# Dynamical Systems II: Homework 4

Chris Hayduk

March 9, 2021

## 1 Questions from Silva

## 1.1 Section 2.8

## Problem 1.

Suppose we have n > 0 disjoint subsets of  $\mathbb{N}$ , which we will denote as  $A_i$  for each  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . For now, suppose each  $A_i$  is a finite set. Let us take the union of these sets,  $A = \bigsqcup_{i=0}^{n} A_i$ . We have that,

$$\mu(A) = \sum_{k \in A} \frac{1}{2^k}$$

However, since the  $A_i$  are all disjoint, we have that each k is in one and only one  $A_i$ . Hence, we can re-index this summation as,

$$\mu(A) = \sum_{k \in A_1 \text{ or } k \in A_2 \text{ or ... or } k \in A_n} \frac{1}{2^k}$$

Since each of these possibilities are disjoint, let us split it up into separate summations,

$$\mu(A) = \sum_{k \in A_1} \frac{1}{2^k} + \sum_{k \in A_2} \frac{1}{2^k} + \dots + \sum_{k \in A_n} \frac{1}{2^k}$$
$$= \mu(A_1) + \mu(A_2) + \dots + \mu(A_n)$$

So we have covered the case where each  $A_i$  is finite. Now suppose at least one is infinite. Then we must also have that A is infinite and so  $\mu(A) = \infty$ . Observe we also have,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mu(A_i) = \mu(A_1) + \mu(A_2) + \dots + \infty + \dots + \mu(A_n)$$
$$= \infty$$

Hence, we have that  $\mu(A) = \mu(A_1) + \mu(A_2) + \cdots + \mu(A_n)$  once again and thus,  $\mu$  is finitely additive.

Now suppose we have a countable number of sets  $A_i$ , where  $A_i = \{i\}$ . Then we have,

$$\sum \mu(A_i) = \mu(A_1) + \mu(A_2) + \cdots$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2^2} + \cdots$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^i}$$

$$= 1$$

However, if we consider  $A = \sqcup A_i$ , we have that  $A = \mathbb{N}$  and hence is an infinite set. Thus,  $\mu(A) = \infty$  and so,

$$\mu(A) \neq \sum \mu(A_i)$$

Thus,  $\mu$  is not countably additive.

#### Problem 2.

Since  $\mathcal{R}$  is a semi-ring, we have that  $A \setminus \emptyset \in \mathcal{R}$  and,

$$A \setminus \emptyset = A$$
$$= \sqcup_{j=1}^{n} E_{j}$$

for some disjoint sets  $E_j \in \mathcal{R}$ . Moreover, since  $K = \bigcup K_i$  with  $K_i \in \mathcal{R}$  for every i, we can apply Proposition 2.7.1 and get,

$$K = \sqcup_{k=1}^{\infty} C_k$$

where the sets  $\{C_k\}$  are disjoint and in  $\mathcal{R}$ . Now consider  $K \setminus A$  using these above definitions. We have,

$$K \setminus A = (\sqcup_{k=1}^{\infty} C_k) \setminus \left(\sqcup_{j=1}^n E_j\right)$$
$$= \left(\sqcup_{k=1}^{\infty} C_k \setminus \left(\sqcup_{j=1}^n E_j\right)\right)$$

Observe that for each k, the set  $C_k \setminus \left( \sqcup_{j=1}^n E_j \right)$  is in  $\mathcal{R}$ . Hence, we have that

$$C_k \setminus \left( \sqcup_{j=1}^n E_j \right) = \sqcup_{j=1}^n E_j^{(k)}$$

Note that for each k, we have that,

$$C_k \setminus \left( \sqcup_{j=1}^n E_j \right) \cap A = \sqcup_{j=1}^n E_j^{(k)} \cap A$$
  
=  $\emptyset$ 

Moreover, for  $k_1 \neq k_2$ , since  $C_{k_1} \cap C_{k_2} = \emptyset$ , then  $\left( \bigsqcup_{j=1}^n E_j^{(k_1)} \right) \cap \left( \bigsqcup_{j=1}^n E_j^{(k_2)} \right)$  and so  $E_j^{k_1} \cap E_i^{k-2} = \emptyset$  for any i, j.

