

Review of Water Thresholds - Gnangara

Christopher Kavazos, Grant Buller, Pierre Horwitz, Ray Froend

09 October, 2019

Executive Summary

[SUMMARY TABLE OF OUTCOMES]

Contents

Executive Summary	2
Introduction	6
Rainfall	7
Methods	7
Vegetation monitoring	7
Aquatic invertebrate monitoring	7
Statistical analyses and monitoring	7
Managerial obligation assessment	7
General observations	9
Individual wetland descriptions	9
Lake Goollelal	9
Hydrology	11
Vegetation dynamics	11
Aquatic invertebrates	14
Revised water level threshold effects	14
Loch McNess	17
Hydrology	17
Vegetation dynamics	17
Revised water level threshold effects	21
Lake Yonderup	24
Hydrology	24
Vegetation dynamics	24
Revised water level threshold effects	24
Lake Joondalup	27
Hydrology	27
Vegetation Dynamics	27
Aquatic Invertebrates	30
Revised water level threshold effects	30
Lake Mariginiup	37
Hydrology	37
Vegetation dynamics	37
Aquatic invertebrates	37
Revised thresholds	37

Lake Jandabup	44
Hydrology	44
Vegetation dynamics	44
Aquatic invertebrates	44
Revised water level threshold effects	44
Lake Nowergup	50
Hydrology	50
Vegetation Dynamics	50
Macroinvertebrates Dynamic	50
Revised water level threshold effects	50
Lake Wilgarup	57
Hydrology	57
Vegetation dynamics	57
Revised water level threshold effects	57
Pipidinny Swamp	62
Hydrology	62
Revised water level threshold effects	62
Lexia 186	64
Hydrology	64
Vegetation dynamics	64
Revised water level threshold effects	64
Melaleuca Park 173	69
Hydrology	69
Vegetation dynamics	69
Aquatic Invertebrates	69
Revised water level threshold effects	69
Melaleuca Park 78	76
Hydrology	76
Vegetation dynamics	76
Aquatic invertebrates	76
Revised water level threshold effects	76
MM59B - Whiteman Park East	83
Hydrology	83
PM9 - Pinjar North	85
Hydrology	85
WM1 - Pinjar	87

Hydrology	87
Vegetation	87
WM2 - Melaleuca Park North	89
Hydrology	89
Vegetation dynamics	89
WM8 - Melaleuca Park	91
Hydrology	91
Lake Gwelup	93
Hydrology	93
Vegetation dynamics	93
Revised water level threshold effects	93
Quin Brook	99
Hydrology	99
Vegetation dynamics	99
Gingin Brook	102
Hydrology	102
Summary	106
Overview	106
Vegetation	106
Aquatic Invertebrates	106
Management objectives	106
Conclusions	106
References	106

Introduction

This report details an analysis that reviews the ecological impacts of revised proposed water level thresholds for wetlands in the Gbangara mound.

Full analysis can be found at (<https://github.com/ChrisKav/DWER-Thresholds-2019>)

[OUTLINE OF REPORT STRUCTURE]

[TABLE OF WETLANDS <- THE DUNE COMPLEX THEY BELONG TO, WHETHER VEG/INVERT MONITORING, COORDINATES]




Figure 1: Annual rainfall data reported for Perth Airport (BOM Site 9021) for 1950 - 2018. Dotted line represent average annual rainfall for the entire period. Solid line represents a 5-year moving average of annual rainfall data.

Rainfall

Annual rainfall for the region has been in decline since 1950 (Figure 1). Since the mid 1990's rainfall has generally been below the longterm average. June to August represent the wettest months of the year and December to March usually with little rainfall (Figure 2).

Methods

Vegetation monitoring

Aquatic invertebrate monitoring

Statistical analyses and monitoring

Managerial obligation assessment




Figure 2: Monthly rainfall data reported for Perth Airport (BOM Site 9021) for 1950 - 2018.




Figure 3: Period of survey for each wetland.

General observations

Vegetation transects have been monitored at wetlands since 1996 to 2018, although not all wetlands have been monitored every year (Figure 3)

Macroinvertebrate communities have shifted during the study period, with some wetlands on similar trajectories (Figure 4).

Individual wetland descriptions

Lake Goollelal

Lake Goollelal, located within the Yellagonga Regional Park, is recognised as an important waterbird habitat and drought refuge (FROEND 2006) as well as habitat for the Swan River Goby (*Pseudogobius olorum*) and the Western Pygmy Perch (*Edelia vittata*) (WAWA 1995). The permanent deep waters found in the lake not only provides significant habitat for fauna and fringing vegetation, but also hold significant value as a place of public enjoyment. [COMMENT ON SURROUNDING URBANISATION?]




Figure 4: Ordination plot of all samples collect at each wetland during the survey period (1996-2018). Arrows represnt change from first survey to last survey. Wetlands include Lake Goollelal (GOO), Lake Jandabup (JAN), Lake Joondalup (JOO), Lake Mariginiup (MAR), Loch McNess (MCS), Melaleuca Park 173 (MEL), Lake Nowergup (NOW) and Lake Yonderup (YON).

Table 1: Five year summaries of surface water level data at Lake Goollelal recorded at staff 6162517

Period	Mean max seasonal level (mAHD)	Mean min seasonal level (mAHD)	Mean seasonal change (m)	Month of maximum	Month of minimum	Mean max to min (days)
08/1994 - 07/1999	27.5	26.8	0.78	October	May	207
08/1999 - 07/2004	27.5	26.7	0.80	September	March	206
08/2004 - 07/2009	27.4	26.6	0.75	September	April	137
08/2009 - 07/2014	27.2	26.5	0.73	October	April	190
08/2014 - 07/2019	27.4	26.7	0.68	November	April	139

Hydrology

Surface water levels recorded at Lake Goollelal reveal peak levels generally occur between September and November and lowest water levels between March and May (Table 1). There has been a consistent range of about 0.7 m in annual water level during this period. There has been a general trend of decreasing surface water levels since 1995, although recent increases since 2016 show surface waters at a similar depth to 1990 levels (Figure 5). Surface water levels show similar trends to groundwater levels at a nearby bore (61611870) as the lake is largely fed by groundwater. Although the preferred minimum threshold of 26.0 mAHD has not been breached, it is likely the threshold is set too low as acidification of waters in the lake is a concern (Quintero Vasquez 2018). Proposed changes to the Ministerial Criteria include adopting a higher threshold level of 26.4 mAHD. The proposed threshold can be met at 2030 based on modelling (Can we reference their model?).

Vegetation dynamics

The composition of vegetation at Lake Goollelal has been assessed 14 times between 1997 and 2014 at four plots along an established transect [I NEED TO READ THE 2014 VEG REPORT]. Plot A represents fringing *Melaleuca raphiophylla*/*Eucalyptus rudis* vegetation and a stable community of the native sedges, *Baumea articulata* and *Lepidosperma gladiatum*. The *M. raphiophylla*/*E. rudis* complex continues throughout the transect, which has also remained relatively stable in terms of cover abundance since 2002. There is a high richness of exotic vegetation species present at the lake. Generally, these exotic species have increased in abundance during the survey period (Figure 6).

Ordination reveals that Plot A has a distinct assemblage to the other plots but has displayed similar shifts in vegetation composition during the monitoring period (Figure 7). All plots show an initial shift in community cover abundance from the 1997 survey and a return to 1997-like composition in the recent survey years. Plot D displays a different pattern, probably due to the record of *B. articulata* in 1997 [SHOULD CONFIRM THIS WITH GRANT] and the high cover abundance of exotic species. Bayesian regression analysis predicts many species to increase in cover abundance with declining surface water levels, while *B. articulata* is predicted to decrease significantly in cover abundance (Figure 8). Native species thought to increase in cover abundance with declining surface water levels include *Pennisetum clandestinum*, and *Microtis media*, while cover abundance of *M. raphiophylla* and *E. rudis* will likely remain stable or only increase slightly. Many exotic species are likely to increase in cover abundance under a scenario of declining surface waters, including *Briza maxima*, *Fumaria capreolata*, *Setaria palmifolia* and *Sparaxis bulbifera*.




Figure 5: Ground and surface water levels recorded at staff 6162517 for Lake Goollalal. Red segments on fitted line represent statistically significant periods of declining water levels and blue segments represent statistically significant periods of increasing water levels. Dotted line is the current ministerial absolute minimum water levels. Dashed line is the proposed 2030 minimum threshold level.




Figure 6: Cover abundances for each species across the four plots (A, B, C, D) at the Lake Goolllelal transect. Invasive species are denoted by 'X'. Only the most common species are included.

Aquatic invertebrates

Revised water level threshold effects

Revised thresholds will likely maintain ecological conditions similar to present (Table 2).

Table 2: Ecological consequences of revised thresholds in terms of compliance of stated site values and site management objectives at Lake Goollelal.

	Likely effect of 2030 revised thresholds	Future Compliance
Site values		
* Waterbird habitat and drought refuge		Yes
* Supports good populations of native fish species, Swan River goby (<i>Pseudogobius olorum</i>) and the western pygmy perch (<i>Edelia vittata</i>)		Yes
Site management objectives		
* Conservation and public enjoyment of natural and modified landscapes		Yes
* Protect and if possible enhance, fringing wetland vegetation including woodland and sedge vegetation		Yes
* Maintain permanent, deep water for waterbird habitat and as a drought refuge		Yes
* Maintain permanent water for fish and other dependent species		Yes
* Maintain the landscape amenity values of the wetland		Yes




Figure 7: Unconstrained ordination based on the latent variable model for each surveyed year for Lake Goolllelal. Plots are represented as different colours and consecutive years are joined by a line with first and last survey years labeled.




Figure 8: Estimated mean regression coefficients (dots) and 95% credible intervals (bars) for effect of ground water levels at Melaleuca Park 78 on vegetation species cover abundances based on Bayesian Regression Analysis (HUI REF 2015). Species with a negative mean posterior value are likely to increase in cover abundance as water levels decline while species with positive posterior values are likely to increase in cover abundance with increasing water levels. Only those species with coefficients significantly different to zero are shown.

Loch McNess

Loch McNess, located in Yanchep National Park, is a relatively undisturbed wetland with large areas of intact Herdsman Complex vegetation. The lake has had relatively good water quality and provides an important habitat for water birds and other aquatic fauna (FROEND 2004). Permanent water is required to support a local Rakali (*Hydromys chrysogaster*) population and resident as well as visiting populations of water birds and waders. The southern lake at Loch McNess is one of the few wetlands known to contain the nightfish *Bostokia porosa* and has one of the most rich aquatic macroinvertebrate communities of the Swan Coastal Plain. Loch McNess is a wetland of high conservation value because of its intact vegetation, largely unaltered aquatic processes and important populations of fauna (FROEND 2004).

