

## Back to: Wason's experiment:1

Theory: "If a person travels to Chicago, he/she/they flies."

Alice to Baltimore, Bob drove, Charlie to Chicago, and Donna flew.

Alice	Bob	Charlie	Donna
Baltimore	drove	Chicago	flew

Which cards do you need to flip to test the theory?

## Back to: Wason's experiment:1

Theory: "If a person travels to Chicago, he/she/they flies."

Alice to Baltimore, Bob drove, Charlie to Chicago, and Donna flew.

Which cards do you need to flip to test the theory?

$Chicago(x) = "x \text{ went to Chicago}."$   $Flew(x) = "x \text{ flew}"$

Statement/theory:  $\forall x \in \{A, B, C, D\}, Chicago(x) \implies Flew(x)$

$Chicago(A) = \text{False}$ . Do we care about  $Flew(A)$ ?

No.  $Chicago(A) \implies Flew(A)$  is true.

since  $Chicago(A)$  is **False**,

$Flew(B) = \text{False}$ . Do we care about  $Chicago(B)$ ?

Yes.  $Chicago(B) \implies Flew(B) \equiv \neg Flew(B) \implies \neg Chicago(B)$ .

So  $Chicago(Bob)$  must be **False**.

$Chicago(C) = \text{True}$ . Do we care about  $Flew(C)$ ?

Yes.  $Chicago(C) \implies Flew(C)$  means  $Flew(C)$  must be true.

$Flew(D) = \text{True}$ . Do we care about  $Chicago(D)$ ?

No.  $Chicago(D) \implies Flew(D)$  is true if  $Flew(D)$  is true.

Only have to turn over cards for Bob and Charlie.

## Review.



Theory: If you drink alcohol you must be at least 18.

Which cards do you turn over?

Drink Alcohol  $\implies " \geq 18 "$

" $< 18$ "  $\implies$  Don't Drink Alcohol. Contrapositive.

(A) (B) (C) and/or (D)?

Propositional Forms:  $\wedge, \vee, \neg, P \implies Q \equiv \neg P \vee Q$ .

Truth Table. Putting together identities. (E.g., cases, substitution.)

Predicates,  $P(x)$ , and quantifiers.  $\forall x, P(x)$ .

DeMorgan's:  $\neg(P \vee Q) \equiv \neg P \wedge \neg Q$ .  $\neg \forall x, P(x) \equiv \exists x, \neg P(x)$ .

## CS70: Lecture 2. Outline.

Today: Proofs!!!

1. By Example.
2. Direct. (Prove  $P \implies Q$ .)
3. by Contraposition (Prove  $P \implies Q$ )
4. by Contradiction (Prove  $P$ .)
5. by Cases

If time: discuss induction.

## Last time: Existential statement.

How to prove existential statement?

Give an example. (Sometimes called "proof by example.")

**Theorem:**  $(\exists x \in \mathbb{N})(x = x^2)$

**Pf:**  $0 = 0^2 = 0$

□

Often used to disprove claim.

Homework.

## Quick Background and Notation.

Integers closed under addition.

$a, b \in \mathbb{Z} \implies a + b \in \mathbb{Z}$

$a|b$  means "a divides b".

$2|4$ ? Yes! **Since for  $q = 2$ ,  $4 = (2)2$ .**

$7|23$ ? **No! No  $q$  where true.**

$4|2$ ? **No!**

$2|-4$ ? **Yes! Since for  $q = 2$ ,  $-4 = (-2)2$ .**

Formally:  $a|b \iff \exists q \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ where } b = aq$ .

$3|15$  since for  $q = 5$ ,  $15 = 3(5)$ .

A natural number  $p > 1$ , is **prime** if it is divisible only by 1 and itself.

A number  $x$  is even if and only if  $2|x$ , or  $x = 2k$ .

A number  $x$  is odd if and only if  $x = 2k + 1$ .

## Divides.

$a|b$  means

- (A) There exists  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , with  $a = kb$ . ✗
- (B) There exists  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , with  $b = ka$ . ✓
- (C) There exists  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , with  $b = ka$ . ✗
- (D) There exists  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , with  $k = ab$ . ✗
- (E)  $a$  divides  $b$  ✓

Incorrect: (C) sufficient not necessary. (A) Wrong way. (D) the product is an integer.

