

ON A PROBLEM ABOUT PRIMITIVE PERMUTATION GROUPS.

Primitive permutation groups of degree  $p^2+p+1$ ,  
where p is a prime number.

M.Sc.Dissertation. Oxford University, September 1977.

I would like to thank my supervisor,  
Dr. H.M. Neumann, for his patient help  
and his wise suggestions. Had I worked  
without him, I would not have done half  
of this dissertation!

Christian RONSE.

Queen's College, Oxford.

ON A PROBLEM ABOUT PRIMITIVE PERMUTATION GROUPS.

(Primitive permutation groups of degree  $p^2+p+1$ , where p is a prime number.)

INTRODUCTION.

It is interesting to get a good characterization of  $\text{PSL}(3,p)$  and  $\text{PGL}(3,p)$  as permutation groups of degree  $p^2+p+1$ . This dissertation is devoted to the study of that problem. We attempt to prove the following:

Conjecture: If G is a primitive permutation group on a set  $\mathcal{V}$  of size  $p^2+p+1$ , where p is a prime number, if  $p^2$  divides the order of G, then G is one of the following groups acting in its natural representation of degree  $p^2+p+1$ :

- (i) The little projective group  $\text{PSL}(3,p)$ .
- (ii) The general projective group  $\text{PGL}(3,p)$ .
- (iii) The alternating group  $A_{p^2+p+1}$ .
- (iv) The symmetric group  $S_{p^2+p+1}$ .

The method that we use is the study of the p-elements and Sylow p-subgroups of G.

It is clear that the condition " $p^2$  divides the order of G" is necessary, because there are counterexamples otherwise:

- (i) Frobenius groups  $Z_{p^2+p+1} \cdot Z_p$  when  $p \mid \varphi(p^2+p+1)$ .
- (ii) The group  $\text{PSL}(5,2)$  of degree  $31=5^2+5+1$ .

Chapter I consists of preliminary results in group theory. These results are needed in our study.

In Chapter II, we prove general results about primitive

tive permutation groups  $G$  of degree  $p^2+p+1$  on a set  $\mathcal{A}$  and of order divisible by  $p^2$ . Most of them were proved by McDonough [9] or by Neumann and Praeger (Unpublished). Using results of O'Nan [11] and Scott [17], we prove first that  $G$  is doubly transitive. Then we prove a theorem of Tsuzuku, which asserts that the conjecture is true when  $p^3$  divides the order of  $G$ . To do it, we prove that  $G$  contains a subgroup  $Q$  of order  $p^2$ , which fixes  $p+1$  points of  $\mathcal{A}$  and has one orbit of length  $p^2$ . Then it is possible to prove that  $G$  contains the alternating group or that  $G \leq \text{Aut } \Pi$ , where  $\Pi$  is a projective plane constructed on  $\mathcal{A}$ . It is easily verified that this plane  $\Pi$  is desarguesian. (To prove Tsuzuku's theorem, we use mainly results of Jordan [6, 7]). Finally, we study the case where  $p^2$  divides exactly the order of  $G$ . A Sylow  $p$ -subgroup  $P$  of  $G$  has an orbit  $\Gamma$  of length  $p^2$ , an orbit  $\Delta$  of length  $p$  and a fixed point  $\alpha$ . Then  $Q=P_\Delta$  has  $p$  orbits  $\Gamma_1, \dots, \Gamma_p$  on  $\Gamma$ . We pose  $\Delta' = \Delta \cup \{\alpha\}$ . Then  $X=G_{\Delta'}$  acts on  $\Delta'$  and on  $\Psi = \{\Gamma_1, \dots, \Gamma_p\}$ , and both actions have kernel  $Y=G_{\Delta'}$ . Thus  $X/Y$  is a group of degree  $p$  and  $p+1$ , and using the results of Cameron [2] and Frobenius [3], we obtain strong conditions on these two actions. In particular, for  $\beta \in \Delta$ ,  $X_{\alpha\beta}$  has two orbits on  $\Psi$ , and three on  $\mathcal{A} \setminus \{\beta\}$ . This allows us to prove that  $G$  is triply primitive on  $\mathcal{A}$ . We prove also that  $p > 11$  and  $p \equiv 7 \pmod{8}$ . Moreover,  $G$  is not quadruply transitive on  $\mathcal{A}$ .

It seems that such group cannot exist, because there is no known group which has faithful transitive actions of degree  $p$  and  $p+1$ , where  $p$  is a prime bigger than 11.

In Chapter III, we are always concerned with the case where  $p^2$  divides exactly the order of  $G$ . In order to get more informations about the problem, we study properties of the elements of  $G \setminus X$ . Then we consider subgroups  $M$  of  $G$  which contain  $Q$  but are not contained in  $X$ , with support  $\mathcal{A}' \subseteq \mathcal{A} \setminus \{\alpha, \beta\}$  ( $\beta \in \Delta'$ ), and such that for any  $g \in M$ ,  $(\mathcal{A}' \cap \Delta')^g = \mathcal{A}' \cap \Delta'$  or  $(\mathcal{A}' \cap \Delta')^g \cap (\mathcal{A}' \cap \Delta') \neq \emptyset$ . Then  $M_{\{\alpha' \cap \Delta'\}} = M \cap X$  is a subgroup of  $X$ , and the properties of the two actions of  $X$  (on  $\Sigma$  and  $\Delta'$ ) give us precise informations about  $M$ . In particular  $M/K$ , where  $K = \bigcap_{g \in M} (M \cap X)^g$  is a soluble  $\frac{p}{2}$ -transitive group of degree  $1+kp$  and rank  $1+k$ , where  $1 \leq k \leq \frac{p-1}{2}$ . We have other conditions on  $M$  and  $M/K$ . We hope that with these results the problem could be settled and the conjecture proved.

#### NOTATIONS AND DEFINITIONS.

All groups and geometries will be supposed finite. For abstract groups, we will use the definitions and notations of [5], and for permutation groups, we will use those of [19]. We will also use the notation " $P \in \mathcal{F}_p(G)$ " to mean that  $P$  is a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$ . If  $X$  is a permutation group on  $\mathcal{A}$ , then we write  $\text{fix } X$  for the set of points of  $\mathcal{A}$  which are fixed by  $X$ .

## Chapter I. Preliminaries.

In our study, we will need some general group-theoretic results. This chapter is devoted to the proof of these results.

### §1. Some transfer-theoretic results.

One of the uses of transfer is to get normal p-complements, or more generally normal complements in groups. We will prove a generalisation of Burnside's transfer theorem. If  $K \leq H \leq G$ , we say that K is weakly closed in H if for any  $g \in G$ ,  $K^G \leq H$  implies that  $K^G = K$ . (cfr. [5, p. 255]).

Proposition 1.1. Let p be a prime number dividing the order of a group G, and let  $P \in \mathcal{S}_p(G)$ . If P is abelian and contains a subgroup  $Q \neq 1$  such that  $N_G(P)$  centralizes Q, then any subgroup of Q is weakly closed in P. Moreover, if  $V:G \rightarrow P$  is the transfer, then  $Q \cap \ker V = 1$ . In fact, for  $x \in Q$ ,  $xV = x^{[G:P]}$ .

Proof. Take a subset X of P, and let  $g \in G$ . If  $X^G \leq P$ , then X and  $X^G$  are normal in P, and hence there is  $h \in N_G(P)$  such that  $X^G = X^h$  [5, 7.11]. If  $X \leq Q$ , then  $h \in C_G(X)$  and  $X^G = X$ . Therefore, any subgroup of Q is weakly closed in P. Now take  $x \in Q$ , then there exist  $g_i \in G$  and integers  $m_i$  such that  $xV = \prod_i (g_i^{-1} x^{m_i} g_i)$ ,  $g_i^{-1} x^{m_i} g_i \in P$  for each i and  $\sum_i m_i = [G:P]$ . As  $x^{m_i} \in Q$ , it follows that  $(x^{m_i})^{g_i} = x^{m_i}$  and hence  $xV = x^{[G:P]}$ . As  $[G:P]$  and  $|Q|$  are coprime, it follows that  $Q \cap \ker V = 1$ .

Proposition 1.2. Let  $G$  be a group with an abelian Sylow  $p$ -subgroup  $P$  for some prime  $p$ . If  $Q$  is a direct factor of  $P$ , then  $Q$  is a direct factor of  $C_G(Q)$ .

Proof. We may write  $P=Q \times R$ , where  $R$  is a subgroup of  $P$ . Now  $P \in \lambda_p(C_G(Q))$ , and we may apply proposition 1.1 to  $C_G(Q)$ : we have the homomorphism  $V:C_G(Q) \rightarrow P$ , with  $xV=x[C(Q):P]$  for  $x \in Q$ . Therefore  $Q \leq \text{Im } V$ , and let  $H$  be the subgroup of  $C_G(Q)$  consisting of the elements  $g$  such that  $gV \notin R$ . Then  $H \triangleleft C_G(Q)$ ,  $HQ=C_G(Q)$  and  $H \cap Q=1$ . Thus  $C_G(Q)=Q \times H$  and hence  $Q$  is a direct factor of  $C_G(Q)$ .

Note that this result is a consequence of [4].

## §2. On the limit of transitivity of permutation groups which do not contain the alternating group.

Here we prove a theorem due to Jordan [7]. Although it was stated for odd primes, it is also valid for the prime 2. We will show some consequences of it.

Let  $p$  be a prime number.

Lemma 2.1. If  $H$  is a transitive group on a set  $\mathcal{B}$  of size  $p^a$ , if  $H$  has a transitive normal  $p$ -subgroup  $P$ , if the nonabelian simple group  $S$  is a composition factor of  $H$ , then  $S$  is a section of  $GL(a,p)$ .

Proof. Take a counterexample  $(H, \mathcal{B})$  of minimal degree  $p^b$ . If  $H$  is imprimitive, then let  $\Psi = \{B_1, \dots, B_{p^t}\}$  be a complete set of imprimitivity blocks. Then  $H$  acts on  $\Psi$  with kernel  $H_\Psi$  and image  $H^\Psi$ . If  $S$  is a composition factor of  $H^\Psi$ , then  $P^\Psi$  is a normal  $p$ -subgroup of  $H^\Psi$ , transitive on  $\Psi$ , and so  $S$  is a section of  $GL(t,p) \leq GL(a,p)$  by minimality of  $H$ .

Hence  $S$  is a composition factor of  $H_\Psi$ . Now  $H_\Psi$  is normal in  $H_{\{B_1\}}^{B_1} \times \dots \times H_{\{B_{p^t}\}}^{B_{p^t}}$ , and so  $S$  is a composition

factor of some  $H_i = H \{B_i\}^{B_i}$ . But  $P_i = P \{B_i\}^{B_i} \trianglelefteq_{H_i}$  and  $P_i$  is transitive on  $B_i$ . Hence  $S$  is a section of  $GL(b-t, p) \leq GL(a, p)$  in this case. If  $H$  is primitive, then  $H \trianglelefteq AGL(b, t) \leq AGL(a, t)$  because  $P$  is soluble [19, 11.5]. But then  $S$  is a section of  $AGL(a, p)$ , and as  $GL(a, p) \cong AGL(a, p)/(Z_p)^a$ ,  $S$  is a section of  $GL(a, p)$ . Therefore we have a contradiction in each case, and the proposition must be true.

Theorem 2.2. Let  $p$  be a prime number, let  $m, q$  be integers such that  $p^m \leq q < p^{m+1}$  and  $p \nmid q$ . Let  $G$  be a  $(k+1)$ -fold transitive group of degree  $d = qp^n + k$  which does not contain  $A_d$ . Then one of the following holds:

(i)  $k < 5$ .

(ii)  $k \leq q$ .

(iii)  $A_k$  is a section of  $GL(m+n, p)$ .

Proof. Suppose that  $G$  is  $(k+1)$ -fold transitive on the set  $\mathcal{O}$  of size  $d$ , that  $k > q$ ,  $k \geq 5$  and  $G \not\trianglelefteq A_d$ . Then we prove that (iii) holds. Suppose first that  $n > 0$ .

