Dynamical Systems II: Homework 3

Chris Hayduk

February 25, 2021

1 Questions from Silva

1.1 Section 2.5

Problem 14.

We have that $E = \{\emptyset\} \subset X$. We also have that $\{\emptyset\} \in \mathcal{S}$. Hence, with $A, B = \{\emptyset\}$ we trivially have that

$$A \subset E \subset B$$

and

$$\mu(B \setminus A) = 0$$

Thus, $E = \{\emptyset\} \in \mathcal{S}_\mu$ and $\mathcal{S}_\mu$ is non-empty. Now fix $E \in \mathcal{S}_\mu$ and consider E^C. Since $E \in S_\mu$, we have $A, B \in \mathcal{S}$ such that

$$A \subset E \subset B$$

and

$$\mu(B \setminus A) = 0$$

Applying the complement to the above expression gives us that $A^C \supset E^C \supset B^C$. So we want to show that $\mu(A^C \setminus B^C) = 0$. But note that $A^C \setminus B^C = A^C \cap B$ and $B \setminus A = B \cap A^C$, so we have that,

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(A^C \setminus B^C) &= \mu(A^C \cap B) \\ &= \mu(B \setminus A) \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\mathcal{S}_\mu$ is closed under complements.

Now let $E_n \in \mathcal{S}_\mu$, $n \geq 1$ and consider $\cup_{n=1}^\infty E_n$. For each n, we have that there exists $A_n, B_n \in S$ such that $A_n \subset E_n \subset B_n$ and $\mu(B_n \setminus A_n) = 0$. Since $E_n \subset B_n$ for all n, we must have that $\cup E_n \subset \cup B_n$. Similarly, we have $\cup A_n \subset \cup E_n$.

Now we need to show that $\mu(\cup B_n \setminus \cup A_n) = 0$. By Corollary 2.4.2 and countable subadditivity, we have,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu(\cup B_n \setminus \cup A_n) &= \mu(\cup B_n) - \mu(\cup A_n) \\
&\leq \mu(B_1) + \mu(B_2) + \cdots - \mu(A_1) - \mu(A_2) - \mu(A_3) - \cdots \\
&= \mu(B_1) - \mu(A_1) + \mu(B_2) - \mu(A_2) + \mu(B_3) - \mu(A_3) + \cdots \\
&= \mu(B_1 \setminus A_1) + \mu(B_2 \setminus A_2) + \mu(B_3 \setminus A_3) + \cdots \\
&= 0 + 0 + 0 + \cdots \\
&= 0
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\cup E_n \in \mathcal{S}_\mu$ and so $\mathcal{S}_\mu$ is closed under countable unions. Hence, $\mathcal{S}_\mu$ is a σ-algebra. Now fix $E \in \mathcal{S}$. Then if we set $A, B = E \in \mathcal{S}$, we have that $A \subset E \subset B$ and $\mu(B \setminus A) = \mu(E \setminus E) = \mu(\emptyset) = 0$ as required. Thus, every element in $\mathcal{S}$ is also in $\mathcal{S}_\mu$, and so $\mathcal{S}_\mu$ is a σ-algebra containing $\mathcal{S}$.

Problem 15.

1.2 Section 2.6

Problem 2.

Note that the rational numbers are countable and that for each $q \in \mathbb{Q}$, we have that $\{q\}$ is closed. Thus, $\{q\}^C$ is open for all $q \in \mathbb{Q}$. Hence, if we take,

$$\bigcap_{q \in \mathbb{Q}} \{q\}^C$$

we see that we are taking a countable intersection of open sets. This is precisely the definition of a G_δ set. Moreover, this set is the intersection of the complements of the rational numbers. That is, the only numbers in $\mathbb{R}$ not included in this set are the rationals. Hence, we have constructed the irrational numbers.

Note the irrational numbers are not open. If we fix an irrational number x, observe that for any value of $\epsilon > 0$, we will find a rational number q such that $q \in B(x, \epsilon)$. This follows directly from the fact that $\mathbb{Q}$ is dense in $\mathbb{R}$. Moreover, the irrational numbers are not closed. The irrationals are also dense in $\mathbb{R}$, so by definition we can find a sequence of irrational numbers converging to any real number. If we pick $q \in \mathbb{Q} \subset \mathbb{R}$, then we see that we can construct a sequence of irrational numbers which converge to $q \notin \bigcap_{q \in \mathbb{Q}} \{q\}^C$. Thus, the irrational numbers are neither open nor closed as required.