Correct: (B) and (E).

## Direct Proof.

**Theorem:** For any  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$ , if  $a|b$  and  $a|c$  then  $a|(b-c)$ .

**Proof:** Assume  $a|b$  and  $a|c$

$$b = aq \text{ and } c = aq' \text{ where } q, q' \in \mathbb{Z}$$

$$b - c = aq - aq' = a(q - q') \text{ Done?}$$

$(b - c) = a(q - q')$  and  $(q - q')$  is an integer so by definition of divides

$$a|(b - c) \quad \square$$

Works for  $\forall a, b, c$ ?

Argument applies to every  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

Used distributive property and definition of divides.

Direct Proof Form:

Goal:  $P \Rightarrow Q$

Assume  $P$ .

...

Therefore  $Q$ .

## Another direct proof.

Let  $D_3$  be the 3 digit natural numbers.

Theorem: For  $n \in D_3$ , if the alternating sum of digits of  $n$  is divisible by 11, then  $11|n$ .

$$\forall n \in D_3, (11|\text{alt. sum of digits of } n) \Rightarrow 11|n$$

Examples:

$n = 121$  Alt Sum:  $1 - 2 + 1 = 0$ . Divis. by 11. As is 121.

$n = 605$  Alt Sum:  $6 - 0 + 5 = 11$  Divis. by 11. As is  $605 = 11(55)$

**Proof:** For  $n \in D_3$ ,  $n = 100a + 10b + c$ , for some  $a, b, c$ .

Assume: Alt. sum:  $a - b + c = 11k$  for some integer  $k$ .

Add  $99a + 11b$  to both sides.

$$100a + 10b + c = 11k + 99a + 11b = 11(k + 9a + b)$$

Left hand side is  $n$ ,  $k + 9a + b$  is integer.  $\Rightarrow 11|n$ .  $\square$

Direct proof of  $P \Rightarrow Q$ :

Assumed  $P$ :  $11|a - b + c$ . Proved  $Q$ :  $11|n$ .

## The Converse

Thm:  $\forall n \in D_3, (11|\text{alt. sum of digits of } n) \Rightarrow 11|n$

Is converse a theorem?

$\forall n \in D_3, (11|n) \Rightarrow (11|\text{alt. sum of digits of } n)$

Yes? No?

## Another Direct Proof.

Theorem:  $\forall n \in D_3, (11|n) \Rightarrow (11|\text{alt. sum of digits of } n)$

**Proof:** Assume  $11|n$ .

$$n = 100a + 10b + c = 11k \Rightarrow$$

$$99a + 11b + (a - b + c) = 11k \Rightarrow$$

$$a - b + c = 11k - 99a - 11b \Rightarrow$$

$$a - b + c = 11(k - 9a - b) \Rightarrow$$

$$a - b + c = 11\ell \text{ where } \ell = (k - 9a - b) \in \mathbb{Z} \quad \square$$

That is  $11|\text{alternating sum of digits}$ .

Note: similar proof to other. In this case every  $\Rightarrow$  is  $\Leftarrow$

Often works with arithmetic properties ...

...not when multiplying by 0.

We have.

Theorem:  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}', (11|\text{alt. sum of digits of } n) \Leftrightarrow (11|n)$

## Proof by Contraposition

Thm: For  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  and  $d|n$ . If  $n$  is odd then  $d$  is odd.

$$n = kd \text{ and } n = 2k' + 1 \text{ for integers } k, k'.$$

what do we know about  $d$ ?

Goal: Prove  $P \Rightarrow Q$ .

Assume  $\neg Q$

...and prove  $\neg P$ .

Conclusion:  $\neg Q \Rightarrow \neg P$  equivalent to  $P \Rightarrow Q$ .

**Proof:** Assume  $\neg Q$ :  $d$  is even.  $d = 2k$ .

$d|n$  so we have

$$n = qd = q(2k) = 2(kq)$$

$n$  is even.  $\neg P \quad \square$

## Another Contraposition...

**Lemma:** For every  $n$  in  $N$ ,  $n^2$  is even  $\implies n$  is even. ( $P \implies Q$ )

$n^2$  is even,  $n^2 = 2k$ , ...  $\sqrt{2k}$  even?

