

Homework 4

Group 1

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Statement of the Problem	2
3	Data Exploration	2
3.1	Variables Explained	2
3.2	Imputting Missing Values	5
3.3	Exploration of Variables	7

Prepared for:

Dr. Nathan Bastian

City University of New York, School of Professional Studies - Data 621

Prepared by:

Group 1

Senthil Dhanapal

Yadu Chittampalli

Christophe Hunt

1 Introduction

Consumers who own a car are often required to purchase car insurance to protect themselves from serious financial repercussions of being involved in a car accident. Insurance Providers must determine the risk of offering insurance coverage to a new customer through accurate statistical models that evaluate the consumers propensity for accidents. Since Insurance Providers are motivated by collecting the maximum amount of revenue from consumers while returning the lowest amount in accident claims, statistical modeling provides Insurance Providers with insight into the consumers behavior and the most appropriate pricing schemes¹.

2 Statement of the Problem

The purpose of this report is to develop statistical models to make inference into the likelihood of a customer being involved in a car accident and the cost associated of a customer being involved in a car accident.

3 Data Exploration

3.1 Variables Explained

The variables provided in the Insurance Training Data Set are explained below:

Variable Code	Definition
INDEX	Identification Variable (do not use)
TARGET_FLAG	Was Car in a crash? 1=YES 0=NO
TARGET_AMT	If car was in a crash, what was the cost
AGE	Age of Driver
BLUEBOOK	Value of Vehicle
CAR_AGE	Vehicle Age
CAR_TYPE	Type of Car
CAR_USE	Vehicle Use
CLM_FREQ	# Claims (Past 5 Years)
EDUCATION	Max Education Level
HOMEKIDS	# Children at Home
HOME_VAL	Home Value
INCOME	Income
KIDSDRIV	# Driving Children
MSTATUS	Marital Status
MVR_PTS	Motor Vehicle Record Points
OLDCLAIM	Total Claims (Past 5 Years)
PARENT1	Single Parent
RED_CAR	A Red Car
REVOKED	License Revoked (Past 7 Years)
SEX	Gender
TIF	Time in Force
TRAVTIME	Distance to Work
URBANICITY	Home/Work Area
YOJ	Years on Job

We first look at our nominal variables and their applicable proportions. Interestingly, we see that in this data set only a quarter of the customer records indicate an accident occurred. Also, the majority of consumers in this data set have no kids at home, are married, more than a high school education but less than a PhD, use

¹"Insider Information: How Insurance Companies Measure Risk - Insurance Companies.com." Insurance Companies.com. N.p., n.d. Web. 06 Nov. 2016.

their car for private purposes, typically own a SUV or minivan, and also live in an urban environment. This provides an interesting insight to the type of customer this data set represents and should be considered when further interpreting our statistical model. Additionally, we should be mindful of any selection biases in this data set as consumers with extremely risky histories are likely to have not been extended insurance coverage.

