Board Meeting Minutes

Date: October 2, 2020
Time: 9AM pacific time
Location: Video conferencing, multiple locations

Attendees
- Tanya Lattner, Hal Finkel, Anton Korobeynikov, Cyndy Ishida, Chris Lattner, Kristof Beyls, Mike Edwards, Kit Barton

Minutes
- Board meeting minutes for September
  - Minutes approved
- Dev Meeting
  - Tickets closed yesterday. 1650 tickets. 216 supporter tickets. The remainder were free.
  - Videos will be released today. People can watch the videos and then ask questions during the live Q&A.
  - BeeLine has nicely pivoted to doing online events, rehearsals have been going well.
  - Will start working on slides for the LLVM Foundation BoF.
  - Tanya will make a small tutorial video for how to use Whova. The app has functionality that is not on the web site, and the app should be used by moderators, but our general recommendation is to use the web site.
  - There are some very generic defaults topics in Whova, etc. maybe we can make some more-specific topics. Might make the "hallway conversations" work out better.
- Proposal on the infrastructure working group
  - Formalize how we provide infra support to the community
  - In the past, most of the work was done by volunteers, mostly by people closely connected to the Foundation.
  - Now that the community is growing, we need to modernize and scale up
  - Christian Kühnel did most of the work on the proposal, was good about reaching out and leading the process.
  - As currently proposed, the committee is directly empowered to direct the work of contractors, can produce RFPs, etc. As result, will represent the implementation of the Foundation's infrastructure program. Oversight by the board is required, and should be an official subcommittee of the Foundation.
  - In the future, we can use this to model other committees (maybe in the future for the dev meeting).
  - AR(Mike): Flesh out text around the SLA definitions. WIth whom? Also, make it concrete how the money processes and controls will work. Kit & Anton will help defining things.

- ○ Foundation should have oversight over budget and contractor selection, but without requiring day-to-day oversight. Maybe the Foundation treasurer should be one of the committee members?
  - ○ We should start recruiting people to be on the committee. We can mention this on the LLVM Foundation BoF.
  - ○ We might make a meta-committee document, to document how committees will work in general. Then the infra committee document can reference the more-general document.
- ● CoC issues
  - ○ Had one issue over the last month. Has brought up some logistical issues that we should document going forward (e.g., coordinating with the mailing-list moderators).
  - ○ We should prepare a transparency report
  - ○ Should bring this up at the BoF. CoC is still officially in draft, and we need to move forward.
  - ○ Community comments are being integrated and will be converted to markdown. Then it will be added to the repository, move forward with the community with a feedback period, etc.
  - ○ Hopefully, by Nov/Dec, can formally adopt the CoC, form the committee, announce it
  - ○ CoC committee should also be an official subcommittee of the Foundation
- ● Relicensing
  - ○ AR(Hal) Setup call with Chandler, start on slide in the deck for the BoF.
  - ○ Clarify llvm dev policy in regards to code under another license
- ● GSoC
  - ○ Program is now over
  - ○ Open-source office at Google provided funds + $1000 for being an organization that finished all evaluations on time.
  - ○ Previously, we had 6-10 students. This year we had 19 accepted, 16 finished.
- ● Bugzilla migration
  - ○ Extracted anyone who changed something in bugzilla over the last two years, and correlated with map of usernames/emails for github accounts.
  - ○ Three steps: prepopulate using the maps, second, use the GitHub API to query users by email, and the remaining we will need to email them and ask for github account name. We will need a form for people to fill out, and we will need some time for them to respond (e.g., 1 month). If there is not reply, the bug will be migrated under a generic user.
  - ○ For the migration, working on finishing the scripts. Should be able to test soon, maybe test with 1000 issues, and if that works, then we'll be ready for the final migration. Then we can finalize the plan (e.g., deciding what gets closed, and how it gets closed).
  - ○ If we are going to maintain current issues numbers, then this must happen before we enable PRs on github (issues and PRs share numbers on github).

- Further discussion at the LLVM BoF round tables