The ELFE Programming Language

BY CHRISTOPHE DE DINECHIN

Taodyne SAS, 2 allee de la Tour, Valbonne, France

1 Introduction

ELFE stands for Extensible Language For Everyday. The name states the language's objectives:

• It is extensible. One of the defining characteristics of ELFE is that you add new language constructs as easily as classes or functions in other programming languages. For example, the if-then-else construct is specified in the ELFE core library using a definition similar to what is shown in Figure 1 below:

```
if true then X else Y -> X if false then X else Y -> Y
```

Figure 1. Adding the if-then-else construct to ELSE

• ELFE is intended for *everyday programming*, making simple, everyday things easy to write, express and comprehend. For example, in ELFE, using the definition from Figure 1 you can write a condition as shown in Figure 2 below:

```
if BankAccount < 0 then
   writeln "Warning shots fired"
else
   writeln "Round of cakes and applause"
        Figure 2. A simple test in ELFE</pre>
```

To achieve the highest degree of extensibility, ELFE builds on *meta-programming*, i.e. programs that manipulate programs. In many programming languages, meta-programming is an arcane technique best reserved for gurus¹. In ELFE, on the other hand, it is so central that it's just the way programs run. An ELFE program execution can be understood as the way the program rewrites itself over time. Yet this is done in such a simple way that you hardly ever notice that you are actually manipulating programs and not just data.

This leads to the second objective, everyday programming. ELFE addresses many issues head on, which have proven thorny for programmers over the years, due to the design and limitations of existing programming languages. This includes input and output², complex data structures, program optimizations, and more. Thanks to meta-programming, ELFE can offer solutions to these problems that are elegant, efficient and easy to understand.

In short, ELFE is an extraordinarily simple language, which at first sight looks and feels much like familiar programming languages (C, Pascal, JavaScript), while offering the full power and capabilities of more rarely used functional and homoiconic languages such as Lisp.

1.1 Keeping it simple

In order to keep programs easy to write and read, the ELFE syntax and semantics are deliberately very simple. As Saint-Exupery once said, perfection is achieved, not when there's nothing to add, but when there's nothing left to take away. And in ELFE, there isn't much left to be removed. It's often hard to think how you could erase a single character from an ELFE program, but that terseness does not come at the price of obfuscation.

^{1.} This includes techniques such as template meta-programming in C++, or hygienic macros in Lisp.

^{2.} Various programming languages have implemented I/Os using built-in functions (writeln in Pascal), variadic functions with special runtime conventions (printf in C), operator overloading (<< in C++), monads (Haskell). All these approaches suffer from various issues.

Indeed, the ELFE syntax will seem very natural to most programmers, except for what it's missing: ELFE makes little use of parentheses and other punctuation characters so typical of programming that they became a staple of computer screens in movies. For example, the syntax of blocks in ELFE is based on indentation, not on curly braces like in C and Java. Indentation requires less typing, and enforces a visual structure that matches the actual structure of the program. Furthermore, there was a conscious design decision to more generally keep only symbols that had an active role in the meaning of the program, as opposed to a purely syntactic role. So in ELFE, there are no semi-colons, dollar or at sign, and few parentheses. ELFE programs look a little like pseudo-code, except of course that they can be compiled and run.

This simplicity translates into the internal representations of programs, which makes metaprogramming not just possible, but easy and fun. Any ELFE program or data can be represented with just 8 data types: integer, real, text, name, infix, prefix, posfix and block. For example, the internal representation for $3 * \sin X$ is an infix * node with two children, the left child of * being integer 3, and the right child of * being a prefix with the name sin on the left and the name X on the right. This internal representation of programs, also known as an abstract syntax tree (AST), is a key data structure in ELFE, and you will soon discover just how powerful this simple concept is.