Lastly, note that,

$$K \setminus A \sqcup A = \sqcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \left( \sqcup_{j=1}^{n} E_{j}^{(k)} \right) \sqcup \left( \sqcup_{j=1}^{n} E_{j} \right)$$
$$= K$$

Hence,

$$\mu(K) = \mu(K \setminus A) + \mu(A)$$

$$= \mu(\bigsqcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \left( \bigsqcup_{j=1}^{n} E_{j}^{(k)} \right) + \mu(A)$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu(\bigsqcup_{j=1}^{n} E_{j}^{(k)}) + \mu(A)$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \mu(E_{j}^{(k)}) + \mu(A)$$

Since  $\mu(E_j^{(k)}) \ge 0$  for all j, k, we must have from the above derivation that  $\mu(A) \le \mu(K)$ . Moreover, we have that,

$$K = \bigcup_{i} K_{i}$$
$$= \bigsqcup_{k} C_{k}$$

So,

$$\mu(K) = \mu(\cup_i K_i)$$

$$= \mu(\sqcup_k C_k)$$

$$= \sum_k \mu(C_k)$$

## Problem 5.

Suppose  $\mu$  is countably additive. Since finite collections are countable, we must have that for any disjoint sets  $A_i$ ,  $1 \le i \le n$  in  $\mathcal{R}$ , we have that,

$$\mu\left(\sqcup_{i=1}^{n} A_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mu(A_i)$$

Hence,  $\mu$  is finitely additive. Moreover if we have a countably infinite collection disjoint of sets  $B_i$  where each  $B_i \in \mathcal{R}$ , then since  $\mu$  is countably additive, we have,

$$\mu\left(\sqcup_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(B_i)$$

which satisfies the definition of countable subadditivity.

Now assume  $\mu$  is additive and countably subadditive.

## 1.2 Section 3.2

#### Problem 2.

Let  $d \in \{x_1.x_2x_3x_4 \mid x_1 \in \{1, 2, ..., 9\}$  and  $x_2, x_3, x_4 \in \{0, 1, 2, ..., 9\}\}$ . Then d is the set of numbers between 1.000 and 9.999 (inclusive) that have terminating decimal expansion of length 4. Now suppose the decimal representation of  $3^n$  starts with  $d \cdot 10^3$ . Then for some integer  $k \geq 0$ ,

$$d \cdot 10^k \le 3^n < (d + 0.001) \cdot 10^k$$

Thus,

$$\log_{10}(d \cdot 10^k) \le \log_{10} 3^n < \log_{10}((d + 0.001) \cdot 10^k)$$

which gives us,

$$\log_{10} d \le n \log_{10} 3 - k < \log_{10} (d + 0.001)$$

and finally,

$$\log_{10} d \le n \log_{10} 3 \pmod{1} < \log_{10} (d + 0.001)$$

But this is the same as saying that, letting  $\alpha = \log_{10} 3$ ,

$$R_{\alpha}^{n}(0) \in [\log_{10} d, \log_{10} (d + 0.001))$$

Since  $0 \le \log_{10} d < 1$  based on our definition of d and  $\alpha$  is irrational, we can apply Theorem 3.2.3. Thus, there are infinitely many integers n such that  $R_{\alpha}^{n}(0) \in [\log_{10} d, \log_{10} (d + 0.001))$ . Hence, there are infinitely many powers of 3 that start with 1984.