Let  $\Delta \subseteq \mathcal{O}$ ,  $|\Delta| = k$ . Let  $P \in \mathcal{P}_p(G_\Delta)$ . As  $G$  is transitive on  $\Gamma = \mathcal{O} \setminus \Delta$  and  $|\Gamma| = qp^n$ , any orbit of  $P$  on  $\Gamma$  has length at least  $p^n$  [19, 3.4]. Let  $\mathcal{O}_1, \dots, \mathcal{O}_r$  be these orbits. Then  $r \leq q < k$ . By Witt's lemma [19, 9.4],  $N = N_G(P)$  is  $k$ -fold transitive on  $\Delta$ , that is  $N^\Delta \cong S_k$ . By a theorem of Jordan [19, 13.9],  $N^\Delta \not\trianglelefteq A_k$ , and as  $N^\Delta \triangleleft N^\Gamma$ , we must get  $N_r^\Delta = 1$ , because  $A_k$  is the only non-trivial normal subgroup of  $S_k$  (since  $k \geq 5$ ). We have thus  $N^\Gamma / N_\Delta^\Gamma \cong N^\Gamma / (N_\Delta N_r)^\Gamma \cong \frac{N/N_\Delta}{N_r/N_\Gamma}$ .  $\cong N/N_\Delta N_\Gamma$ , and similarly  $S_k \cong N^\Delta \cong N_r^\Delta \cong N/N_\Delta N_\Gamma$ .

Therefore  $A_k$  is a composition factor of  $N^\Gamma / N_\Delta^\Gamma$ , and hence of  $N^\Gamma$ . As  $P \trianglelefteq N$ ,  $N$  permutes the orbits  $\mathcal{O}_1, \dots, \mathcal{O}_r$  of  $P$ , and as  $r < k$ ,  $A_k$  is not a composition factor of

$N_{\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r\}}$ . Hence it is one of  $(N_{\{\alpha_1\}}, \dots, N_{\{\alpha_r\}})$ , which is a normal subgroup of  $N_{\{\alpha_1\}} \times \dots \times N_{\{\alpha_r\}}$ . So  $A_k$  is a composition factor of  $N_{\{\alpha_i\}}$  for some  $i$ . Now  $|\alpha_i| = p^a$ , where  $a \leq m+n$  (since  $|\alpha_i| \leq qp^n$ ), and  $P^{\alpha_i} \triangleleft N_{\{\alpha_i\}}$ . Applying Lemma 2.1,  $A_k$  is a section of  $GL(a, p) \leq GL(m+n, p)$ , and (iii) holds.

Now suppose that  $n=0$ . Then  $d=q+k < 2k$ , and  $G$  is more than  $\frac{d}{2}$ -fold transitive, and must then contain  $A_d$ , which is impossible.

Remark: The theorem is still true if we suppose that  $G$  is  $k$ -fold transitive and contains a  $p$ -subgroup  $P$  fixing exactly  $k$  points and whose non-trivial orbits are in number not bigger than  $q$  or  $k-1$ .

As a consequence, we can easily prove some known results like theorem 13.11 of [19], which is due to Miller.

Now we prove a consequence that we will need:

Proposition 2.3. Let  $p$  be a prime number bigger than 3. If  $G$  is a  $(p+2)$ -fold transitive group of degree  $d=p^2+p+1$ , then  $G$  contains  $A_d$ .

Proof. Take  $k=p+1$ ,  $q=1$ ,  $n=2$ . Then  $k > 5$ ,  $k > q$ , and  $G$  is a  $(k+1)$ -fold transitive group of degree  $qp^n+k$ . Now  $A_k$  is not a section of  $GL(2, p)$ . Hence, by Theorem 2.2,  $G$  must contain  $A_d$ .

### §3. Constructing Steiner systems from multiply transitive permutation groups.

A Steiner system  $S(t, k, v)$  is a pair  $(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{B})$  of sets, where  $|\mathcal{V}| = v$ ,  $\mathcal{B} \subseteq 2^{\mathcal{V}}$ , each element of  $\mathcal{B}$  has cardinal  $k$  and  $t$  elements of  $\mathcal{V}$  belong to exactly one element of  $\mathcal{B}$ .

The elements of  $\mathcal{A}$  are called "points" and those of  $\mathcal{B}$  "blocks".

Let  $G$  be a  $t$ -fold transitive group on a set  $\mathcal{A}$ , with  $|\mathcal{A}| = v > t > 1$ . Suppose that for some  $\Delta \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ , with  $|\Delta| = t-1$ ,  $G_{\{\Delta\}}$  has imprimitivity blocks of size  $b$  on  $\mathcal{A} \setminus \Delta$ , where  $b$  is a non-trivial divisor of  $v-t+1$ . Let  $B_1, \dots, B_m$  be these blocks, where  $bm = v-t+1$ . If we take another subset  $\Delta'$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  of size  $t-1$ , then  $\Delta' = \Delta^g$  for some  $g \in G$ , and  $B_1^g, \dots, B_m^g$  are imprimitivity blocks of  $G_{\{\Delta'\}}$  on  $\mathcal{A} \setminus \Delta'$ . For any  $t$  distinct points  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_t \in \mathcal{A}$ , let us define  $B(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_t) = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_t\} \cup B$ , where  $B$  is the imprimitivity block of  $G_{\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_t\}}$  containing  $\alpha_t$ . We have the following properties:

- (i)  $|B(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_t)| = t-1+b$
  - (ii) If  $\beta \in B(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_t) \setminus \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_t\}$ , then  $B(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_t) = B(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{t-1}, \beta)$ .
  - (iii) If  $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{t-1}\} = \{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{t-1}\}$ , then  $B(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_t) = B(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{t-1}, \alpha_t)$ .
  - (iv) For  $g \in G$ ,  $B(\alpha_1^g, \dots, \alpha_t^g) = B(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_t)^g$ .
- Let  $\mathcal{B} = \{B(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_t) \mid \alpha_i \in \mathcal{A}, \alpha_i \neq \alpha_j \text{ for } i \neq j\}$ .

Proposition 3.1. The system  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$  is a Steiner system  $S(t, t-1+b, v)$  if and only if for pairwise distinct points  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_t$ , we have  $B(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_t) = B(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{t-2}, \alpha_t, \alpha_{t-1})$ .

Proof. If  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$  is a Steiner system  $S(t, t-1+b, v)$ , then  $B(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_t) = B(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{t-2}, \alpha_t, \alpha_{t-1})$ , because these blocks both contain the  $t$  points  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_t$ . Suppose now that  $B(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_t) = B(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{t-2}, \alpha_t, \alpha_{t-1})$  for any pairwise distinct points  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_t$ . Then we apply (iii) and hence

$$B(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_t) = B(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_t) \text{ if } \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_t\} = \{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_t\}.$$

We prove now that if  $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_t$  are pairwise distinct elements of  $B(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_t)$ , then  $B(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_t) = B(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_t)$ .

We do it by induction on  $k = |\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_t\} \setminus \{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_t\}|$ . If  $k=0$ , then the result follows by the above remark. If  $k > 0$ , then  $\alpha_{j_1} = \beta_{\ell_1}, \dots, \alpha_{j_{t-k}} = \beta_{\ell_{t-k}}$ , and we have  $B(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_t) = B(\alpha_{j_1}, \dots, \alpha_{j_t})$  and  $B(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_t) = B(\beta_{\ell_1}, \dots, \beta_{\ell_t})$ . Now  $\beta_{\ell_t} \in B(\alpha_{j_1}, \dots, \alpha_{j_t}) \setminus \{\alpha_{j_1}, \dots, \alpha_{j_t}\}$ , and therefore  $B(\alpha_{j_1}, \dots, \alpha_{j_{t-1}}, \beta_{\ell_t}) = B(\alpha_{j_1}, \dots, \alpha_{j_t})$ . Now  $k-1 = |\{\alpha_{j_1}, \dots, \alpha_{j_{t-1}}, \beta_{\ell_t}\} \setminus \{\beta_{\ell_1}, \dots, \beta_{\ell_t}\}|$ , and so  $B(\beta_{\ell_1}, \dots, \beta_{\ell_t}) = B(\alpha_{j_1}, \dots, \alpha_{j_{t-1}}, \beta_{\ell_t})$ . Therefore  $B(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_t) = B(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_t)$ , which is what we had to show. We get then a Steiner system, because for any  $t$  distinct points  $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_t$ , any block  $B(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_t)$  containing  $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_t$  is equal to  $B(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_t)$ .

We make now the following definition [10]: A permutation group  $G$  on  $\mathcal{V}$  is generously  $t$ -fold transitive on  $\mathcal{V}$  if for any  $\Delta \subseteq \mathcal{V}$  with  $|\Delta| = t+1$ ,  $G \begin{smallmatrix} \Delta \\ \Delta \end{smallmatrix} \cong S_{t+1}$ .  $G$  is almost generously  $t$ -fold transitive if  $G \begin{smallmatrix} \Delta \\ \Delta \end{smallmatrix} \cong A_{t+1}$  for such  $\Delta$ . We have the following implications:

$G$  is  $(t+1)$ -fold transitive  $\Rightarrow G$  is generously  $t$ -fold transitive  $\Rightarrow G$  is almost generously  $t$ -fold transitive  $\Rightarrow G$  is  $t$ -foldtransitive.

Proposition 3.2. The system  $(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{B})$  is a Steiner system  $S(t, t-1+b, v)$  whenever one of the following holds:

- (i)  $G$  is generously  $t$ -fold transitive on  $\mathcal{V}$ .
- (ii)  $G$  is almost generously  $t$ -fold transitive on  $\mathcal{V}$ , and  $t \geq 3$ .

Proof. Let  $\gamma \in B(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_t) \setminus \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_t\}$ , where  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_t$  are pairwise distinct points of  $\mathcal{V}$ . If there is  $g \in G$  such that  $\gamma^g = \gamma$ ,  $g$  stabilizes  $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_t\}$  and  $\alpha_t^g = \alpha_{t-1}$ , then  $\gamma^g \in B(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_t)^g = B(\alpha_1^g, \dots, \alpha_t^g) = B(\dots, \alpha_t, \dots, \alpha_{t-1}) = B(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{t-2}, \alpha_t, \alpha_{t-1})$  by properties (iii) and (iv)

defined above. It is easily seen that such a permutation exists if  $G$  is generously  $t$ -fold transitive or if  $G$  is almost generously  $t$ -fold transitive with  $t \geq 3$ . (Take  $g = (\gamma)(\alpha_{t-1}, \alpha_t)(\alpha_1) \dots (\alpha_{t-2}) \dots$  in the first case and  $g = (\gamma)(\alpha_t, \alpha_{t-1}, \alpha_{t-2})(\alpha_1) \dots (\alpha_{t-3}) \dots$  in the second case. Hence  $B(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_t) \setminus \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_t\} \subseteq B(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_t, \alpha_{t-1})$  and thus  $B(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_t) = B(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{t-2}, \alpha_t, \alpha_{t-1})$ . By Proposition 3.1, the result follows.

Proposition 3.3. If for pairwise distinct points  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_t$ , we have  $B(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_t) = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_t\} \cup B$ , where  $B$  is the union of all orbits of  $G_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_t}$  on  $\mathcal{V} \setminus \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_t\}$  which have some prescribed lengths, then  $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{B})$  is a Steiner system  $S(t, t-1+b, v)$ .

Proof. It follows by hypothesis that  $B(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{t-2}, \alpha_t, \alpha_{t-1}) = B(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_t)$ . Hence we have a Steiner system by Proposition 3.1.

It can easily be shown that if all orbits of  $G_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_t}$  on  $\mathcal{V} \setminus \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_t\}$  have pairwise distinct length, then  $G$  is generously  $t$ -fold transitive.

Note that the group  $G$  is a subgroup of the automorphism group of the system  $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{B})$ .

We can find another way of constructing Steiner systems  $S(t, k, v)$  from  $t$ -fold transitive groups.

Proposition 3.4: Let  $G$  be a  $t$ -fold transitive group on a set  $\mathcal{U}$ , with  $|\mathcal{U}|=v$ . Suppose that there is some  $A \subseteq \mathcal{U}$  such that  $|A|=k > t$  and for  $g \in G$ ,  $A^g = A$  or  $|A \cap A^g| < t$ . If  $\mathcal{B} = \{A^g \mid g \in G\}$ , then  $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{B})$  is a Steiner system  $S(t, k, v)$ , whose automorphism group contains  $G$ .

