## Feedback skema, 2018 f

Navn: Christer Kold Lindholm		Eksamen:
Opgavetype: KA Kinastudier		Speciale: Rising Economic Inequality in China: A Comparison With Japan's Course of Development through Piketty's Inequality Economics
Titel	Good title	
Abstract	Brief, clear, concise	
Forside	Yes. Good Russell quotation	
Indholdsfortegnelse	Yes	
Indledning	Brief and to the point.	
Indeholder opgaven det, som indledningen lover?	Yes, completely	
Problemformulering	The research question is pertinent, and the sub-questions open up to a structured and cherent enquiry.	
Begrundes problemstillingens relevans	Yes, very much so	
Besvares alle dele af problemformuleringen?	Yes	
Fokus/rød tråd?	The thesis is logically structured; questions continuously lead on the enquiry and discussion; conclusions take stock of findings and arguments	
Defineres de vigtige begreber i opgaven?	Yes. However, in some cases there are some lingering doubts, e.g. what does a "neo-classical" approach mean apart from an approach that CKL and Piketty do not like?	
Afgrænsning af problemstillingen?	Yes, the questions are pertinent and they are addressed.	
Anvendes relevante teorier?	The theory review is very comprehensive and focused. It is a succinct and critical walk-through that covers many of the classical political ecnomic theories and their explanations of mainly structural that cause and/or reduce inequality. The criticisms, e.g. of Marx and Kutnets, are well argued.	
	The explanation of Piketty's work and its potential applicability is also pertinent and there is a lot of questioning and independent reflection.	
Operationaliseres teorien?		proach is not explained. This would have been helpful.
	research questions, whi	ed on by sticking on the one hand to the original ille continuously asking new questions that link to the ne previous section/argument. This is a smart tions well.
	the data used and the c	ful with an in-depth explanation about the qaulity of riteria for selecting it. However, the overall analytical some of these arguments.
Noget forkert/misforstået?	No	
Analyse	The critique of existing based on the theoretical	literature on inequality in China is interesting and all propositions.
	The expalantion of Sun	Liping's analysis and its theoretical base is solid and

	critical. Very well done!
	The series of arguments put forward as the analysis moves along are very insightful and based on empirically grounded discussions. The writer does not shy away from having his strong opinions, which is good- especially because they are well-founded.
	The section on hierarchy and authoritarianism vs the possibility of egalitarian development is well documented and presents some conving analysis and arguments. Issues like poverty, role of labour unions etc. are analyzed and contribute to the larger. The summary in 2.15 is execllent.
	The comparison with Japan, and to some extent Korea are well put and adds a strong comparative dimension to the analysis of China (this is not often seen in China Studies theses).
	The literature used for the analysis is very broad (discipline-wise) and very comprehensive, drawing of a variety of development theory schools as well as China focused economics analysis. It testifies to an ability to handle large amounts of highly complex scientifica sources, empirical data and complex chains of arguments.
	There is also some independent data analysis which supports the analysis and argumentation.
Alle opgavedele med?	Yes. But it would have been useful with a more focused methodological
Disponering OK?	section.
Diskussion/konklusion	The conclusion is succinct and produces new theoretical insights. Well done.
Kritisk stillingtagen	The enquiry and analysis is steerd by a highly critical approach. It would have been helpful to submit Piketty to a similarly critical view, at least in a discussion.
Sprog - oversættelse	Excellently written. Very easy to read. Good use of Chinese sources.
Tabeller, figurer	There is a large number of figures, tables, graphs which support the analysis very well. They are highly illustrative. However, the way of referencing these tables is not always clear (should have been marked by better typography and clearer links)
Referencer	The references follows a spurious standard where author is not named in sequenctial referencing. This is systematic, but confusing
Litteraturliste	ОК
Bilag	No
Samlet bedømmmelse	The thesis addresses a challenging field and takes some of the traditional approaches to task.
	The analysis is excellent and maintains a consistent high level of insight and grounding.
	The ambitions of the thesis have been completely fulfilled and the thesis demonstrates that the writer is competent in all of the various fields and competences required of a candidate from China Studies. It fully meet the formal requirements, and it demonstrates convincing academic, scientific,

and intellectual talent. It is a highly original piece of work at this level.

Dette er et feedback skema. Det udtrykker ikke eksaminators og censors samlede vurdering Jørgen Delman