Table 2: Table of nominal variables

Variable	Levels	n	%	\sum %
TARGET_FLAG	0	6008	73.6	73.6
	1	2153	26.4	100.0
	all	8161	100.0	
KIDSDRIV	0	7180	88.0	88.0
	1	636	7.8	95.8
	2	279	3.4	99.2
	3	62	0.8	100.0
	4	4	0.0	100.0
	all	8161	100.0	
HOMEKIDS	0	5289	64.8	64.8
	1	902	11.1	75.9
	2	1118	13.7	89.6
	3	674	8.3	97.8
	4	164	2.0	99.8
	5	14	0.2	100.0
	all	8161	100.0	
PARENT1	No	7084	86.8	86.8
	Yes	1077	13.2	100.0
	all	8161	100.0	
MSTATUS	No	3267	40.0	40.0
	Yes	4894	60.0	100.0
	all	8161	100.0	
SEX	F	4375	53.6	53.6
	M	3786	46.4	100.0
	all	8161	100.0	
EDUCATION	Less Than High School	1203	14.7	14.7
	High School	2330	28.6	43.3
	Bachelors	2242	27.5	70.8
	Masters	1658	20.3	91.1
	PhD	728	8.9	100.0
	all	8161	100.0	
JOB		526	6.4	6.4
	Blue Collar	1825	22.4	28.8
	Clerical	1271	15.6	44.4
	Doctor	246	3.0	47.4
	Home Maker	641	7.8	55.2
	Lawyer	835	10.2	65.5
	Manager	988	12.1	77.6
	Professional	1117	13.7	91.3
	Student	712	8.7	100.0
	all	8161	100.0	
CAR_USE	Commercial	3029	37.1	37.1
	Private	5132	62.9	100.0
	all	8161	100.0	
CAR_TYPE	Minivan	2145	26.3	26.3
	Panel Truck	676	8.3	34.6
	Pickup	1389	17.0	51.6
	Sports Car	907	11.1	62.7
	SUV	2294	28.1	90.8
	Van	750	9.2	100.0
	all	8161	100.0	
RED_CAR	no	5783	70.9	70.9
	yes	2378	29.1	100.0
	all	8161	100.0	
CLM_FREQ	0	5009	61.4	61.4

Table 2: Table of nominal variables

Variable	Levels	n	%	\sum %
	1	997	12.2	73.6
	2	1171	14.3	88.0
	3	776	9.5	97.5
	4	190	2.3	99.8
	5	18	0.2	100.0
	all	8161	100.0	
REVOKED	No	7161	87.8	87.8
	Yes	1000	12.2	100.0
	all	8161	100.0	
URBANICITY	Highly Rural/ Rural	1669	20.4	20.4
	Highly Urban/ Urban	6492	79.5	100.0
	all	8161	100.0	

We can see that in our continuous and discrete variables there is some additional variability. The median claim amount (TARGET_AMT) is 0 which would coincide with only a quarter for records indicating an accident. However, the spread is large since the average payout is only \$1,504.30 but the maximum payout was \$107,586.10. Surprisingly, the median AGE is 45 and the average AGE is 44.8 years, while we expected a lower average it could be due to simple selection bias in the data set source or the aging US population bringing this average higher ². We also noticed that an INCOME of \$0.00 seems unwise because it is unclear how the individual would be able to cover their premium costs without parental support. Finally, we should note that the data set has as CAR_AGE of -3, which is impossible and will need to be removed.

There are many missing values for this portion of our data set, we have over 400 values missing for years on the job, income, home value, and car age. Due to these missing values we will need to impute these missing values to complete our statistical model.

Variable	n	Min	q1	\tilde{x}	\bar{x}	q3	Max	s	IQR	#NA
TARGET_AMT	8161	0	0	0	1504.3	1036	107586.1	4704.0	1036	0
TIF	8161	1	1	4	5.4	7	25.0	4.1	6	0
AGE	8155	16	39	45	44.8	51	81.0	8.6	12	6
YOJ	7707	0	9	11	10.5	13	23.0	4.1	4	454
INCOME	7716	0	28097	54028	61898.1	85986	367030.0	47572.7	57889	445
HOME_VAL	7697	0	0	161160	154867.3	238724	885282.0	129123.8	238724	464
TRAVTIME	8161	5	22	33	33.5	44	142.0	15.9	22	0
BLUEBOOK	8161	1500	9280	14440	15709.9	20850	69740.0	8419.7	11570	0
OLDCLAIM	8161	0	0	0	4037.1	4636	57037.0	8777.1	4636	0
MVR_PTS	8161	0	0	1	1.7	3	13.0	2.1	3	0
CAR_AGE	7651	-3	1	8	8.3	12	28.0	5.7	11	510

Table 3:

²Ortman, Jennifer M., Victoria A. Velkoff, and Howard Hogan. "An aging nation: the older population in the United States." Washington, DC: US Census Bureau (2014): 25-1140.