Proof. If we take  $t$  pairwise distinct points  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_t$ , then there is an element  $g$  of  $G$  such that  $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_t\}^g \subseteq \Delta_{-1}$ , because  $G$  is  $t$ -fold transitive. But then  $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_t\} \subseteq \Delta^{g^{-1}}$  (a block): any  $t$  points lie in a block. If they were in another block  $\Delta^h \neq \Delta^{g^{-1}}$ , then we would have  $\Delta^{hg} \neq \Delta$  and  $t \leq |\Delta^h \cap \Delta^{g^{-1}}| = |\Delta^{hg} \cap \Delta|$ , which contradicts the hypothesis. Hence  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$  is a Steiner system  $S(t, k, v)$  and  $G$  is an automorphism group of  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ .

Note that the result is still true if we suppose only that  $G$  is transitive on the subsets of size  $t$  of  $\mathcal{V}$ .

#### §4. Some assumed results and more propositions.

Proposition 4.1 [11]. If  $G$  is a primitive group on a set  $\mathcal{A}$ , if  $p^2$  divides the order of  $G$  and if  $G$  contains an element of order  $p$  with less than  $p$  cycles of length  $p$ , then  $G$  is doubly transitive.

Proposition 4.2 [17]. If  $G$  is a primitive permutation group on a set  $\mathcal{V}$ , if for some prime divisor  $p$  of  $|G|$ , a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup  $P$  has 0 or 1 fixed point and all non-trivial orbits of length  $p$ , then  $|P|=p$  or  $G$  is doubly transitive.

Proposition 4.3 [13]. If  $G$  is a doubly transitive group of degree  $n = kp + t$  (where  $p$  is prime) which does not contain  $A_n$ , if  $p$  divides  $|G|$  and if a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup  $P$  of  $G$  has  $t$  fixed points and  $k$  orbits of length  $p$ , then either  $|P|=p$  or  $n \leq 12$ .

Proposition 4.4 [14]. If  $G$  is a doubly transitive group of degree  $n$  which does not contain  $A_n$ , if the stabilizer  $H$  of two points has order divisible by  $p$ , if a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup  $Q$  of  $H$  has no orbit of length exceeding  $p$ , then  $|Q|=p$ .

Proposition 4.5 [16]. If  $G$  is a group of order not divisible by  $n^2$ , if  $G$  has a quadruply transitive action on a set  $\Delta$  of size  $n+1$  and a transitive action on a set  $\Gamma$  of size  $n$ , then  $n=3$ .

We prove now a proposition about primitive groups of degree  $2p$ , where  $p$  is a prime.

Proposition 4.6. Let  $G$  be a primitive group of degree  $2p$  on a set  $\mathcal{V}$ , with  $p$  prime. If  $G$  contains an insoluble group  $H$  with two orbits of length  $p$  on  $\mathcal{V}$ , then  $G$  is doubly transitive.

Proof. Suppose that  $G$  is simply transitive. Then [19, 31.2]  $G$  has rank 3, with subdegrees 1,  $s(2s+1)$ ,  $(s+1)(2s+1)$ , where  $2p=(2s+1)^2+1$ . Let  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_2$  be the two orbits of  $H$  on  $\mathcal{V}$ . Then  $H$  acts faithfully on each, otherwise  $G$  would be doubly transitive by [19, 13.1] (In fact,  $G$  would contain  $A_{2p}$ ). Let  $\gamma \in \mathcal{V}$  and  $g \in G$ . Then  $H^g$  is doubly transitive on  $\Gamma_1^g$  and  $\Gamma_2^g$ . If  $\gamma \in \Gamma_i \cap \Gamma_j^g$ , then  $H_\gamma$  is transitive on  $\Gamma_i \setminus \{\gamma\}$  and  $(H^g)_\gamma$  is transitive on  $\Gamma_j^g \setminus \{\gamma\}$ . Now  $|\Gamma_i \setminus \{\gamma\}| = |\Gamma_j^g \setminus \{\gamma\}| = p-1 = 2s(s+1) > s(2s+1)$ . Hence  $(\Gamma_i \cup \Gamma_j^g) \setminus \{\gamma\} \subseteq \Delta(\gamma)$ , where  $\Delta(\gamma)$  is the orbit of length  $(s+1)(2s+1)$  of  $G_\gamma$ . Therefore  $(s+1)(2s+1) \geq |(\Gamma_i \cup \Gamma_j^g) \setminus \{\gamma\}| = p+p-1 - |\Gamma_i \cap \Gamma_j^g|$ , and  $|\Gamma_i \cap \Gamma_j^g| \geq 2p-1-(s+1)(2s+1)=s(2s+1)$ . Now, as  $G$  is primitive, there is some  $g \in G$  such that  $\Gamma_2 \neq \Gamma_1^g \neq \Gamma_1$ , and we get  $|\Gamma_1^g \cap \Gamma_2| > s(2s+1)$ ,  $|\Gamma_1^g \cap \Gamma_1| > s(2s+1)$ , and so  $p = |\Gamma_1^g \cap \Gamma_1| + |\Gamma_1^g \cap \Gamma_2| \geq 2s(2s+1)$ , that is  $2s^2+2s+1 \geq 4s^2+2s$ , and hence  $s^2 \leq \frac{1}{2}$ , which is impossible, because  $p > 1$ .

Chapter II. Primitive groups of degree  $p^2+p+1$ , where  $p$  is a prime number.

Let  $G$  be a primitive group on a set  $\mathcal{V}$  of size  $n=p^2+p+1$  (where  $p$  is prime), such that  $p^2$  divides the order of  $G$ . Let  $P$  be a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$ ; it fixes a point  $\alpha$  of  $\mathcal{V}$ . We may suppose that  $p > 3$ , because groups of degree 7 and 13 are known.

§5. The general case - A theorem of Tsuzuku.

Proposition 5.1.  $G$  is doubly transitive.

Proof.  $P$  fixes a point  $\alpha$  of  $\mathcal{V}$ . We look at the other orbits of  $P$  on  $\mathcal{V}$ . If  $P$  has  $p+1$  orbits of length  $p$ , then  $G$  is doubly transitive by Proposition 4.2. If  $P$  has  $k$  orbits of length  $p$  and  $n-kp$  fixed points on  $\mathcal{V}$ , where  $k \leq p$ , then the pointwise stabilizer  $Q$  of one of these orbits of length  $p$  has order divisible by  $p$  and contains an element with less than  $p$  cycles of length  $p$ . Hence, by Proposition 4.1,  $G$  is doubly transitive. If  $P$  has an orbit  $\Gamma$  of length  $p^2$ , then  $G_\alpha$  has an orbit containing  $\Gamma$ . If  $G$  was not doubly transitive, then  $G_\alpha$  would have another orbit  $\Delta$ , and by [19, 18.1], we would have  $P^\Delta \neq 1$ , and so  $|\Delta| \geq p$ . But  $|\Delta| \leq n-1 = |\Gamma| = p^2$ , and we would have  $|\Delta| = p$ , and hence  $|P| = p$  by [15], which is impossible. Hence  $G$  is doubly transitive.

Proposition 5.2 [9].  $P$  has a fixed point  $\alpha$ , an orbit  $\Delta$  of length  $p$  and an orbit  $\Gamma$  of length  $p^2$ .

Proof. As  $G_\alpha$  is transitive on  $\mathcal{V} \setminus \{\alpha\}$ , which has size divisible by  $p$ ,  $\alpha$  is the only fixed point of  $P$  on  $\mathcal{V}$ . If  $P$  had no orbit of length  $p^2$ , then it would have  $p+1$  orbits of length  $p$ , and we would have  $|P| = p$  by Proposition

4.3, which is impossible. Hence  $P$  has an orbit  $\Gamma$  of length  $p^2$ , and therefore it has also an orbit  $\Delta$  of length  $p$ , otherwise it would fix another point more than  $\alpha$  on  $\mathcal{V}$ .

Lemma 5.3 [9]. If  $p^3$  divides the order of  $P$ , then  $P_\Delta$  is transitive on  $\Gamma$ .

Proof. For  $\beta \in \Delta$ ,  $P_\Delta \in \mathcal{J}_p(G_{\alpha\beta})$ . If  $P_\Delta$  was not transitive on  $\Gamma$ , then we would have  $|P_\Delta| = p$  by proposition 4.4, and hence  $|P| = p^2$ , which is impossible. Hence  $P_\Delta$  is transitive on  $\Gamma$ . (We may also use Proposition 4.1).

In his thesis, Mc Donough [9] gave elementary proofs of these two results. We reproduce them here:

Alternative proof of 5.2. If  $P$  has  $p+1$  orbits  $\mathcal{U}_1, \dots, \mathcal{U}_{p+1}$  of length  $p$  on  $\mathcal{V}$ , then write  $i \sim j$  if  $P_{\mathcal{U}_i} = P_{\mathcal{U}_j}$ . It is an equivalence relation. As  $p^2$  divides the order of  $P$ , for each  $i$  there is some  $j$  such that  $i \not\sim j$ . Take now such  $i$  in an equivalence class of size  $r$ , where  $r \leq \frac{1}{2}(p+1)$  (there is such a class, since there are at least two equivalence classes of  $\sim$ ). Take  $j$  such that  $i \not\sim j$ .

Pose  $\Lambda = \text{fix } P_{\mathcal{U}_i}$  and  $\Theta = \text{fix } P_{\mathcal{U}_j}$ . For  $\beta \in \mathcal{U}_j$ ,  $R = P_{\mathcal{U}_i} \in \mathcal{J}_p(G_{\alpha\beta})$ , and by Witt's lemma,  $N = N_G(R)$  is doubly transitive on  $\Lambda$ .

If  $S$  is the subgroup of  $G(R)$  stabilizing all non-trivial orbits of  $R$ , then  $S \triangleleft N$  and  $S^\Lambda \neq 1$ , since  $S \supseteq P$ . Hence  $S$  is transitive on  $\Lambda$ . Now, for each  $\mathcal{U}_i$  outside  $\Lambda$ ,  $S^{\mathcal{U}_i} = R^{\mathcal{U}_i}$ , which has order  $p$ . Therefore,  $[S : S_{\mathcal{U} \setminus \Lambda}]$  is a power of  $p$ , and as  $(p, |\Lambda|) = 1$ ,  $T = S_{\mathcal{U} \setminus \Lambda}$  is transitive on  $\Lambda$ . Similarly, we get a group  $U$  fixing  $\mathcal{U} \setminus \Theta$  and transitive on  $\Theta$ . Now  $\Lambda \cap \Theta = \{\alpha\}$ , and if we take  $g \in U$  such that  $\alpha^g \neq \alpha$ , then  $\langle T, T^g \rangle = M$  has support  $\Lambda \cup \{\alpha^g\}$  and is doubly transitive on it. As  $|\Lambda| = rp+1$ ,  $M$  has a support of size  $rp+2$ , and by

$[19, 13.2]$ ,  $G$  is  $n-(rp+2)+2=(p^2-(r-1)p+1)$ -fold transitive. As  $r \leq p+1$ , we get  $p^2-(r-1)p+1 \geq p^2+p+1-p(p+1)=p(p+1)+1 \geq p+2$ , and  $G$  is  $(p+2)$ -fold transitive. But then  $G=A_n$  or  $S_n$  by Proposition 2.3, and we get a contradiction, because a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $A_n$  (or  $S_n$ ) has an orbit of length  $p^2$ . The result follows.

