

Introduction

- **p -center problem**: Choose a set of p facilities such that the maximum distance between a demand point and its closest facility belonging to that set is minimized.
- there exist approaches based on the set cover problem and the assignment problem
- **Nested p -center problem**: Open p_h facilities in period h such that the sum of the maximum distance between any customer and its nearest open facility in period h is minimized.
- Choose a set of p^h facilities over different time periods h , such that the sum of the maximum distance between a customer and its nearest open facility in period h is minimized, and that the set of facilities in period h contains all facilities from previous periods.
- Use case: Ambulance/logistics stations, network design, screening/vaccination

Research question

How can the nesting concept be applied to the p -center problem?

Research question

How can the nesting concept be applied to the p -center problem?

- proposed by McGarvey and Thorsen (2022)

Research question

How can the nesting concept be applied to the p -center problem?

- proposed by McGarvey and Thorsen (2022)
- Which formulations can be used for the nesting?

Research question

How can the nesting concept be applied to the p -center problem?

- proposed by McGarvey and Thorsen (2022)
- Which formulations can be used for the nesting?
- Which algorithms perform best in terms of solution time?

Research question

How can the nesting concept be applied to the p -center problem?

- proposed by McGarvey and Thorsen (2022)
- Which formulations can be used for the nesting?
- Which algorithms perform best in terms of solution time?
- How can the nested p -center problem affect managerial decisions?

Research question

How can the nesting concept be applied to the p -center problem?

- proposed by McGarvey and Thorsen (2022)
- Which formulations can be used for the nesting?
- Which algorithms perform best in terms of solution time?
- How can the nested p -center problem affect managerial decisions?

Definition of the nested p -center problem

Definition:

- given a set of customer demand points \mathcal{I} , potential facility locations \mathcal{J} , time periods $\mathcal{H} = \{1, \dots, H\}$, integers $\mathcal{P} = \{p^1, \dots, p^H\}$ where $p^h \leq p^{h+1}$ for $h = 1, \dots, H - 1$ and distances $d_{ij} \geq 0$ between each $i \in \mathcal{I}$ and $j \in \mathcal{J}$

Definition of the nested p -center problem

Definition:

- given a set of customer demand points \mathcal{I} , potential facility locations \mathcal{J} , time periods $\mathcal{H} = \{1, \dots, H\}$, integers $\mathcal{P} = \{p^1, \dots, p^H\}$ where $p^h \leq p^{h+1}$ for $h = 1, \dots, H - 1$ and distances $d_{ij} \geq 0$ between each $i \in \mathcal{I}$ and $j \in \mathcal{J}$
- let $\mathcal{J}^h \subseteq \mathcal{J}$ with $|\mathcal{J}^h| = p^h$ for $h \in \mathcal{H}$ be a feasible solution to the nested p -center problem
- that fulfills $\mathcal{J}^h \subseteq \mathcal{J}^{h+1}$ for $h = 1, \dots, H - 1$
- for a given time period $h \in \mathcal{H}$ and set \mathcal{J}^h , let $d_h(\mathcal{J}^h) = \max_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \min_{j \in \mathcal{J}^h} d_{ij}$
- the goal is to minimize $\sum_{h=1}^H d_h(\mathcal{J}^h)$

p -center problem / TODO

- First introduction of the p -center problem by Hakimi (1964)
- The standard textbook formulation of the p -center problem can be found in Daskin (2013)
- Solution approach based on the set cover problem by Contardo, Iori, and Kramer (2018)
- A compact formulation by Ales and Elloumi (2018) and Elloumi, Labbé, and Pochet (2004)
- A fast projection-based branch-and-cut algorithm by Gaar and Sinnl (2022)

Nested facility location problems

- First introduction of the nesting property and constraint by Roodman and Schwarz (1975)
- Extension of the nesting to a phase-in and phase-out by Roodman and Schwarz (1977)
- Reintroduction of the nesting on the example of the p -median problem by McGarvey and Thorsen (2022)
- Recent work on multi-period facility locations problems mainly focus on varying demand, distances, or cost over time f.e. Calogiuri et al. (2021)