Problem 5.

1.3 Section 2.7

Problem 1.

Suppose that $\mathcal{C}$ is a semi-ring of subsets of a nonempty set X and $\emptyset \neq Y \subset X$. Consider the collection $\{A \cap Y \ : \ A \in \mathcal{C}\}$ and suppose that this collection is non-empty.

Suppose $C, D \in \{A \cap Y : A \in \mathcal{C}\}$. We have $C = A \cap Y$ and $D = B \cap Y$ for some $A, B \in \mathcal{C}$. Consider the following,

$$\begin{aligned} C \cap D &= (A \cap Y) \cap (B \cap Y) \\ &= (A \cap B) \cap Y \end{aligned}$$

Since $\mathcal{C}$ is a semi-ring, we have that $A \cap B \in \mathcal{C}$ and so $C \cap D = (A \cap B) \cap Y$ is in our collection.

Now consider $C \setminus D$. We can express this as the following,

$$\begin{aligned} C \setminus D &= (A \cap Y) \setminus (B \cap Y) \\ &= (A \setminus B) \cap Y \end{aligned}$$

Since $\mathcal{C}$ is a semi-ring, we have that $A \setminus B$ can be expressed as

$$\sqcup_{j=1}^{n} E_j$$

where $E_j \in \mathcal{C}$ for every j. Thus, we can rewrite the previous statement as,

$$\begin{aligned} C \setminus D &= (A \setminus B) \cap Y \\ &= (\sqcup_{j=1}^{n} E_j) \cap Y \\ &= \sqcup_{j=1}^{n} (E_j \cap Y) \end{aligned}$$

Since $E_j \in \mathcal{C}$, we have that $E_j \cap Y \in \{A \cap Y \ : \ A \in \mathcal{C}\}$. Furthermore, since $E_j \in \mathcal{C}$ are disjoint, $E_j \cap Y \in \{A \cap Y \ : \ A \in \mathcal{C}\}$ are disjoint as well. Therefore, $C \setminus D$ satisfies all the required properties for a semi-ring.

Now let us extend to the case where $\mathcal{C}$ is a ring. We now need to show that our new collection is closed under finite unions. It suffices to show that the collection is closed for a single union (i.e. $C \cup D$) because we can then extend this union a finite number of times n. So now let us consider $C \cup D$,

$$\begin{aligned} C \cup D &= (A \cap Y) \cup (B \cap Y) \\ &= (A \cup B) \cap Y \end{aligned}$$

Since $\mathcal{C}$ is a ring, we have that $A \cup B \in \mathcal{C}$ and so $(A \cup B) \cap Y$ is in our collection. Hence, $\{A \cap Y \ : \ A \in \mathcal{C}\}$ is a ring.

Problem 2.

Let $(X, \mathcal{L}, \lambda)$ be a canonical Lebesgue measure space and $\mathcal{C}$ a sufficient semi-ring. Now for any nonempty measurable set $X_0 \subset X$, consider $\mathcal{C} \cap X_0 = \{C \cap X_0 : C \in \mathcal{C}\}$.

Problem 6.

Problem 7.

1.4 Section 3.3

Problem 1.

Define $T : [0,1] \to [0,1]$ by $T(x) = 2x$ if $0 \leq x \leq 1/2$ and $T(x) = 2 - 2x$ if $1/2 < x < 1$. Define $S_1 : [0,1] \to [0,1/2]$ by $S_1(y) = y/2$ and $S_2 : [0,1] \to [1/2,1]$ by $S_2(y) = y/2 + 1/2$. For a measurable set $A \subset [0,1]$. We have that,

$$T^{-1}(A) = S_1(A) \sqcup (S_2(A \setminus \{0\}))$$

Since we are taking a singleton away from A and S_2 is a well-defined function, this will not change the measure of $S_2(A)$. Thus, from the above and from the results in Chapter 2, we have,

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda(T^{-1}(A)) &= \lambda(S_1(A)) + \lambda(S_2(A \setminus \{0\})) \\ &= \lambda(\frac{1}{2}A) + \lambda(\frac{1}{2}A + \frac{1}{2}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\lambda(A) + \frac{1}{2}\lambda(A) \\ &= \lambda(A)\end{aligned}$$

Thus, T is measure preserving.