Alternative proof of 5.3. If  $p^3$  divides the order of  $P$ , and if  $P_A$  is not transitive on  $\Gamma$ , then  $P_A$  has  $p$  orbits  $\Gamma_1, \dots, \Gamma_p$  on  $\Gamma$ , each of size  $p$ , because  $P_A \trianglelefteq P$ . We put  $i \sim j$  if  $P_{A\Gamma_i} \cong P_{A\Gamma_j}$ . This is an equivalence relation. As  $P$  is transitive on  $\Gamma$ ,  $P$  permutes the subgroups  $P_{A\Gamma_i}$ , and hence each equivalence class has the same size  $r$ , and  $r \mid p$ . Now  $r \neq p$ , otherwise  $|P| = p^2$ . Therefore  $r=1$ , and for each  $j \neq i$ ,  $P_{A\Gamma_i} \cap P_{A\Gamma_j} \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $\gamma \in \Gamma_1$ , and choose a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup  $W$  of  $G_{\gamma}$  which contains  $P_{A\Gamma_1}$ . Then  $W$  is conjugate to  $P_A$ , and hence it has  $p$  orbits of length  $p$  and  $p+1$  fixed points. It has already the  $p-1$  orbits  $\Gamma_2, \dots, \Gamma_p$  of  $P_A \Gamma_1$ . So it must have another one,  $\Gamma'_1$ , included in  $\Gamma_1 \cup \Delta \setminus \{\alpha, \gamma\}$ . If  $\Gamma_1 \cap \Gamma'_1 = \emptyset$ , then  $[P_A, W] = 1$ , because  $P_A \cap P_{A\Gamma_1} = P_{A\Gamma_1} = W$  for  $i > 1$ . But then  $\langle P_A, W \rangle$  is a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$ , and has  $p+1$  orbits of length  $p$ , which is impossible. Hence  $\Gamma_1 \cap \Gamma'_1 \neq \emptyset$ . But then  $\langle P_A, W \rangle$  is transitive on  $\Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma'_1$ , and as  $(|\Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma'_1|, p) = 1$ , the group  $X = \langle x^p \mid x \in \langle P_A, W \rangle \rangle$  is transitive on  $\Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma'_1$ . But as  $|\langle P_A, W \rangle \cap \Gamma_i| = p$  for  $i > 1$ ,  $x^i = 1$  for such  $i$ . So  $X$  fixes  $\Delta \setminus (\Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma'_1)$  and is transitive on  $\Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma'_1$ . Now  $|\Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma'_1| \leq 2p-1 < n$ , and hence  $G = A_n$  or  $S_n$  by  $[19, 13.5]$  and we get a contradiction, because  $P_A$  is transitive on  $\Gamma$  when  $G = A_n$  or  $G = S_n$ .

We can now easily prove the result of Tsuzuku:

Theorem 5.4 [18]. If  $p^3$  divides the order of  $P$ , then

$G = PSL(3, p)$ ,  $PGL(3, p)$ ,  $A_n$  or  $S_n$ .

Proof. By Proposition 5.3, the group  $P_\Delta$  has  $p+1$  fixed points and an orbit  $\Gamma$  of length  $p^2$ . Let  $g \in G$  such that  $|\Gamma \cup \Gamma^g|$  is minimal for being bigger than  $p^2$ . Then (cfr. [6]),  $\Gamma^g \setminus \Gamma$  is a block of  $\langle P_\Delta^g, P_\Delta \rangle$ . Hence  $|\Gamma^g \setminus \Gamma|$  divides  $p^2$ , that is  $|\Gamma^g \setminus \Gamma| = 1$  or  $p$ . If  $|\Gamma^g \setminus \Gamma| = 1$ , then  $\langle P_\Delta^g, P_\Delta \rangle$  is doubly transitive of degree  $p^2+1$ , and by [19, 13.2],  $G$  is  $(p+2)$ -fold transitive, and therefore  $G = A_n$  or  $S_n$  by Proposition 2.3. If  $|\Gamma^g \setminus \Gamma| = p$ , then let  $\Delta = \mathcal{U} \setminus \Gamma$ . For any  $h \in G$ , we have:  $|\Delta \cap \Delta^h| = |\mathcal{U}(\Gamma \cup \Gamma^h)| = n - |\Gamma \cup \Gamma^h| \leq n - |\Gamma \cup \Gamma^g| = 1$ . Hence, by proposition 3.4,  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ , where  $\mathcal{B} = \{\Delta^h \mid h \in G\}$  is a Steiner system  $S(2, p+1, p^2+p+1)$ , that is a projective plane  $\Pi$  of order  $p$ . Now  $G \subseteq \text{Aut } \Pi$  and  $G$  is doubly transitive. By [12],  $\Pi$  is desarguesian and  $PSL(3, p) \subseteq G$  (In fact, we can obtain a coordinatisation of  $\Pi$  over  $GF(p)$  without using [12], because we know some properties of  $P$ .)

## §6. The case where $|P| = p^2$ : Triple primitivity.

We know that for  $P \in \mathcal{J}_p(G)$ ,  $P$  has a fixed point  $\alpha$ , an orbit  $\Delta$  of length  $p$  and an orbit  $\Gamma$  of length  $p^2$ . Let  $\Delta' = \Delta \cup \{\alpha\}$ . We suppose now that  $|P| = p^2$ . Pose  $X = G_{\Delta'}$ ,  $Y = G_{\Delta'}$ , and  $Q = P \cap Y$ . Then  $|Q| = p$ ,  $Q \in \mathcal{J}_p(Y)$  and  $Q$  is not transitive on  $\Gamma$ . As  $Q \triangleleft P$ ,  $Q$  is half-transitive on  $\Gamma$ : it has  $p$  orbits  $\Gamma_1, \dots, \Gamma_p$  on it, each of length  $p$ . Let  $\Psi = \{\Gamma_1, \dots, \Gamma_p\}$ .

Proposition 6.1. The group  $Y$  leaves each  $\Gamma_i$  invariant.

$X$  acts on  $\Psi$  and  $X \Psi = Y = X \Delta'$ . For any  $Z \leq X$ ,  $Z \Psi = Z \Delta' = Z \cap Y$ , and in particular  $C_G(Q) \Psi = C_G(Q) \Delta' = Q$ . The group  $C_G(Q)$  acts doubly transitively on  $\Psi$  and  $\Delta'$ . The group  $Y$  acts faithfully on each  $\Gamma_i$ . The permutation characters of  $X_\alpha$  on  $\Delta$  and  $\Psi$  are the same.

Proof. As  $Y \trianglelefteq X$ , and  $X$  is transitive on  $\Gamma$ ,  $Y$  is half-transitive on  $\Gamma$ . As  $p^2$  does not divide the order of  $Y$ , and  $Y$  contains  $Q$ , the orbits of  $Y$  on  $\Gamma$  are precisely the sets  $\Gamma_i$ . Now  $Y \trianglelefteq X$ , and so  $X$  permutes the sets  $\Gamma_i$ , and hence acts on  $\Psi$ . As  $Q \in \mathcal{J}_p(G_{\alpha\beta})$ , for  $\beta \in \Delta$ ,  $N_G(Q)$  is doubly transitive on  $\Delta'$  [19, 9.4]. As  $C_G(Q) \triangleleft N_G(Q)$  and  $C_G(Q) \geq P$ , which acts nontrivially on  $\Delta'$ ,  $C_G(Q)$  is transitive on  $\Delta'$ ; as  $P$  is transitive on  $\Delta$ ,  $C_G(Q)$  is doubly transitive on  $\Delta'$ . In particular,  $X$  is doubly transitive on  $\Delta'$ . Let  $N = X_\alpha \Psi$ ; then  $N \geq Y$  and  $N/Y \cong N^{\Delta'}$ . Now  $N$  acts faithfully on  $\Gamma$ , otherwise  $N \Gamma$  would be a subgroup of  $G$  with degree not exceeding  $p+1$ , which is impossible since  $p+1 < \frac{n}{3} - \frac{2\sqrt{n}}{3}$  and  $G$  does not contain  $A_n$  [19, 15.1]. If  $N_\alpha \neq Y$ , then  $N_\alpha^{\Delta'} \neq 1$  and as  $N_\alpha^{\Delta'} \trianglelefteq X_\alpha^{\Delta'}$ , we must get  $N_\alpha^{\Delta'}$  transitive, and hence  $N_\alpha$  has  $p+1$  orbits of length  $p$  and has order divisible by  $p^2$ , which is impossible. Therefore  $N_\alpha = Y$ , and if  $N \neq Y$ , then  $N^{\Delta'}$  is regular on  $\Delta'$ ; hence  $[N:Y] = 1$  or  $p+1$ . If  $N$  does not act faithfully on  $\Gamma_i$ , then  $N \Gamma_i$  acts as a  $p'$ -group on  $\Delta'$  and has at most  $p-1$  orbits of length  $p$  on  $\Gamma$ , which is impossible, because  $G$  does not contain an element of order  $p$  with less than  $p$  cycles. Therefore  $N$  acts faithfully on each  $\Gamma_i$ , and  $N^{\Delta'} \cong N/Y \cong N \Gamma_i / Y \Gamma_i$ . By Frattini argument,  $N \Gamma_i = N_{N \Gamma_i}(Q^{\Gamma_i}) \cdot Y$ , and hence  $N^{\Delta'} \cong \frac{N \Gamma_i}{Y \Gamma_i} \cong \frac{N_{N \Gamma_i}(Q^{\Gamma_i})}{N_{Y \Gamma_i}(Q^{\Gamma_i})}$ ,

and so the order of  $N^{\Delta'}$  divides  $p-1$ . But as  $|N^{\Delta'}|=1$  or  $p+1$ , we get  $|N^{\Delta'}|=1$  and hence  $N=Y$ . Therefore,  $X_{\Delta'}=Y=X_{\Psi}$ , and  $Y$  acts faithfully on each  $\Gamma_i$ . For  $Z \leq X$ , we have  $Z_{\Psi} = Z \cap X_{\Psi} = Z \cap Y = Z \cap X_{\Delta'} = Z_{\Delta'}$ , and as  $C_G(Q)^{\Gamma_i} = Q^{\Gamma_i}$ , we must get  $C_G(Q) \cap Y = Q$ , and so  $C_G(Q)_{\Psi} = C_G(Q)_{\Delta'} = Q$ . Hence  $C_G(Q)/Q$  acts faithfully on  $\Delta'$  and  $\Psi$ . Thus  $C_G(Q)^{\Psi}$  must be doubly transitive, otherwise it would normalise  $P^{\Psi}$  (by Burnside's prime degree theorem), and then  $C_G(Q)^{\Delta'}$  would normalise  $P^{\Delta'}$ , which is impossible. Now  $X_{\alpha}$  acts on both  $\Delta$  and  $\Psi$  with the same kernel  $Y$ . If  $X_{\alpha}/Y$  is soluble, then both actions are equivalent, and hence the permutation characters of these actions are the same. If  $X_{\alpha}/Y$  is insoluble, then  $X_{\alpha}$  is doubly transitive on both  $\Delta$  and  $\Psi$  because  $p=|\Delta|=|\Psi|$  (by the same theorem of Burnside). Let  $\pi_{\Delta}$  be the permutation character of  $X_{\alpha}$  on  $\Delta$ , and  $\pi_{\Psi}$  the one on  $\Psi$ . Now  $\pi_{\Delta} = 1 + \varphi$  and  $\pi_{\Psi} = 1 + \chi$ , where  $\varphi$  and  $\chi$  are irreducible. If  $\pi_{\Delta} \neq \pi_{\Psi}$ , then  $\varphi \neq \chi \neq 1 \neq \varphi$ , and  $(\pi_{\Delta}, \pi_{\Psi}) = 1$ : this means that  $X_{\alpha}$  is transitive on  $\Delta \times \Psi$ , and hence that  $p^2$  divides the order of  $X_{\alpha}/Y$ , which is impossible. Hence  $\pi_{\Delta} = \pi_{\Psi}$ .

Proposition 6.2 [9]. The Sylow  $p$ -subgroup  $P$  is elementary abelian and  $Q$  is a direct factor of  $C_G(Q)$ .

Proof. As  $|P|=p^2$ ,  $P$  is abelian. By Proposition 1.1, if  $V$  is the transfer  $C_G(Q) \longrightarrow P$ , then  $Q \cap \ker V = 1$ , because  $N_{C_G(Q)}(P) \leq C_G(Q)$ . If  $P$  is not elementary abelian, then  $P$  is cyclic and hence  $P \cap \ker V = 1$ . This means that  $V$  is surjective and  $C_G(Q)$  has a normal  $p$ -complement. But then  $C_G(Q)/Q$  has also a normal  $p$ -complement, which is impossible.

because  $C_G(Q) \not\cong C_G(Q)/Q$  has no normal  $p'$ -group. Hence  $P$  is elementary abelian and so  $Q$  is a direct factor of  $P$ . By Proposition 1.2,  $Q$  is a direct factor of  $C_G(Q)$ .

Proposition 6.3. If  $p > 11$ , then  $p \equiv 7 \pmod{8}$ ,  $C_G(Q)$  is triply transitive on  $\Delta'$  and  $G$  is triply transitive on  $\mathcal{O}$ .

