

Math 4501 - Probability and Statistics II

6.4 - Maximum likelihood and method of moments estimation

} techniques
for
point estimates!

Invariance property of maximum likelihood estimators

Theorem

If $\hat{\theta}$ is the maximum likelihood estimator of θ based on a random sample from the distribution with pdf or pmf $f(x; \theta)$, and g is a one-to-one function, then $g(\hat{\theta})$ is the maximum likelihood estimator of $g(\theta)$.

In short, $\left. \begin{array}{l} \hat{\theta} \text{ MLE for } \theta \\ g \text{ is 1-to-1} \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow \hat{g(\theta)} \text{ MLE for } g(\theta) \text{ is } \hat{g(\theta)} = g(\hat{\theta})$

CONSEQUENCE: if we know $\hat{\theta}$, then we know $\hat{\psi}$ for any ψ of the form $\psi = g(\theta)$ with g a 1-to-1 function,

MOTIVATION / Proof sketch: $\overbrace{L(\theta)}^{\text{likelihood function}}$ is maximized by $\hat{\theta}$. Set $\psi = g(\theta)$

Since g is 1-to-1, then g has an inverse h so that $\theta = h(\psi)$

Then $L(\theta) = L(h(\psi))$ is maximized at $\hat{\theta} = h(\hat{\psi}) \Rightarrow \boxed{\hat{\psi} = g(\hat{\theta})}$

Example

Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n be a random sample from the geometric distribution with pmf

$$f(x; p) = p(1 - p)^{x-1}, \quad \underline{\underline{x = 1, 2, \dots}},$$

unknown
parameter

where $\underline{p} \in \Omega = (0, 1)$.

Determine the maximum likelihood estimator for the mean of the population.

Recall that the mean of a geometric distribution is $\mu = E[X] = \frac{1}{p}$

Using the invariance principle for MLE, we know that the MLE of μ is

$$\hat{\mu} = \frac{1}{\hat{p}} \quad \left(\text{because the function } g(x) = \frac{1}{x} \text{ is 1-to-1 on } (0, \infty) \right)$$

All we have to do to find $\hat{\mu}$ is then to find \hat{p} and then take its reciprocal.
we already know how to do!

Let us find the MLE for the parameter p (review of what we've done before!)

Define the likelihood function:

$$L(p) = \prod_{i=1}^m f(x_i; p) = \prod_{i=1}^m p (1-p)^{x_i-1} = p^m (1-p)^{\sum_{i=1}^m (x_i-1)}$$

We obtain that

$$L(p) = p^m (1-p)^{\sum_{i=1}^m x_i - m}$$

Before differentiating $L(p)$, apply natural logarithm:

$$\ln(L(p)) = m \ln p + \left(\sum_{i=1}^m x_i - m \right) \ln(1-p)$$

$$\begin{array}{c} p(1-p)^{x_1-1} \cdot p(1-p)^{x_2-1} \cdot p(1-p)^{x_3-1} \cdots p(1-p)^{x_m-1} \\ \downarrow \quad \quad \quad \downarrow \quad \quad \quad \downarrow \quad \quad \quad \downarrow \quad \quad \quad \downarrow \\ p \cdot p \cdot p \cdots p \cdot (1-p)^{x_1-1} \cdot (1-p)^{x_2-1} \cdots (1-p)^{x_m-1} \\ \underbrace{\hspace{10em}}_{m \text{ times}} \\ p^m (1-p)^{x_1-1 + x_2-1 + \cdots + x_m-1} \end{array}$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{using} \\ \ln(x \cdot y) = \ln x + \ln y, \quad x, y > 0 \\ \ln x^p = p \ln x, \quad x > 0, p \in \mathbb{R} \end{array} \right.$$

We know find the derivative of $\ln(L(p))$:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dp} \ln(L(p)) &= \frac{d}{dp} \left[m \ln p + \left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i - m \right) \ln(1-p) \right] \\ &= \frac{m}{p} - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i - m}{1-p}\end{aligned}$$

The 1st order condition is then:

$$\frac{d}{dp} \ln(L(p)) = 0 \iff \frac{m}{p} - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i - m}{1-p} = 0 \iff$$

$$\frac{m}{p} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i - m}{1-p} \iff \widehat{m(1-p)} = p \left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i - m \right)$$

$$\iff m - mp = p \sum_{i=1}^n x_i - mp \iff \left| p = \frac{m}{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i} \right|$$

We found out that $p = \frac{n}{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i}$ is a critical pt for $\ln(L(p))$
(and $L(p)$ as well !!!)

