# Dynamical Systems: Homework 3

## Chris Hayduk

## October 8, 2020

#### Problem 1.

Recall that  $\Sigma_b^+$  is a one-sided shift map. That is,

$$\Sigma_b^+ = \{x = (x_k)_{k=0}^\infty : x_k \in \{0, \dots b-1\}\}$$

The shift map  $\sigma: \Sigma_b^+ \to \Sigma_b^+$  is defined as follows:

$$\sigma((x_k)_{k=0}^{\infty}) = (x_{k+1})_{k=0}^{\infty}$$

That is, we are essentially cutting off the first element of the sequence.

Lastly, we have that,

$$d(x,y) = d_{\theta}(x,y) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x = y \\ \theta^{\min\{k: x_k \neq y_k\}} & \text{if } x \neq y \end{cases}$$

where  $0 < \theta < 1$ .

We will start now by proving that  $\sigma$  has sensitive dependence.

Now fix  $x = (x_0 x_1 x_2 \cdots) \in \Sigma_b^+$  and let  $\delta > 0$ . Fix  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that it is the smallest natural number with  $\theta^k < \delta$ . Now define y such that  $y_i = x_i$  for  $0 \le i \le k-1$  and such that, for every index i > k, we have  $y_i \in \{0, \dots, b-1\}$  and  $y_i \ne x_i$ . Clearly  $y \in \Sigma_b^+$  and we have,

$$y = (x_0 x_1 x_2 \cdots x_{k-1} y_k y_{k+1} \cdots)$$
  
$$x = (x_0 x_1 x_2 \cdots x_{k-1} x_k x_{k+1} \cdots)$$

This yields,

$$d(x,y) = \theta^k < \delta$$

Now apply the shift map k times:

$$\sigma^{k}(x) = (x_{k}x_{k+1}x_{k+2}\cdots) = (\sigma^{k}(x)_{0}\sigma^{k}(x)_{1}\cdots)$$
  
$$\sigma^{k}(y) = (x_{k}y_{k+1}y_{k+2}\cdots) = (\sigma^{k}(y)_{0}\sigma^{k}(y)_{1}\cdots)$$

Then we have,

$$d(\sigma^k(x), \sigma^k(y)) = \theta^1$$

If we fix  $\epsilon = \theta^2$ , then we have,

$$d(\sigma^k(x), \sigma^k(y)) = \theta^1 > \epsilon$$

Since x and  $\delta$  were arbitrary, this holds for every  $x \in \Sigma_b^+$  and every  $\delta > 0$ . Hence,  $\sigma$  has sensitive dependence.

Now we will prove that  $\operatorname{Per}(\sigma)$  is dense in  $\Sigma_b^+$ .

For a point  $x \in \Sigma_b^+$  to be periodic, it must be the repetition for some block of symbols in  $\{1, \dots, b-1\}$ . That is, there is some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $x_{[0,n-1]} = x_{[n,2n-1]} = x_{[2n,3n-1]} = \cdots$ .

Now fix  $y \in \Sigma_b^+$  and fix  $\epsilon > 0$ . Then consider the set,

$$B_{\epsilon}(y) = \{x \in \Sigma_b^+ : d(x,y)\} < \epsilon$$

So for every  $x \neq y \in B_{\epsilon}(y)$ , we have  $d(x,y) = \theta^{\min\{k: x_k \neq y_k\}} < \epsilon$ 

Now let  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  be the smallest natural number such that  $\theta^k < \epsilon$ . Then define the block  $y_{[0,k-1]}$  using elements of y. Next, define  $x_k = y_k + 1 \mod b$ . Let  $x_y = (y_{[0,k-1]}x_ky_{[0,k-1]}x_ky_{[0,k-1]}x_k \cdots) = (y_0y_1\cdots y_{k-1}x_ky_0y_1\cdots y_{k-1}x_k\cdots)$ . Clearly we have,

$$d(x_y, y) = \theta^k < \epsilon$$

Moreover, we have that  $x_{[0,k]} = x_{[k+1,2k+1]} = x_{[2k+2,3k+2]} = \cdots$ . So we have that,

$$\sigma^{k+1}(x) = x$$

Hence, x is a periodic point with period k + 1.

