

Christian Rodriguez

Algorithms HW 4

2. Prove that if $f(n)$ is a polynomial of the form

$\sum_{i=1}^d$

$a_i n^{x_i}$, for some coefficients

a_1, a_2, \dots, a_d and exponents x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d , then $f(n) = \Theta(n^{\max\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d\}})$.

Hint : you may use any property of Big-Oh notation listed in the slides.

You may wish to use induction for this problem.

3. (Bonus) Prove that $2^n = \Theta(n^k)$ for all integers $k \geq 1$.

Proof:

1. Use the formal definition of Big-Oh to prove that if $f(n) = O(g(n))$, then

$f(n) + g(n) = O(g(n))$

- Proof: Since $f(n) = O(g(n))$, there exists positive constants c and n_0 ($\exists c > 0, n_0$) such that $f(n) \leq c \cdot g(n)$ for sufficiently large n ($\forall n \geq n_0$). We add both sides by $g(n)$, yielding $f(n) + g(n) \leq c \cdot g(n) + g(n)$.
 $f(n) + g(n) \leq c \cdot g(n) + g(n) = (c+1) \cdot g(n) = c_1 \cdot g(n)$ where $c_1 = c+1$ and $\forall n \geq n_0$. Therefore, using the property of coefficients, $f(n) + g(n) = O(g(n))$.

2. Prove that if $f(n)$ is a polynomial of the form

$$\sum_{i=0}^{k+1} a_i n^{x_i}$$

for some coefficients a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{k+1} and exponents x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{k+1} , then $f(n) = \Theta(n^{\max\{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{k+1}\}})$.

- Show: $f(n) = \Theta(n^{\max\{x_0, \dots, x_k\}})$
- Do induction of # of terms in polynomial in polynomials.
- (Base case: $d=1$)
 - $f(n) = a_1 n^{x_1} = \Theta(n^{x_1}) = \Theta(n^{\max\{x_1, d\}})$
 - aka: $a_1 n^{x_1} \leq a_1 n^{x_1} \leq a_1 n^{x_1}, \forall n \geq 1$
 - $a_1 n^{x_1} = \Theta(n^x)$
- Inductive Step:
 - Suppose any polynomial of k terms is $\Theta(n^x)$, where x is the exponent, and consider
- $f(n) = \sum_{i=0}^{k+1} a_i n^{x_i}$
- $= g(n) + a_{k+1} n^{x_{k+1}}$
- $= g(n) = a_1 n^{x_1} + \dots + a_k n^{x_k}$
- By Inductive Hypothesis, $g(n) = \Theta(n^x)$, $x = \max\{x_1, \dots, x_k\}$
- $F(n) = \Theta(n^x) + a_k$
- $\Theta(n^x) + \Theta(n^{x_{k+1}})$
- $\Theta(n^x + n^{x_{k+1}})$
- Split into base cases:
- Case 1:
 - $x \geq x_{k+1}$
 - $\Theta(n^x + n^{x_{k+1}}) = \Theta(n^x)$
 - $n^{x_{k+1}} = O(n^x)$

- $x = \max\{x_1, \dots, x_k\}$
 - $x = \max\{x_1, \dots, x\}$
 - because $x \geq x_{k+1}$
 - Case 2:
 - $x < x_{k+1}$
 - $n^x = O(n^{x_{k+1}})$
 - $\Theta(n^x + n^{x_{k+1}}) = \Theta(n^{x_{k+1}})$
 - $x_{k+1} = \max\{x_1, \dots, x_k, x_{k+1}\}$
 - because $x_{k+1} > \max\{x_1, \dots, x_k\}$
3. Prove that $2^n = \Omega(n^k)$ for all integers $k \geq 1$.
- $f(n) = \Omega(g(n))$ if and only if there exist positive constants c and n_0 such that $f(n) \geq c \cdot g(n)$ for all $n \geq n_0$.
 - Since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (n^k)/(2^n) = 0$ and $0 < (n^k)/(2^n) < 1$ for sufficiently large n , and $0 < n^k \leq 2^n$ for all n . This matches the definition of $2^n = \Omega(n^k)$, with $c=1$.
 - I am citing the following website as a source. It uses Big Oh notation but I believe that it is a close enough solution to the given problem.
 - www.math.stackexchange.com/questions/367767/how-to-prove-that-nk-o2n