Written Assignment 3

CS 538, Spring 2020

Vinay Patil

1 Practicing do-notation (15)

```
1.1 Using >>=
```

1.3 Nested do-blocks

Translate the following examples using >>=, eliminating the do-notation. Simplify as far as you can.

```
(b) func = Right [0,3,2,1] >>= (\first ->
                    case first of
                        [] -> Left "empty list"
                        (n:_) \rightarrow if n/=0
                                 then Right (1/n)
                                 else Left "division by zero")
    => Left "division by zero"
    More simplifying
(a) func = (if True then MkWithLog (5, "First") else MkWithLog (37, "Second")) >> (return 12)
         -- Since boolean condition is always True, the express in then is selected for evaluation
         = (MkWithLog (5, "First")) >> (return 12)
         -- This will be basically translated to (MkWithLog (5, "First")) >>= (\_ -> return 12)
         -- Also (return 12) lazily evaluates to MkWithLog (12,"")
         = MkWithLog (12, "First" ++ "") -- 5 is ignored by the definition of >>
         -- Final Result will be
         = MkWithLog (12, "First")
(b) func = MkWithLog (5, "badger") >> return 4 >> return 3
         -- Evaluation is from left to right
         -- After lazily evaluating (return 4) we get MkWithLog (4, "")
         = MkWithLog (4, "badger" + "") >> return 3
         = MkWithLog (4, "badger") >> return 3
         -- After lazily evaluating (return 3) we get MkWithLog (3, "")
        = MkWithLog (3, "badger" + "")
        -- Final Result will be
        = MkWithLog (3, "badger")
(c) func = (Right [4, 7, 6]) >>= (\first ->
                    Left "fail 1" >> Left "fail 2" >> (Right $ (\x -> 9:first)))
         -- Evaluation is from left to right
         -- first takes the value of [4, 7, 6]
```

-- But when Haskell see an error case which is Left "Fail 1", it stops executing further

-- Will halt execution and the result value will be

= Left "fail1"

2 Lazy datatypes (15)

2.1 Lazy products

(a)

$$\frac{e \to e'}{fst(e) \to fst(e')}$$

$$\overline{fst(e1,e2) \to e1}$$

$$\frac{e \to e'}{snd(e) \to snd(e')}$$

$$\overline{snd(e1,e2) \to e2}$$

(b) Haskell Code:

loopForever :: Bool

loopForever = loopForever

 $Lamdba\ Translation:$

fix x. x

 $Step\ Rule:$

$$\overline{fx \ x. \ x \to x[x \mapsto (fx \ x. \ x)]}$$

Step:

$$fix \ x. \ x \to fix \ x. \ x$$

Haskell Code:

```
getFst :: Bool
getFst = fst (True, loopForever)
```

 $Lamdba\ Translation:$

fst(true, fix x. x)

 $Step\ Rule$:

$$\overline{fst(true, fix x. x) \rightarrow true}$$

Step:

$$fst(true, fix x. x) \rightarrow true$$

Haskell Code:

```
getSnd :: Bool
getSnd = snd (True, loopForever)
```

 $Lamdba\ Translation:$

Step Rule:

$$\overline{snd(true, fix x. x) \rightarrow fix x. x}$$

Step:

$$snd(true, fix x. x) \rightarrow fix x. x$$

Then step of loopForever ensures that getSnd never terminates.

2.2 Lazy lists

(a)

$$\frac{e \rightarrow e'}{case \ e \ of \ \{nil \rightarrow e_1; cons(x_1, x_2) \rightarrow e_2\} \rightarrow case \ e' \ of \ \{nil \rightarrow e_1; cons(x_1, x_2) \rightarrow e_2\}}$$

$$\overline{case \ nil \ of \ \{nil \rightarrow e_1; cons(x_1, x_2) \rightarrow e_2\} \rightarrow e[x \mapsto nil]}$$

$$\overline{case\ cons(e_1,e_2)\ of\ \{nil\rightarrow e_1; cons(x_1,x_2)\rightarrow e_2\}\rightarrow e[x\mapsto cons(e_1,e_2)]}$$

(b) Consider the following bit of Haskell code using lazy lists:

Haskell Code:

```
allTrue :: [Bool]
allTrue = True : allTrue
```

 $Lamdba\ Translation:$

```
fix f. \lambda x.cons(true, f)
```

Step:

$$fix \ f. \ \lambda \ x.cons(true, f) \rightarrow cons(true, fix f. \ \lambda \ x.cons(true, f)$$

(This is of the form cons(e1,e2) which is a value and hence terminates.)

Haskell Code:

```
negate :: Bool -> Bool
negate b = if b then False else True
```

Lamdba Translation:

$$\lambda x. (if x then false else true)$$

Haskell Code:

 $Lamdba\ Translation:$

$$fix f. \lambda F. \lambda L. case L of \{nil \rightarrow nil; cons(x_1, x_2) \rightarrow cons((F x_1), (f F x_2))\}$$

Haskell Code:

Lamdba Translation:

case e of
$$\{nil \rightarrow true; cons(x_1, x_2) \rightarrow false\}$$

Step:

case e of
$$\{nil \rightarrow true; cons(x_1, x_2) \rightarrow false\} \rightarrow false$$

(Since it steps to false which is a value, it terminates.)

(More explanation below along with last part.)

Haskell Code:

No, the isAccEmpty function would **not terminate**. Unlike the myMap where the bool-function gets applied to the current head in every recursion call, the myAccMap has to wait for the accumulation to finish before the bool-function can be applied, which is forever. This reasoning is similar to why right-fold works on infinite lists and why left-fold doesn't.