Hydrology

Since early 2011, readings for the staff gauge at Loch McNess have frequently been below the gauge's limit. It is therefore likely the decline in surface water levels have continued pasted the levels shown in Figure 9. Nonetheless, surface water, which were remarkably stable before 2003 at 7 mAHD, have declined at least 1.5 m to present levels. These declines have been mirrored in surrounding bores (Figure 9). Mean maximum and minimum seasonal water levels have decline by 0.9 m since 1994-2004 levels (Table 3). Changes in seasonal patterns are difficult to interpret due to staff gauge 6162564 being mostly dry since 2014, but during the period 2009-2014, minimum water levels were not being reached until May, compared to March in the decade 1994-2004. A recent increase in water level, as seen in surrounding wetlands during the last few seasons, has not been observed at Loch McNess. The dramatic decline in water levels is causing the terrestrialisation of the lake as much of the lake bed is now undergoing recruitment by fringing vegetation.

The lake has been non-compliant with ministerial water levels since 2003 and water levels are now approximately 1.0 m below this threshold. Modelling of ground water levels under proposed abstraction reductions will not provide sufficient increases in ground water to make this wetland compliant with existing thresholds. Under the new plan, a proposed threshold of 8.0 mAHD at bore 61612104 will satisfy the proposed threshold of surface waters in the lake at 6.2 mAHD (0.75 m below existing threshold).

Vegetation dynamics

A vegetation monitoring transect was established in 2004 with three plots (A, B, and C) plus an additional up-slope plot in 2009 (Plot D) and a plot down-slope of Plot A in 2010 (Plot E; Figure 10). The fringing vegetation is largely comprised of a *Melaleuca raphiophylla*/*Eucalyptus rudis* complex. Most trees are in average to good health (BULLER 2019). *Baumea juncea* is found in Plots A -D at relatively constant cover abundance. *Baumea articulata*, however, disappeared from Plot A in 2005 and was present in the new down-slope plot (Plot E) until 2014. (REASON FOR DISAPPEARANCE?)

Plots A and B have shifted in community composition dramatically during the monitoring period as the vegetation responds to lower surface water levels in the lake and the impact of fire in 2004 and 2009 (BULLER 2018 REPORT) (Figure 11). Regression analysis reveals that the exotic *Avena barbata* and the native *Tricoryne elatior* will increase the most in cover abundance as water levels in the lake remain low or decline further (Figure 12). The natives, *Carex fascicularis*, *Triglochin centrocarpa* and *M. raphiophylla* are most likely to decline dramatically at the wetland under a scenario of continued low water levels.




Figure 9: Ground and surface water levels recorded at bores 61612104 (red) and staff gauge 6162564 (blue) that represent changes in water levels at Loch McNess. Segments in red represent periods of significant decline in water level. Dotted line is the current ministerial threshold water level for surface waters at the staff gauge. Dashed lines are proposed ministerial thresholds for the staff gauge and bore.

Table 3: Five year summaries of surface water level data at Loch McNess

Period	Mean max seasonal level (mAHD)	Mean min seasonal level (mAHD)	Mean seasonal change (m)	Month of maximum	Month of minimum	Mean max to min (days)
08/1994 - 07/1999	7.1	7.0	0.11	September	March	123
08/1999 - 07/2004	7.1	6.9	0.12	July	March	91
08/2004 - 07/2009	7.0	6.8	0.21	June	February	131
08/2009 - 07/2014	6.5	6.2	0.31	October	May	229
08/2014 - 07/2019	6.2	6.1	0.11	December	July	25




Figure 10: Cover abundances for each species across the five plots (A, B, C, D and E) at the Loch McNess transect. Plot D was established up-slope from Plot C in 2009. Plot E was established down-slope of Plot A in 2010. Invasive species are denoted by ‘X’. Only the most common species are included.

Revised water level threshold effects

Managing the lake at the proposed thresholds will continue the deterioration of site values at Loch McNess (Table 4).

Table 4: Ecological consequences of revised thresholds in terms of compliance of stated site values and site management objectives at Loch McNess.

	Likely effect of 2030 revised thresholds	Future Compliance
Site values		
* Undisturbed wetland	Sustained low water levels will continue to cause a shift in vegetation composition	No
* Unusual hydrologic regime		
* Rich aquatic fauna		No
* Vegetation largely intact, provides a range of habitat types		No
* Supports good populations of water birds and acts as a drought refuge		
* Excellent water quality		No
Site management objectives		
* Maintain the environmental quality of the lake		
* Maintain North Loch McNess' pristine state		No
* Continue to use south Loch McNess for low key recreation		
* Maintain east Loch McNess in a natural state, to restore, where possible, natural flow		
* Maintain the existing hydrological regime	The loss of stable water levels (once a characteristic of the lake) have deteriorated to the point where water levels have declined by 1.5 m and are susceptible to further declines under a drying climate.	No




Figure 11: Unconstrained ordination based on the latent variable model for each surveyed year for Loch McNess. Plots are represented as different colours and consecutive years are joined by a line with first and last survey years labeled.




Figure 12: Estimated mean regression coefficients (dots) and 95% credible intervals (bars) for effect of ground water levels at Loch McNess on vegetation species cover abundances based on Bayesian Regression Analysis (HUI REF 2015). Species with a negative mean posterior value are likely to increase in cover abundance as water levels decline while species with positive values are predicted to increase in cover abundance with water increasing water levels. Only those species with coefficients significantly different to zero are shown.

Table 5: Five year summaries of surface water level data at Yonderup

Period	Mean max seasonal level (mAHD)	Mean min seasonal level (mAHD)	Mean seasonal change (m)	Month of maximum	Month of minimum	Mean max to min (days)
08/1994 - 07/1999	6.0	5.9	0.07	August	September	82
08/1999 - 07/2004	6.0	5.9	0.06	September	February	144
08/2004 - 07/2009	5.9	5.9	0.06	April	April	130
08/2009 - 07/2014	5.9	5.7	0.19	September	April	212
08/2014 - 07/2019	5.8	5.6	0.25	September	March	218

Lake Yonderup

Located to the south of Loch McNess and north of Lake Wilgarup in Yanchep National Park, Lake Yonderup has a high conservation value as it represents a largely undisturbed wetland with high macroinvertebrate richness and excellent water quality. The permanently filled lake is dependent on ground water to maintain habitats and biophysical processes (FROEND 2004 82422). Like other lakes in the region, Lake Yonderup has experienced a consistent decline in surface water levels that has affected the condition and health of fringing vegetation and aquatic processes. A fire effected the fringing vegetation in 2004/2005 (ROGAN et al 2006 - BULLER REPORT).

Hydrology

There has been a continual decline in surface water levels at staff gauge 6162565 since 1994. Prior to 1994, water levels were relatively stable at 6 mAHD but have since declined to approximately 5.3 mAHD (Figure 13). There has been no increase in surface water levels with recent high rainfall seasons. Mean maximum and minimum seasonal water levels have only declined 0.2 and 0.3 m, respectively from 1994-1999 levels (Table 5). There has been nearly a four fold increase in seasonal water level variation and waters are generally now in decline for more than 200 days a year. The bore 61611840 is located near the vegetation transects and represents the ground water levels in the superficial aquifer that the vegetation at the transect is utilising. There has been a similar decline in ground water levels at this bore until 2017, although observations have only been recorded since 2008. Therefore surface water levels are used to assess changes in vegetation as surface water is likely an expression of the superficial aquifer and show similar trends (FROEND 2004 REPORT).

Vegetation dynamics

The vegetation transect, established in 1997, is located 750 m south of the basin and is therefore not representative of vegetation at the wetland itself. The lake provides habitat for *Baumea articulata* although there is recent evidence of *Typha orientalis* invading the wetland (SIMONS REPROT). At the vegetation monitoring transects, the site was reported to have a rich exotic community before monitoring began in 1997 and this characteristic of the site has persisted. Currently, exotics account for 60% of the cover abundance and native richness has been declining (BULLER) (Figure 14). The shifts in vegetation composition at each plot changed dramatically since 1997 but largely stabilised in the late 2000's (Figure 15). There was a dramatic shift in vegetation composition after the 2004/2005 fire. All the native species, including *Banksia attenuata* and *Melaleuca preissiana*, are likely to decline in cover abundance under a scenario of sustain low water levels or further declining ground waters (Figure 16).

Revised water level threshold effects

Managing the lake at the proposed thresholds will continue the deterioration of site values at Lake Yonderup (Table 6).




Figure 13: Surface water levels recorded at staff gauge 6162565 for Lake Yonderup. Red segments along trendline indicate preiods of significant decline in ground water levels and blue segments represent significant increases in ground water level.




Figure 14: Cover abundances for each species across the four plots (A, B, C, D) at the Lake Yonderup transect. Invasive species are denoted by ‘X’. Only the most common species are included.

Table 6: Ecological consequences of revised thresholds in terms of compliance of stated site values and site management objectives at Lake Yonderup.

	Likely effect of 2030 revised thresholds	Future Compliance
Site values		
High ecological values due to undisturbed nature		
Rich invertebrate fauna		
Excellent water quality		
Undisturbed hydrologic regime and lack of seasonal variation		
<i>Banksia</i> woodland <8m depth to groundwater		
Site management objectives		
Maintain the environmental quality of the lake		
Maintain the existing hydrological regime		

Lake Joondalup

At 611.5 ha, Lake Joondalup is the largest monitored wetland and is managed by the Department of Biodiversity, Conservation and Attractions. The lake is an important habitat and drought refuge for water birds, and in conjunction with Lake Goollelal, is managed to support the full range of avian habitats (WAWA 1995). Other management objectives include the conservation of diverse wetland vegetation communities, including sedge beds, fringing woodlands and aquatic macrophytes, and the maintenance or enhancement of aquatic fauna in the lake. Lake Joondalup supports an important population of Pygmy Perch (*Edelia vittata*) and Swan River Goby (*Pseudogobius olorum*) and the fringing woodlands and bushland support a variety of significant mammal species.