First MILP formulation

\mathcal{I} ... set of customer demand points i

\mathcal{J} ... set of potential facility locations j

\mathcal{H} ... set of time periods, $\mathcal{H} = \{1, 2, \dots, H\}$

\mathcal{P} ... set of integers of facilities to open, $\mathcal{P} = \{p^1, \dots, p^H\}$ where $p^h > p^{h+1}$
for $h = 1, \dots, H - 1$

d_{ij} = distance between customer demand point i and potential facility location j

$x_{ij}^h = \begin{cases} 1 & \dots \text{ if customer demand point } i \text{ is assigned to facility location } j \text{ in period } h \\ 0 & \dots \text{ otherwise} \end{cases}$

$y_j^h = \begin{cases} 1 & \dots \text{ if a facility is opened at location } j \text{ in period } h \\ 0 & \dots \text{ otherwise} \end{cases}$

z^h ... maximum distance between any customer i and its nearest open facility
in period h

First MILP formulation

$$(nPC1) \quad \min \sum_{h \in \mathcal{H}} z^h \quad (1a)$$

$$\text{s.t.} \quad \sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}} y_j^h = p_h \quad \forall h \in \mathcal{H} \quad (1b)$$

$$\sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}} x_{ij}^h = 1 \quad \forall i \in \mathcal{I}, h \in \mathcal{H} \quad (1c)$$

$$x_{ij}^h \leq y_j^h \quad \forall i \in \mathcal{I}, j \in \mathcal{J}, h \in \mathcal{H} \quad (1d)$$

$$\sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}} d_{ij} x_{ij}^h \leq z_h \quad \forall i \in \mathcal{I}, h \in \mathcal{H} \quad (1e)$$

$$y_j^h \geq y_j^{h-1} \quad \forall h \in \mathcal{H} \setminus \{1\} \quad (1f)$$

$$x_{ij}^h \in \{0, 1\} \quad \forall i \in \mathcal{I}, j \in \mathcal{J}, h \in \mathcal{H} \quad (1g)$$

$$y_j^h \in \{0, 1\} \quad \forall j \in \mathcal{J}, h \in \mathcal{H} \quad (1h)$$

$$z^h \in \mathbb{R}_{>0} \quad \forall h \in \mathcal{H} \quad (1i)$$

Second MILP formulation

\mathcal{I} ... set of customer demand points i

\mathcal{J} ... set of potential facility locations j

\mathcal{H} ... set of time periods, $\mathcal{H} = \{1, 2, \dots, H\}$

\mathcal{P} ... set of integers of facilities to open, $\mathcal{P} = \{p^1, \dots, p^H\}$ where $p^h > p^{h+1}$
for $h = 1, \dots, H - 1$

d_{ij} = distance between customer demand point i and potential facility location j

$y_j^h = \begin{cases} 1 & \dots \text{ if a facility is opened at location } j \text{ in period } h \\ 0 & \dots \text{ otherwise} \end{cases}$

z^h ... maximum distance between any customer i and its nearest open facility
in period h

Second MILP formulation

$$(nPC2) \quad \min \sum_{h \in \mathcal{H}} z^h \quad (2a)$$

$$\text{s.t.} \quad \sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}} y_j^h = p^h \quad \forall h \in \mathcal{H} \quad (2b)$$

$$z^h \geq d_{ij} - \sum_{j': d_{ij'} < d_{ij}} (d_{ij} - d_{ij'}) y_{j'}^h \quad \forall i \in \mathcal{I}, j \in \mathcal{J}, h \in \mathcal{H} \quad (2c)$$

$$y_j^h \geq y_j^{h-1} \quad \forall j \in \mathcal{J}, h \in \mathcal{H} \setminus \{1\} \quad (2d)$$

$$y_j^h \in \{0, 1\} \quad \forall j \in \mathcal{J}, h \in \mathcal{H} \quad (2e)$$

$$z^h \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \quad \forall h \in \mathcal{H} \quad (2f)$$

Constraint lifting

Theorem [Brandstetter(2023)]

Let LB_h being a lower bound on the decision variable z_h of (nPC1) for every $i \in I, j \in J, h \in H$ then

$$\sum_{j \in J} \max\{LB_h, d_{ij}\} x_{ijh} \leq z_h \quad \forall i \in I, h \in H \quad (\text{lift})$$

is a valid equality. Theorem is based on Gaar and Sinnl (2022).

