

# The Effects of Gross Foreign Direct Investment on the Growth Rate of High-Income Countries in the 21<sup>st</sup> Century

Observational Studies - Midterm Summary Report

Chris Hayduk

March 12, 2019

## 1 Introduction & Research Questions

The national government for each sovereign country usually focuses its efforts on broad goals, such as improving the education-level of the populace or strengthening national security. Economic growth is perhaps the most important of these goals, as a strengthening economy usually leads to higher wages and an improved standard of living for a country's population. One of the most common and well-known measures of economic strength is annual Gross Domestic Product (GDP), which measures the value of all goods and services produced in a country within a given year. GDP per capita divides this value by the country's total population, thus providing us with a proxy for the average wealth (and thus standard of living) in a country.

There are many factors that contribute towards GDP per capita growth. This paper will specifically examine the effects of gross foreign direct invest-

ment (FDI) on a country's GDP per capita. The dichotomy between isolationist and globalist economic principles has become very pronounced over the last few years, especially in traditionally high income countries such as France, the United Kingdom, and the United States. These heated elections in various world powers have brought FDI to the forefront of national and international debates.

There have been quite a few papers examining the effects of foreign direct investment on the growth rate of developing countries. These papers contend that developing countries are able to benefit from the improved business practices and advanced technology provided by higher income countries. However, this effect does not apply when limiting the scope of our analysis to the effects of FDI in high income countries, since they already possess a high level of technological advancement and business sophistication. Due to the heated discourse surrounding globalist economic principles and the lack of studies in this area, I will be focusing on gross FDI's impact on the growth rate of GDP per capita solely in high income countries in this paper.

## 2 Data Sources

Data on Gross Foreign Direct Investment was obtained from the OECD [website](#). The data is labeled as "FDI stocks" and measures the total amount of foreign direct investment in a given year. The OECD website provides the option to download FDI stocks measured in US dollars or as a percent of the country's GDP. In order to control for varying economy sizes, I chose to download FDI stocks as a percent of the country's GDP. The data is available for the years 2005-2017, which is fine for the purposes of this paper, as I intend to focus on data from the 21<sup>st</sup> century.

Data on GDP per capita can be found on The World Bank's Open Data [web-](#)

[site](#). The data ranges from 1960-2017. However, since the FDI data does not begin until 2005, I omitted all GDP per capita data prior to 2005. The World Bank Data also includes income classifications for each country, which fall into the following categories:

- High income
- Upper middle income
- Lower middle income
- Low income

This allowed me to easily separate high income countries from the rest of the data.

In order to account for differences in technological level, I downloaded data from The World Bank regarding the number of scientific and technical journal articles published in each country per year. The fields considered for these articles are: physics, biology, chemistry, mathematics, clinical medicine, biomedical research, engineering and technology, and earth and space sciences. The data is available from 1970-2016. As in the case of the GDP per capita data, I omitted all data prior to 2005.

### 3 Methods

Before starting the modeling process, I needed to perform some data pre-processing in order to prepare it for regression. I began by taking the log of GDP per capita in 2005 and GDP per capita in 2017. This made the relationships between these two variables and the other predictors much more linear. I then took the difference of these two new variables, resulting in the change in  $\log(\text{GDP per Capita})$  from 2005 to 2017. This was then used as the predictor variable for the model.

The Gross Foreign Direct Investment data was presented as a time series for each country from 2005-2017. In order to prepare the variable for input to a multiple regression model, I took the mean over this time period for each country. The number of journal articles per country presented a similar challenge, as it was also a time series from 2005-2016 for each country. For this variable, I took the mean over this period for each country. In addition, I divided the mean number of journal articles by the population (in 100 thousands) of each country. This resulted in the mean number of journal articles per 100,000 inhabitants for each country, allowing me to control for the differences in technological level without including any implicit data for the size of the country or its economy.

I have included a table below with summary statistics for each variable included in the regression:

Table 1:

Statistic	N	Mean	St. Dev.	Min	Max
GDP per Capita in 2005	35	30,704.650	18,572.670	5,076.884	80,289.700
GDP per Capita in 2017	35	39,709.230	22,132.970	13,863.180	104,103.000
Mean FDI	35	56.030	55.325	3.458	311.239
Journal Articles per 100K Inhabitants	35	122.237	54.994	17.401	243.698
Log(GDP per Capita in 2005)	35	10.111	0.728	8.532	11.293
Log(GDP per Capita in 2017)	35	10.434	0.577	9.537	11.553
Change in Log(GDP per Capita)	35	0.323	0.259	-0.192	1.042

The regression models will now explore if mean Gross Foreign Direct Investment is a statistically significant predictor of the change in log(GDP per capita) for the period from 2005 to 2017 among high-income countries. I have decided to run three regressions. The first only explores the relationship between mean FDI and change in log(GDP per capita) without considering any covariates. The second model attempts to control for the differences in technological advancements between countries by including mean journal articles per 100K inhabitants. Lastly, the third model controls for differences in the starting

wealth of each country's population by including  $\log(\text{GDP per capita in 2005})$  as a predictor. The results of these regressions are shown below:

Table 2: Results

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>		
	change_in_log_gdp		
	(1)	(2)	(3)
log_starting_gdp			-0.369*** (0.068)
mean_fdi	0.00000 (0.001)	0.0002 (0.001)	0.001** (0.001)
journal_articles_per_100k		-0.002*** (0.001)	0.001* (0.001)
Constant	0.323*** (0.064)	0.566*** (0.105)	3.790*** (0.600)
Observations	35	35	35
R <sup>2</sup>	0.00000	0.195	0.587
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	-0.030	0.145	0.547
Residual Std. Error	0.262 (df = 33)	0.239 (df = 32)	0.174 (df = 31)
F Statistic	0.00001 (df = 1; 33)	3.884** (df = 2; 32)	14.676*** (df = 3; 31)

*Note:*

\*p<0.1; \*\*p<0.05; \*\*\*p<0.01

## 4 Conclusion

We can see from the regression output that Gross Foreign Domestic Investment is not on its own a significant predictor of GDP per capita growth in high income countries in the 21<sup>st</sup> century.

## References