

Homework 4a

yi Chen

9/24/2018

homework 4a

yi chen (uni: yc3356)

yc3356@columbia.edu (mailto:yc3356@columbia.edu)

1. problem 4.5 of BDA

A) use the simulated data

```
N <- 100000000
x <- rnorm(N,mean=4,sd=1)
y <- rnorm(N,mean=3,sd=2)
results <- x/y
cat('mean is:',mean(results),'\n')
```

```
## mean is: 3.078484
```

```
cat('standard deviation is:',sd(results),'\n')
```

```
## standard deviation is: 25270.62
```

B) without simulation

for any $f(x,y)$, the bivariate second order Taylor expansion about $\theta = (\mu_x, \mu_y)$ is:

$$f(x, y) \approx f(\theta) + f'_x(\theta)(x - \mu_x) + f'_y(\theta)(y - \mu_y) + \frac{1}{2} \{f''_{xx}(\theta)(x - \mu_x)^2 + f''_{yy}(\theta)(y - \mu_y)^2 + 2f''_{xy}(\theta)(x - \mu_x)(y - \mu_y)\}$$

Therefore:

$$\begin{aligned} E(f(X, Y)) &\approx E(f(\theta)) + E(f'_x(\theta)(x - \mu_x)) + E(f'_y(\theta)(y - \mu_y)) + \frac{1}{2} E\{f''_{xx}(\theta)(x - \mu_x)^2 + f''_{yy}(\theta)(y - \mu_y)^2 + 2f''_{xy}(\theta)(x - \mu_x)(y - \mu_y)\} \\ &= E(f(\theta)) + f'_x(\theta)E((x - \mu_x)) + f'_y(\theta)E((y - \mu_y)) + \frac{1}{2} \{f''_{xx}(\theta)E((x - \mu_x)^2) + f''_{yy}(\theta)E((y - \mu_y)^2) + 2f''_{xy}(\theta)E((x - \mu_x)(y - \mu_y))\} \\ &= E(f(\theta)) + 0 + 0 = f(\mu_x, \mu_y) + \frac{1}{2} \{f''_{xx}(\theta)V(X) + f''_{yy}(\theta)V(Y) + 2f''_{xy}(\theta)\text{Cov}(X, Y)\} \end{aligned}$$

Here: $f(x, y) = y/x$. Thus:

$$f''_{yy} = 0, f''_{xy} = -y^{-2}, f''_{xx} = \frac{2x}{y^3}$$

Thus, we have:

$$E(f(x, y)) = E(y/x) \approx \frac{\mu_y}{\mu_x} - \frac{\text{Cov}(Y, X)}{\mu_x^2} + \frac{V(X)\mu_y}{\mu_x^3}$$

Plug in the data we get:

$$E(f(x, y)) \approx \frac{3}{4} - \frac{0}{4^2} + \frac{1 \times 3}{4^3} = \frac{45}{64} = 0.703125$$

Using the same idea, for the variance we take the first order Taylor expansion :

$$V(y/x) = E\{[f(X, Y) - E(f(X, Y))]^2\} \approx E\{[f'(\theta) + f'_x(\theta)(x - \mu_x) + f'_y(\theta)(y - \mu_y) - E(f(X, Y))]^2\}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= E\{[f'_x(\theta)(x - \mu_x) + f'_y(\theta)(y - \mu_y)]^2\} \\ &= E\{f_x'^2(\theta)(x - \mu_x)^2 + f_y'^2(\theta)(y - \mu_y)^2 + 2f'_x(\theta)(y - \mu_x)f'_y(\theta)(y - \mu_y)\} \\ &= f_x'^2(\theta)V(X) + 2f'_x(\theta)f'_y(\theta)\text{Cov}(X, Y) + f_y'^2(\theta)V(Y) \end{aligned}$$

Here again : $f(x, y) = y/x$. Thus:

$$\begin{aligned} f''_{yy} &= 0, f''_{xy} = -y^{-2}, f''_{xx} = \frac{2x}{y^3} \\ V(y/x) &\approx \frac{1}{\mu_x^2} - 2\frac{\mu_y}{\mu_x^3}\text{Cov}(X, Y) + \frac{\mu_y^2}{\mu_x^4}V(x) \end{aligned}$$

Plug in the data, we get:

$$V(y/x) \approx \frac{1}{4^2} - 2 \times \frac{3}{4^3} \times 0 + \frac{3^2}{4^4} \times 1 = \frac{25}{256} = 0.09765625$$

Thus, standard deviation is $\frac{5}{16} = 0.315$

C)

It should ensure there at least would have very little mass at 0.

2. problem 4.9 of BDA

For the maximum likelihood estimation: since the estimation need to be restricted to $[0, 1]$ which means all the MLE which is smaller than 0 would be estimated as 0 and all the MLE which is bigger than 1 would be estimated as 1. Besides these two points, all the other points are still follow the normal distribution with mean θ (sample mean is unbiased estimator) and variance $\frac{\sigma^2}{n}$ (according to the central limit theorem) Thus, the distribution of the MLE (here sample mean) is not a normal distribution. Thus:

$$\begin{aligned} P(\hat{\theta}_{MLE} = 0) &= \phi\left(\frac{0 - \theta}{\sqrt{\frac{\sigma^2}{n}}}\right) = \phi\left(\frac{-\sqrt{n}\theta}{\sigma}\right) \\ P(\hat{\theta}_{MLE} = 1) &= 1 - \phi\left(\frac{1 - \theta}{\sqrt{\frac{\sigma^2}{n}}}\right) = 1 - \phi\left(\frac{\sqrt{n}(1 - \theta)}{\sigma}\right) \end{aligned}$$

Here both n and the "true" value of θ are fixed. Thus, as the variance increasing, we have

$$\lim_{\sigma \rightarrow +\infty} P(\hat{\theta}_{MLE} = 0) = \lim_{\sigma \rightarrow +\infty} P(\hat{\theta}_{MLE} = 1) = \frac{1}{2}$$

That basically means, as the variance increasing, more and more probability has been shifted equally towards the point 1 and 0 and the probability of the value within 0 and 1 are decreasing. Thus, we can say:

$$\lim_{\sigma \rightarrow +\infty} MSE(\hat{\theta}_{MLE}) = \lim_{\sigma \rightarrow +\infty} E_{\hat{\theta}_{MLE}} (\hat{\theta}_{MLE} - \theta)^2 = \frac{1}{2}((1 - \theta)^2 + \theta^2)$$

For the bayesian estimation: the posterior distribution of σ is:

$$p(\theta|y) = \frac{p(\theta)p(y|\theta)}{\int p(\theta)p(y|\theta)d\theta} \propto p(y|\theta)$$

As we know, since the uniform prior is a weak informative prior, thus the posterior distribution is based on the likelihood. As the σ increase, the posterior would be almost a constant over $[0,1]$. Thus, the posterior mean would be $1/2$. Thus:

$$\lim_{\sigma \rightarrow +\infty} MSE(\hat{\theta}_B) = (\theta - 1/2)^2$$

Thus, we can get:

$$\lim_{\sigma \rightarrow +\infty} (MSE(\hat{\theta}_{MLE}) - MSE(\hat{\theta}_B)) = \frac{1}{2}((1 - \theta)^2 + \theta^2) - (\theta - 1/2)^2 = 1/2$$

Thus, we can say that the Bayesian posterior mean always has a smaller MSE than MLE.