**Proof by contraposition:** ( $P \implies Q$ )  $\equiv$  ( $\neg Q \implies \neg P$ )

$P = 'n^2$  is even.' .....  $\neg P = 'n^2$  is odd'

$Q = 'n$  is even' .....  $\neg Q = 'n$  is odd'

Prove  $\neg Q \implies \neg P$ :  $n$  is odd  $\implies n^2$  is odd.

$n = 2k + 1$

$n^2 = 4k^2 + 4k + 1 = 2(2k^2 + 2k) + 1$ .

$n^2 = 2l + 1$  where  $l$  is a natural number..

... and  $n^2$  is odd!

$\neg Q \implies \neg P$  so  $P \implies Q$  and ...

□

## Proof by contradiction: form

**Theorem:**  $\sqrt{2}$  is irrational.

Must show: For every  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $(\frac{a}{b})^2 \neq 2$ .

A simple property (equality) should always "not" hold.

Proof by contradiction:

**Theorem:**  $P$ .

$\neg P \implies P_1 \dots \implies R$

$\neg P \implies Q_1 \dots \implies \neg R$

$\neg P \implies R \wedge \neg R \equiv \text{False}$

or  $\neg P \implies \text{False}$

Contrapositive of  $\neg P \implies \text{False}$  is  $\text{True} \implies P$ .

Theorem  $P$  is true. And proven.

□

## Contradiction

**Theorem:**  $\sqrt{2}$  is irrational.

Assume  $\neg P$ :  $\sqrt{2} = a/b$  for  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

Reduced form:  **$a$  and  $b$  have no common factors.**

$$\sqrt{2}b = a$$

$$2b^2 = a^2 = 4k^2$$

$a^2$  is even  $\implies a$  is even.

$a = 2k$  for some integer  $k$

$$b^2 = 2k^2$$

$b^2$  is even  $\implies b$  is even.

**$a$  and  $b$  have a common factor.** Contradiction.

□

## Proof by contradiction: example

**Theorem:** There are infinitely many primes.

**Proof:**

► Assume finitely many primes:  $p_1, \dots, p_k$ .

► Consider number

$$q = (p_1 \times p_2 \times \dots \times p_k) + 1.$$

►  $q$  cannot be one of the primes as it is larger than any  $p_i$ .

►  $q$  has prime divisor  $p$  (" $p > 1$ " = **R**) which is one of  $p_i$ .

►  $p$  divides both  $x = p_1 \cdot p_2 \cdot \dots \cdot p_k$  and  $q$ , and divides  $q - x$ ,

►  $\implies p|(q - x) \implies p \leq (q - x) = 1$ .

► so  $p \leq 1$ . (**Contradicts R.**)

The original assumption that "the theorem is false" is false, thus the theorem is proven.

□

## Product of first $k$ primes..

Did we prove?

► "The product of the first  $k$  primes plus 1 is prime."

► No.

► The chain of reasoning started with a false statement.

Consider example..

►  $2 \times 3 \times 5 \times 7 \times 11 \times 13 + 1 = 30031 = 59 \times 509$

► There is a prime *in between* 13 and  $q = 30031$  that divides  $q$ .

► Proof assumed no primes *in between*  $p_k$  and  $q$ .

## Poll: Odds and evens.

$x$  is even,  $y$  is odd.

Even numbers are divisible by 2.

Which are even?

(A)  $x^3$

(B)  $y^3$

(C)  $x + 5x$

(D)  $xy$

(E)  $xy^5$

(F)  $x + y$

A, D, E all contain a factor of 2.

$x = 2k$ , and  $x^3 = 8k = 2(4k)$  and is even.

$y^3$ . Odd?

$y = (2k + 1)$ .  $y^3 = 8k^3 + 24k^2 + 24k + 1 = 2(4k^3 + 12k^2 + 12k) + 1$ .

Odd times an odd? Odd.

Any power of an odd number? Odd.

Idea:  $(2k + 1)^n$  has terms  
(a) with the last term being 1

### Proof by cases.

**Theorem:**  $x^5 - x + 1 = 0$  has no solution in the rationals.

**Proof:** First a lemma...

**Lemma:** If  $x$  is a solution to  $x^5 - x + 1 = 0$  and  $x = a/b$  for  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ , then both  $a$  and  $b$  are even.