Proof. If  $C_G(Q)$  is not triply transitive on  $\Delta'$ , then  $C_G(Q)$  is soluble (Burnside), and then  $C_G(Q)/Q$  has  $p+1$  Sylow  $p$ -subgroups. As it is a group of degree  $p$ , then  $p \leq 11$  by [3]. Therefore, as  $p > 11$ ,  $C_G(Q)$  must be triply transitive on  $\Delta'$ . Now  $X_\alpha$  has the same character  $\pi$  on  $\Delta$  and  $\mathbb{F}$ , with  $(\pi, \pi)=2$ . Hence  $X_\alpha$  has two orbits on  $\Delta \times \mathbb{F}$  of respective lengths  $ap$  and  $bp$ , where  $a+b=p$  and  $a < b$ . Hence  $X_\alpha \{r_1\}$  has two orbits on  $\Delta$ , and of lengths  $a$  and  $b$ , and  $X_{\alpha\beta} (\beta \in \Delta)$  has two orbits on  $\mathbb{F}$ , also of lengths  $a$  and  $b$ . As  $X_\alpha$  is transitive on  $\mathbb{F}$ ,  $X$  must be transitive on  $\Delta' \times \mathbb{F}$ , and hence  $X_{\{r_1\}}$  is transitive on  $\Delta'$ . Therefore,  $X_{\{r_1\}}$  is transitive on  $\Delta'$ , with subdegrees 1,  $a$ ,  $b$ . As  $(a, b)=1$ ,  $X_{\{r_1\}}$  is imprimitive on  $\Delta'$  [19, 17.5]. Hence  $p+1=k(a+1)$  for some  $k$ . For each  $\beta \in \Delta$ , we get an orbit  $B_\beta$  of length  $a$  of  $X_{\alpha\beta}$  on  $\mathbb{F}$ , and  $X_\alpha$  permutes the  $p$  sets  $B_\beta$ . Hence they form the blocks of a  $(p, a, \lambda)$ -design, that is a set of  $p$  points, with blocks of size  $a$  and with  $\lambda$  blocks passing through any two points. The number of blocks is  $p=\lambda \binom{p}{2}/\binom{a}{2}$ , and hence  $(p-1) \mid a(a-1)$ , and similarly,  $(p-1) \mid b(b-1)$ . Now  $p+1=k(a+1)$ , and so  $b=p+1-a-1=(k-1)(a+1)$ . Thus  $(p-1) \mid (a(a-1)b+b(b-1)a)=ab(a+b-2)=ab(p-2)$ , and so  $(p-1) \mid ab=a(k-1)(a+1)$ . But then  $(p-1) \mid a(k-1)(a+1)-(k-1)a(a-1)=2a(k-1)=2((a+1)k-k-a)=2(p+1-k-a)=2(p-1)+2(2-k-a)$ , and so  $(p-1) \mid 2(a+k-2)$ .

Obviously  $k+a > 2$ , and so  $p-1 \leq 2(a+k-2)$ , that is  $(a+1)k-2 \leq 2(a+k-2)$ , or  $(a-1)(k-2) \leq 0$ . Hence either  $a=1$  or  $k=2$  and  $a=\frac{p-1}{2}$ . Note that we can get this result in the proof of theorem 2 in [2]. In this theorem it is also proved that  $p \equiv 7 \pmod{8}$  if  $a \neq 1$  and that  $p$  is a Mersenne prime if  $a=1$ . As  $p > 3$ , we must have  $p \equiv 7 \pmod{8}$  in both cases. We get also  $(ap, bp+p-1) = (ap, p^2+p-1) = (a, p^2+p-1) = 1$  and  $(bp, ap+p-1) = (bp, p^2+p-1) = (b, p^2+p-1) = 1$ .

For  $\beta \in \Delta$ ,  $x_{\alpha\beta}$  has orbits of lengths  $p-1$ ,  $ap$  and  $bp$  on  $\mathcal{U} \setminus \{\alpha, \beta\}$ . Hence  $G$  is triply transitive on  $\mathcal{U}$  or  $G_{\alpha\beta}$  has orbits on  $\mathcal{U} \setminus \{\alpha, \beta\}$  of the following lengths:

- |                    |   |
|--------------------|---|
| 1°) $p-1, ap, bp.$ | In case 1° and 2°, $Q$ acts trivially on one of these orbits. |
| 2°) $p-1, p^2.$    |   |
| 3°) $ap+p-1, bp.$  | In case 3° and 4°, the two                                    |
| 4°) $ap, bp+p-1.$  | orbits have coprime lengths.                                  |

Hence  $G_{\alpha\beta}$  is imprimitive in these 4 cases [19, 17.5 & 18.4].

We investigate blocks of  $G_{\alpha\beta}$  on  $\mathcal{U} \setminus \{\alpha, \beta\}$ . Let  $B$  be an imprimitivity block of  $G_{\alpha\beta}$  on  $\mathcal{U} \setminus \{\alpha, \beta\}$  containing  $\beta \in \Delta$ . Then  $B \cap \Delta$  is a block of  $P$  on  $\Delta$ , and hence  $|B \cap \Delta|$  divides  $p$ . If  $B \cap \Delta = \Delta$ , then  $P$  stabilises  $B$ , and hence  $B \cap \Gamma = \emptyset$ , otherwise  $B$  would contain  $\Gamma$  and would be  $\mathcal{U} \setminus \{\alpha\}$ . If  $|B \cap \Delta| = 1$ , then  $B \cap \Gamma \neq \emptyset$ , and as  $Q$  fixes  $\Delta$ ,  $Q$  stabilises  $B$ . Hence  $B \cap \Gamma$  is a union of sets  $\Gamma_i$ . Now  $B \cap \Gamma$  is a block of  $P$  on  $\Gamma$ . Hence  $|B \cap \Gamma| = p$ , otherwise  $|B| = p^2 + 1$ , which is impossible. Hence  $|B| = p+1$  or  $|B| = p$ .

As the orbits of  $G_{\alpha\beta}$  on  $\mathcal{U} \setminus \{\alpha, \beta\}$  have pairwise distinct lengths, we may apply Proposition 3.3:  $G$  is a group of automorphisms of a Steiner system  $S(2, 1+b, n)$ , where  $b$  is the size of an imprimitivity block of  $G_{\alpha\beta}$  on  $\mathcal{U} \setminus \{\alpha\}$ .

But we have proved that  $b=p$  or  $b=p+1$ . If  $b=p$ , then we get a system  $S(2, p+1, p^2+p+1)$ , and then  $G \not\cong PSL(3, p)$  as in Proposition 5.4, which is impossible, because  $p^3$  divides the order of  $PSL(3, p)$ . If  $b=p+1$ , then the number of blocks is  $\binom{p^2+p+1}{2} / \binom{p+2}{2} = \frac{(p^2+p+1)p}{p+2}$ , which is impossible, because this number is not an integer. So we get a contradiction, and  $G$  must be triply transitive on  $\mathcal{V}$ .

Proposition 6.4. If  $p \leq 11$ , then  $N_G(Q)$  is triply transitive on  $\Delta'$  and  $G$  is triply transitive on  $\mathcal{V}$ .

Proof. If  $X$  is triply transitive on  $\Delta'$ , then we prove the triple transitivity of  $G$  as in Proposition 6.3.

Suppose now that  $X$  is not triply transitive on  $\Delta'$ , then  $X/Y \cong C_G(Q)/Q \cong PSL(2, p)$  [3], and  $X_{\alpha\beta}$  has two orbits of length  $\frac{1}{2}(p-1)$  on  $\mathcal{V} \setminus \{\alpha, \beta\}$ . Now  $(X_\alpha, \Delta) \cong (X_\alpha, \mathbb{P})$  and so  $X_{\alpha\beta}$  has 3 orbits on  $\mathbb{P}$ , of lengths 1,  $\frac{p-1}{2}$  and  $\frac{p-1}{2}$ . The orbits of  $X_{\alpha\beta}$  on  $\mathcal{V} \setminus \{\alpha, \beta\}$  have lengths  $\frac{1}{2}(p-1)$ ,  $\frac{1}{2}(p-1), p$ ,  $\frac{1}{2}p(p-1)$ ,  $\frac{1}{2}p(p-1)$ . Any orbit of  $G_{\alpha\beta}$  on  $\mathcal{V} \setminus \{\alpha, \beta\}$  is a union of these. If  $G_\alpha$  is not primitive on  $\mathcal{V} \setminus \{\alpha\}$ , then we get the same contradiction as in Proposition 6.3. By [19, 18.4],  $Q \neq 1$  for any orbit  $\Theta$  of  $G_{\alpha\beta}$  on  $\mathcal{V} \setminus \{\alpha, \beta\}$ , and hence  $G_{\alpha\beta}$  has no orbit of length smaller than  $p$ . We get then the following possibilities for the degrees of the orbits of  $G_{\alpha\beta}$  on  $\mathcal{V} \setminus \{\alpha, \beta\}$ :

- 1)  $2p-1, \frac{1}{2}p(p-1), \frac{1}{2}p(p-1)$ .
- 2)  $\frac{1}{2}(3p-1), \frac{1}{2}p(p-1), \frac{1}{2}(p-1)(p+1)$ .
- 3)  $p, \frac{1}{2}(p-1)(p+1), \frac{1}{2}(p-1)(p+1)$ .
- 4)  $p, \frac{1}{2}p(p-1), \frac{1}{2}(p-1)(p+2)$ .
- 5)  $2p-1, \frac{1}{2}p(p-1)$ .
- 6)  $\frac{1}{2}(3p-1), \frac{1}{2}(p-1)(2p+1)$ .

- 7)  $p, (p-1)(p+1)$ .  
 8)  $\frac{1}{2}(p-1)(p+2), \frac{1}{2}p(p+1)$ .  
 9)  $\frac{1}{2}(p-1)(p+1), \frac{1}{2}(p^2+2p-1)$ .  
 10)  $\frac{1}{2}p(p-1), \frac{1}{2}(p^2+3p-2)$ .  
 11)  $p^2+p-1$ .

By [19, 17.5], the smallest and the longest orbits have not coprime orders. Hence we have only three possibilities:

- $G$  is triply transitive.
- the case 2) with  $p \neq 5$ .
- the case 6) with  $p=7$ .

In the last two cases, we have an orbit  $\Theta$  of length  $p+\frac{1}{2}(p-1)$ , with  $\frac{1}{2}(p-1) \geq 3$ . It is easy to show that  $G_{\alpha\beta}$  is primitive on  $\Theta$ . Hence, by [19, 13.9],  $G_{\alpha\beta}^\Theta \geq A_{\frac{1}{2}(3p-1)}$ . By [1], we must have an orbit of size  $\frac{3p-1}{2} \cdot \frac{3p-3}{2}$  or  $|B|$  is a power of 2, which is impossible. Hence  $G$  is triply transitive on  $\mathcal{U}$ .

By [19, 9.4],  $N_G(Q)$  is triply transitive on  $\Delta'$ .

Theorem 6.5. The group  $G$  is triply primitive on  $\mathcal{U}$ .

Proof. Let  $B$  be a block of  $G_{\alpha\beta}$  on  $\mathcal{U} \setminus \{\alpha, \beta\}$  containing  $\gamma \in \Delta \setminus \{\beta\}$ . Then  $B \cap (\Delta \setminus \{\beta\})$  is a block of  $X_{\alpha\beta}$  on  $\Delta \setminus \{\beta\}$ , and hence  $r = |B \cap (\Delta \setminus \{\beta\})|$  divides  $p-1$ . As  $(r, p^2+p-1)=1$ ,  $|B|=1$  or  $B \not\subseteq \Delta \setminus \{\beta\}$ . In this case, as  $Q$  fixes  $\Delta \setminus \{\beta\}$  and is transitive on each  $\Gamma_i$ ,  $B \cap \Gamma$  is a union of some sets  $\Gamma_i$ . Hence  $|B|=kp+r$ , with  $1 \leq k \leq p$ . If  $t = \frac{p-1}{r}$ , then  $G$  has  $t$  blocks conjugate to  $B$  and intersecting  $\Delta \setminus \{\beta\}$ . Hence  $t(kp+r) \leq p^2+p-1$ , that is  $tk \leq p$ . Now  $kp+r$  divides  $p^2+p-1$  and so  $(kp+r) \mid (p^2+p-1)-t(kp+r)=p(p-tk)$ , and as  $(kp+r, p)=1$ , we have  $kp+r \mid p-tk$ . But  $kp+r > p > p-tk \geq 0$ , and hence  $p-tk=0$ . As  $t \mid p-1$ , we get  $t=1$ ,  $k=p$  and  $r=p-1$ ;

thus  $|B| = p^2 + p - 1$ . Hence  $G_{\alpha\beta}$  has only trivial blocks, and therefore  $G$  is triply primitive.

