

1 Free Group

Given a set S the free group over S , $F(S)$

2 The Proof Certificate

An element of a group G is equal to one in the quotient by the normal closure of a relation r if and only if it can be written as a product of conjugates of r and r^{-1} . More precisely, there is a group homomorphism $EvalProof: F(G) \rightarrow G$, from the free group over G into G that sends a basis element of $F(G)$, $g \in G$ to $grg^{-1} \in G$. The image of this map is exactly the kernel of the quotient map. Therefore an element p of $F(G)$ such that $EvalProof(p) = w$ can be seen as a witness that w is in the kernel of the quotient map.

The algorithm returns a pair of a normalised word w' , and $p \in F(G)$ such that $w = EvalProof(p)w'$.

Definition 2.1. (EvalProof) $EvalProof$ is a map $F(G) \rightarrow G$, sending a basis element $g \in G$ to wrw^{-1} .

Definition 2.2 (P functor). We define a group structure on this set of pairs. For any $g \in G$ define an automorphism $MulFree(g)$ of $F(G)$, by sending a basis element $h \in G$ to gh . This defines a left action of G on $F(G)$. The group structure is given by the semidirect product. Define the group $P(G)$ to be

$$P(G) := F(G) \rtimes_{MulFree} G \quad (1)$$

This group has multiplication given by $(a, b)(a', b') = (aMulFree(b)(a'), bb')$

Definition 2.2.1 (lhs and rhs). We define two group homomorphisms from P into G . rhs is the obvious map sending (a, b) to b . lhs is the map sending (a, b) to $EvalProof(a)b$. Since $EvalProof(a)$ is in the kernel of the quotient map, for any $p \in P(G)$, $lhs(p)$ and $rhs(p)$ are equal in the quotient by r . Therefore an element p of $P(G)$ can be regarded as a certificate of the congruence $lhs(p) = rhs(p) \mod r$.

Because both lhs and rhs are group homomorphisms, if $p \in P(G)$ is a certificate of the congruence $a = b \mod r$, and q is a certificate of the congruence $c = d \mod r$, then pq is a certificate of the congruence $ac = bd \mod r$. Similarly p^{-1} is a certificate of the congruence $a^{-1} = b^{-1} \mod r$.

Definition 2.2.2. (P is functorial). Given a homomorphism $f: G \rightarrow H$. Functoriality of the free group gives a natural map $F(f): F(G) \rightarrow F(H)$ from $f: G \rightarrow H$. Define the map $P(f): P(G) \rightarrow P(H)$ to send $(p, b) \in P(G)$ to $(F(f)(p), f(b)) \in P(H)$. Given a certificate of the congruence $a = b \mod r$, this map returns a certificate of the congruence $f(a) = f(b) \mod f(r)$.

Definition 2.2.3. (Trans) Given $p, q \in P(G)$ such that p is a certificate of the congruence $a = b \mod r$, and q is a certificate of the congruence $b = c \mod r$, then it is possible to define $Trans(p, q)$ such that $Trans(p, q)$ is a certificate of the congruence $a = c \mod r$. If $p = (p_1, p_2)$, and $q = (q_1, q_2)$, then $Trans(p, q) = (p_1q_1, q_2)$.

Definition 2.2.4. (Refl) Given $a \in G$, $(1, a)$ is a certificate of the congruence $a = a \mod r$. Call this $Refl(a)$.

It is also possible to define $Symm$, such that $lhs(Symm(p)) = rhs(p)$ and vice versa, but this is not used in the algorithm.

Definition 2.2.5. (ChangeRel) Given a certificate p of the congruence $a = b \bmod r$, then it is possible to make a certificate of the congruence $a = b \bmod grg^{-1}$ for any $g \in G$. This

3 Adding and Removing Subscripts

Given a letter t in the free group over a set S , we can define a map into a semidirect product.

Definition 3.1 (ChangeSubscript). Define a homomorphism $ChangeSubscript$ from \mathbb{Z} to the automorphism group of $F(S \times \mathbb{Z})$. If $(x, n) \in S \times \mathbb{Z}$ is a basis element of the free group, then $AddBasis(m)(x, n) = (x, m + n)$.

Definition 3.2 (AddSubscripts). There is a homomorphism $AddSubscripts$ from $F(S)$ into $F(S \times \mathbb{Z}) \rtimes_{ChangeSubscript} \mathbb{Z}$ sending a basis element $s \in S$ to $(s, 0) \in F(S \times \mathbb{Z})$, when $s \neq t$ and sending t to $(1, 1_{\mathbb{Z}}) \in F(S \times \mathbb{Z}) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$. Loosely, this map replace occurrence of $t^n a t^{-n}$ with a_t

The map $AddSubscripts$ is only used during the algorithm on words w when the sum of the exponents of t in w is zero, meaning the result will be of the form $(w', 0_{\mathbb{Z}})$.