To check that the critical pt is indeed a maximum of $L(p)$, we check the 2nd derivative

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^2}{dp^2} \ln(L(p)) &= \frac{d}{dp} \left[\underbrace{\frac{n}{p} - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i - n}{1-p}}_{\substack{\text{expression for 1st derivative} \\ \text{found earlier}}} \right] = \\ &= -\frac{n}{p^2} - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i - n}{(1-p)^2} < 0 \quad \text{for all } p \in (0,1) \end{aligned}$$

because $x_i \geq 1$
for each i

CONCLUSION : $\hat{p} = \frac{n}{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i}$ is the MLE of p

We conclude that (using the invariance principle):

$$\hat{\mu} = \frac{1}{\hat{p}} = \frac{1}{\frac{n}{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i}} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i}{n} = \bar{x}$$

Unbiased estimators

Definition

Recall: a statistic is a function of the random sample that does not depend on any unknown parameter

The statistic $u(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)$ is called an unbiased estimator of θ if

$$E[u(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)] = \theta .$$

Otherwise, $u(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)$ is said to be biased.

Example (previous example continued)

Let $\underline{X_1}, \underline{X_2}, \dots, \underline{X_n}$ be a random sample from the exponential distribution with pdf

$$f(x; \theta) = \frac{1}{\theta} e^{-x/\theta}, \quad 0 < x < \infty,$$

where $\theta \in \Omega = (0, \infty)$.
unknown!

Show that the maximum likelihood estimator of θ determined earlier is unbiased.

Recall that in a previous class we found that the MLE of θ is

$$\rightarrow \hat{\theta} = \bar{X} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$$

To show that $\hat{\theta}$ is unbiased, we need to check that $E[\hat{\theta}] = \theta$

$$E[\hat{\theta}] = E\left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i\right] = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \overbrace{E[x_i]}^{\theta} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \overbrace{\theta}^{n \cdot \theta} = \frac{1}{n} \cdot n \theta = \theta$$

linearity ↑ expected value of exponential distr.

CONCLUSION: $\hat{\theta}$ is unbiased!

Example (Very important example — one reason why order statistics are important)

Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n be a random sample from the uniform distribution with pdf

$$f(x; \theta) = \frac{1}{\theta}, \quad 0 < x \leq \theta,$$

where $\theta \in \Omega = (0, \infty)$.

support of f depends on θ

Determine the maximum likelihood estimator of θ and show it is biased.

To find the MLE of θ , define the likelihood function;

$$L(\theta) = \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i; \theta) = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\theta} = \left\{ \frac{1}{\theta^n} \right\}, \quad \text{for } \theta \text{ such that } \theta \geq x_i > 0, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

To maximize $L(\theta)$, we want to take θ as small as possible.

However, we must have that $\theta \geq x_i$ for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

The smallest value θ can take is $\theta = \max\{x_1, \dots, x_n\} = y_n$

Hence, the MLE for θ is $\hat{\theta} = y_n = \max\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$

To show that $\hat{\theta} = Y_m$ is biased, we need to check that $E[\hat{\theta}] \neq \theta$

Let us find the pdf of $\hat{\theta} = Y_m$ so that we can compute $E[\hat{\theta}]$.

Let $y \geq 0$, and note that:

$$G_m(y) = P(Y_m \leq y) = P(\max\{x_1, \dots, x_m\} \leq y) = \left. \begin{array}{l} \text{same argument} \\ \text{as done in} \\ \text{Sec. 6.3} \end{array} \right\}$$

independence \downarrow

$$= P(x_1 \leq y, x_2 \leq y, \dots, x_m \leq y)$$
$$= P(x_1 \leq y) \cdot P(x_2 \leq y) \cdots P(x_m \leq y)$$

x_1, \dots, x_m
identically
distributed

$$= \underbrace{\left(P(x_i \leq y) \right)^m}_{\frac{y}{\theta} \text{ (next slide)}} = ?$$

$$F_{x_i}(y) = P(x_i \leq y) = \int_{-\infty}^y f(x, \theta) dx = \int_0^y \frac{1}{\theta} dx = \left[\frac{x}{\theta} \right]_{x=0}^{x=y}$$

$$= \frac{y}{\theta}, \quad 0 \leq y \leq \theta$$



Thus, we find that

$$G_n(y) = [P(x_i \leq y)]^n = \left[\frac{y}{\theta} \right]^n = \begin{cases} 0 & y < 0 \\ \frac{y^n}{\theta^n}, & 0 \leq y \leq \theta \\ 1 & y > \theta \end{cases}$$