Proposition 6.6.  $X$  is not quadruply transitive on  $\Delta'$  and  $G$  is not quadruply transitive on  $\mathcal{V}$ .

Proof. Suppose that  $X$  is quadruply transitive on  $\Delta'$ .

Then  $p^2$  does not divide  $|X/Y|$  and  $X/Y$  acts on  $\Psi$  and  $\Delta'$ . As  $|\Psi| = p$  and  $|\Delta'| = p+1$ , we get  $p=3$  by proposition 4.5, which is impossible. Hence  $X$  is not quadruply transitive on  $\Delta'$ . Therefore  $G$  is not quadruply transitive on  $\mathcal{V}$ , otherwise  $N_G(Q)$  would be quadruply transitive on  $\Delta' [19, 9.4]$ , and  $X$  would also be quadruply transitive on  $\Delta'$ .

Proposition 6.7.  $p > 11$ .

Proof. If  $p=5$  or  $p=11$ , then  $q=p^2+p-1$  is prime. But then  $G_{\alpha\beta}$  ( $\beta \in \Delta$ ) is a transitive group of prime degree, but not a Frobenius group. Hence  $G_{\alpha\beta}$  is doubly transitive by Burnside's prime degree theorem, which is impossible, because  $G$  is not quadruply transitive. Therefore  $5 \neq p \neq 11$ . If  $p=7$ , then  $X/Y$  acts faithfully and triply transitively on  $\Delta'$  and acts faithfully on  $\Psi$ ; but we can see that no group acts in such a way on sets of lengths 8 and 7. Therefore  $p \neq 7$ , and we conclude that  $p > 11$ .

Most results of this chapter were proved by Mc Donough [9] or by Neumann and Praeger (unpublished). In the following chapter, we will prove some new results in the case where  $p^2$  divides exactly the order of  $G$ .

Chapter III. Further results in the case where  $|P|=p^2$ .

S7. General properties of the elements of  $G \setminus X$ .

Proposition 7.1. For  $i=1, \dots, p$ ,  $G \{r_i\} \subseteq X$ .

Proof. Suppose that  $x \in G$  stabilizes  $r_i$  but not  $\Delta'$ . We know by Proposition 6.2 that  $C_G(Q)=Q \times C$ , and  $C$  acts doubly transitively on  $\Delta'$  and  $\Psi$ . Each orbit of  $C$  intersects  $r_i$  in one point, and hence  $C \{r_i\} = C r_i$  has  $p$  orbits of length  $p-1$  on  $\Gamma \setminus r_i$  and one orbit on  $\Delta'$ . Let  $H = \langle C_G(Q)_{\{r_i\}}, (C_{\{r_i\}})^x \rangle$ . As  $r_i^x = r_i$ ,  $r_i$  is an orbit of  $H$  and  $H = Q_{r_i}$ . Now there is an orbit of  $(C_{\{r_i\}})^x$  which intersects both  $\Delta'$  and  $\Gamma \setminus r_i$ , otherwise we would have  $\Delta' = \Delta'^x$  or  $\Delta'^x$  would be the union of orbits of length  $p-1$ . Hence  $H$  is transitive on  $\Delta' \cup (\Gamma \setminus r_i) = \mathcal{U} \setminus r_i$ . Now  $[H : H_{r_i}] = p$ , and hence  $H_{r_i}$  is transitive on  $\mathcal{U} \setminus r_i$  because  $(|\mathcal{U} \setminus r_i|, p) = 1$  [19, 17.1]. But then  $G$  is quadruply transitive by [19, 13.1], which contradicts Proposition 6.6. Hence  $G \{r_i\} \subseteq X$ .

Corollary. If  $r_i^x = r_j$ , then  $x \notin X$  (because there is  $y \in X$  with  $r_j^y = r_i$  and hence  $r_j^{yx} = r_j$ ).

Proposition 7.2. If  $x \notin G \setminus X$ , then  $|\Gamma^x \setminus \Gamma| > 1$ .

Proof. Suppose that  $|\Gamma^x \setminus \Gamma| = 1$ . Let  $\{\beta\} = \Gamma^x \setminus \Gamma$ . Then  $\beta^y = \beta$  for some  $y \in X$ , and  $\Gamma^{xy} \setminus \Gamma = \{\beta\}$ . Let  $H = \langle P, Q^{xy} \rangle$ . Then  $H$  is transitive on  $\Gamma \cup \Gamma^{xy} \subseteq \mathcal{U} \setminus \Delta$  and  $H^\Delta = P^\Delta$ . Hence  $[H : H_\Delta] = p$  and as  $(p, |\Gamma \cup \Gamma^{xy}|) = 1$ ,  $H_\Delta$  must be transitive on  $\Gamma \cup \Gamma^{xy}$  [19, 17.1] and therefore  $G$  must be quadruply transitive on  $\mathcal{U}$  [19, 13.1], which is impossible. Hence  $|\Gamma^x \setminus \Gamma| \neq 1$  and so  $|\Gamma^x \setminus \Gamma| > 1$ .

Proposition 7.3. If for  $x \notin G$ ,  $r_i^x = r_i \setminus \{\delta\} \cup \{\gamma\}$ , where  $\gamma \in \Delta'$  and  $\delta \in r_i$ , then  $(x_\alpha, \Delta) \cong (x_\alpha, \Psi)$  and  $|\Gamma^x \setminus \Gamma| = p$ .

We prove first the following lemma:

Lemma 7.4. For any  $x \in G$  and  $i=1, \dots, p$ ,  $\Gamma_i^x \cap \Gamma \neq \emptyset$ .

Proof. Suppose that  $\Gamma_i^x \cap \Gamma = \emptyset$ . Then  $\Gamma_i^x \subseteq \Delta'$  and hence for  $g \in Q^x$ ,  $|\Gamma^g \setminus \Gamma| \leq 1$ . Therefore  $Q^x \subseteq X$  by proposition 7.2. But then  $Q^x$  is a subgroup of  $X$  which has order  $p$  and fixes  $p$  points of  $\Gamma$ , which is impossible. Hence  $\Gamma_i^x \cap \Gamma \neq \emptyset$ .

Proof of 7.3. We know that  $C_G(Q) = Q \times C$ ,  $C_{\{r_i\}} = C_{r_i}$  and  $C_G(Q)_{\{r_i\}} = Q \times C_{\{r_i\}}$ . Let  $D = C_{\{r_i\}}$ . We know that  $D$  has  $p$  orbits of length  $p-1$  on  $\Gamma \setminus \Gamma_i$  and two orbits  $\Delta_a$  and  $\Delta_b$  on  $\Delta' \setminus \{r_i\}$  of respective lengths  $a$  and  $b$ , as in Proposition 6.3. Let  $H = \langle Q^x, Q, D \rangle = \langle Q^x, C_G(Q)_{\{r_i\}} \rangle$ . Then  $\Pi = \{r_i\}$  is an orbit of  $H$  and  $\Gamma \setminus \Gamma_i$  is contained in an orbit of  $H$ . By Proposition 7.2,  $|\Gamma^x \setminus \Gamma| > 1$ , and hence  $(\Gamma \setminus \Gamma_i)^x \neq \Gamma \setminus \Gamma_i$ . By Lemma 7.4, there is a  $r_j$  such that  $\Gamma_j^x$  intersects both  $\Gamma \setminus \Gamma_i$  and  $\Delta' \setminus \{r_i\}$ . If  $\Delta_a \cap \Gamma^x \neq \emptyset \neq \Delta_b \cap \Gamma^x$ , then  $H$  is transitive on  $(\Gamma \setminus \Gamma_i) \cup \Delta_a \cup \Delta_b = \Theta$ , and then  $p^3 = |Q| \cdot |\Theta|$  divides  $|H| = |\Theta| \cdot |\Pi| \cdot (\gamma \in \Gamma \setminus \Gamma_i)$ , which is impossible. Hence either  $\Delta_a \cap \Gamma^x \neq \emptyset = \Delta_b \cap \Gamma^x$  or  $\Delta_b \cap \Gamma^x \neq \emptyset = \Delta_a \cap \Gamma^x$ .

We may suppose the first. Then  $\Pi, \Lambda = \Delta_a \cup (\Gamma \setminus \Gamma_i)$  and  $\Delta_b$  are the orbits of  $H$  on  $\mathcal{U}$ . If  $H_{\Pi}$  is transitive on  $\Lambda$ , then  $K = \langle H_{\Pi}, X \Gamma_i \rangle$  is transitive on  $\Delta' \setminus \Gamma = \mathcal{U} \setminus \Gamma_i$  and fixes  $\Gamma_i$  pointwise. But then  $G$  is quadruply transitive on  $\mathcal{U}$  [19, 13.1], which is impossible. Hence  $H_{\Pi}$  is not transitive on  $\Lambda$ . Now  $H_{\Pi}$  contains  $D$ , which has a fixed points and  $p$  orbits of length  $p-1$ . Hence  $H_{\Pi}$  is half-transitive on  $\Lambda$ , with orbits of length  $t$ , where  $t \geq p-1$ . We write  $t = s(p-1) + r$  and  $k = |\Lambda|/t$ ; of course  $k > 1$ . Then  $p(p-1) + a = k(s(p-1) + r) = ks(p-1) + kr$ . Now  $D$  fixes at least  $r$  points on each orbit of  $H_{\Pi}$  on  $\Lambda$ , and a points on  $\Lambda$ . Hence  $kr \leq a$ .

If  $kr=a$ , then  $p(p-1) = |\Delta| - a = |\Delta| - kr = ks(p-1)$ , and so  $ks=p$ . But then  $k \mid (ks, kr) = (p, a) = 1$  (because  $a < p$ ), which is impossible. Therefore  $kr < a$ . But as  $0 \leq kr < a < p-1$  and  $kr \equiv a \pmod{p-1}$ , we conclude that  $kr=0$  and  $a=p-1$ . As  $X_{\gamma\{\Gamma_1\}}$  has the same orbits on  $\Delta'$  as  $D$ , we conclude that  $(X_{\gamma}, \Delta' \setminus \{\gamma\}) \cong (X_{\gamma}, \Psi)$  and so  $(X_{\alpha}, \Delta) \cong (X_{\alpha}, \Psi)$ . Since  $kr=0$ , we have  $r=0$  and  $ks=p+1$ . Let  $L$  be the subgroup of  $H$  leaving all orbits of  $H_{\pi}$  on  $\Lambda$  invariant. Then  $H/L$  acts faithfully on the set of these  $k$  orbits. If  $s > 1$ , then  $k \leq \frac{p+1}{2} < p$  and so  $H/L$  is a group of degree smaller than  $p$ , and hence a  $p'$ -group. But then  $Q \subseteq L$ ,  $Q^x \subseteq L$  and so  $H = \langle Q, Q^x, D \rangle \subseteq L$ , which is impossible. Therefore  $s=1$  and  $H_{\pi}$  has orbits of length  $p-1$ . Hence  $\Delta_a$  must be one of them, because  $D$  stabilizes it and has  $p$  orbits of length  $p-1$  on  $\Lambda \setminus \Delta_a$ . Therefore  $\Delta_a$  is a block of  $H$  on  $\Lambda$ , and so  $Q^x$  fixes no point of  $\Delta_a$ . If  $\beta \in \Delta_a \setminus \Gamma^x$ , then  $\beta^{x^{-1}} \notin \Gamma$  and  $\beta^{x^{-1}}$  is fixed by  $Q$ ; but then  $\beta$  is fixed by  $Q^x$ , which is impossible. Hence  $\Delta_a \subseteq \Gamma^x \setminus \Gamma$ , and so  $|\Gamma^x \setminus \Gamma| \geq |\Delta_a \setminus \{\beta\}| = p$ . Now  $\Delta_b = \{\beta\}$  for some  $\beta \in \Delta'$ . If  $\beta \in \Gamma^x$ , then  $\beta$  would be moved by  $Q^x$ , which is impossible. Hence  $\beta \notin \Gamma^x$  and  $\Gamma^x \setminus \Gamma = \Delta_a \setminus \{\beta\}$ . Therefore  $|\Gamma^x \setminus \Gamma| = p$ .

