First notes: WTA Networks with Feedback

Robert Legenstein

March 8, 2023

1 Simple contextual modulation

We consider a simple generative model of the form $Z \to Y \to X$. Here, Z and Y are multinomial variables whereas X is a binary random vector. Note that this is an extension of the model considered in [1] where only two levels, in our notation with variables Y and X, were considered.

In this model, we have a prior distribution P(Z = j) over Z. Z then defines the prior over Y, i.e., $P(Y = i|Z = j) = p_{ij}$. Finally, the distribution over P(X|Y)is defined as in [1]. We are interested in the distribution over Y for given X and Z. Here, X is interpreted as the sensory input and Z as a context variable which biases the inference over Y. For example, when you are on the street in Austria it is improbable that you see a lion, which changes in the context of a zoo-visit.

1.1 Inference of hidden state for given context

We assume the context is given and we want to infer the hidden variable Y, i.e., we want to compute $P(Y = i | X = \mathbf{x}, Z = j)$. This probability is given by

$$P(Y = i | X = \mathbf{x}, Z = j) = \frac{P(Y = i, X = \mathbf{x}, Z = j)}{P(X = \mathbf{x}, Z = j)}$$

$$= \frac{P(Z = j)P(Y = i | Z = j)P(X = \mathbf{x} | Y = i)}{\sum_{k} P(Z = j)P(Y = k | Z = j)P(X = \mathbf{x} | Y = k)}$$
(2)

$$= \frac{P(Y=i|Z=j)P(X=\mathbf{x}|Y=i)}{\sum_{k} P(Y=k|Z=j)P(X=\mathbf{x}|Y=k)}.$$
 (3)

Assume that a context vector $\mathbf{z} \in \{0,1\}^{n_z}$ indicates the current context in a one-hot encoded manner, where n_z is the number of possible contexts. Note that $\ln(P(Y =$

$$i|Z=j)P(X=\mathbf{x}|Y=i)) = \ln P(Y=i|Z=j) + \ln p_{ij}$$
. We define
$$u_i(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{z}) = \ln P(X=\mathbf{x}|Y=i) + \sum_i z_j w_{ij}^{\text{fb}},$$
(4)

with $w_{ij}^{\text{fb}} = \ln p_{ij}$. Then, the probability we aimed for is given by

$$P(Y = i|X = \mathbf{x}, Z = \mathbf{z}) = \frac{\exp u_i}{\sum_k \exp u_k},\tag{5}$$

which is again a WTA formulation as in [1]. Note that there is just one subtle difference in Eq.(4) as compared to the standard formulation. The bias term disappeared and is replaced by $\sum_{j} z_{j} \ln p_{ij}$, since the prior probability for Y depends on Z.

The feed-forward weights can be learned as in [1]. Similarly, the feedback-weights have to converge to $\ln P(Y=i|Z=j)$. Hence, the learning rule should be the same as for the feed-forward weights.

1.2 Inference of hidden state for a context-belief

Now assume that we have only a belief about the context, i.e., for each j we have P(Z=j).

$$P(Y = i|X = \mathbf{x}) = \frac{P(Y = i, X = \mathbf{x})}{P(X = \mathbf{x})}$$
(6)

$$= \frac{\sum_{l} P(Z=l) P(Y=i|Z=l) P(X=\mathbf{x}|Y=i)}{\sum_{k} \sum_{l} P(Z=l) P(Y=k|Z=l) P(X=\mathbf{x}|Y=k)}$$
(7)

$$= \frac{P(X = \mathbf{x}|Y = i) \sum_{l} P(Z = l) p_{il}}{\sum_{k} P(X = \mathbf{x}|Y = k) \sum_{l} P(Z = l) p_{kl}}$$
(8)

$$= \frac{\exp(\ln(P(X=\mathbf{x}|Y=i)))\sum_{l}P(Z=l)p_{il}}{\sum_{k}\exp(\ln(P(X=\mathbf{x}|Y=k)))\sum_{l}P(Z=l)p_{kl}}.$$
 (9)

This could in principle also be computed, e.g. if the Z-neurons output P(Z = l), but it is biologically less plausible.

References

[1] Bernhard Nessler, Michael Pfeiffer, Lars Buesing, and Wolfgang Maass. Bayesian computation emerges in generic cortical microcircuits through spike-timing-dependent plasticity. *PLoS computational biology*, 9(4):e1003037, 2013.