

# Real Analysis I: Assignment 4

Chris Hayduk

October 3, 2019

## Problem 1.

Let  $\langle F_n \rangle$  be a sequence of non-empty, closed sets of real numbers with the property that  $F_{n+1} \subset F_n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Furthermore, assume there exists an  $m$  such that  $F_m$  is bounded.

Since  $F_m$  is bounded we know that  $\exists c \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\forall x \in F_m, |x| \leq c$ . Now examine  $F_{m+1}$ . We know that  $F_{m+1} \neq \emptyset$  and  $F_{m+1} \subset F_m$ . That is,  $x \in F_{m+1} \implies x \in F_m$ . As a result, every  $x \in F_{m+1}$  is bounded by the same  $c$ .

This same line of reasoning applies for any  $n \geq m$  since we have that  $F_m \supset F_{m+1} \supset \dots$  and  $F_n \neq \emptyset \forall n$ . Thus, we now have a subsequence of the original sequence which consists solely of closed, bounded, nonempty subsets.

We know that  $\forall k \geq m, F_k$  is a non-empty, closed, and bounded set. As a result each  $F_k$  must contain its infimum, which we can denote by  $x_k$ .

We can see that  $x_k \leq c$  and  $x_k \leq x_{k+1}$ . Thus,  $\langle x_k \rangle$  is a monotonically increasing, bounded sequence. By the monotone convergence theorem, this sequence converges to a limit point  $x$ .

Since the sets are nested, for any  $k, x_j \in F_k \forall j \geq k$ . Furthermore,  $F_k$  is closed, so we have  $x \in F_k$ . This applies for any  $k \geq m$ , so  $x \in \bigcap_{i=m}^{\infty} F_i$ .

Now that we have considered the subsequence of non-empty, closed, and bounded sets let us incorporate the finite collection of unbounded sets  $F_1, \dots, F_{m-1}$ .

We know that  $F_m \subset F_{m-1} \subset \dots \subset F_1$ . Thus,  $F_m \subset F_n \forall n < m$ .

As a result, we clearly have  $\bigcap_{i=0}^{m-1} F_i = F_m$ .

Now if we combine the two intersections, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\bigcap_{i=0}^{\infty} F_i &= \bigcap_{j=0}^{m-1} F_j \cap \bigcap_{k=m}^{\infty} F_k \\
\implies (F_1 \cap F_2 \cap \cdots \cap F_{m-1}) &\cap (F_m \cap F_{m+1} \cap \cdots) \\
\implies F_m \cap (F_m \cap F_{m+1} \cap \cdots) \\
\implies (F_m \cap F_m) \cap F_{m+1} \cap \cdots \\
\implies F_m \cap F_{m+1} \cap \cdots &= \bigcap_{k=m}^{\infty} F_k
\end{aligned}$$

Since we know that  $x \in \bigcap_{i=m}^{\infty} F_i$ , the derivation above implies that  $x \in \bigcap_{i=0}^{\infty} F_i \neq \emptyset$  as well. Thus, the intersection of a nested sequence of non-empty, closed sets of real numbers is non-empty if one of the sets is bounded.

Now let  $F_n = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : x \geq n\} = [n, \infty)$ . Clearly each  $F_n \subset \mathbb{R}$  is unbounded and closed.

Furthermore, we have that  $F_{n+1} = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : x \geq n+1\} = [n+1, \infty)$ . Then we can see that  $F_{n+1} \subset F_n$  since  $[n+1, \infty) \subset [n, \infty)$ .

Now assume  $\bigcap F_n \neq \emptyset$  and let  $x \in \bigcap F_n$ . Then  $x \in F_n \forall n$ . Since  $F_n \subset \mathbb{R}$ , we have that  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . Thus, by the Axiom of Archimedes,  $\exists k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $k > x$ .

Hence, by the definition of  $F_n$ , we have that  $x \notin F_k$ . However, this contradicts the assumption that  $x \in \bigcap F_n$  and, by extension, that  $\bigcap F_n \neq \emptyset$ .

As a result, if there is not at least one bounded  $F_n$ , we have that  $\bigcap F_n = \emptyset$ .

**Problem 2.**