Hydrology

Lake Joondalup has remained permanently inundated at the staff gauge since 1986 (REFERENCE Chapter 5 Horwitz et al). However, vast regions of the basin dry most summers and provide habitat for visiting water birds. Recent monitoring of surface water levels at the staff gauge 6162572 remained relatively stable from 2002 but have been increasing from 16.4 mAHD to approximately 17.2 mAHD in 2019 (Figure 17). Five-year summaries of hydrological regimes at Lake Joondalup also reveal the higher mean minimum and maximum surface water levels in the latest period compared to earlier periods, as well as an increase in the number of days to reach seasonal minimum water levels (Table 7). Historically, groundwater levels at monitoring bore 61610661 declined significantly by 1.2 m from 1970 to 2002. Currently, groundwater levels at this bore, as well as bore 61611423 (likely to better reflect lake surface water variation), have been increasing since 2015 to levels similar to the early 1990s.

Vegetation Dynamics

Vegetation surveys have been conducted along a northern (Figure 18) and southern (Figure 19) transect at Lake Joondalup since 1996 and were last surveyed in 2015. *Melaleuca raphiophylla* dominates the overstorey of plots in the northern transect while exotic species are abundant in the understory vegetation. There has been an increasing trend in cover abundance of the exotics *Bromus diandrus*, *Ehrharta longiflora*, *Euphorbia terracina*, *Fumaria muralis* and *Peargonium capitatum* in recent years. Fires in 2003 reduced the canopy condition and abundance of *M. raphiophylla* in the southern transect, and despite the slightly higher cover abundance of native species, native and exotic species richness is equal along the transect. The site also contains healthy stands of *Baumea articulata* in the submerged regions of the transect.

All plots in both transects have displayed similar trends in community compositional change during the survey periods (Figure 20). In the southern transect, latent model ordination reveals separation of the plots




Figure 15: Unconstrained ordination based on the latent variable model for each surveyed year for Lake Yonderup. Plots are represented as different colours and consecutive years are joined by a line with first and last survey years labeled.




Figure 16: Estimated mean regression coefficients (dots) and 95% credible intervals (bars) for effect of ground water levels at Lake Yonderup on vegetation species cover abundances based on Bayesian Regression Analysis (HUI REF 2015). Species with a negative mean posterior value are likely to increase in cover abundance as water levels decline. Only those species with coefficients significantly different to zero are shown.

Table 7: Five year summaries of surface water level data at Lake Joondalup

Period	Mean max seasonal level (mAHD)	Mean min seasonal level (mAHD)	Mean seasonal change (m)	Month of maximum	Month of minimum	Mean max to min (days)
08/1994 - 07/1999	17.2	16.2	0.96	September	April	213
08/1999 - 07/2004	17.0	16.1	0.92	October	April	179
08/2004 - 07/2009	16.9	16.1	0.79	October	April	181
08/2009 - 07/2014	16.9	16.1	0.82	October	March	173
08/2014 - 07/2019	17.2	16.5	0.68	October	April	206

along the first axis, with a general temporal trend along the second axis, except for a period around 2003 - 2006 where there was a hiatus. This hiatus may be associated with the 2003 bushfire and represents a recovery period where species composition changed little. The trajectory for plot A is different, however, as the trend away from the original 1996 survey has reversed and the contemporary community is now becoming more like the 1996 communities. Similar patterns have been observed in the northern transect despite the transect not being impacted by the 2003 fire event. A number of native species are likely to increase in cover abundance at the transects if water levels remain at present levels or increase further, including *Baumea articulata* (Figure 21). Other natives are likely to decline in cover abundance under a similar scenario of high water levels, including a number of *Acacia saligna*, *Banksia menziesii* and *Banksia prionotes*.

Aquatic Invertebrates

The recent increases in surface water levels has increased the pH from 6.8 in 2016 to 8.4 in 2018 and increased alkalinity to 206 mg/L. Recent nutrient levels have been decreasing. [I NEED THIS DATA TO ANALYSE TRENDS]

Aquatic invertebrates have been sampled from Lake Joondalup every year since 1996. During this period, 16-30 families of aquatic invertebrates have been recorded per sampling event, except for the latest round in 2018 where family richness was only nine. This exceptionally low family richness was likely due to the lack of insects and associated parasitic mites among the sampled communities. The phreatoicid isopod *Amphisopus palustris* was also absent in 2018 despite being collected every spring in Lake Joondalup (except 2004). Furthermore, this reduced richness occurred during a period of relatively high surface water levels, suggesting other anthropogenic factors may be responsible for the decline of insect fauna within the lake. Otherwise, the lake hosts abundant populations of Ceinidae (amphipods), *Palaemonetes australis* (crustacean), *Calanoid copepods* and Cyprididae (ostracods). [ANALYSE INVERTS HERE]

Revised water level threshold effects

The water levels in the vicinity of Lake Joondalup are expected to increase up to 2.1m by 2030 from 2013 levels based on the revised groundwater allocations. This increase in water level will continue the increasing trend being observed in the lake's surface water levels since 2015. Maintaining surface water levels above 16.2mAHD at staff 6162572 will ensure permanent water habitat for fauna and flora and the visual amenity of the area (Table 8). The diverse macrophytes inhabiting plot A and B of both transects are likely to persist and continue to provide a rich habitat for aquatic vertebrates. Although important native macrophytes and wetland species are likely to continue at relatively high cover abundances under the future scenario, there are some native species that are likely to decrease in cover abundance or disappear. This group mainly includes *Acacia* and *Banksia* species which provide important habitat for fauna up-slope of the lake. Further vegetation monitoring is required at these transects to determine vegetation compositional changes since 2015 to understand if the trajectory in compositional change is continuing.




Figure 17: Surface water levels recorded at staff gauge 6162572 for Lake Joondalup. Red segments along trendline indicate periods of significant decline in groundwater levels and blue segments represent significant increases in groundwater level.




Figure 18: Cover abundances for each species across the four plots (A, B, C, D) at the northern Lake Joondalup transect. Invasive species are denoted by 'X'. Only the most common species are included.




Figure 19: Cover abundances for each species across the four plots (A, B, C, D) at the southern Lake Joondalup transect. Invasive species are denoted by 'X'. Only the most common species are included.

Table 8: Ecological consequences of revised thresholds in terms of compliance of stated site values and site management objectives at Lake Joondalup.

	Likely effect of 2030 revised thresholds	Future Compliance
Site values		
Water bird habitat and drought refuge	The proposed increases in groundwater levels around the lake will ensure the site remains an important water bird habitat. The proposed increases will also ensure the lake is permanently inundated, which will ensure the lake is a drought refuge for water birds.	Yes
Diverse range of macrophytes	The current diversity of macrophytes, including <i>B. articulata</i> , <i>B. juncea</i> and <i>L. longitudinale</i> , will continue. There is the possibility of these species extending into current terrestrial regions of the lake.	Yes
Site management objectives		
Conservation and public enjoyment of natural and modified landscapes		Yes
Conserve existing wetland vegetation, including sedge beds, fringing woodland and aquatic macrophytes	The predicted increases in groundwater levels will ensure the current wetland at a state similar to 2015. It is possible that sustained increases in groundwater levels will extend the range of these species around the lake by ‘migrating’ up slope.	Yes
Maintain and if possible, enhance the aquatic fauna of the lake		
In conjunction with Lake Goollelal, to support the full range of habitats for avian fauna	The maintenance of permanent surface water and wetland vegetation will continue to provide a diverse habitat for different avian species. [NEED TO COMMENT ON AQ INVERTS AS FOOD]	Yes
Ensure the landscape and amenity values of the lake are maintained, except under very low rainfall climatic conditions		Yes




Figure 20: Unconstrained ordination based on the latent variable model for each surveyed year for the northern (left) and southern (right) Lake Joondalup transects. Plots are represented as different colours and consecutive years are joined by a line with first and last survey years labeled.




Figure 21: Estimated mean regression coefficients (dots) and 95% credible intervals (bars) for effect of ground water levels at the northern (left) and southern (right) Lake Joondalup transects on vegetation species cover abundances based on Bayesian Regression Analysis (HUI REF 2015). Species with a negative mean posterior value are likely to increase in cover abundance as water levels decline and species with positive values are likely to increase in cover abundance when water levels increase. Only those species with coefficients significantly different to zero are shown.

Lake Mariginiup

Lake Mariginiup has a high conservation value (FROEND 2004 REPROT) ground water dependent wetland (FROEND 2004 REPORT). There are a number of wader birds present at the lake that require the shallow water during the summer for feeding, however, high water levels are required in winter to prevent vegetation encroachment into these habitats. The dramatic decline in surface and ground waters has likely diminished this important component of the system. Sediment processes have been altered as soils dry and water quality is deteriorating due to acidification (GMEMP 2009).

Hydrology

Since 1997, Lake Mariginiup has frequently dried or been dry at the staff gauge 6162577 during the summer. Interpretations of seasonal patterns therefore need to be made with caution and perhaps it is more reliable to use ground water levels at the nearby bore 616100685 as a proxy (Figure 22). Nonetheless, mean season maximum water levels have declined from 42.0 m to 41.4 m since the 1994-1999 period (Table ??). Maximum water levels usually occur in September/October. There has been a recent increase in ground water level since 2015 which has caused maximum spring surface levels to increase. Proposed changes in 2030 abstraction are projected to increase surface water levels by 3.9 m and meet a threshold level of 42.1 mAH. This will increase surface waters to levels higher than has been recorded during the monitoring program.

Vegetation dynamics

Vegetation composition and shifts in composition are similar along the length of the transect at Lake Mariginiup which was established in 1996 (Figure 23). *Baumea articulata* was present at high cover abundance throughout the transect until the early 2000's, but has since disappeared as surface water levels declined. *Eucalyptus rudis* has declined in the lower parts of the plots and *Melaleuca raphiophylla* is no longer present at the transect. There has been a general increase in the cover abundances of exotics throughout the monitoring period. There was a shift in community composition at all three plots around 2005 which was driven by increases in *Exocarpus sparteus* and *Jacksonia furcellata* and some exotics, such as *Ehrharta calycina*, *Ehrharta longiflora*, *Lotus suaveolens* and *Ursinnia anthemoides*.

Regression analysis reveals a number of native species that will increase in cover abundance with increasing surface water levels (Figure 25). Species likely to increase in cover abundance include *Angianthus* sp., *Epilobium billardierianum*, *Isolepis cernua*, *Juncus* sp., *Lepyrodia muirii*, *Lobelia alata* and *Villarsia capitata*. Other natives, including *Acacia cyclops*, *Acacia saligna* and *E. sparteus*, are likely to decrease in cover abundance as water levels increase.

Aquatic invertebrates

Revised thresholds

The site values of Lake Mariginiup are likely to be maintained under the proposed threshold levels (Table 10).