Definition 3.3 (RemoveSubscripts). $RemoveSubscripts$ send a basis element of $F(S \times \mathbb{Z})$, $(s, n) \in S \times \mathbb{Z}$ to $t^n s t^{-n}$.

$RemoveSubscripts$ is a group homomorphism and if r is a word such that of $AddSubscripts(r)$ is of the form $(r', 0_{\mathbb{Z}})$, then $RemoveSubscripts(r') = r$.

4 HNN Extensions

Definition 4.1 (HNN Extension). Given a group G and subgroups A and B of G , and an isomorphism $\phi : A \rightarrow B$, we can define the *HNN extension* of G . Let $\langle t \rangle$ be a multiplicative group isomorphic to \mathbb{Z} , generated by t . The HNN extension is the coproduct of G and $\langle t \rangle$ quotiented by the normal closure of the set $\{tat^{-1} = \phi(a) | a \in A\}$

Theorem 4.2 (Britton's Lemma). Let $w = g_0 t^{k_1} g_1 t^{k_2} g_2 \dots t^{k_n} g_n$ be a word in the HNN extension. If for every i , $k_i \neq 0$, $k_i > 0 \implies g_i \notin A$ and $k_i < 0 \implies g_i \notin B$, and w contains a t , then $w \neq 1$ cite (On Britton's theorem Charles Miller)

Corollary 4.2.1. If a word w meets the same conditions as in the statement of Britton's Lemma, then w cannot be written as a t free word.

Proof. Suppose $w = g$ with $g \in G$, then $g^{-1}w$ also meets the conditions in Theorem 3.2, and therefore $g^{-1}w \neq 1$, contradicting $w = g$.

The HNN normalization process replaces any occurrences of ta with $\phi(a)t$ when $a \in A$, and any occurrence of $t^{-1}b$ with $\phi^{-1}(b)t^{-1}$ when $b \in B$. This produces a word of the form in Theorem 3.2.

5 Base Case

The base case is the case where the relation r is of the form a^n with $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, and a a letter in S . It is straightforward to decide the word problem in this group, since $F(S)/a^n$ is isomorphic to

the binary coproduct of $F(S \setminus \{a\})$ and $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$. However computing the appropriate proof term requires some explanation.

To compute the proof term, we write a function that takes an unnormalized word $w \in F(S)$, and a normalized proof word with proof $p \in P(F(S))$, and returns a word $q \in P(F(S))$, such that $\text{lhs}(q) = w\text{lhs}(p)$ and $\text{rhs}(q)$ is a normalization of $w\text{rhs}(p)$. By normalized, we mean that there is no occurrence of a^k where $k \neq 0$ is a multiple of n .

We can normalize the word a^k where k is a multiple of n to $([1]^{(n/k)}, 1) \in P(F(S))$, where $[1] \in F(F(S))$, is the basis element corresponding to $1 \in F(S)$.

6 HNN normalization

We first present a simplified version of the HNN normalization that does not compute the proof certificates, and then explain how to compute the certificates at the same time as normalization.

To compute the HNN normalized term, first compute the following isomorphism from $F(S)$ into the binary coproduct $F(S'') * \langle t' \rangle$. $\langle t' \rangle$ is a cyclic multiplicative group isomorphic to \mathbb{Z} and generated by t' .

Definition 6.1. Define a map on a basis element i as follows

$$\begin{cases} (i, 0) \in F(S'') & i \neq t \\ t' & i = t \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

It is important that $a \leq 0 \leq b$, to ensure that this map does map into $F(S'' \times \langle t' \rangle)$.

Then apply the HNN normalization procedure. For this particular HNN extension ϕ is *ChangeSubscript*. For each occurrence of gt'^{-1} , we can use *Solve* to check whether g is equal to a word $a \in A$ in the quotient $F(S'')/r'$, and if it is equal to some word a , rewrite gt'^{-1} to $t'^{-1}\text{ChangeSubscript}(-1)(a)$. Similarly, For each occurrence of gt' , use *Solve* to check whether g is equal to a word $b \in B$ in the quotient $F(S'')/r'$, and if it is equal to some word b rewrite gt' to $t'\text{ChangeSubscript}(1)(b)$.

This is a slight deviation from the procedure described in Section 3, the rewriting rules are applied in the opposite direction, and therefore the words are not quite in the normal form described in Theorem 3.2, but are in a reversed version of this normal form. The rewriting rules are applied from left to right; wherever a rewriting rule is applied, everything to the left of where that rule is applied should already be in HNN normal form. The reasons for these are to generate shorter certificates and are described in Section 5.1.

