

Stochastic Processes II: Homework 9

Chris Hayduk

May 14, 2021

Problem I. LPW 12.3

Let $P_L = (P+I)/2$ be the transition matrix of the lazy version of the chain with transition matrix P . Suppose P_L has eigenvalue $\lambda < 0$ with corresponding eigenfunction f . Then,

$$\begin{aligned}P_L f &= (P/2 + I/2)f \\&= (Pf + f)/2 \\&= \lambda f\end{aligned}$$

From the above equalities, we have,

$$\begin{aligned}Pf/2 + f/2 &= \lambda f \\ \iff Pf/2 &= \lambda f - f/2 \\ \iff Pf &= 2\lambda f - f \\ \iff Pf &= (2\lambda - 1)f\end{aligned}$$

Hence, $2\lambda - 1$ is an eigenvalue of P and so, by Lemma 12.1(i), we have that,

$$\begin{aligned}2\lambda - 1 &\geq -1 \\ \iff \lambda &\geq 0\end{aligned}$$

We assumed that $\lambda < 0$, and hence we have a contradiction. Thus, there are no eigenvalues λ of P_L such that $\lambda < 0$, as required.

Problem II. LPW 12.4

We have that,

$$\begin{aligned} E_\pi(P^t f) &= \pi P^t f \\ &= \pi f \\ &= E_\pi(f) \end{aligned}$$

We have that the first eigenfunction $f_1 \equiv 1$, and so we have,

$$\begin{aligned} P^t f - E_\pi(P^t f) &= \sum_{j=1}^{|\mathcal{X}|} \langle f, f_j \rangle_\pi f_j \lambda_j^t \\ &= \sum_{j=2}^{|\mathcal{X}|} \langle f, f_j \rangle_\pi f_j \lambda_j^t \end{aligned}$$

Because the f_j 's are an orthonormal basis, this gives us,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}_\pi(f) &= \|P^t f - E_\pi(P^t f)\|_{\ell^2(\pi)}^2 \\ &= \sum_{j=2}^{|\mathcal{X}|} \langle f, f_j \rangle_\pi^2 f_j \lambda_j^{2t} \end{aligned}$$

Now note that for all λ_j , we have that $1 - \gamma_* \geq \lambda_j$. Thus, we have,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}_\pi(f) &= \sum_{j=2}^{|\mathcal{X}|} \langle f, f_j \rangle_\pi^2 f_j \lambda_j^{2t} \\ &\leq \sum_{j=2}^{|\mathcal{X}|} \langle f, f_j \rangle_\pi^2 f_j (1 - \gamma_*)^{2t} \\ &= (1 - \gamma_*)^{2t} \sum_{j=2}^{|\mathcal{X}|} \langle f, f_j \rangle_\pi^2 f_j \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, we have that,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=2}^{|\mathcal{X}|} \langle f, f_j \rangle_\pi^2 &= E_\pi(f^2) - E_\pi^2(f) \\ &= \text{Var}_\pi(f) \end{aligned}$$

And so,

$$\text{Var}_\pi(f) \leq (1 - \gamma_*)^{2t} \text{Var}_\pi(f)$$

as required.

Problem III. LPW 18.1

Suppose that the n -th chain in a sequence of Markov chains satisfies,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_n(ct_{\text{mix}}^n) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } c < 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } c > 1 \end{cases}$$

Then for any $\gamma > 0$ and for n large enough, we have,

$$\begin{aligned} t_{\text{mix}}(\epsilon) &\leq (1 + \gamma)t_{\text{mix}}^n \\ t_{\text{mix}}(1 - \epsilon) &\geq (1 - \gamma)t_{\text{mix}}^n \end{aligned}$$

These equations together yield,

$$\frac{t_{\text{mix}}(\epsilon)}{t_{\text{mix}}(1 - \epsilon)} \leq \frac{1 + \gamma}{1 - \gamma}$$

If we let γ approach 0, then n must approach ∞ and we get,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{t_{\text{mix}}(\epsilon)}{t_{\text{mix}}(1 - \epsilon)} = 1$$

as required.

Now suppose that we have,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{t_{\text{mix}}(\epsilon)}{t_{\text{mix}}(1 - \epsilon)} = 1$$

Fix $\gamma > 0$. Then for any $\epsilon > 0$ and for n large enough, we have $t_{\text{mix}}(\epsilon) \leq (1 + \gamma)t_{\text{mix}}^n$. That is, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_n((1 + \gamma)t_{\text{mix}}^n) \leq \epsilon$. Since this holds for all ϵ ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_n((1 + \gamma)t_{\text{mix}}^n) = 0$$

Moreover, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_n((1 - \gamma)t_{\text{mix}}^n) \geq 1 - \epsilon$ since $t_{\text{mix}}(1 - \epsilon) \geq (1 - \gamma)t_{\text{mix}}^n$ for n sufficiently large. Thus, we have that,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_n((1 - \gamma)t_{\text{mix}}^n) = 1$$