Figure 22: Ground and surface water levels recorded at bore 61610685 (red) and staff gauge 6162577 (blue) that represent changes in water levels at Lake Mariginiup.

Table 9: Five year summaries of surface water level data at Lake Mariginiup. Minimal water levels should be treated with caution as the staff gauge 6162577 has frequently been dry since 2000.

Period	Mean max seasonal level (mAHD)	Mean min seasonal level (mAHD)	Mean seasonal change (m)	Month of maximum	Month of minimum	Mean max to min (days)
08/1994 - 07/1999	42.0	41.2	0.81	September	February	176
08/1999 - 07/2004	41.8	41.3	0.51	October	July	136
08/2004 - 07/2009	41.5	41.3	0.21	September	July	112
08/2009 - 07/2014	41.3	41.1	0.19	October	January	21
08/2014 - 07/2019	41.4	41.0	0.40	September	January	134




Figure 23: Cover abundances for each species across the three plots (A, B, C) at the Lake Mariginiup transect. Invasive species are denoted by 'X'. Only the most common species are included.

Table 10: Ecological consequences of revised thresholds in terms of compliance of stated site values and site management objectives at Lake Mariginiup.

	Likely effect of 2030 revised thresholds	Future Compliance
Site values		
* Rich aquatic fauna (Swan River Goby, <i>Pseudogobius olorum</i>)		Yes
* Wading bird habitat	Will increased surface waters decline in summer enough to provide important mud flat habitat?	
* Good water quality		Yes
Site management objectives		
* Conservation of flora and fauna		
* Maintenance of the existing areas of fringing sedge vegetation	Likely to increase in cover abundance	Yes
* Maintain invertebrate diversity through some lake bed drying in summer		Yes
* Maintain and if possible, enhance fringing woodland vegetation	<i>Acacia</i> woodland likely to decrease in cover abundance in transect. Can it move further upslope?	




Figure 24: Unconstrained ordination based on the latent variable model for each surveyed year for Lake Mariginiup. Plots are represented as different colours and consecutive years are joined by a line with first and last survey years labeled.




Figure 25: Estimated mean regression coefficients (dots) and 95% credible intervals (bars) for effect of ground water levels at Lake Mariginiup on vegetation species cover abundances based on Bayesian Regression Analysis (HUI REF 2015). Species with a negative mean posterior value are likely to increase in cover abundance as water levels decline and species with positive values are predicted to increase in cover abundance with increasing water levels. Only those species with coefficients significantly different to zero are shown.

Table 11: Five year summaries of surface water level data at Lake Jandabup

Period	Mean max seasonal level (mAHD)	Mean min seasonal level (mAHD)	Mean seasonal change (m)	Month of maximum	Month of minimum	Mean max to min (days)
08/1994 - 07/1999	44.9	44.1	0.81	October	February	156
08/1999 - 07/2004	44.9	44.2	0.64	September	March	151
08/2004 - 07/2009	44.8	44.2	0.59	July	March	108
08/2009 - 07/2014	44.7	44.2	0.52	October	January	164
08/2014 - 07/2019	44.7	44.2	0.51	September	March	182

Lake Jandabup

Lake Jandabup is an artificially watered wetland that supports the most diverse sedge and macrophyte vegetation communities in the Bassendean Dune wetlands (FROEND 2004). Lake Jandabup has a high conservation value as it is one of the few ‘eastern circular wetlands’ to not be permanently acidic. Low rainfall and groundwater abstraction impacts are thought to have caused an acidification event in 1998 and 1999 but restoration of water levels has returned the pH to normal levels (GMEMP 2019). The waters usually have low levels of nutrients and clear waters that supports a diverse aquatic invertebrate community. The abundance of invertebrates and fringing vegetation habitats also allow the wetland to support high numbers of resident and visiting water birds (BAMFORD AND BAMFORD 2003 - See chap 5).

Hydrology

Surface water levels of Lake Jandabup have only declined slightly since 1980 (Figure 26). Mean maximum seasonal water levels are now 0.2m lower than in 1994-1999 but mean minimum seasonal water levels are 0.1m higher than 1994-1999 levels and since 2009, the period of annual maximum to minimum water levels has increased (Table 11). Projected surface water levels are predicted to increase by 3.4 m in 2030 due to proposed changes in abstraction. It is unlikely surface waters will need to be sustained artificially and that an increased threshold level can be proposed.

Vegetation dynamics

The Lake Jandabup wetland consists of a diverse community of native vegetation. In the 2017-2018 season, 43 native species were recorded with only 14% of the total cover abundance belonging to exotic species (BULLER 2018 VEG REPORT). There are four overstorey species present at the wetland, including *Banksia attenuata*, *Banksia ilicifolia*, *Banksia menziesii*, *Eucalyptus rudis* and *Maleleuca preissiana* (Figure 27), all of which have been increasing in health. A dense understorey of *A. scoparia*, *B. elegans* and *H. angustifolium* exists at plots A and B. There has been a continual shift in community composition of Lake Jandabup throughout the monitoring period that reflects changes in invasive species cover abundances (Figure 28). A number of species are predicted to increase in cover abundance with increasing water levels, particularly *Euchilopsis linearis* which is currently present in the lower parts of the basin (Figure 29).

Aquatic invertebrates

Revised water level threshold effects

The site values of Lake Jandabup are likely to be maintained under the proposed changes to ground water abstraction (Table 12).




Figure 26: Ground and surface water levels for Lake Jandabup recorded at bore 61611850 (red) and staff 6162578 (blue). Red segments on fitted line represent statistically significant periods of declining water levels and blue segments represent periods of increasing water levels.




Figure 27: Cover abundances for each species across the four plots (A, B, C, D) at the Lake Jandabup transect. Invasive species are denoted by ‘X’. Only the most common species are included.

Table 12: Ecological consequences of revised thresholds in terms of compliance of stated site values and site management objectives at Lake Jandabup.

	Likely effect of 2030 revised thresholds	Future Compliance
Site values		
* Most diverse sedge and macrophyte vegetation of all Bassendean dune wetlands, including unusual species		
* Supports wide range of waterbirds, especially waders		
* Extremely good water quality with low nutrients		
Site management objectives		
* Conservation of flora and fauna		Yes
* Maintenance of the current extent of wading bird habitat		Yes
* No expansion in the areas of sedge vegetation, but maintenance of existing areas	Modeling does not suggest sedge vegetation is likely to increase	Yes
* Removal of mosquito fish from the lake		
* Maintenance of high species richness of aquatic macroinvertebrates, macrophytes and sedge vegetation		




Figure 28: Unconstrained ordination based on the latent variable model for each surveyed year for Lake Jandabup. Plots are represented as different colours and consecutive years are joined by a line with first and last survey years labeled.




Figure 29: Estimated mean regression coefficients (dots) and 95% credible intervals (bars) for effect of ground water levels at Lake Jandabup on vegetation species cover abundances based on Bayesian Regression Analysis (HUI REF 2015). Species with a negative mean posterior value are likely to increase in cover abundance as water levels decline while species with positive values are likely to increase in cover abundance as water levels increase. Only those species with coefficients significantly different to zero are shown.

Table 13: Five year summaries of ground water level data at Lake Nowergup based on bore 61611247

Period	Mean max seasonal level (mAHD)	Mean min seasonal level (mAHD)	Mean seasonal change (m)	Month of maximum	Month of minimum	Mean max to min (days)
08/1994 - 07/1999	16.6	15.3	1.30	October	May	144
08/1999 - 07/2004	16.5	14.8	1.69	October	May	53
08/2004 - 07/2009	16.6	15.6	1.02	October	January	14
08/2009 - 07/2014	15.6	13.4	2.11	September	March	222
08/2014 - 07/2019	14.9	13.8	1.07	July	April	19

Lake Nowergup

Lake Nowergup is one of the deepest permanent lakes on the Swan Coastal Plain and provides a permanent habitat for aquatic invertebrates and fish, as well as an important drought refuge for water birds. Despite the wetlands being artificially maintained since 1989, water levels have continued to decline. This decline has altered the fringing vegetation of the lake and reduced the area of permanent water.

Hydrology

Since 2010, surface water levels in the lake have decline significantly to levels that are currently below the minimum reading on the staff gauge 6162567 (Figure 30). Ground water levels at the nearby bore 61611247 have shown similar trends as surface water levels. Between 2008 and 2014, ground water levels at the bore have declined by more than 1.0 m. A similar decline in surface waters is likely and measurments from this bore have been used in the vegetation analysis. Currently, ground water levels have increased to above 15 mAHD due to recent rainfall. At bore 61611247, mean seasonal maximum and minimum ground water levels have declined by 1.7 and 1.5 m, respectively from the 1994-1999 to 2014-2019 period (Table 13). Maximum and minimum water levels now tend to occur earlier in the year than previously.

Proposed threshold levels will apply to bore 61610601, where under proposed reduction in abstraction a threshold at 18.0 mAHD should be achievable. This is likely to correspond to threshold level of 16.0 mAHD at the staff gauge, 0.8 m lower than the current threshold.

Vegetation Dynamics

There are two vegetation monitoring transects at Lake Nowergup, one in the northern part of the lake and one in the southern part. Both transects were established in 1996 and the northern one was last surveyed in 2016 while the southern one was last surveyed in 2018.

(“Lake Nowergup South transect was realigned in 2001 due to a lack of wetland species in upland plots and to encompass wetland vegetation at the lake end of the transect (Bertuch et al., 2004)”) - WHAT ARE THE IMPLICATIONS? May need to re-run analyses excluding years before 2001. Don’t know plot elevations before 2001. Will write up once we have made a decision.

Macroinvertebrates Dynamic

Revised water level threshold effects

The site values of Lake Nowergup are unlikely to be maintained under the proposed changes to ground water abstraction (Table 14).




Figure 30: Ground and surface water levels for Lake Nowergup recorded at bore 61610601 (red) and staff gauge 6162567 (blue). The minimum recordable water level for the staff gauge is 16.0 mAHD. Blue dots at 16.0 mAHD represent water levels below the minimum level measurable at the staff gauge. Red segments on fitted line represent statistically significant periods of declining water levels and blue segments represent periods of increasing water levels.




Figure 31: Cover abundances for each species across the four plots (A, B, C, D) at the northern Lake Nowergup transect. Invasive species are denoted by 'X'. Only the most common species are included.




Figure 32: Cover abundances for each species across the four plots (A, B, C, D) at the souther Lake Nowergup transect. Invasive species are denoted by 'X'. Only the most common species are included.

Table 14: Ecological consequences of revised thresholds in terms of compliance of stated site values and site management objectives at Lake Nowergup.