6.1 Computing Proof Certificates

To compute proof certificates a slightly modification of the procedure described in Section 5 is used.

First define a modification of Definition 5.1, from $F(S)$ into the binary coproduct $P(F(S \times \mathbb{Z})) * \langle t' \rangle$.

Definition 6.2. Define a map on the basis as follows

$$\begin{cases} \text{Refl}(i, t^0) \in F(S'' \times \langle t' \rangle) & i \in S \text{ and } i \neq t \\ t' & i = t \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

There is also a map Z from $P(F(S \times \mathbb{Z})) * \langle t' \rangle$ into $P(F(S))$. This map is not computed as part of the algorithm, but is useful to define anyway.

Definition 6.3. The map Z sends $t' \in \langle t' \rangle$ to $\text{Refl}(t) \in P(F(S))$. It sends $p \in P(F(S \times \mathbb{Z}))$ to $P(\text{RemoveSubscripts})(p) \in P(F(S))$

The aim is to define a normalization process into that turns a word $w \in F(S)$ into word $n \in P(F(S \times \mathbb{Z})) * \langle t' \rangle$, such that after applying rhs , the same word is returned as in the normalization process described in Section 5. We also want $\text{lhs}(Z(n))$ to be equal to w , so we send up with a certificate that w is equal to some normalized word.

Definition 6.4. (conjP) Let $(p, a) \in P(F(S \times \mathbb{Z}))$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Define ConjP to map into $P(F(S \times \mathbb{Z}))$

$$\text{ConjP}(k, (p, a)) = (\text{MulFree}((t, 0)^k, p), \text{ChangeSubscript}(k, a)) \quad (4)$$

conjP has the property that $\text{lhs}(Z(\text{conjP}(k, p))) = t^k \text{lhs}(Z(p)) t^{-k}$, and similarly for rhs . Note that conjP maps into $P(F(S \times \mathbb{Z}))$, and not $P(F(S''))$, although rhs of every word computed will be in $F(S'')$.

The procedure described in Section 5 replaced each occurrence of wt'^{-1} with $t'^{-1} \text{ChangeSubscript}(-1)(a)$, where $a \in A$ was a word equal to $w \in F(S'')$ in the quotient $F(S'')/r'$.

To compute the proof certificate suppose there is an occurrence of pt'^{-1} with $p \in P(F(S \times \mathbb{Z}))$. Use Solve to check whether $\text{rhs}(p)$ is equal to a word $a \in A$. Suppose $q \in P(F(S''))$ is the certificate of this congruence. Then substitute pt'^{-1} with $t'^{-1} \text{ConjP}(1, \text{Trans}(p, q))$.

Similarly replace for every occurrence of pt' , with $\text{rhs}(p)$ equal to some word $b \in B$, and q a certificate of this congruence, replace pt' with $t' \text{ConjP}(-1, \text{Trans}(p, q))$.

6.1.1 Proof Lengths

It is important to apply the rewriting procedure from left to right. This will usually produce shorter proof certificates. Consider normalizing $t'^{-1}wt'vt'^{-1}$ with $w, v \in F(S'')$. Suppose we normalize right to left. $t'v$ is normalized to pt' with $p \in P(F(S \times \mathbb{Z}))$. After that substitution the new word $t'^{-1}wp$, with $wp \in P(F(S \times \mathbb{Z}))$. Suppose $\text{rhs}(wp)$ is normalized to $q \in P(F(S \times \mathbb{Z}))$. Then the final normalized word is $\text{conjP}(1, \text{Trans}(wp, q))t'^{-1}$.

The proof part of $\text{Trans}(wp, q)$ is $\text{MulFree}(w)(\text{Left}(p))\text{Left}(q)$.

Normalizing the other way, we first normalize $t'^{-1}w$ to $p_2t'^{-1}$ with $p_2 \in P(F(S \times \mathbb{Z}))$. Then the new word is $p_2vt'^{-1}$. Suppose $\text{rhs}(p_2v)$ is normalized to $q_2 \in P(F(S \times \mathbb{Z}))$. Then the final normalized word is $t'^{-1}\text{conjP}(-1, \text{Trans}(p_2v, q_2))$.

The proof part of $\text{Trans}(p_2v, q)$ is $\text{Left}(p_2)\text{Left}(q)$. There is no use of MulFree since $\text{Left}(v) = 1$. On average using MulFree will make words longer, and so the left to right normalization produces shorter proofs. The left to right normalization was found to produce shorter proofs in practice.

7 Injectivity

The correctness of the algorithm relies on the fact that the map ψ_2 is an injective map. Since ψ_2 is injective, if $p \in P(F(S))$ is a witness of the congruence $\psi_2(a) = \psi_2(b) \bmod \psi_2(r)$, then there must exist a certificate q of the congruence $a = b \bmod r$. The question is how to compute this. The proof of this congruence relies on the fact that the canonical maps into an amalgamated product of groups are injective. However this proof relies on the law of the excluded middle, so it cannot be translated into an algorithm to compute q . (Cite proof of amalgamated product).