Reduced form  $\frac{a}{b}$ :  $a$  and  $b$  can't both be even! + Lemma  $\Rightarrow$  no rational solution. □

**Proof of lemma:** Assume a solution of the form  $a/b$ .

$$\left(\frac{a}{b}\right)^5 - \frac{a}{b} + 1 = 0$$

Multiply by  $b^5$ ,

$$a^5 - ab^4 + b^5 = 0$$

Case 1:  $a$  odd,  $b$  odd: odd - odd + odd = even. **Not possible.**

Case 2:  $a$  even,  $b$  odd: even - even + odd = even. **Not possible.**

Case 3:  $a$  odd,  $b$  even: odd - even + even = even. **Not possible.**

Case 4:  $a$  even,  $b$  even: even - even + even = even. **Possible.**

The fourth case is the only one possible, so the lemma follows. □

### Be careful.

**Theorem:**  $3 = 4$

**Proof:** Assume  $3 = 4$ .

Start with  $12 = 12$ .

Divide one side by 3 and the other by 4 to get  $4 = 3$ .

By commutativity theorem holds. □

Don't assume what you want to prove!

### Proof by cases.

**Theorem:** There exist irrational  $x$  and  $y$  such that  $x^y$  is rational.

Let  $x = y = \sqrt{2}$ .

Case 1:  $x^y = \sqrt{2}^{\sqrt{2}}$  is rational. Done!

Case 2:  $\sqrt{2}^{\sqrt{2}}$  is irrational.

► New values:  $x = \sqrt{2}^{\sqrt{2}}$ ,  $y = \sqrt{2}$ .

►

$$x^y = \left(\sqrt{2}^{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{\sqrt{2}} = \sqrt{2}^{\sqrt{2} \cdot \sqrt{2}} = \sqrt{2}^2 = 2.$$

Thus, we have irrational  $x$  and  $y$  with a rational  $x^y$  (i.e., 2).

One of the cases is true so theorem holds. □

Question: Which case holds? Don't know!!!

### Be really careful!

**Theorem:**  $1 = 2$

**Proof:** For  $x = y$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}(x^2 - xy) &= x^2 - y^2 \\ x(x - y) &= (x + y)(x - y) \\ x &= (x + y) \\ x &= 2x \\ 1 &= 2\end{aligned}$$

Poll: What is the problem? □

(A) Assumed what you were proving.

(B) No problem. Its fine.

(C)  $x - y$  is zero.

(D) Can't multiply by zero in a proof.

Dividing by zero is no good. **Multiplying by zero is weirdly cool!**

Also: Multiplying inequalities by a negative.

$P \Rightarrow Q$  does not mean  $Q \Rightarrow P$ .

### Poll: proof review.

Which of the following are (certainly) true?

(A)  $\sqrt{2}$  is irrational.

(B)  $\sqrt{2}^{\sqrt{2}}$  is rational.

(C)  $\sqrt{2}^{\sqrt{2}}$  is rational or it isn't.

(D)  $(2^{\sqrt{2}})^{\sqrt{2}}$  is rational.

(A),(C),(D)

(B) I don't know.

### Summary: Note 2.

Direct Proof:

To Prove:  $P \Rightarrow Q$ . Assume  $P$ . Prove  $Q$ .

By Contraposition:

To Prove:  $P \Rightarrow Q$  Assume  $\neg Q$ . Prove  $\neg P$ .

By Contradiction:

To Prove:  $P$  Assume  $\neg P$ . Prove **False**.

By Cases: informal.

Universal: show that statement holds in all cases.

Existence: used cases where one is true.

Either  $\sqrt{2}$  and  $\sqrt{2}$  worked.

or  $\sqrt{2}$  and  $\sqrt{2}^{\sqrt{2}}$  worked.

Careful when proving!

**Don't assume the theorem. Divide by zero. Watch converse. ...**

## CS70: Note 3. Induction!

Poll. What's the biggest number?

- (A) 100
- (B) 101
- (C)  $n+1$
- (D) infinity.
- (E) This is about the "recursive leap of faith."