3.2 Imputing Missing Values

In order to address the missing values in our variables we used a non-parametric imputation method (Random Forest) using the `missForest` package. The function is particularly useful in that it can handle any type of input data and it will make as few assumptions about the structure of the data as possible.³

Table 2 : Imputed Descriptive Statistics
25 Variables 8161 Observations

TARGET_FLAG

n	missing	distinct	Info	Sum	Mean	Gmd
8161	0	2	0.583	2153	0.3	0.4

TARGET_AMT

n	missing	distinct	Info	Mean	Gmd	.05	.10	.25	.50	.75	.90	.95
8161	0	1949	0.601	1504	2574	0	0	0	0	1036	4904	6452

lowest :

0.00000

30.27728

58.53106

95.56732

108.74150

highest:

73783.46592

77907.43028

78874.19056

85523.65335

107586.13616

KIDSDRIV

n	missing	distinct	Info	Mean	Gmd
8161	0	5	0.318	0.2	0.3

lowest :

0 1 2 3 4,

highest: 0 1 2 3 4

0 (7180, 0.880), 1 (636, 0.078), 2 (279, 0.034), 3 (62, 0.008), 4 (4, 0.000)

AGE

n	missing	distinct	Info	Mean	Gmd	.05	.10	.25	.50	.75	.90	.95
8161	0	66	0.999	45	10	30	33	39	45	51	56	59

lowest :

16 17 18 19 20,

highest: 72 73 76 80 81

HOMEKIDS

n	missing	distinct	Info	Mean	Gmd
8161	0	6	0.723	0.7	1

lowest :

0 1 2 3 4,

highest: 1 2 3 4 5

0 (5289, 0.648), 1 (902, 0.111), 2 (1118, 0.137), 3 (674, 0.083), 4 (164, 0.020), 5 (14, 0.002)

YOJ

n	missing	distinct	Info	Mean	Gmd	.05	.10	.25	.50	.75	.90	.95
8161	0	442	0.991	10	4	0	5	9	11	13	14	15

lowest :

0.00 0.11 0.14 0.24 0.30,

highest: 16.00 17.00 18.00 19.00 23.00

INCOME

n	missing	distinct	Info	Mean	Gmd	.05	.10	.25	.50	.75	.90	.95
8161	0	7057	1	61578	50857	0e+00	5e+03	3e+04	5e+04	9e+04	1e+05	2e+05

lowest :

-9.255018e-11

0.000000e+00

5.000000e+00

7.000000e+00

1.545000e+01

highest:

3.062770e+05

3.096280e+05

3.201270e+05

3.323390e+05

3.670300e+05

PARENT1

n	missing	distinct
8161	0	2

No (7084, 0.868), Yes (1077, 0.132)

HOME_VAL

n	missing	distinct	Info	Mean	Gmd	.05	.10	.25	.50	.75	.90	.95
8161	0	5570	0.978	2e+05	1e+05	0e+00	0e+00	0e+00	2e+05	2e+05	3e+05	4e+05

lowest :

0.000

5427.070

7417.370

7553.793

8509.623

highest:

657804.000

682634.000

738153.000

750455.000

885282.000

³Stekhoven, Daniel J., and Peter Bühlmann. "MissForest-non-parametric missing value imputation for mixed-type data." *Bioinformatics* 28.1 (2012): 112-118.