## 1.3 Section 3.4

#### Problem 1.

We have that the collection of left-closed, right-open dyadic intervals form a sufficient semi-ring for  $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{L}, \lambda)$ . Suppose  $I \in \mathcal{C}$ . Then we write  $I = [k/2^i, (k+1)/2^i)$  for integers k, i with  $i, k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . We have  $\mu(I) = \frac{1}{2^i}$ . Moreover, we have  $T^{-1}(x) = x \pm \sqrt{x^2 + 4}$  for  $x \neq 0$  and  $T^{-1}(0) = 0$ . This gives us,

$$T^{-1}(I) = \left[ k/2^{i} + \sqrt{k^{2}/2^{2i} + 4}, (k+1)/2^{i} + \sqrt{(k+1)^{2}/2^{2i} + 4} \right) \bigcup \left[ k/2^{i} - \sqrt{k^{2}/2^{2i} + 4}, (k+1)/2^{i} - \sqrt{(k+1)^{2}/2^{2i} + 4} \right)$$

 $T^{-1}(I)$  is a finite union of intervals and is hence measurable.

Observe that  $\sqrt{(k+1)^2/2^{2i}+4} > \sqrt{(k+1)^2/2^{2i}} = (k+1)/2^i$  and  $\sqrt{k^2/2^{2i}+4} > \sqrt{k^2/2^{2i}} = k/2^i$ . Hence,  $T^{-1}(I)$  is a disjoint union and,

$$\begin{split} \mu\left(T^{-1}(I)\right) &= \mu\left(\left[k/2^i + \sqrt{k^2/2^{2i} + 4}, (k+1)/2^i + \sqrt{(k+1)^2/2^{2i} + 4}\right)\right) + \\ \mu\left(\left[k/2^i - \sqrt{k^2/2^{2i} + 4}, (k+1)/2^i - \sqrt{(k+1)^2/2^{2i} + 4}\right)\right) \\ &= (k+1)/2^i + \sqrt{(k+1)^2/2^{2i} + 4} - (k/2^i + \sqrt{k^2/2^{2i} + 4}) + \\ (k+1)/2^i - \sqrt{(k+1)^2/2^{2i} + 4} - k/2^i + \sqrt{k^2/2^{2i} + 4} + \\ &= (k+1)/2^i - k/2^i + (k+1)/2^i - k/2^i \\ &= 2(k+1)/2^i - 2k/2^i \\ &= (k+1)/2^{i-1} - k/2^{i-1} \\ &= 1/2^{i-1} \end{split}$$

#### Problem 2.

Suppose  $(X, \mathcal{S}, \mu)$  is a  $\sigma$ -finite measure-space and  $T: X \to X$  is measure-preserving. Fix  $X_0 \in \mathcal{S}(X)$  with  $T^{-1}(X_0) = X_0$ . We want to show that the system  $(X_0, \mathcal{S}(X_0), \mu, T)$  is a measure-preserving dynamical system. That is  $(X_0, \mathcal{S}(X_0), \mu)$  is a  $\sigma$ -finite measure space and  $T: X_0 \to X_0$  is a measure preserving transformation.

By Proposition 2.5.1, since  $X_0 \subset X$  is in S, we have that  $S(X_0) = \{A : A \subset X_0 \text{ and } X_0 \in S\}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra on  $X_0$ . Since the original measure space was  $\sigma$ -finite, there exist a sequence of measurable sets  $A_n$  of finite measure such that,

$$X = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$$

Since  $X_0$  is in the collection of measurable sets and is a subset of X, we can remove sets from the sequence  $B_n$ , creating a new sequence  $B_n$  such that,

$$X_0 = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n$$

Hence, the new measure space is  $\sigma$ -finite as well.

#### Problem 3.

Let  $(X, \mathcal{S}, \mu)$  be a  $\sigma$ -finite measure space and let  $X_0 \in \mathcal{S}(X)$  with  $\mu(X \setminus X_0) = 0$ . Suppose there exists a transformation  $T_0$  so that  $(X_0, \mathcal{S}(X_0), \mu, T_0)$  is a measure-preserving dynamical system. Since the original measure space is  $\sigma$ -finite

## Problem 4.

Suppose  $(X, \mathcal{S}, \mu, T)$  is a measure-preserving dynamical system.