung I* or *Fachinhaltliche Vertiefung II*. Its main rationale is to give you the opportunity to delve into a exciting topic of your choice. You will

- present this topic to your peers (30% of your mark)
- write a critical literature review on it (70% of your mark)

2.2 Intended learning outcomes

- Apply structural reading (SQ4R) to scientific literature.
- Present professionally and discuss a topic in the scope of the seminar.
- Write a critical literature review on a topic in the scope of the seminar.
- Apply methods of scientific writing to your seminar paper
 - systematic literature search using data bases
 - selection of relevant literature
 - summary of research results avoiding plagiarism
 - critical evaluation
- Give peer feedback to your peers' work professionally in written form.

2.3 Class room values

- Be on time for live meetings and for submitting assignments.
- Be prepared for an active participation (do the assignments!)
- Respect others' opinion.
- Be open to changing your perspective.
- Be open to topics and methods that might be unfamiliar to you.

Be patient with the new digital format of the course and your lecturer!

Chapter 3

Presentation

3.1 Presenting student

We will establish more detailed criteria of a good scientific presentation during the seminar. Thus, the following requirements are general and a strict minimum:

Content

- Title slide (title, your name, title of the seminar)
- Motivation slide: Why is this topic relevant and why does it need a critical review
- Body of your presentation: Here, you give information on your topic
- Critical evaluation or discussion
- Take-home messages: Summarize the most important aspects
- References: you prepare, but do not show this slide

Cite the literature and all figures/tables/data you use correctly!

Time

You have **15 minutes** to present your topic. Afterwards, we will have a group discussion.

Feedback

You will receive feedback from your peers in form of one-minute papers through campuswire. Therefore, in **class feed**, post the title of your presentation. Your peers will respond to this post with their feedback.

3.2 Peers

Listen carefully and critically to the presenter and provide *anonymous* feedback through campuswire. Structure your feedback as follows:

1. The main points of the presentation: Summarize what you understood in 2–3 sentences. The presenter should see whether his/her take-home messages were received.
2. State which concrete issues you observed (see feedback rules below)
3. Suggest concrete ways to improve and further aspects to include in the review paper.

3.3 Feedback rules

3.3.1 How to give feedback

A peer feedback should always be provided as a *personal opinion* and communicated accordingly. A peer feedback, both written and oral is

- respectful
- me-centered
- addresses a concrete observation
- tells what it did to the feedback provider
- provides a doable suggestion

On slide 4, you explained that carbon sequestration in the soil depended on temperature. I am not familiar with this and did not understand the relationship to climate change. Could you please explain this in your paper in more detail. For your paper, you could also include the aspect of increasing CO₂ in the atmosphere and ocean acidification.

3.3.2 How to receive feedback

Remember that the feedback is a *personal opinion*. Therefore,

- don't take it personally
- don't apologize
- take it and say thank you
- you decide whether you want to implement this feedback

Chapter 4

Literature review

4.1 Literature search

Use the literature data base Web of Science or Google Scholar to find your literature. You will have a blended learning unit on how to use the data bases. For Web of Science, either create an account and link it to UoC or activate your VPN client when accessing from outside the university.

4.2 General structure and length of your review

Your review must be structured as follows:

- Title page: contains your name, the title of the seminar, the name of your lecturer and the submission date
- Abstract
- Key words
- Table of content
- Introduction
- Method
- Main part
- Discussion
- Conclusions
- References

Your review should be between 3000 and 6000 words in the main part (i.e. without abstract, table of contents, references etc.).

4.3 Software

You can select any free or commercial word processing software, e.g. Libre Office, Open Office, LaTeX or Word. However, be sure to correctly **connect your word processor to your bibliography manager**. Do not copy and paste references manually because this is very error prone and you risk to forget citations.

You are also free to select any reference management software. The free Zotero is recommended, but the UoC also provides licences for Citavi and Endnote to manage your bibliography.

In case you decide to use Zotero, there is a helpful video tutorial explaining how to use it and how to connect it to your word processing software.

We will use a citation style close to the one used by the journal Geomorphology. You can download the style for Zotero [here](#) and for Endnote [here](#). If you want to use Citavi, you have to find an appropriate style yourself.

4.4 Plagiarism

You will have a blended learning unit on plagiarism and how to avoid it. We will exercise paraphrasing during the seminar.

I will check your paper draft for plagiarism with turnitin and give you detailed feedback. The final paper will also be checked for plagiarism. Plagiarism will result in a worse grade and substantial plagiarism in failing the seminar.

Chapter 5

Helpful literature

To help you writing your literature review, you should consult the suggested reading material. It will cover different aspects of writing. (Beinke et al.) provides a very general guide to writing a seminar paper.

5.1 How to write a literature review

- (Pautasso): Ten Simple Rules for Writing a Literature Review

5.2 How to improve your scientific English

- Skern (1): Writing Scientific English. You can access this book via the UoC library. Be sure to activate your VPN client when accessing from outside the university.

5.3 How to prepare and give a good scientific presentation

The general structure of your presentation is given and fixed (see chapter 3). For more detailed information, you can find helpful web resources by Nature, for example

- Scientific presentations: A cheat sheet: <http://blogs.nature.com/naturejobs/2017/01/11/scientific-presentations-a-cheat-sheet/>
- 10 biggest pitfalls: <http://blogs.nature.com/naturejobs/2016/02/10/a-david-letterman-like-countdown-to-the-10-biggest-pitfalls-in-scientific-presentations/>

Bibliography

- [Beinke et al.] Beinke, C., Brinkschulte, M., Bunn, L., and Thürmer, S. *Die Seminararbeit. Schreiben für den Leser*. UVK, Konstanz, 3. auflage edition.
- [Pautasso] Pautasso, M. Ten simple rules for writing a literature review. (7):e1003149.
- [1] Skern, T. (2011). *Writing Scientific English*. FACULTAS.