As  $G$  is triply primitive on  $\mathcal{U}$ , there is an element  $x$  of  $G_{\alpha\beta}$  ( $\beta \in \Delta$ ) such that  $(\Delta \setminus \{\beta\})^x \neq \Delta \setminus \{\beta\}$  and  $(\Delta \setminus \{\beta\})^x \cap (\Delta \setminus \{\beta\}) \neq \emptyset$ . But then  $|\Delta' \cap \Delta'^x| \geq 3$  and so  $|\Gamma^x \setminus \Gamma| \leq p-2$ . Now, for any  $x \in G$  such that  $|\Gamma^x \setminus \Gamma| \leq p-2$ , there is  $x' \in G_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$  ( $\alpha, \gamma \in \Delta$ ) such that  $|\Gamma^x \setminus \Gamma| = |\Gamma^{x'} \setminus \Gamma|$ . Indeed, there are at least three points  $\alpha', \beta', \gamma'$  in  $\Delta' \cap \Delta'^x$ . Then  $\alpha' = \alpha''^x, \beta' = \beta''^x, \gamma' = \gamma''^x$  for some  $\alpha'', \beta'', \gamma'' \in \Delta'$ . There are  $y, z \in X$  such that  $\alpha''^y = \alpha'', \beta''^y = \beta'', \gamma''^y = \gamma'', \alpha''^z = \alpha, \beta''^z = \beta, \gamma''^z = \gamma$ . But then  $x' = yxz \in G_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$ , and  $|\Gamma^{yxz} \setminus \Gamma| = |\Gamma^{xz} \setminus \Gamma| = |\Gamma^{xz} \setminus \Gamma^z| = |\Gamma^x \setminus \Gamma|$ , because  $\Gamma^z = \Gamma = \Gamma^y$ .

Therefore, if for  $x \in G$ ,  $|\Gamma^x \setminus \Gamma| \leq p-2$ , then we may suppose that  $x \in G_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$ .

### §8. Certain groups containing Q.

We consider subgroups  $M$  of  $G$ , such that  $Q \leq M$  but  $M \not\subseteq X$ . Then  $M$  has three sorts of orbits:

1°) The orbits  $\mathcal{O}_1, \dots, \mathcal{O}_m$  which intersect both  $\Delta'$  and  $\Gamma$ .

As  $M \not\subseteq X$ , we have  $m \neq 0$ .

2°) The orbits  $\Pi_1, \dots, \Pi_v$  which lie inside  $\Gamma$ , if they exist. As  $Q \leq M$ , each  $\Pi_i$  is the union of some sets  $\Gamma_j$ .

3°) The orbits  $\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_w$  which lie inside  $\Delta'$ , if they exist.

We pose  $\Theta_i = \mathcal{O}_i \cap \Delta'$ ,  $\Phi_i = \mathcal{O}_i \cap \Gamma$ ,  $\Theta = \bigcup_{i=1}^m \Theta_i$ ,  $t_i = |\Theta_i|$ , and  $t = |\Theta| = \sum_{i=1}^m t_i$

We will investigate the case where  $M$  satisfies one of the following properties:

(I) For any  $x \in M$ ,  $\Gamma^x = \Gamma$  or  $\Gamma^x \setminus \Gamma = \Theta$  (it is equivalent to say that  $\Theta^x = \Theta$  or  $\Theta^x \cap \Theta = \emptyset$ ) and  $t < p$ .

(II) For any  $x \in M$ ,  $\Gamma^x = \Gamma$  or  $\Gamma^x \setminus \Gamma = \Theta$  and  $t < p$ . The group  $M$  has support  $\Gamma \cup \Theta$  (that is each  $\Lambda_i$  is trivial).

(III) is a particular case of (I), and the number of points of  $\Delta'$  fixed by  $M$  is  $p+1-t \geq 2$ . If we take  $x \in G$  such that  $|\Gamma^x \setminus \Gamma|$  is minimal positive, then  $|\Gamma^x \setminus \Gamma| \leq p-2 < p$ , and so  $\langle Q, Q^x \rangle$  satisfies (II). Suppose that  $M$  satisfies (I):

Proposition 8.1. For  $i=1, \dots, m$ ,  $\Theta_i$  is a block of  $M$  on  $\mathcal{O}_i$ .

Moreover, for any  $i, j \leq m$ ,  $M\{\Theta_i\} = M\{\Theta_j\}$ , and so the action of  $M$  on  $\Sigma$ , the set of blocks of  $\mathcal{O}_i$  conjugate to  $\Theta_i$ , does not depend on  $i$ .  $Q$  acts on  $\Sigma$  with only one fixed point, and  $|\Sigma| = 1 + kp$ , where  $1 \leq k \leq \frac{p-1}{2}$ ; the group  $M$  acts

primitively on  $\Sigma$ . Moreover,  $t > 1$ ,  $v > 0$  and for  $j=1, \dots, v$ ,

$M_{\pi_j} \subseteq M_\Sigma$ . If  $k=1$ , then each  $t_i > 1$ .

Proof.  $M$  satisfies (I). If  $\Theta_i$  was not a block of  $M$  on  $\mathcal{U}_i$ , then we would have some  $g \in M$  such that  $\Theta_i^g \neq \Theta_i$  and  $\Theta_i^g \cap \Theta_i \neq \emptyset$ . But then we would have  $\Theta^g \neq \Theta$  and  $\Theta \cap \Theta^g \neq \emptyset$ , which contradicts (I). Hence  $\Theta_i$  is a block of  $M$  on  $\mathcal{U}_i$ . The same argument shows that  $M_{\{\Theta_i\}} = M_{\{\Theta_j\}}$  for  $i, j \leq m$ . If  $\Sigma_i$  is the set of blocks of  $\mathcal{U}_i$  conjugate to  $\Theta_i$ , then the action of  $M$  on  $\Sigma_i$  is the same as the one on  $\Sigma_j$ . Hence  $M$  acts on  $\Sigma$ , which does not depend on  $i$ . As each  $t_i < p$  and as  $Q$  acts without fixed point on  $\Gamma$ ,  $Q$  may not stabilize any  $\Theta_i^g$  which lies in  $\Gamma$ , and hence  $Q$  fixes only one point of  $\Sigma$  (corresponding to  $\Theta_i$ ). Hence  $|\Sigma| = 1 + kp$ , with  $1 \leq k \leq p$ . Now  $t > 1$  by proposition 7.2. If  $k > \frac{p}{2}$ , then  $p^2 = |\Gamma| \geq |\cup_i \Phi_i| = tkp > \frac{p^2}{2}$ , which is impossible, since  $t \geq 2$ . Hence  $k \leq \frac{p}{2}$ , and so  $k \leq \frac{p-1}{2}$ . If  $v=0$ , then  $tkp = |\cup_i \Phi_i| = |\Gamma| = p^2$ , and so  $p | tk$ . But then  $k=p$ , since  $t < p$ . Therefore  $v > 0$ .

For  $j=1, \dots, v$ ,  $M_{\pi_j}$  leaves some  $\Gamma_i \subseteq \pi_j$  invariant. Hence  $M_{\pi_j} \subseteq X$  by proposition 7.1, and so  $M_{\pi_j} \subseteq M_{\{\Theta_i\}}$  for some  $i=1, \dots, m$ . This means that  $M_{\pi_j}$  fixes one point of  $\Sigma$ , and as  $M_{\pi_j} \trianglelefteq M_\Sigma$ , we must have  $M_{\pi_j} = 1$ , that is  $M_{\pi_j} \subseteq M_\Sigma$ . If  $M$  was imprimitive on  $\Sigma$ , then a block would have size  $1+lp$ , with  $1 \leq l < k$ , because  $Q$  acts on  $\Sigma$  with one fixed point and  $k$  orbits of length  $p$ . But then  $1+lp$  divides  $1+kp$  and  $\frac{1+kp}{1+lp} = 1+l'p$ , with  $l' \geq 1$ ; this gives  $1+kp = (1+lp)(1+l'p) \geq (1+p)^2 > 1+p^2$ , which is impossible. Therefore  $M$  is primitive on  $\Sigma$ . If  $k=1$ , then each  $t_i > 1$ , otherwise  $\mathcal{U}_i = (\Gamma_j \setminus \{\delta\}) \cup \{\delta\}$ , where  $\gamma \in \Delta'$  and  $\delta \in \Gamma_j$ , and so  $t = p$  by proposition 7.3, which is impossible.

Proposition 8.2. If  $M$  satisfies (II), then  $M$  is  $\frac{3}{2}$ -fold transitive of rank  $1+k$  on  $\Sigma$  (that is with non-trivial subdegrees equal to  $p$ ). For  $i=1, \dots, v$ , the group  $L=M \cap X$  leaves each  $r_j \in \Pi_i$  invariant and  $M_{\Pi_i} = M_\xi$ . If  $k > 1$ , then each  $t_i = 1$  ( $i=1, \dots, m$ ),  $M$  is soluble and  $M_\xi = 1$ .

Proof. The group  $L = M \cap X = M \setminus \{\theta_i\}$  ( $i=1, \dots, m$ ) fixes some point of  $\Delta'$ , and we may suppose that it is  $\alpha$ . Then  $L$  has  $p-t+m = |\Delta \setminus \theta| + m$  orbits on  $\Delta$ . Consider the action of  $L$  on  $\Delta$  and on the sets  $\Phi_i$ . Suppose that  $L$  has  $l$  non-trivial orbits on  $\Sigma$ , of respective sizes  $m_1 p, \dots, m_l p$ . If  $\theta_i'$  (conjugate to  $\theta_i$ ) is in the orbit of size  $m_j p$ , then  $[M \setminus \{\theta_i'\} : L \setminus \{\theta_i'\}] = m_j p$ . As  $M \setminus \{\theta_i'\}$  is transitive on  $\theta_i'$ , each orbit of  $L \setminus \{\theta_i'\}$  on  $\theta_i'$  has length equal to at least  $\frac{t_i}{(t_i, m_j p)}$  [19, 17.1]. As  $(t_i, m_j p) \leq m_j$ , it follows that  $L \setminus \{\theta_i'\}$  has at most  $m_j$  orbits on  $\theta_i'$ , and hence  $L$  has at most  $m_j$  orbits on  $(\theta_i')^L$  (the union of blocks in the orbit of length  $m_j p$ ). If  $\bar{k}_i$  is the number of orbits of  $L$  on  $\Phi_i$ , then  $\bar{k}_i \leq \sum_{j=1}^l m_j = k$ . Let  $\Psi_i = \{r_j \in \Psi \mid r_j \in \Phi_i\}$  and  $\Psi' = \Psi \setminus \bigcup_i \Psi_i$ . Then  $L$  acts on  $\Psi_i$  with  $k_i$  orbits, where  $k_i \leq \bar{k}_i \leq k$ . Now  $|\Psi'| = p-kt$ , and  $L$  has  $s$  orbits on  $\Psi'$ , with  $1 \leq s \leq p-kt$ . Therefore  $L$  has  $(\sum_i k_i) + s$  orbits on  $\Sigma$ . But  $L \leq X_\alpha$ , and we know that  $X_\alpha$  has the same permutation character on  $\Delta$  and  $\Sigma$ . Hence  $p-t+m=s+\sum_i k_i$ . This gives:  

$$p-t+m=s+\sum_i k_i \leq \sum_i \bar{k}_i + s \leq km+s \leq km+p-kt=p-t+m+(k-1)(m-t)$$
  

$$\leq p-t+m \text{ because } k \geq 1 \text{ and } m \leq t.$$

Therefore  $k = k_i = \bar{k}_i$  for  $i=1, \dots, m$ , and  $s = p-kt$ ,  $0 = (k-1)(m-t)$ . This means first that if  $k > 1$ , then  $m=t$ , that is  $t_i = 1$  for  $i=1, \dots, m$ . Secondly,  $L$  has  $p-kt = |\Psi'|$  orbits on  $\Psi'$ ; in other words  $L$  leaves each  $r_j \in \Psi'$  invariant. Thirdly,

$L$  has  $k$  orbits on  $\mathfrak{L}_i$  and on  $\Phi_i$ . If  $k=1$ , then  $L$  is transitive on  $\Phi_i$ , and hence it has two orbits on  $\Sigma$ :  $M$  is doubly transitive on  $\Sigma$  (and so it has rank  $1+k$ ). If  $k > 1$ , then  $(M\Sigma, \Sigma) = (M^{\mathcal{A}_i}, \mathcal{A}_i)$ , and hence  $L$  has  $k$  orbits on  $\Sigma \setminus \{\theta\}$ , where  $\theta$  is the point of  $\Sigma$  corresponding to the sets  $\Theta_i$ . As each non-trivial orbit of  $L$  on  $\Sigma$  has length not smaller than  $p$ , it follows that they have length  $p$ , and so  $M$  is  $\frac{3}{2}$ -fold transitive of rank  $1+k$  on  $\Sigma$ . By 8.1, we know that for  $i=1, \dots, v$ , we have  $M_{\Pi_i} \leq M_\Sigma$  by proposition 8.1. Let us prove the converse: The group  $M_\Sigma \leq L$ , and hence  $M_\Sigma$  leaves each  $\Gamma_j \subseteq \Pi_i$  invariant. As  $M_\Sigma \Gamma_j \triangleleft M \{ \Gamma_j \}$  and  $M_\Sigma$  has no  $p$ -element, it follows that  $M_\Sigma \Gamma_j = \Gamma_j$ , and so  $M_\Sigma^{\Pi_i} = 1$ , and therefore  $M_\Sigma = M_{\Pi_i}$ . Finally, if  $k > 1$ , then  $M_\Sigma$  fixes each  $\Pi_j$ , each  $\mathcal{A}_i$  and  $\Delta' \setminus \theta$  pointwise, and so  $M_\Sigma = 1$ . It remains then to show that  $M \cong M_\Sigma$  is soluble in this case: if it was not, then we would have  $M \cong PSL(2, p-1)$  and  $p$  would be a Fermat prime [8], which is impossible because  $p \equiv 7 \pmod{8}$ .

