Identifying data deleted to prevent clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy



PUBLIC COPY

FILE:

WAC 04 087 51745

Office: CALIFORNIA SERVICE CENTER

Date:

OCT 2 6 2005

IN RE:

Petitioner:

Beneficiary:

PETITION:

Petition for a Nonimmigrant Worker Pursuant to Section 101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b) of the

Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:

SELF-REPRESENTED

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

Robert P. Wiemann, Director

Bulet Bin

Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The director of the service center denied the nonimmigrant visa petition and the matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be rejected pursuant to 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(2)(v)(A).

The petitioner is a law firm that seeks to employ the beneficiary as a foreign law advisor. The director denied the petition on the basis that the proffered position did not meet the definition of a specialty occupation.

The Form G-28, Entry of Appearance as Attorney or Representative, submitted along with the Form I-290B, indicates that the beneficiary retained counsel to file the appeal. CIS regulations prohibit the beneficiary of a visa petition, or a representative acting on a beneficiary's behalf, from filing an appeal. 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(1)(iii)(B). As the appeal was not properly filed, it will be rejected. 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(2)(v)(A)(1).

ORDER: The appeal is rejected as improperly filed.