MSTATUS

n	missing	distinct
8161	0	2

No (3267, 0.4), Yes (4894, 0.6)

SEX

n	missing	distinct
8161	0	2

F (4375, 0.536), M (3786, 0.464)

EDUCATION

n	missing	distinct
8161	0	5

lowest :	Bachelors	High School	Less Than High School Masters	PhD
highest:	Bachelors	High School	Less Than High School Masters	PhD

Bachelors (2242, 0.275), High School (2330, 0.286), Less Than High School (1203, 0.147), Masters (1658, 0.203), PhD (728, 0.089)

JOB

n	missing	distinct
8161	0	9

lowest :		Blue Collar	Clerical	Doctor	Home Maker
highest:	Home Maker	Lawyer	Manager	Professional	Student

Value		Blue Collar	Clerical	Doctor	Home Maker	Lawyer
Frequency	526	1825	1271	246	641	835
Proportion	0.064	0.224	0.156	0.030	0.079	0.102

Value		Manager	Professional	Student
Frequency	988	1117	712	
Proportion	0.121	0.137	0.087	

TRAVTIME

n	missing	distinct	Info	Mean	Gmd	.05	.10	.25	.50	.75	.90	.95
8161	0	97	1	33	18	7	13	22	33	44	54	60

lowest : 5 6 7 8 9, highest: 103 113 124 134 142

CAR_USE

n	missing	distinct
8161	0	2

Commercial (3029, 0.371), Private (5132, 0.629)

BLUEBOOK

n	missing	distinct	Info	Mean	Gmd	.05	.10	.25	.50	.75	.90	.95
8161	0	2789	1	15710	9354	4900	6000	9280	14440	20850	27460	31110

lowest : 1500 1520 1530 1540 1590, highest: 57970 61050 62240 65970 69740

TIF

n	missing	distinct	Info	Mean	Gmd	.05	.10	.25	.50	.75	.90	.95
8161	0	23	0.961	5	5	1	1	1	4	7	11	13

lowest : 1 2 3 4 5, highest: 19 20 21 22 25

CAR_TYPE

n	missing	distinct
8161	0	6

lowest :	Minivan	Panel Truck	Pickup	Sports Car	SUV
highest:	Panel Truck	Pickup	Sports Car	SUV	Van

Minivan (2145, 0.263), Panel Truck (676, 0.083), Pickup (1389, 0.170), Sports Car (907, 0.111), SUV (2294, 0.281), Van (750, 0.092)

RED_CAR

n	missing	distinct
8161	0	2

no (5783, 0.709), yes (2378, 0.291)

OLDCLAIM

n	missing	distinct	Info	Mean	Gmd	.05	.10	.25	.50	.75	.90	.95
8161	0	2857	0.769	4037	6563	0	0	0	0	4636	9583	27090

lowest : 0 502 506 518 519, highest: 52507 53477 53568 53986 57037

CLM_FREQ

n	missing	distinct	Info	Mean	Gmd
8161	0	6	0.763	0.8	1

lowest : 0 1 2 3 4, highest: 1 2 3 4 5

0 (5009, 0.614), 1 (997, 0.122), 2 (1171, 0.143), 3 (776, 0.095), 4 (190, 0.023), 5 (18, 0.002)

REVOKED

n	missing	distinct
8161	0	2

No (7161, 0.877), Yes (1000, 0.123)

MVR_PTS

n	missing	distinct	Info	Mean	Gmd	.05	.10	.25	.50	.75	.90	.95
8161	0	13	0.9	2	2	0	0	0	1	3	5	6

lowest : 0 1 2 3 4, highest: 8 9 10 11 13

Value	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	13
Frequency	3712	1157	948	758	599	399	266	167	84	45	13	11	2
Proportion	0.455	0.142	0.116	0.093	0.073	0.049	0.033	0.020	0.010	0.006	0.002	0.001	0.000

CAR_AGE

n	missing	distinct	Info	Mean	Gmd	.05	.10	.25	.50	.75	.90	.95
8161	0	512	0.985	8	6	1	1	4	8	12	16	18

lowest : -3.000000 0.000000 1.000000 2.000000 2.382143
highest: 24.000000 25.000000 26.000000 27.000000 28.000000

URBANICITY

n	missing	distinct
8161	0	2

Highly Rural/ Rural (1669, 0.205), Highly Urban/ Urban (6492, 0.795)

3.3 Exploration of Variables