Since  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $y \in \Sigma_b^+$  were arbitrary, this holds for any  $y \in \Sigma_b^+$  with any choice of  $\epsilon > 0$ . Hence, we have  $\operatorname{Per}(\sigma)$  is dense in  $\Sigma_b^+$ .

Lastly we need to show that  $\sigma$  is topologically transitive. That is,  $\exists x \in \Sigma_b^+$  such that the orbit  $O(x) = \{\sigma^n(x) : n \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$  of x is dense in  $\Sigma_b^+$ .

Define x to be the sequence of all valid n blocks in  $\Sigma_b^+$ . That is, for every valid block of symbols in  $\Sigma_b^+$ , such as  $z_1z_2z_3\cdots z_n$ , this block is contained somewhere in x. The number of valid blocks is countable because for each block size n, there are a finite number of combinations. Hence, x is essentially a countable union of finite sets and thus is countable. As a result, x is a valid element of  $\Sigma_b^+$ .

Now fix  $y \in \Sigma_b^+$ . Let  $\epsilon > 0$  and define  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that it is the smallest natural number such that  $\theta^k < \epsilon$ .

Now consider the first k elements of y. That is,

$$y_{[0,k-1]} = y_0 y_1 \cdots y_{k-1}$$

We have that  $y_{[0,k-1]}$  is a k-block in  $\Sigma_b^+$  and that x contains every possible block at some point in the sequence. So we know we can apply  $\sigma$  some number m times which yields,

$$\sigma^m(x)_{[0,k-1]} = y_{[0,k-1]}$$

Then we have that,

$$d(\sigma^m(x), y) = \theta^k < \epsilon$$

So we have  $\sigma^m(x) \in B_{\epsilon}(y)$ . Since  $y \in \Sigma_b^+$  and  $\epsilon > 0$  were arbitrary, this holds for any  $y \in \Sigma_b^+$  with any choice  $\epsilon > 0$ . Hence, we have that O(x) is dense in  $\Sigma_b^+$ .

Hence, we have that  $\sigma$  satisfies all three properties and hence  $\sigma$  is chaotic.

#### Problem 2.

We have that  $f:A\to A$  and  $g:B\to B$  are continuous maps on compact metric spaces A and B. Recall that f and g being topologically conjugate means there exists a homeomorphism  $h:A\to B$  such that,

$$h \circ f \circ h^{-1} = g$$

Or equivalently,

$$h \circ f = a \circ h$$

In addition, h as a homeomorphism means that it is bijective, continuous, and its inverse  $h^{-1}$  is continuous.

Now suppose f is chaotic. We know that  $\operatorname{Per}(f)$  is dense in X. Let  $I \subset B$  be a non-empty open set. Then  $h^{-1}(I)$  is a union of open sets by the continuity of h. Let K be one of these open sets in A, and fix a point  $y \in \operatorname{Per}(f) \cap K$ , which exists since  $\operatorname{Per}(f)$  is dense in A. But then,  $h(y) \in h(\operatorname{Per}(f)) \cap I$ . Thus,  $h(\operatorname{Per}(f))$  is dense in B.

Now note that, since y is periodic under f, we have for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$f^{n}(p) = p$$

$$\implies g^{n}(h(p)) = h(f^{n}(p)) = h(p)$$

Hence,  $h(\operatorname{Per}(f)) \subset \operatorname{Per}(g)$ . Since  $h(\operatorname{Per}(f))$  is dense in B, we must then have that  $\operatorname{Per}(g)$ , as required.

We also know that f is topologically transitive. That is,  $\exists x \in A$  such that O(x) is dense in A.

Fix  $y \in B$ . Let  $\epsilon > 0$  and consider the open set  $B_{\epsilon}(y)$ . Now fix J as an open set in B such that  $J \cap B_{\epsilon}(y) = \emptyset$ . We want to show that  $g^{n}(y) \in I$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Since h is continuous, then  $h^{-1}(J)$  maps to a union of open sets in A. In addition, since h is surjective, we have that  $h^{-1}(J)$  is non-empty. Let L be one of the open sets in  $h^{-1}(J)$ . We also have  $h^{-1}(B_{\epsilon}(y))$  is a union of open sets in A. Let K be one of these open sets.