	Likely effect of 2030 revised thresholds	Future Compliance
Site values		
* As a permanent deep-water wetland acts as a major drought refuge for waterbirds	How much lower will water levels go?	
* Supports dependent invertebrates and fish species (one native, Swan River Goby (<i>Pseudogobius olorum</i>); and one exotic, Mosquito fish (<i>Gambusia holbrooki</i>)	Dependent on extent of reduced water area and depth	
* Large areas of sedges minimize impact of nutrient enrichment on aquatic fauna	Likely to be jeopardised with declining water levels	
Site management objectives		
* Wildlife and conservation, scientific study and preservation of features of archaeological, historic or scientific interest		
* Maintain the existing areas of fringing sedge vegetation	Fringing vegetation will need to migrate down-slope	No
* Maintain deep, permanent water as a bird habitat and drought refuge and to protect aquatic invertebrates and fish dependent on permanent water	Declining water levels will jeopardise the lake as a drought refuge	No
* Maintain the existing extent of <i>Baumea</i> fringe between <i>Typha</i> stands and the fringing woodland		
* Provide some area of wading bird habitat at the end of summer, although it is recognized that this is limited by the shape of the wetland.		




Figure 33: Unconstrained ordination based on the latent variable model for each surveyed year for the northern (left) and southern (right) Lake Nowergup transects. Plots are represented as different colours and consecutive years are joined by a line with first and last survey years labeled.




Figure 34: Estimated mean regression coefficients (dots) and 95% credible intervals (bars) for effect of ground water levels at the northern (left) and southern (right) Lake Nowergup transects on vegetation species cover abundances based on Bayesian Regression Analysis (HUI REF 2015). Species with a negative mean posterior value are likely to increase in cover abundance as water levels decline and species with positive values are likely to increase in cover abundance when water levels increase. Only those species with coefficients significantly different to zero are shown.

Table 15: Five year summaries of surface water level data at Lake Wilgarup

Period	Mean max seasonal level (mAHD)	Mean min seasonal level (mAHD)	Mean seasonal change (m)	Month of maximum	Month of minimum	Mean max to min (days)
08/1994 - 07/1999	5.2	4.3	0.91	October	March	184
08/1999 - 07/2004	4.7	4.0	0.73	October	April	193
08/2004 - 07/2009	4.3	3.7	0.62	September	May	150
08/2009 - 07/2014	3.8	3.2	0.59	October	April	190
08/2014 - 07/2019	3.6	3.1	0.55	October	May	212

Lake Wilgarup

Lake Wilgarup is a high conservation, seasonally inundated dampland located in the southern area of Yanchep National Park. The basin covers an area of 16 ha in a limestone depression that experiences discharge from rising ground waters. There are extensive peat deposits in the lake bed that suggest the sediments have been saturated for a long period. Surface waters have not been recorded in the basin since 1998 and peats are now dry and vulnerable to combustion.

Hydrology

Ground water levels have been recorded at the nearby bore 61618500 since 1997 (Figure 35). There has been a significant decline in ground water levels throughout this monitoring period from 4.75 to 3.25 mAHD despite recent increased annual rainfall. Maximum and minimum seasonal ground water levels have decreased by 1.6 and 1.2 m, respectively (Table 15). Maximum water levels have consistently occurred during September-October, but minimum water levels are now occurring later in the year with the site experiencing a longer period of drying. The wetland has been non-compliant with ministerial thresholds for most of the monitoring period. A proposed threshold at 0.5 m lower than the current threshold is likely to be achievable under proposed reductions in abstraction by 2030. These changes in abstraction may result in small increases in ground water levels, but are likely to reduce the risk of further declines.

Vegetation dynamics

A vegetation monitoring transect was established at Lake Wilgarup in 1997 and was last surveyed in 2012. Two additional sites were added to the transect in 2009 down-slope of Plot A. The sedges, *Baumea articulata*, *Baumea juncea* and *Baumea vaginalis* have all disappeared from the wetland during the monitoring period (Figure 36). Tuart trees (*Eucalyptus gomphocephala*) have migrated down slope during the monitoring period and were recorded in Plot A in 2005. Plots A, B and C display similar shifts in community composition during the monitoring period, while Plot D displayed a significant change in composition in 2004-2005 in response to fire (Figure 37). Under a scenario of continuing groundwater decline, regression analysis reveals that a number of exotic species, including *Ehrharta longiflora* and *Bromus diandrus*, are likely to increase in cover abundances (Figure ??).

Revised water level threshold effects

The site values of Lake Wilgarup are unlikely to be maintained under the proposed changes to ground water abstraction (Table).




Figure 35: Ground water levels recorded at bore 61618500 in the vicinity of Lake Wilgarup. Red segments along trendline indicate preiods of significant decline in ground water levels.




Figure 36: Cover abundances for each species across the four plots (A, B, C, D) at the Lake Wilgarup transect. Invasive species are denoted by 'X'. Only the most common species are included.




Table: Ecological consequences of revised thresholds in terms of compliance of stated site values and site management objectives at Lake Wilgarup.

	Likely effect of 2030 revised thresholds	Future Compliance
Site values		
* One of few remaining undisturbed wetlands within the region		Not any more
* Rich and unusual vegetation (dense monospecific stands of sedges)		No
* Likely to support diverse fauna		
Site management objectives		
* Maintain the environmental quality of Lake Wilgarup		
* Maintain the existing extent and variety of wetland vegetation		No




Figure 37: Unconstrained ordination based on the latent variable model for each surveyed year for Lake Wilgarup. Plots are represented as different colours and consecutive years are joined by a line with first and last survey years labeled.

Table 17: Five year summaries of surface water level data at Pipidinny Swamp

Period	Mean max seasonal level (mAHD)	Mean min seasonal level (mAHD)	Mean seasonal change (m)	Month of maximum	Month of minimum	Mean max to min (days)
08/1994 - 07/1999	3.2	1.8	1.34	September	May	213
08/1999 - 07/2004	2.8	1.8	0.98	October	March	168
08/2004 - 07/2009	2.4	2.0	0.39	September	November	12
08/2009 - 07/2014	2.0	1.0	0.98	October	July	88
08/2014 - 07/2019	2.0	1.0	0.97	September	January	124

Pipidinny Swamp

Vegetation damaged by a fire in 2005. Macroinvertebrate and water quality monitoring occurred in the 2000s but ceased in 2011 as the wetland was atypical and had little water.

Hydrology

There has been at least a 2 m decline in surface water levels at Pipidinny Swamp since the mid 1990's, although measurements at the staff gauge were frequently below the minimum recordable level in the mid-late 2000's to 2019 despite the gauge being moved in 2010 (Figure 38). Mean maximum seasonal surface waters are at least 1.2 m lower now than in the 1994-1999 seasons (Table 17). Records of minimum levels are difficult to interpret due to the water levels frequently being below the staff gauge. Ground water levels at the nearby bore 61611872 suggest that water levels at the swamp are no longer in decline, however this conclusion assumes ground water levels at the bore and surface water levels at the staff gauge are related (Figure 38). It is not possible to verify this assumption as ground water measurements have only been made while the surface water levels have been below detection limits for the staff gauge.

It is likely that water levels in Yanchep National Park will increase under the proposed 2030 changes in ground water abstraction. The proposed threshold level of 1.1 m at bore 61611872 is likely to slightly increase or stabilise surface water levels in Pipidinny Swamp.

Revised water level threshold effects

The site values of Pipidinny Swamp are unlikely to be maintained under the proposed changes to ground water abstraction (Table 18).

Table 18: Ecological consequences of revised thresholds in terms of compliance of stated site management objectives at Pipidinny Swamp.

	Likely effect of 2030 revised thresholds	Future Compliance
Site management objectives		
* Improve groundwater levels to increase area of permanent deep water habitat for fauna	Water levels are currently more than 1 m lower than pre-2000 levels. Proposed changes to abstraction are unlikely to restore the swamp to these water levels	No
* Improve groundwater levels to maintain fringing vegetation to support a range of habitat types for macroinvertebrates	I have no data	Unlikely




Figure 38: Ground and surface water levels recorded at bore 61611872 (red) and staff gauge 6162624 (blue) that represent fluctuations in water levels at Pipidinny Swamp. Surface water levels were initially only recordable above 2 mAHD and later above 1 mAHD. Red segments of trend line represent periods of significant decline in water levels while blue segments represent periods of significant increases in water levels.

Table 19: Five year summaries of surface water level data at Lexia 186

Period	Mean max seasonal level (mAHD)	Mean min seasonal level (mAHD)	Mean seasonal change (m)	Month of maximum	Month of minimum	Mean max to min (days)
08/1994 - 07/1999	3.2	1.8	1.34	September	May	213
08/1999 - 07/2004	2.8	1.8	0.98	October	March	168
08/2004 - 07/2009	2.4	2.0	0.39	September	November	12
08/2009 - 07/2014	2.0	1.0	0.98	October	July	88
08/2014 - 07/2019	2.0	1.0	0.97	September	January	124

Lexia 186

The Lexia 186 wetland has a high conservation value because it (FROEND 2004). The Lexia system of wetlands is composed of three separate wetlands, Lexia 86, Lexia 94 and Lexia 186. Lexia 186 was normally a seasonally waterlogged basin (Dampland), however, prolonged decline of ground water levels mean water levels are below the level of the basin all year. There has been dramatic shifts in fringing vegetation health and composition as the basin sediments dry and oxidise.

Hydrology

There has almost been a significant decline in ground water levels at Lexia 186 from 1996 to 2015 by approximately 1 m and a significant increases in water levels since 2015 by 0.5 m (Figure 39). Nonetheless, current mean maximum and minimum water levels are 1.2 and 0.8 m below 1994-1999 levels and seasonally minimums are occurring earlier in the year (Table 19). Ground water levels at Lexia 186 have been non-compliant since 2000. Proposed reductions in ground water abstraction are not projected to increase water levels in the dampland, therefore a threshold 0.7m below the current threshold has been proposed for 2030. This projection will maintain ground water at similar levels to the period between 2010-2015.