To prove that  $M_\Sigma$  is soluble when  $k=1$ , we need the following lemma:

Lemma 8.3. For  $i, j=1, \dots, p$ ,  $G \{ r_i \vee r_j \} \subseteq X$ .

Proof. Suppose false. Then there is  $x \in G \setminus X$  which leaves  $r_i \vee r_j$  invariant. Thus  $r_i \cap \Delta' \neq \emptyset$  for some  $r_i \in \Phi$ . By Lemma 7.4,  $r_i \cap \Gamma \neq \emptyset$ . By Proposition 6.2, we know that  $C_G(Q) = C X Q$ , for some subgroup  $C$  of  $G$ . By Propositions 6.3 and 6.7,  $C$  is triply transitive on  $\Delta'$ , and hence  $C_\alpha$  is doubly transitive on  $\Phi$ . Now  $C \{ r_i \}$  is transitive on  $\Delta'$  and on  $\Phi \setminus \{ r_i \}$ . As  $C_\alpha$  is doubly transitive on  $\Phi$ , it follows that  $C_\alpha \{ r_i \}$  is transitive on  $\Phi \setminus \{ r_i \}$ . Therefore  $C_{\{ r_i \}}$  is transitive on  $\Delta' \times (\Phi \setminus \{ r_i \})$  and hence  $C_{\{ r_i \}, \{ r_j \}}$  is transitive

on  $\Delta'$ . But each orbit of  $C$  intersects each  $r_1 \in \Sigma$  in exactly one point, and so  $C \setminus r_1 = C r_1$ . Therefore  $C \setminus r_i, \setminus r_j = C r_i \cup r_j$ . As  $D = C r_i \cup r_j$  is transitive on  $\Delta'$  and as some  $r_1^x$  intersects both  $\Delta'$  and  $r_1 \setminus (r_i \cup r_j)$ , the group  $H = \langle D, Q, D^x, Q^x \rangle$  must have an orbit  $\Lambda$  such that  $\Delta' \subseteq \Lambda \subseteq r_1 \setminus (r_i \cup r_j)$  and  $\Lambda \cap r \neq \emptyset$ ; then  $|\Lambda| = zp + p + 1$ , where  $1 \leq z \leq p - 2$ . Now  $H$  leaves  $r_i \cup r_j$  invariant, and so  $K = H \setminus r_i \cup r_j$  is half-transitive on  $\Lambda$ . By proposition 7.1,  $K \not\subseteq X$  because  $K \subseteq G \setminus r_i$ . Therefore  $K$  leaves  $\Delta'$  invariant; but  $D \subseteq K$  and  $D$  is transitive on  $\Delta'$ . Hence  $\Delta'$  is an orbit of  $K$ , and as  $K$  is half-transitive on  $\Lambda$ , it follows that  $p + 1 = |\Delta'|$  divides  $|\Lambda| = zp + p + 1$ . But then  $p + 1 \mid z$ , which is impossible, since  $1 \leq z \leq p$ . Therefore we have a contradiction, and so

$$G \setminus r_i \cup r_j \subseteq X.$$

Proposition 8.4. If  $M$  satisfies (II) and if  $k=1$ , then  $M^\Sigma$  is soluble.

Proof. Let  $N = M^\Sigma$ . Then  $N$  acts faithfully on each  $\Pi_i$  and on  $\Sigma$ . Let  $q_i = |\Pi_i|/p$ . Then for  $\theta \in \Sigma$ ,  $N_\theta$  has  $q_i$  orbits on  $\Pi_i$ , each of length  $p$ . Hence  $N$  has  $q_i$  orbits on  $\Sigma \times \Pi_i$ , each of length  $(p+1)p$ , and for  $\pi \in \Pi_i$ ,  $N_\pi$  has  $q_i$  orbits on  $\Sigma$ , each of length  $(p+1)/q_i$ . If  $\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_{q_i}$  are the orbits of  $N_\theta$  on  $\Pi_i$ , then  $N_\theta \zeta_j = N_\theta \zeta_1 = 1$  for each  $j$ , otherwise  $p^2$  would divide the order of  $N$ . Hence  $N_\theta$  acts faithfully on each  $\zeta_j$ . If  $N_\theta$  is doubly transitive on  $\zeta \setminus \{\theta\}$ , then it must also be doubly transitive on each  $\zeta_j$ , and  $N_\theta$  has the same permutation character on  $\zeta$  and  $\zeta_j$ . For  $\pi \in \zeta_j$ ,  $N_{\theta\pi}$  has two orbits on  $\zeta_j$ , and hence it must have two orbits on  $\zeta \setminus \{\theta\}$ , of respective lengths  $a$  and  $b$ . But  $N_{\theta\pi} \subseteq N_\pi$ , which is half-transitive on  $\zeta$ . As we may not

have  $1=a=b$ , it follows that  $N_{\Pi}$  has at most two orbits on  $\Sigma$ , and so  $q_i \leq 2$  in this case. If  $N_{\vartheta}$  is not doubly transitive on  $\Sigma \setminus \{\vartheta\}$ , then  $N_{\vartheta}$  is soluble by Burnside's prime degree theorem, and so  $N$  is a Zassenhaus group of degree  $p+1$ ,  $N$  is insoluble and not triply transitive.

It is known that such group must be isomorphic to  $PSL(2, p)$ . Thus  $|N_{\vartheta}| = \frac{1}{2}p(p-1)$ , and for  $\pi \in \Sigma_j$ ,  $N_{\vartheta\pi}$  has four orbits on  $\Sigma$ , of respective lengths  $1, 1, \frac{p-1}{2}$  and  $\frac{p-1}{2}$ . As  $N_{\vartheta\pi} \subseteq N_{\pi}$ , which is half-transitive on  $\Sigma$ , it follows that  $N_{\Pi}$  has at most two orbits on  $\Sigma$ , and so  $q_i \leq 2$  also in this case. Therefore  $|\Pi_i| \leq 2p$  in any case. By proposition 7.1, it is clear that  $|\Pi_i| \neq p$  while  $|\Pi_i| = 2p$  is impossible by Lemma 8.3, because  $M \not\subseteq X$ . Therefore we have a contradiction, and so  $M \subseteq \Sigma$  must be soluble.

We sum up our results: If  $M$  satisfies (II), then  $M \subseteq \Sigma$  acts on  $\Sigma$  as a soluble primitive  $\frac{3}{2}$ -fold transitive group of degree  $1+kp$ , where  $1 \leq k \leq \frac{p-1}{2}$ . For  $i+1, \dots, v$ ,  $|\Pi_i| > 2p$  and  $M_{\Sigma} = M_{\Pi_i}$ ; the group  $L = M \cap X$  stabilizes each  $\Gamma_j \subseteq \Pi_i$ . Note that  $v \neq 0$ . If  $k=1$ , then each  $t_i > 1$ . If  $k > 1$ , then each  $t_i = 1$  and so  $M_{\Sigma} = 1$ ; therefore  $M$  is soluble in this case.

#### REFERENCES.

- [1] CAMERON, P.J. "Permutation groups with multiply transitive suborbits." Proc. London Math. Soc. (3)25(1972), 427-440.
- [2] CAMERON, P.J. "On groups of degree  $n$  and  $n-1$  and highly-symmetrical edge colourings" J. London Math. Soc. (2) 9, 385-391(1975).
- [3] FROBENIUS, F.G. "Über Gruppen des Grades  $p$  oder  $p+1$ ." Sitz. Kön. Preuss. Akad. Wiss. Berlin (1902), 351-369.

- [4] GASCHÜTZ, W. "Zur Erweiterungstheorie endlicher Gruppen." Crelle's J. 190, 93-107 (1952).
- [5] GORENSTEIN, D. "Finite Groups." Harper & Row, 1968.
- [6] JORDAN, C. "Théorèmes sur les groupes primitifs." J. de Math. (2) XVI (1871), 383-408.
- [7] JORDAN, C. "Sur la limite de transitivité des groupes non alternés." Bull. Soc. Math. France, t. I, 40-71 (1873).
- [8] McDERMOTT, J.P.J. "Characterization of some  $\frac{3}{2}$ -transitive groups." Math.Z. 120, 204-210 (1971).
- [9] McDONOUGH, T.P. "Some problems in the theory of groups." Ph.D. Thesis, Oxford University, 1972.
- [10] NEUMANN, P.M. "Generosity and characters of multiply transitive permutation groups." Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 31, 457-481 (1975).
- [11] O'NAN, M.E. "Estimation of Sylow subgroups of primitive permutation groups." Math.Z. 147, 101-111 (1976).
- [12] OSTROM, T.G. & WAGNER, A. "On projective and affine planes with transitive collineation groups." Math.Z. 71, 186-199 (1959).
- [13] PRAEGER, C.E. "On the Sylow subgroups of a doubly transitive permutation group." I, Math.Z. 137, 155-171 (1974).
- [14] PRAEGER, C.E. "On the Sylow subgroups of a doubly transitive permutation group." II, Math.Z. 143, 131-143 (1975).
- [15] RIETZ, H.L. "On primitive groups of odd order." Amer. J. of Math. 26, 1-30 (1904).
- [16] SAXL, J. "Multiply transitive permutation groups." Ph.D. Thesis, Oxford University, 1977.
- [17] SCOTT, L. "A double transitivity criterion." Math.Z. 115, 7-8 (1970).

[18] TSUZUKU, T. "On doubly transitive permutation groups of degree  $1+p+p^2$ , where p is a prime number". J.Algebra 8 (1968), 143-147.

[19] WIELANDT, H. "Finite Permutation Groups" Academic Press, 1968.

Note added in proof:

With the results of chapter III, we can prove the following:

Proposition 8.5. The group Y is soluble and  $X=N_G(Q)$ .

Proof. Take  $x$  such that  $|\Gamma^x \backslash \Gamma|$  is minimal positive. Then  $M = \langle Y, Y^x \rangle$  satisfies (II) and we know that M acts on a set  $\Sigma$ , with  $M^\Sigma$  soluble and  $p \nmid |M_\Sigma|$ . Hence  $Y^\Sigma \cong Y/Y_\Sigma$  is soluble and  $Y_\Sigma$  is a normal  $p'$ -subgroup of Y. By proposition 6.1, Y acts faithfully on each  $\Gamma_i$ . Therefore  $Y_\Sigma = 1$  and  $Y \cong Y^\Sigma$  is soluble. Thus  $Q = O_p(Y)$  and so Q char  $Y \triangleleft X$ , which implies that  $Q \triangleleft X$ . Now  $N_G(Q)$  stabilizes  $\Delta'$  and so we must have  $X = N_G(Q)$ .