By the transitivity of f, we can find an n and a  $x \in K$  with  $f^n(x) \in L$ . Let y = h(x). Then  $x \in I$  and  $g^n(y) = g^n(h(x)) = h(f^n(x)) \in h(L) \subset J$ .

Hence, for any open set in B, we can find an n such that  $g^n(y)$  is in that open set. Thus, O(y) is dense in B and g is topologically transitive.

Lastly, we need to show that the sensitive dependence on initial conditions of f implies the same for g.

#### Problem 3.

Let  $f_{\mu} = \mu x(1-x)$  where  $\mu > 2 + \sqrt{5}$ . Recall that sensitive dependence on initial conditions means there exists  $\epsilon > 0$  such that for all  $x \in X$  and all  $\delta > 0$ , there exists  $y \in X$  with  $d(x,y) < \delta$  and there exists  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $d(f^n(x), f^n(y)) \ge \epsilon$ .

Let us now see if there are multiple fixed points for  $f_{\mu}$ . We clearly have that,

$$0 = \mu \cdot 0(1 - 0) = f_{\mu}(0)$$

So then x = 0 is a fixed point of  $f_{\mu}$ . In addition, we have,

$$f_{\mu}(1 - \frac{1}{\mu}) = \mu \cdot (1 - \frac{1}{\mu}) \cdot (1 - (1 - \frac{1}{\mu}))$$
$$= (\mu - 1) \cdot (\frac{1}{\mu})$$
$$= 1 - \frac{1}{\mu}$$

Hence, we have that  $1 - \frac{1}{\mu}$  is a fixed point of  $f_{\mu}$  as well. Note that,

$$1 - \frac{1}{\mu} > 1 - \frac{1}{2 + \sqrt{5}}$$
$$= 3 - \sqrt{5}$$

So  $3-\sqrt{5}\approx 0.7639<1-\frac{1}{\mu}<1$  for every  $\mu>2+\sqrt{5}$ . Hence, both 0 and  $1-\frac{1}{\mu}$  are in the interval of interest [0,1] for every choice of  $\mu>2+\sqrt{5}$ .

As a result,  $f_{\mu}$  has at least two distinct periodic orbits.

Now fix two distinct periodic points, r and s so that,

$$d(f_{\mu}^{k}(r), f_{\mu}^{\ell}(s)) > 0$$

for all k and  $\ell$ . Choose c such that  $2c < \min d(f_{\mu}^{k}(r), f_{\mu}^{\ell}(s))$ . Then for all k and  $\ell$ , and for any  $x \in [0, 1]$ , we have,

$$\begin{aligned} 2c &< d(f_{\mu}^{k}(r), f_{\mu}^{\ell}(s)) \\ &\leq d(f_{\mu}^{k}(r), x) + d(x, f_{\mu}^{\ell}(s)) \end{aligned}$$

If  $d(f_{\mu}^k(r), x) \leq c$ , then by the above derivation,  $d(x, f_{\mu}^{\ell}(s)) \geq c$ . Similarly, if  $d(x, f_{\mu}^{\ell}(s)) \leq c$ , then  $d(f_{\mu}^k(r), x) \geq c$ .

Since  $f_{\mu}$  maps the unit interval into itself, for any  $f_{\mu}^{k}(x_{1})$ , we can find an  $x = f^{n}(x_{1})$  with  $x \in [0, 1]$  and  $d(x, p) < \delta$  for any  $\delta > 0$  and some periodic point p. Hence, by what we have proved above, we have that,

$$d(f_{\mu}^{k}(p), x = f_{\mu}^{k}(x_{1})) \ge c > 0$$

If we let  $\epsilon = c$ , then we have proved sensitive dependence for  $f_{\mu}$  with  $\mu > 2 + \sqrt{5}$ .

### Problem 4.

Under the angle doubling map, we have that,

$$\theta \mapsto 2\theta \pmod{2\pi}$$