Vegetation dynamics

Vegetation monitoring has been occurring at Lexia 186 since 1997 with the last survey conducted in 2018 (Figure 40). Overall canopy health has remained stable with most *Melaleuca preissiana* in good or excellent condition and most *Banksia ilicifolia* with average condition (BULLER 2018 REPORT). Exotic richness is very low at Lexia 186 and natives account for approximately 90 % of total cover abundance at the transect. Ordination reveals similar trajectories in compositional change for each plot that reflect the continual changes in cover abundances of species (Figure 41). Regression analyses did not reveal significant effects of ground water levels on any of the species present at Lexia 186 (Figure ??). This result suggests that community composition is changing due to other factors that are independent of ground water. This is surprising given the significant declines in ground water at the site. (NOT SURE IF THERE IS ANY *Baumea* AT THE SITE AND WHETHER IT HAS DECLINED OR DISAPPEARED - PERHAPS WORTH A COMMENT) (ARE THERE ANY OTHER DRAMTIC CHANGES AT THE SITE?) WILL RE RUN ANALYSIS TO CONFIRM.

Revised water level threshold effects

The site values of the Lexia 186 wetland are unlikely to be maintained under the proposed changes to ground water abstraction (Table 20).




Figure 39: Ground water levels recorded at bore 61613214 that represent water level fluctuations at Lexia 186. Red segments represent periods of significant decline in water levels while blue segments represent periods of significant increase in water levels.




Figure 40: Cover abundances for each species across the four plots (A, B, C, D) at the Lexia 186 transect. Invasive species are denoted by 'X'. Only the most common species are included.

Table 20: Ecological consequences of revised thresholds in terms of compliance of stated site management objectives at the Lexia 186 wetland.

	Likely effect of 2030 revised thresholds	Future Compliance
Site values		
Undisturbed by typical impacts		No
Supports diverse vegetation		
Significant fauna habitat		
Site management objectives		
Conserve ecological values		No
Protect vegetation assemblages in and fringing the wetland		
Protect invertebrate communities dependent on the wetland		




Figure 41: Unconstrained ordination based on the latent variable model for each surveyed year for Lexia 186. Plots are represented as different colours and consecutive years are joined by a line with first and last survey years labeled.

Melaleuca Park 173

Melaleuca Park 173 (EPP 173) is located within the Bassendean North Vegetation Complex and represents a regionally significant wetland (HILL 1996). Normally, the site represents a permanently filled lake that is fed from a series of springs along the western margin of the basin [Invert REPORT & FROEND ref]. The waters supported a rich macroinvertebrate community and an endemic population of the black-striped minnow (*Galaxiella nigrostriata*). There have been dramatic decreases in surface and groundwater levels in recent decades, to the point where the lake is almost dry during the summer months. Declining water levels are thought to have caused the local extinction of the black-striped minnow and degradation of fringing vegetation.

Hydrology

There has been a prolonged decline in surface water levels since 1990 that show similar trends with fluctuations in ground water levels (bore 61613213; Figure 42). Surface water level measurements are now unreliable at staff 6162628 due to water levels usually being below the minimum level of the staff. Since 2011, ground water levels have been stable. Mean maximum and minimum water levels have decreased by 0.8 m and 0.5 m, respectively, since 1994 (Table 21). The latest 5 year period (2014-2019) suggests that ground waters are reaching annual minimums earlier in the year than in previous seasons.

Ground water levels have been non-compliant during the monitoring period. The proposed threshold level of 48.5 mAHD is 1.7 m lower than the current threshold. Managing the wetland to these levels may result in further declines in water levels.

Vegetation dynamics

Vegetation monitoring has been occurring at Melaleuca Park from 1997 to 2018. There has been marked changes in vegetation composition along the transect during this monitoring period (Figure 43). In 2014, *Baumea articulata* was absent from the transect, however, due to a wet season which saw Plot A and B submerged in 2018, *B. articulata* was recorded in low abundance. Similar changes have been observed for *Astartea scoparia*, which prior to 2018 was recorded wither dead or in poor condition. Since 2018, many of the *A. scoparia* plants were observed with new shoots. Other important vegetation components in Plot A include *Lepidosperma longitudinale* and *Leptocarpus scariosus*, both of which are also present in Plot B, whilst the former is present throughout the transect. The long-term decline in water levels has had an adverse effect on the health of the *Melaleuca preissiana* population. Generally, this important canopy forming species has been declining in health, despite slight increases in plant health for 2018. The slight increase in *M. preissiana* health may be attributed to the recent stabilisation of ground water in levels.

Ordination reveals distinct shifts in community composition since 1997 (Figure 44). Although Plot A is distinct, in terms of vegetation cover abundances, to Plots B, C and D, all plots display an upwards trajectory along the second axis (LV2). For Plot A, this the shift in composition is likely due to the loss of *B. articulata* from the plot. Modeling compositional changes in vegetation with changes in groundwater levels suggests a number of species which are likely to increase in cover abundance with declining ground water levels (Figure 45). These species, such as *Xanthorrhoea preissii* and *Dielsia stenostachya*, are likely to increase in cover abundance in lower areas of the basin under a scenario of continuing declining ground water levels.

Aquatic Invertebrates

Revised water level threshold effects

It is likely that management many of the site values of the Melaleuca Park wetland will be achievable given the projected decline in ground water levels (Table 22).




Figure 42: Ground and surface water levels for Melaleuca Park 173 recorded at bore 61613213 (red) and staff 6162628 (blue). The minimum recordable water level for the staff gauge is 50.4 mAHD. Blue dots at 50.4 mAHD represent water levels below the minimum level measurable by the staff. Red segments on fitted line represent statistically significant periods of declining water levels. Current and proposed threshold levels for bore 61613213 are represented by dotted and dashed lines, respectively.

Table 21: Five year summaries of ground water level data at Melaleuca Park 173. Data is based from bore 61613213 due to many readings on surface water staff 6162628 being below the minimum reading level of 50.4 mAHD.

Period	Mean max seasonal level (mAHD)	Mean min seasonal level (mAHD)	Mean seasonal change (m)	Month of maximum	Month of minimum	Mean max to min (days)
08/1994 - 07/1999	50.9	49.2	1.73	September	May	242
08/1999 - 07/2004	50.8	49.1	1.66	September	May	220
08/2004 - 07/2009	50.6	49.0	1.59	September	May	168
08/2009 - 07/2014	50.0	48.7	1.27	October	June	224
08/2014 - 07/2019	50.1	48.7	1.38	September	April	225




Figure 43: Cover abundances for each species across the four plots (A, B, C, D) at the Melaleuca Park 173 transect recorded for the survey period. Invasive species are denoted by 'X'. Only the most common species are included.

Table 22: Ecological consequences of revised thresholds in terms of compliance of stated site management objectives at the Melaleuca Park 172 wetland.

	Likely effect of 2030 revised thresholds	Future Compliance
Site values		
* Unique hydrology		
* High vertebrate and macro invertebrate species richness		
* Contains most northern population of black stripe minnow (<i>Galaxiella nigrostriata</i>)		
Site management objectives		
* Maintain wildlife and landscape values of the wetlands		
* Maintain the existing areas of wetland and stream vegetation they support		
* To protect invertebrate communities dependent on the wetland and stream		No
* To protect the fish species, <i>Galaxiella nigrostriata</i>		No




Figure 44: Unconstrained ordination based on the latent variable model for each surveyed year for Melaleuca Park 173. Plots are represented as different colours and consecutive years are joined by a line with first and last survey years labeled.




Figure 45: Estimated mean regression coefficients (dots) and 95% credible intervals (bars) for effect of ground water levels at Melaleuca Park 173 on vegetation species cover abundances based on Bayesian Regression Analysis (HUI REF 2015). Species with a negative mean posterior value are likely to increase in cover abundance as water levels decline. Only those species with coefficients significantly different to zero are shown.

Melaleuca Park 78

Melaleuca Park 78 (also referred to as EPP 78 or Dampland 78) is located north-west of the Lexia wetlands in the southern area of Melaleuca Park. The site is approximately 6.7 ha in area and represents a regionally significant wetland (HILL 1996). Melaleuca Park 78 is classified as a Dampland habitat, meaning the basin has seasonally waterlogged soils that are not often inundated with surface waters [See Semeniuk & Semeniuk - The Geomorphic Classification of Wetlands in Hill et al 1996]. The site is an important habitat for a unique assemblage of phreatophytic vegetation which provides important habitat for native populations of fauna.

Hydrology

Water levels at the site have been declining since the beginning of monitoring in 1999 up until 2014, although absolute minimum levels were recorded in 2016. Bore 61613231 indicates that ground waters in the dampland may have declined by about 1.3 m since 1999, although there has been a recent increase in ground water levels since 2016 due to increased rainfall (Figure 46). Current 5 year mean maximum and minimum ground water levels in the bore are about 1 m lower than when monitoring began in 1999, with peak levels occurring in October/November and minimums occurring between April-May (Table 23).

Ground water levels have mostly been non-compliant since 2012 after a significant decline from 2009 levels. The effects of reduced abstraction are unlikely to arrest the decline in ground water levels at this wetland. The proposed threshold is 0.4 m lower than the current threshold. Further declines in ground water levels are expected by 2030 under a drying climate scenario.

Vegetation dynamics

The vegetation transect has been monitored at Melaleuca Park 78 since 1997 and was last surveyed in 2018 (Figure 47). The site is largely dominated by native species that include a dense understorey of *Beaufortia elegans*, *Pultenea reticulata* and *Kunzea glabrescens*. The overstorey is largely composed of *Melaleuca preissiana* throughout the transect and *Banksia attenuata*, *Banksia ilicifolia* and *Banksia menziesii* in the higher parts of the basin. In 2006, the transect was heavily affected by a fire but the vegetation has since made some recovery. *Baumea articulata* disappeared from the transect during this period. A number of tree deaths were reported following the fire but there is evidence of recovery, particularly for low-lying stands of *M. preissiana*. Trajectories of compositional change provide further evidence for post-fire recovery as recent plot assemblages are becoming more similar to those recorded before the fire (Figure 48).

Bayesian regression modelling suggests a number of species associated with low ground water levels (Figure 49). In particular, some natives, including *B. attenuata*, *Hibbertia subvaginata* and *M. preissiana*, are likely to increase in cover abundance under a scenario of further decreasing ground waters. The cover abundance of exotics, including *Aira caryophyllea*, *Briza maxima*, *Ehrharta calycina*, *Hypochaeris glabra*, *Poa annua*, *Sonchus oleraceus* and *Ursinia anthemoides*, are also likely to increase in cover abundance with declining ground waters. Some of the species are ground water dependent, such as the *Banksia* species, suggesting that despite being in decline, ground water will remain important in determining the vegetation composition of the wetland. It is also likely that the richness of exotic species will increase with ground water decline as the site becomes invaded by exotics not currently recorded at the site.

Aquatic invertebrates

Revised water level threshold effects

Further declines in ground water levels will make it unlikely that site values will be maintained at Melaleuca Park 78 (Table 24).