The pdf of Y_n is

$$g_n(y) = G_n'(y) = \frac{n y^{n-1}}{\theta^n}, \quad \underline{0 < y < \theta}, \quad [\text{and zero otherwise}]$$

$$E[\hat{\theta}] = E[Y_m] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} y \cdot \underbrace{g_m(y)}_{\frac{ny^{n-1}}{\theta^n}} dy = \int_0^{\theta} y \cdot \frac{ny^{n-1}}{\theta^n} dy$$

$$= \frac{n}{\theta^n} \int_0^{\theta} y^n dy = \frac{n}{\theta^n} \cdot \left[\frac{y^{n+1}}{n+1} \right]_{y=0}^{y=\theta} =$$

$$= \frac{n}{\theta^n} \cdot \frac{\theta^{n+1}}{n+1} = \frac{n}{n+1} \theta \neq \theta$$

$\Rightarrow \hat{\theta}$ is biased!

Example (continued from an example of a previous class)

We have seen that when sampling from $N(\theta_1, \theta_2)$, one finds that the maximum likelihood estimators of θ_1 and θ_2 are

$$\hat{\mu} = \hat{\theta}_1 = \bar{X} \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{\sigma}_1^2 = \hat{\theta}_2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2.$$

} from the previous example!

Show that $\hat{\theta}_1$ is an unbiased estimator of θ_1 , but $\hat{\theta}_2$ is not an unbiased estimator of θ_2 .

↳ $\hat{\sigma}_2$ is biased!

$\hat{\theta}_1$ is an unbiased estimator for $\theta_1 = \mu$.

$$\begin{aligned} E[\hat{\theta}_1] &= E[\bar{X}] = E\left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i\right] = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n E[X_i] = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \theta_1 = \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \cdot n \cdot \theta_1 = \theta_1 \Rightarrow \hat{\theta}_1 \text{ is unbiased!} \end{aligned}$$

def of \bar{X} linearity

since $X_i \sim N(\theta_1, \theta_2)$
then $E[X_i] = \theta_1$

Let us now show that $\hat{\theta}_2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2$ is a biased estimator for θ_2

What can we say about the distribution of $\hat{\theta}_2$?

Recall: we have seen that if $S^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2$

with $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$, then

$$\frac{(n-1) S^2}{\sigma^2} = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{(x_i - \bar{x})^2}{\sigma^2} \sim \chi^2(n-1)$$

Gamma
with $\alpha = \frac{n-1}{2}$
and $\theta = 2\sigma^2$

Note that $\frac{n \hat{\theta}_2}{\theta_2} = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{(x_i - \bar{x})^2}{\theta_2} \sim \chi^2(n-1)$

θ_2 is our σ^2

Recall that
if $X \sim \chi^2(n)$
then $E[X] = \frac{n}{2} \cdot 2 = n$

and so $E\left[\frac{n \hat{\theta}_2}{\theta_2}\right] = n-1 \Rightarrow \frac{n}{\theta_2} E[\hat{\theta}_2] = n-1$

$$E[\hat{\theta}_2] = \left(\frac{n-1}{n}\right) \cdot \theta_2 \neq \theta_2$$

$\Rightarrow \hat{\theta}_2$ is unbiased



reason why we do not use $\hat{\theta}_2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2$

as an estimator for σ^2

Example

Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n be a random sample from the $N(\theta_1, \theta_2)$ distribution, where

$$\Omega = \{(\theta_1, \theta_2) : -\infty < \theta_1 < \infty, 0 < \theta_2 < \infty\}.$$

Show that the sample variance

$$S^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2$$

$$\hat{\theta}_2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2$$

is an unbiased estimator of θ_2 .

Note that $S^2 = \frac{n}{n-1} \hat{\theta}_2$ and so

$$E[S^2] = E\left[\frac{n}{n-1} \hat{\theta}_2\right] = \frac{n}{n-1} E[\hat{\theta}_2] = \frac{n}{n-1} \cdot \frac{n-1}{n} \theta_2 = \theta_2 = \sigma_2^2$$