Suppose $p \in P(F(S))$ is a witness of the congruence $\psi_2(a) = \psi_2(b) \bmod \psi_2(r)$. It is not necessarily the case that k is a multiple of n in every occurrence of t^k in p . For example $p := ([t][ta^{-1}t^{-1}][t]^{-1}, 1) \in P(F(S))$ is a witness of the congruence $r = 1$. Both $\text{lhs}(p)$ and $\text{rhs}(p)$ are in the image of ψ_2 for $n = 2$, when r is in the image of ψ_2 , but p is not in the image of $P(\psi_2)$. However where there are occurrences of t , they are all cancelled after lhs is applied, in fact you could remove every occurrence of t from p and still have a certificate of the same congruence.

Definition 7.1. Given a word $w \in F(S)$, define the set of partial exponent sums of a letter $t \in S$ to be the set of exponent sums of all the initial words of w . For example, the partial exponent sums of t in $t^n a t$ are the exponent sums of t in t^n , $t^n a$ and $t^n a t$.

Definition 7.2. (*PowProofAux*) PowProofAux is a map $F(S) \rightarrow F(S \cup \{t'\})$, where t' is some letter not in S . PowProofAux replaces every occurrence of t^k with $t'^a t'^b$ in such a way that $a + nb = k$, and every partial exponent sum of t' in $\text{PowProofAux}(w)$ is either not a multiple of n , or it is zero.

Definition 7.3. (*PowProof*) PowProof is a map $F(S) \rightarrow F(S)$. $\text{PowProof}(w)$ is defined to be $\text{PowProofAux}(w)$, but with every occurrence of t' replaced with 1.

Theorem 7.4. For any $p \in F(F(S))$ if $\text{EvalProof}(p) = \psi_2(w)$, and r is in the image of ψ_2 , then $\text{EvalProof}(\text{PowProof}(p)) = w$.

Lemma 7.4.1. Consider $\prod_{i=1}^a s_i^{k_i}$, as an element of the $F(S \cup \{t'\})$ with $s_i \in S \cup \{t'\}$ (Note that this is not necessarily a reduced word k_i may be zero and s_i may be equal to s_{i+1}). Suppose every partial product $\prod_{i=1}^b s_i^{k_i}$, with $b \leq a$ has the property that if the exponent sum of t' is a multiple of n , then it is zero. Suppose also that $\prod_{i=1}^b s_i^{k_i}$ has the property that for every occurrence of t'^k in the reduced product, k is a multiple of n . Then the reduced word $\prod_{i=1}^a s_i^{k_i}$ can be written without an occurrence t' .

Proof of Lemma 6.4.1. $\prod_{i=0}^a s_i^{k_i}$ can be written as a reduced word $\prod_{i=1}^c u_i^{k'_i}$ such that k'_i is never equal to zero and $u_i \neq u_{i+1}$ for any i . The set of partial products of this $\prod_{i=1}^c u_i^{k'_i}$ is a subset of the set of partial products of $\prod_{i=1}^a s_i^{k_i}$, therefore the exponent sum of t' in every partial product of $\prod_{i=1}^a s_i^{k_i}$, is either 0 or not a multiple of n . However, by assumption every occurrence t'^k in $\prod_{i=0}^a s_i^{k_i}$, k , is a multiple of n , so the exponent sum of t' in every partial product is 0. So $\prod_{i=1}^a s_i^{k_i}$ does not contain t' .

Proof of Theorem 6.4

If $\text{lhs}(p)$ is in the image of ψ_2 , then $\text{lhs}(\text{PowProofAux}(p))$ has the property that for occurrence of t'^k , then k is a multiple of n . $p' := \text{PowProofAux}(p)$ can be written as a product of the form in Lemma 6.4.1. If $r' = \prod_i u_i^{l_i}$, then to write $\text{lhs}(p')$ in this form, send $\left(\prod_i \left[\prod_{j=1}^a s_{ij}^{k_j}\right], \prod_i v_i^{m_i}\right) \in P(F(S \cup \{t'\}))$, to $\left(\prod_i \left(\prod_{j=1}^a s_{ij}^{k_j}\right) \left(\prod_j u_j^{l_j}\right) \left(\prod_{j=1}^a s_{i(a-j)}^{-k_{a-j}}\right)\right) \prod_i v_i^{m_i}$. Therefore by 6.4.1, $\text{lhs}(\text{PowProof}(p)) = \text{lhs}(\text{PowProofAux}(p))$, and so $\text{PowProof}(p)$ has the desired property.