Figure 46: Ground water levels recorded at bore 61613231 in the vicinity of the Melaleuca Park 78 wetland. Red segments on fitted line represent statistically significant periods of decline and blue represent statistically significant periods of increasing water levels.

Table 23: Five year summaries of ground water level data at Maleleuca Park 78 recorded at bore 61613231.

Period	Mean max seasonal level (mAHD)	Mean min seasonal level (mAHD)	Mean seasonal change (m)	Month of maximum	Month of minimum	Mean max to min (days)
08/1999 - 07/2004	66.2	65.8	0.40	October	May	235
08/2004 - 07/2009	66.0	65.6	0.36	November	April	228
08/2009 - 07/2014	65.4	65.1	0.31	October	July	213
08/2014 - 07/2019	65.2	64.9	0.29	November	May	170




Figure 47: Cover abundances for each species across the four plots (A, B, C, D) at the Melaleuca Park 78 transect. Invasive species are denoted by 'X'. Only the most common species are included.

Table 24: Ecological consequences of revised thresholds in terms of compliance of stated site management objectives at the Melaleuca Park 78 wetland.

	Likely effect of 2030 revised thresholds	Future Compliance
Site values		
Supports wetland vegetation		
Site management objectives		
Maintain wildlife and landscape values of the wetlands		
Maintain the existing areas of wetlands and wetland vegetation		




Figure 48: Unconstrained ordination based on the latent variable model for each surveyed year for Melaleuca Park 78. Plots are represented as different colours and consecutive years are joined by a line with first and last survey years labeled.




Figure 49: Estimated mean regression coefficients (dots) and 95% credible intervals (bars) for effect of ground water levels at Melaleuca Park 78 on vegetation species cover abundances based on Bayesian Regression Analysis (HUI REF 2015). Species with a negative mean posterior value are likely to increase in cover abundance as water levels decline. Only those species with coefficients significantly different to zero are shown.

Table 25: Five year summaries of surface water level data at MM59B

Period	Mean max seasonal level (mAHD)	Mean min seasonal level (mAHD)	Mean seasonal change (m)	Month of maximum	Month of minimum	Mean max to min (days)
08/1994 - 07/1999	37.2	36.2	1.08	October	June	229
08/1999 - 07/2004	37.2	36.1	1.11	October	June	244
08/2004 - 07/2009	36.6	35.8	0.86	September	June	244
08/2009 - 07/2014	36.2	35.5	0.72	October	June	249
08/2014 - 07/2019	36.3	35.6	0.69	October	June	249

MM59B - Whiteman Park East

(I DON'T KNOW ANYTHING ABOUT THIS SITE) RE WRITE HYDROLOGY, BASED ON WRONG BORE!

Hydrology

Ground water levels at MM59B have fluctuated between 18 and 19 mAHD since 1980. There was a significant decline in groundwater levels in the early 1990's from 19.1 mAHD to approximately 18.2 mAHD in 2000 (Figure 50). Since 2000, ground water levels have steadily increased to similar levels to 1980-1990. Mean maximum water levels are currently similar to 1994-1999 levels while mean minimum levels are currently 0.4 m higher (Table 25). Highest water levels generally occur between September and October.

Table 26: Ecological consequences of revised thresholds in terms of compliance of stated site values and site management objectives for MM59B.

	Likely effect of 2030 revised thresholds	Future Compliance
Site values		
* Selected to represent water levels over area of undisturbed phreatophytic vegetation		
* Banksia woodland <8m depth to groundwater		
Site management objectives		
* To protect terrestrial vegetation		




Figure 50: Ground water levels recorded at bore 61610661 in the vicinity of MM59B. Red segments represent periods of significant decline in ground water level while blue segments represent periods of significant increase in ground water level.

Table 27: Five year summaries of ground water level data at PM9. The 2014-2019 period is based on data up to 2016 only.

Period	Mean max seasonal level (mAHD)	Mean min seasonal level (mAHD)	Mean seasonal change (m)	Month of maximum	Month of minimum	Mean max to min (days)
08/1994 - 07/1999	58.4	57.7	0.73	November	June	252
08/1999 - 07/2004	57.5	56.8	0.68	September	July	201
08/2004 - 07/2009	56.5	56.0	0.49	October	July	257
08/2009 - 07/2014	55.2	54.7	0.44	November	September	207
08/2014 - 07/2019	54.4	52.8	1.55	December	May	242

PM9 - Pinjar North

“Water levels at PM9 have been monitored since 1976 and have fallen approx. 7 meters over this time. It is assumed that vegetation at this site is now no longer able to access groundwater. The nearest vegetation monitoring transect is ‘P50’, located near the Water Corporation’s P50 production bore east of Lake Pinjar, approximately 2.2 km away to the south-west. The P50 transect has been subjected to different influences over the years, including (previous) pumping of the P50 production bore and widespread deaths of vegetation following a succession of high temperatures in the early 1990s, and several fires. There has been an increase in the frequency and cover of species that prefer ‘broad’ site conditions, and an increase in the relative proportion of cover from introduced species. There is a consistent decline on the transect in species preferring excessive wetness.”

WHERE IS VEGETATION TRANSECT AT P50?

Hydrology

Ground water at PM9 have almost continually been in decline since 1980 from approximately 59 mAHD to 2016 levels around 53 mAHD (Figure 51). The most significant rate of decline has been occurring since 1995 to 2016. Maximum and minimal seasonal water levels are 4 and 5 m lower now than in the 1994-1999 period, respectively (Table 27). Since 2016, no measurements at bore 61610804 have been made due to the operation of a nearby rifle range. It is unknown if ground water levels have continued to decline since 2016 because no measurements have been recorded due to safety concerns regarding access to the bore. If the observed decline has continued, ground water levels at the site may currently be below 52 mAHD, representing more than a 7 m decline since 1980.




Figure 51: Ground water levels recorded at bore 61610804 in the vicinity of PM9. Red segments along trendline indicate periods of significant decline in ground water levels.

Table 28: Five year summaries of surface water level data at WM1

Period	Mean max seasonal level (mAHD)	Mean min seasonal level (mAHD)	Mean seasonal change (m)	Month of maximum	Month of minimum	Mean max to min (days)
08/1994 - 07/1999	57.1	56.2	0.95	November	April	217
08/1999 - 07/2004	56.5	55.6	0.86	October	June	246
08/2004 - 07/2009	55.9	55.1	0.81	October	July	200
08/2009 - 07/2014	54.9	54.3	0.54	October	August	204
08/2014 - 07/2019	55.1	54.5	0.57	October	August	110

WM1 - Pinjar

WM1 is located east of Lake Pinjar in the Chitty Road Bushland within the Bassendean north vegetation complex. Water levels at WM1 have been non-compliant since 2001.

Hydrology

Ground water levels at WM1 have declined up to 4.0 m since 1980, although recent rainfall has increased levels from 54.4 to 55.5 mAHD since 2015 (Figure 52). Current mean maximum and minimum water levels are 2.0 and 1.7 m lower than 1994-1999 levels (Table 28). Maximum water levels generally occur in October and minimum water levels are now occurring later in the year than previously.

Vegetation

There has been reported a number of dead *Banksia attenuata* and *Eucalyptus pauciflora* as well as a decline in the condition of *Banksia ilicifolia* and *Banksia menziesii* that has caused a thinning of the understorey (REPORT 86043 and 82392). Vegetation condition around the site has declined, probably due to water stress. *Eucalyptus tottiana* and *Corymbia calophylla* have also been reported to be declining in health in 2008 (REPORT 82392). Eleven years have passed since the last vegetation monitoring (REPORT 82392) at the site which has experienced a further decrease in ground water by 1.5 m. It is likely vegetation composition has shifted to species preferring drier conditions despite recent increases in ground water levels due to rainfall.

Table 29: Ecological consequences of revised thresholds in terms of compliance of stated site values and site management objectives for WM1.

	Likely effect of 2030 revised thresholds	Future Compliance
Site values		
* Selected to represent water levels over area of undisturbed phreatophytic vegetation		
* <i>Banksia</i> woodland <8m depth to groundwater		
Site management objectives		
* To protect terrestrial vegetation		
* Maintain the existing extent and variety of wetland vegetation		




Figure 52: Ground water levels recorded at bore 61610833 in the vicinity of WM1. Red segments along trendline indicate periods of significant decline in ground water levels and blue segments represent significant increases in ground water level.

Table 30: Five year summaries of surface water level data at WM2

Period	Mean max seasonal level (mAHD)	Mean min seasonal level (mAHD)	Mean seasonal change (m)	Month of maximum	Month of minimum	Mean max to min (days)
08/1994 - 07/1999	68.5	67.6	0.94	November	April	216
08/1999 - 07/2004	68.1	67.4	0.68	October	June	246
08/2004 - 07/2009	67.7	67.1	0.62	October	July	205
08/2009 - 07/2014	66.8	66.4	0.46	October	August	210
08/2014 - 07/2019	67.0	66.5	0.52	October	May	79

WM2 - Melaleuca Park North

Located in Melaleuca Park in the Bassendean north vegetation complex, the area represents an area of undisturbed phreatophytic vegetation, including *Banksia* woodlands (REPORT 82392).

Hydrology

There has been periods of significant decline in ground water levels from 68.8 mAHD in 1980 to 66.4 mAHD in 2014 (Figure 53). Since 2015, there has been an increase in ground water to slightly above 67 mAHD. Mean maximum and minimum seasonal water levels are now 1.5 and 0.9 m lower than the period 1994-1999. Maximum levels have consistently been reached in October, on average (Table 30).

Vegetation dynamics

There are reports of declining vegetation condition and density nearby the site in 2008 (REPORT 82392). Although current ground water levels are presently similar to 2008 levels, it is likely the vegetation was subjected to ground water levels up to 0.5 m lower than when the assessment was made. This suggests that vegetation in the region that is ground water dependent has deteriorated further since 2008.

Table 31: Ecological consequences of revised thresholds in terms of compliance of stated site values and site management objectives for WM2.

	Likely effect of 2030 revised thresholds	Future Compliance
Site values		
* Selected to represent water levels over area of undisturbed phreatophytic vegetation		
* <i>Banksia</i> woodland <8m depth to groundwater		
Site management objectives		
* To protect terrestrial vegetation		
* Maintain the existing extent and variety of wetland vegetation		




Figure 53: Ground water levels recorded at bore 61610908 in the vicinity of WM2. Red segments along trendline indicate periods of significant decline in ground water levels and blue segments represent significant increases in ground water level.

