The Novel Coronavirus is Mutating Gradually

Kevin Surya, Jacob Gardner, and Chris Organ

Here, we describe and compare the mode of SARS-CoV-2 genomic evolution to its tempo, which so far has been much slower than that of SARS-CoV [1] and the seasonal flu [2]. Once SARS-CoV-2 jumped and spread among humans, has it been mutating in a punctuated manner, so that a large proportion of their genomic divergences occurred during transmission events? Or has SARS-CoV-2 evolution been gradual?

To test for punctuated evolution [3,4], we regressed total phylogenetic path lengths of SARS-CoV-2 genomes (root-to-tip distances) on the net number of transmission events (nodes). We acquired a molecular tree of 3,958 genomes from Nextstrain [5,6]. And we used the maximum likelihood algorithm in BAYESTRAITS 3.0.1 [7], under a phylogenetic generalized least squares (PGLS), to estimate the parameters of the regression above. Punctuation would be consistent with a strong positive correlation.

We, however, find little evidence for a punctuated genome evolution (slope = -.0063 ± .0038, P = .049; R^2 = .064; LR [vs. intercept-only model] = 263.79; Figure 1). Diagnostics indicate no severe violations of linear regression assumptions (Figure A1). Additionally, the node-density artifact [3,8], an underestimation of branch lengths in tree regions with fewer taxa, does not seem to be present ($δ$ = -.046; Figure A2). Altogether, the evidence suggests that SARS-CoV-2 genomes are evolving gradually, with much of the mutations occurring in between net transmission events. The tempo and mode of SARS-CoV-2 evolution are likely linked. We expect the mutation rate of the novel coronavirus to be steady, and that drugs and vaccines (e.g. [9,10]) under development will still be effective in the future.


Figure 1. The number of nodes along the SARS-CoV-2 lineages (net transmission events) does not correlate with, nor does it explain the variation (R^2 = .064) in total path lengths (accumulated mutations in the genome). PGLS equation: y = 8.38 - .0063x.

References

1. Jia, Y., Shen, G., Zhang, Y., Huang, K.-S., Ho, H.-Y., Hor, W.-S., Yang, C.-H., Li, C., and Wang, W.-L. (2020). Analysis of the mutation dynamics of SARS-CoV-2 reveals the spread history and emergence of RBD mutant with lower ACE2 binding affinity. bioRxiv, 2020.04.09.034942.
2. Kupferschmidt, K. (2020). Mutations can reveal how the coronavirus moves—but they're easy to overinterpret. Science. Available at: https://www.sciencemag.org/news/2020/03/mutations-can-reveal-how-coronavirus-moves-they-re-easy-overinterpret [Accessed April 16, 2020].
3. Webster, A.J., Payne, R.J.H., and Pagel, M. (2003). Molecular phylogenies link rates of evolution and speciation. Science *301*, 478–478.
4. Pagel, M., Venditti, C., and Meade, A. (2006). Large punctuational contribution of speciation to evolutionary divergence at the molecular level. Science *314*, 119–121.
5. Hadfield, J., Megill, C., Bell, S.M., Huddleston, J., Potter, B., Callender, C., Sagulenko, P., Bedford, T., and Neher, R.A. (2018). Nextstrain: Real-time tracking of pathogen evolution. Bioinformatics *34*, 4121–4123.
6. Sagulenko, P., Puller, V., and Neher, R.A. (2017). TreeTime: Maximum-likelihood phylodynamic analysis. Virus Evol. *4*.
7. Pagel, M. (1999). Inferring the historical patterns of biological evolution. Nature *401*, 877–884.
8. Venditti, C., Meade, A., and Pagel, M. (2006). Detecting the node-density artifact in phylogeny reconstruction. Syst. Biol. *55*, 637–643.
9. Le, T.T., Andreadakis, Z., Kumar, A., Román, R.G., Tollefsen, S., Saville, M., and Mayhew, S. (2020). The COVID-19 vaccine development landscape. Nat. Rev. Drug Discov.
10. Sheahan, T.P., Sims, A.C., Zhou, S., Graham, R.L., Pruijssers, A.J., Agostini, M.L., Leist, S.R., Schäfer, A., Dinnon, K.H., Stevens, L.J., *et al.* (2020). An orally bioavailable broad-spectrum antiviral inhibits SARS-CoV-2 in human airway epithelial cell cultures and multiple coronaviruses in mice. Sci. Transl. Med.

Appendix

Figure A1. Regression diagnostics. The residuals vs. fitted values plot does not indicate a severe violation of residual homogeneity. The histogram shows that the residuals are normally distributed with a slight right skew.


Figure A2. The node-density effect, which can bias our punctuation test, does not seem to be present (δ = -.046). (A symptom of the artifact is a curvilinear relationship with δ > 1.) Equation: $y = 7.51x^{-.046}$.

Figure A3. There may also not be punctuations of the SARS-CoV-2 genomic evolution at the continental level. See the interactive plot in the supplementary file folder to explore this data further. But, note that every continent has multiple introductions (see Nextstrain).

Figure A4. There may not be punctuations of the SARS-CoV-2 genomic evolution at the continental level. Note that every continent has multiple introductions (see Nextstrain).