- also valid for the y-formulation
- using various techniques to obtain LB_h

Third MILP formulation

- \mathcal{I} ... set of customer demand points i , \mathcal{J} ... set of potential facility locations j
- \mathcal{H} ... set of time periods, $\mathcal{H} = \{1, 2, \dots, H\}$
- \mathcal{P} ... set of integers of facilities to open, $\mathcal{P} = \{p^1, \dots, p^H\}$ where $p^h > p^{h+1}$ for $h = 1, \dots, H - 1$
- \mathcal{D} ... set of distinct distances where $D_1 \leq \dots \leq D_K$ are the values in \mathcal{D}
- \mathcal{K} ... set of indices in \mathcal{D}
- S_i ... set of indices $k \in \mathcal{K}$ for which there exists a facility $j \in \mathcal{J}$ with $d_{ij} = D_k$
- $y_j^h = \begin{cases} 1 & \dots \text{ if a facility is opened at location } j \text{ in period } h \\ 0 & \dots \text{ otherwise} \end{cases}$
- $u_k^h = \begin{cases} 1 & \dots \text{ if objective function value in time period } h \text{ is less or equal than } D_k \\ 0 & \dots \text{ otherwise} \end{cases}$
- z^h ... maximum distance between any customer i and its nearest open facility

Third formulation

$$(nPC3) \quad \min \quad \sum_{h \in \mathcal{H}} z^h \quad (3a)$$

$$\text{s.t.} \quad \sum y_j^h = p^h \quad \forall h \in \mathcal{H} \quad (3b)$$

$$D_0 + \sum_{k=1}^K \sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}} (D_k - D_{k-1}) u_k^h \leq z^h \quad \forall h \in \mathcal{H} \quad (3c)$$

$$u_k^h + \sum_{j: d_{ij} < D_k} y_j^h \geq 1 \quad \forall i \in \mathcal{I}, \forall h \in \mathcal{H}, \forall k \in S_i \cup \{K\} \quad (3d)$$

$$u_k^h \geq u_{k+1}^h \quad \forall h \in \mathcal{H}, \forall k \in \mathcal{K} \setminus \{K\} \quad (3e)$$

$$y_j^h \leq y_j^{h-1} \quad \forall h \in \mathcal{H}, \forall j \in \mathcal{J} \quad (3f)$$

$$y_j^h \in \{0, 1\} \quad \forall h \in \mathcal{H}, \forall j \in \mathcal{J} \quad (3g)$$

$$u_k^h \in \{0, 1\} \quad \forall h \in \mathcal{H}, \forall k \in \mathcal{K} \quad (3h)$$

$$z^h \in \mathbb{R} \quad \forall h \in \mathcal{H} \quad (3i)$$

Initial bounds

- Every optimal solution to the p -center problem is a lower bound to the respective z^h
- We can use this by calculating the solution to the p -center problem for all $p \in \mathcal{P}$
- and using the optimal values as lower bounds for the z^h .
- Every optimal solution to the p -center problem is a upper bound to the $p + 1$ -center problem

Upper bound third formulation

- The third formulation performance is very dependent on the size of \mathcal{D}
- The lower bounds obtained through the p -center solutions can be used to to reduce the size of \mathcal{D}
- Furthermore, we can reduce the size of \mathcal{D} by obtaining a upper bound on the z^h
- We get this upper bound by finding a feasible solution to the nested p -center problem, subtracting $\sum_{h=2}^H \bar{z}^h$
- This works because we know that the optimal solution cannot be larger than any feasible solution we found
- and we know that the z^h cannot be smaller than \bar{z}^h . So we assume that all but z^1 are optimal.
- This can be applied every time a new best feasible solution is found.