Table 32: Five year summaries of surface water level data at WM8

Period	Mean max seasonal level (mAHD)	Mean min seasonal level (mAHD)	Mean seasonal change (m)	Month of maximum	Month of minimum	Mean max to min (days)
08/1994 - 07/1999	66.3	65.7	0.65	October	July	230
08/1999 - 07/2004	66.0	65.5	0.53	December	June	180
08/2004 - 07/2009	65.6	65.2	0.40	November	July	256
08/2009 - 07/2014	65.0	64.7	0.36	November	August	200
08/2014 - 07/2019	65.0	64.7	0.33	December	July	30

WM8 - Melaleuca Park

The WM8 monitoring bore is located in Melaleuca Park within the Bassendean north vegetation complex and represents native vegetation that may be affected by abstraction from the Lexia ground water scheme. There has been no reported change in vegetation at the site, although no monitoring or transects have been established here.

Hydrology

Ground water levels began to decline in 2000 at WM8 from approximately 66 mAHD to 64.6 mAHD in 2015 (Figure 54). Since 2015, there has been an increase in ground water levels to approximately 65.5 mAHD. Mean maximum and minimum seasonal water levels have declined by 1.3 and 1.0 m, respectively (Table 32). Maximum levels are generally reached in December while minimum levels are reached in July.

Table 33: Ecological consequences of revised thresholds in terms of compliance of stated site values and site management objectives for WM8.

	Likely effect of 2030 revised thresholds	Future Compliance
Site values		
* Selected to represent water levels over area of undisturbed phreatophytic vegetation		
* <i>Banksia</i> woodland <8m depth to groundwater		
Site management objectives		
* To protect terrestrial vegetation		
* Maintain the existing extent and variety of wetland vegetation		




Figure 54: Ground water levels recorded at bore 61610983 in the vicinity of WM8. Red segments along trendline indicate periods of significant decline in ground water levels and blue segments represent significant increases in ground water level.

Table 34: Five year summaries of surface water level data at Lake Gwelup

Period	Mean max seasonal level (mAHD)	Mean min seasonal level (mAHD)	Mean seasonal change (m)	Month of maximum	Month of minimum	Mean max to min (days)
08/1994 - 07/1999	7.5	5.7	1.85	September	April	239
08/1999 - 07/2004	6.7	5.1	1.52	October	April	172
08/2004 - 07/2009	6.3	5.0	1.32	September	December	14
08/2009 - 07/2014	6.1	5.0	1.17	October	January	138
08/2014 - 07/2019	7.3	5.6	1.66	October	April	222

Lake Gwelup

Lake Gwelup is a shallow groundwater system located in the highly urbanised area of Gwelup/Karrinyup. The lake is permanently inundated and provides important habitat to a variety of fauna and fringing vegetation. The wetland is not currently a Ministerial criteria site.

Hydrology

Lake water levels were first monitored in 1960, but regular monitoring has occurred between 1967 and 1988, and from 1999 until the present. Lake levels in the 1970s and 1980s were 1m to 2m higher than in the 2000s (Figure 55). They have risen again since 2013 following a reduction in nearby public water supply abstraction, and levels are currently similar to levels in the 1980s and 1990's (Table 34). The nearby bore 61610032 has been monitored since 1972. Water levels at the bore have declined by around 4 meters since the start of monitoring. Levels have been reasonably stable since the early 2000s and have trended slightly upwards since 2011.

Vegetation dynamics

Vegetation monitoring at Lake Gwelup began in 2013 and was last conducted in 2017. The start of the transect was inundated by approximately 0.7 m of surface water during the 2017 survey. The wetland is dominated by exotic species such as *Cynodon dactylon* and *Ehrharta calycina* despite exotic cover abundance declining in the later surveys (Figure 56). The overstorey is dominated by the natives *Eucalyptus rudis* and *Maleleuca raphiophyla* which are in good health (BULLER REPORT 2017). There was a dramatic shift in community composition between 2014 and 2017 due to inundation of the plots (Figure 57). Bayesian regression analysis reveals that a number of exotic species will continue to decrease in cover abundances with the higher water levels (Figure ??).

Revised water level threshold effects




Figure 55: Ground and surface water levels for Lake Gwelup recorded at bore 61610032 (red) and staff 6162504 (blue). The minimum recordable water level for the staff gauge is 5.0 mAHD. Blue dots at 5.0 mAHD represent water levels below the minimum level measurable by the staff. Red segments on fitted line represent statistically significant periods of decline and blue represent statistically significant periods of increasing water levels.




Figure 56: Cover abundances for each species across the four plots (A, B, C, D) at the Lake Gwelup transect. Invasive species are denoted by 'X'. Only the most common species are included.

Table 35: Ecological consequences of revised thresholds in terms of compliance of stated site management objectives at Lake Gwelup.

	Likely effect of 2030 revised thresholds	Future Compliance
Site management objectives		
* To maintain permanent water for fauna habitat and for visual amenity, to maintain fringing vegetation.		Yes




Figure 57: Unconstrained ordination based on the latent variable model for each surveyed year for Lake Gwelup. Plots are represented as different colours and consecutive years are joined by a line with first and last survey years labeled.




Figure 58: Estimated mean regression coefficients (dots) and 95% credible intervals (bars) for effect of ground water levels at Lake Gwelup on vegetation species cover abundances based on Bayesian Regression Analysis (HUI REF 2015). Species with a negative mean posterior value are likely to increase in cover abundance as water levels decline. Only those species with coefficients significantly different to zero are shown.

Table 36: Five year summaries of surface water level data at Quin Brook

Period	Mean max seasonal level (mAHD)	Mean min seasonal level (mAHD)	Mean seasonal change (m)	Month of maximum	Month of minimum	Mean max to min (days)
08/1994 - 07/1999	59.0	58.8	0.26	January	July	125
08/1999 - 07/2004	58.2	58.1	0.16	January	April	93
08/2004 - 07/2009	57.1	56.9	0.25	October	April	203
08/2009 - 07/2014	55.6	55.4	0.14	November	April	196
08/2014 - 07/2019	54.1	54.0	0.11	October	October	47

Quin Brook

Quin Brook is a base flow system where surface flow, riparian vegetation and habitat maintenance all depend on ground water (See FROEND 2014 82422). The series of interconnected ponds that occur along Quin Brook are of high conservation value because of the pristine nature of the fringing vegetation and the aquatic associated fauna likely to inhabit the surface waters and riparian zones.

Hydrology

The hydrology of Quin Brook is not well understood. Stretches of the brook are dry most of the year and may have previously been supported by groundwater (JOHNSON 2000 - see report 97075). Near the confluence with Gingin Brook, flow is maintained throughout the year by ground water with winter discharge an important source of fill for Lake Yeal (see report tp-1413). Ground water levels at bore 61710060 have been in constant decline since the early 1980's from approximately 59.5 mAHD to current levels at 53.8 m AHD (Figure 59). Mean maximum and minimum ground water levels are now nearly 5.0 m below 1994-1999 levels with seasonal patterns almost indistinguishable (Table ??).

Vegetation dynamics

Vegetation at Quin Brook is dominated by some key wetland species, including *Melaleuca raphiophyla*, *Eucalyptus rudis*, *Banksia littoralis* and *Melaleuca preissiana*. Vegetation monitoring, which began in 2009, indicates that the *Melaleuca* species have declined significantly in cover abundance to the point where it is no longer present in the higher levels of the transect (Figure 60). Cover abundance of *E. rudis* has remained relatively stable despite the health of individual trees declining (BULLER 2018 REPORT). Other abundant species at the site include *Astartea scoparia*, *Hypocalymna angustifolium* and *Kunzea glabrescens*. All plots along the vegetation monitoring transect have shifted in composition since 2009, mainly due to the decline in *M. raphiophyla* and *M. preissiana* (Figure 61). Many species are likely to increase in cover abundances with ground water level decline, including an exotic grass, the exotic *Sonchus asper* and *Lotus angustissimus* (Figure 62). Some natives associated with lower ground water levels include *Senecio* sp., *Pteridium esculentum* and *Hypolaena exsulca*.




Figure 59: Ground water levels recorded at bore 61710060 in the vicinity of Quin Brook. Red segments along trendline indicate preiods of significant decline in ground water levels.




Figure 60: Cover abundances for each species across the five plots (A, B, C, D and E) at the Quin Brook transect. Invasive species are denoted by 'X'. Only the most common species are included.

Table 37: Five year summaries of ground water level data at Gingin Brook recorded at bore 61710078.

Period	Mean max seasonal level (mAHD)	Mean min seasonal level (mAHD)	Mean seasonal change (m)	Month of maximum	Month of minimum	Mean max to min (days)
08/1994 - 07/1999	39.6	39.2	0.45	October	July	219
08/1999 - 07/2004	39.2	38.6	0.52	December	May	198
08/2004 - 07/2009	38.5	38.1	0.43	October	June	213
08/2009 - 07/2014	37.9	37.5	0.40	October	May	221
08/2014 - 07/2019	37.8	37.4	0.43	November	May	141

Gingin Brook

Gingin Brook is a new proposed in the Gingin water allocation plan (draft expected 2023). There is currently no baseline vegetation data for the site. [WHAT IS THIS SITE? A DAMPLAND< SUPALND LAKE ETC? THERE MUST BE SOME MORE INFORMATION I CAN INCLUDE]

Hydrology

Ground waters at this site have significantly declined during the period between 1989 and 2015 by approximately 2.5 m (Figure 63). Mean seasonal maximum and minimum ground water levels have also decreased by 1.8 since 1994, with current monthly minimums generally occurring earlier in the year than in between 1994 and 1999 (Table 37).




Figure 61: Unconstrained ordination based on the latent variable model for each surveyed year for Quin Brook. Plots are represented as different colours and consecutive years are joined by a line with first and last survey years labeled.




Figure 62: Estimated mean regression coefficients (dots) and 95% credible intervals (bars) for effect of ground water levels at Quin Brook on vegetation species cover abundances based on Bayesian Regression Analysis (HUI REF 2015). Species with a negative mean posterior value are likely to increase in cover abundance as water levels decline while species with positive values are predicted to increase in cover abundance with water increasing water levels. Only those species with coefficients significantly different to zero are shown.




Figure 63: Ground water levels recorded at bore 61710078 that represent fluctuations in ground waters at Gingin Brook. Red segments on fitted line represent statistically significant periods of declining ground water levels.

Summary

Overview

Vegetation

Aquatic Invertebrates

Management objectives

Conclusions

(COMMENT ON MELALEUCA QUINQUENERVIA? MARIGINIUP)

References

#Appendix