Lifting for the first and second formulation

Data

- data set **PMED** from "A note on solving large p -median problems" by Beasley (1985)
 - set of 40 test instances
 - the sets contain between 100 and 900 nodes

Data

- data set **PMED** from "A note on solving large p -median problems" by Beasley (1985)
 - set of 40 test instances
 - the sets contain between 100 and 900 nodes
- data set **TSPLIB** 2D-Euclidean distances from "TSPLIB – A Traveling Salesman Problem Library" by Reinelt (1991)
 - set of 80 instances
 - the sets contain between 51 and 18512 nodes
 - rounded to the nearest integer value

Comparison

- comparing the results between (nPC1) and (nPC2) and (nPC3)

Comparison

- comparing the results between (nPC1) and (nPC2) and (nPC3)
- comparing the different lifting methods

Comparison

- comparing the results between (nPC1) and (nPC2) and (nPC3)
- comparing the different lifting methods
- analysing the solutions of the nested and comparing it to the standard p -center problem

Comparison

- comparing the results between (nPC1) and (nPC2) and (nPC3)
- comparing the different lifting methods
- analysing the solutions of the nested and comparing it to the standard p -center problem
- analysing the results regarding managerial insights

Further research topics

- u -space model introduced by Elloumi, Labbé, and Pochet (2004)
- maximal regret as objective function
- trying to improve the performance of the nested p -median problem?

References I

- [1] Zacharie Ales and Sourour Elloumi. Compact MILP Formulations for the p -Center Problem. In: Combinatorial Optimization. Ed. by Jon Lee, Giovanni Rinaldi, and A. Ridha Mahjoub. Cham: Springer International Publishing, 2018, pp. 14–25. ISBN: 978-3-319-96151-4.
- [2] J.E. Beasley. A note on solving large p -median problems. In: European Journal of Operational Research 21.2 (1985), pp. 270–273. ISSN: 0377-2217. DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1016/0377-2217\(85\)90040-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/0377-2217(85)90040-2).

References II

- [3] Tobia Calogiuri et al. The multi-period p -center problem with time-dependent travel times. In: Computers & Operations Research 136 (2021), p. 105487. ISSN: 0305-0548. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cor.2021.105487>. URL: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0305054821002343>.
- [4] Claudio Contardo, Manuel Iori, and Raphael Kramer. A scalable exact algorithm for the vertex p -center problem. In: Computers & Operations Research 103 (Mar. 2018). DOI: 10.1016/j.cor.2018.11.006.

References III

- [5] Mark S. Daskin. Center Problems. In: Network and Discrete Location: Models, Algorithms, and Applications, Second Edition. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd, 2013. Chap. 5, pp. 193–234. ISBN: 9781118537015. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1002/9781118537015.ch05>.
- [6] Sourour Elloumi, Martine Labbé, and Yves Pochet. A New Formulation and Resolution Method for the p -Center Problem. In: INFORMS Journal on Computing 16 (Feb. 2004), pp. 83–94. DOI: 10.1287/ijoc.1030.0028.

References IV

- [7] Elisabeth Gaar and Markus Sinnl. A scaleable projection-based branch-and-cut algorithm for the p -center problem. In: European Journal of Operational Research 303.1 (2022), pp. 78–98. ISSN: 0377-2217. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejor.2022.02.016>.
- [8] S. L. Hakimi. Optimum Locations of Switching Centers and the Absolute Centers and Medians of a Graph. In: Operations Research 12.3 (1964), pp. 450–459. DOI: 10.1287/opre.12.3.450.
- [9] Ronald McGarvey and Andreas Thorsen. Nested-Solution Facility Location Models. In: Optimization Letters 16 (Mar. 2022). DOI: 10.1007/s11590-021-01759-4.

References V

- [10] Gerhard Reinelt. TSPLIB — A Traveling Salesman Problem Library. In: ORSA Journal on Computing 3.4 (1991), pp. 376–384. DOI: 10.1287/ijoc.3.4.376.
- [11] Gary M. Roodman and Leroy B. Schwarz. Extensions of the Multi-Period Facility Phase-Out Model: New Procedures and Application to a Phase-In/Phase-Out Problem. In: A I E Transactions 9.1 (1977), pp. 103–107. DOI: 10.1080/05695557708975128.
- [12] Gary M. Roodman and Leroy B. Schwarz. Optimal and Heuristic Facility Phase-out Strategies. In: A I E Transactions 7.2 (1975), pp. 177–184. DOI: 10.1080/05695557508975000.

Temporary page!

\LaTeX was unable to guess the total number of pages correctly. As there was unprocessed data that should have been added to the final page this extra page has been added to receive it.

If you rerun the document (without altering it) this surplus page will go away because \LaTeX now knows how many pages to expect for this document.