The data structure chosen in ELFE to represent programs is simple enough to make meta-programming practical and easy. Meta-programming is the ability for a program to manipulate programs. In ELFE, meta-programming is so essential that it forms the basis for program interpretation, also known as *evaluation*. You evaluate a program by continuously rewriting it using meta-programming rules, until there is nothing left to rewrite. This is all defined with a single notation, ->, which reads *rewrites-as* or *transforms-into*, and is the single most fundamental operator in ELFE. In a sense, you can say that ELFE is a language with a single operator, ->. This is one good reason why it is so hard to remove much from ELFE: a language with no operator at all can't be that useful.

1.2 Extending the programming language to suit your needs

At the same time, this rewriting mechanism is the key to language extensibility. With ELFE, you can add language features yourself, instead of cursing the language designers who did not have the foresight to do it for you. All you need is to choose the right notation and, using the rewrites-as operator, tell the program how to transform your chosen program notation into something that already exists.

This technique unifies all sorts of program declarations that are distinct in languages such as C. You can use a rewrite rule to define variables (rewriting a name), functions (rewriting a functional notation), operators (rewriting a mathematical expression), even program constructs (rewriting anything else). Rewrite rules are like an extraordinarily powerful macro mechanism, which, as a programmer, you can trigger at compilation time, at runtime, or any mixture of both that suits your needs. You can even define your own optimizations using rewrite rules such as $X+0 \rightarrow X$ or $X-X \rightarrow 0$.

As an element of proof that the approach works, ELFE actually uses rewrites to define basic programming language constructs in its standard library. Actually, if-then-else, while loops, classes, etc, are all declared in the ELFE standard library using regular rewrite rules. They are not built-in elements integrated in the compiler, as they would be in languages such as C, C++ or Java. This makes a huge difference, because now you can define your own. It's the same difference as between PRINT in Basic and printf in C. The former is hard-coded in the language. The latter is a library construct which you can replicate or leverage to suit your needs.

The process of extending the language in this manner is so simple and safe to use that you can also perfectly consider language notations or compilation techniques that are useful only in a particular context. With ELFE, creating your own domain-specific languages (DSLs) is just part of normal, everyday programming.

Introduction 3

This particular context can even be a section of a larger program. Rewrite declarations are subject to scoping and visibility rules similar to what is commonly found in other languages for variable or function declarations. So you can very easily and safely compose and combine program transformations, much like you can compose and combine functions and variables in C. You can declare a local variable in C visible only in a given function; you can declare a local rewrite rule in ELFE to perform a transformation that applies only in a given piece of code.

1.3 Using Moore's law instead of fighting it

The design of ELFE is in response to the following observation: programmers have to deal with exponentially-growing program complexity. The reason is that the complexity of programs indirectly follows Moore's law, since users want to fully benefit from the capabilities of new hardware generations. But our brains do not follow a similar exponential law, so we need increasingly sophisticated tools to bridge the gap with higher and higher levels of abstraction.

Over time, this lead to a never ending succession of *programming paradigms*, each one intended to make the next generation of hardware accessible to programmers. For example, object-oriented programming was initially fueled by the demands of graphical user interfaces, even if it found myriads of other applications later. Programmers who could use concepts such as *windows*, *menus* or *icons* in their design, and translate them into *objects* in the code using object-oriented tools, instantly had a leg up over programmers using procedural languages.

Unfortunately, a side effect of this continuous change in programming paradigms is that code designed with an old approach quickly becomes obsolete as a new programming model emerges. For example, even if C++ was, at least initially, supposed to be somewhat compatible with C, the core development model is so different that C++, even early on, replicated core functionality of C in a completely different way (memory allocation, I/Os, containers, sorts, etc).

One language, Lisp, evaded this fate, largely thanks to its meta-programming capabilities. In Lisp, it is possible to extend the language using, among other things, a powerful system of macros. This made it much easier for Lisp to integrate major changes in programming paradigms. Common Lisp was, to my knowledge, the first language to normalize object-oriented extensions, long before C++. It did so simply by integrating well accepted, field-tested libraries and idioms that transformed object-oriented Lisp into lower-level Lisp. The self-improvement capabilities of Lisp explain why this is the only programming language designed in the 1950's that still have an active role in the development and improvement of modern computer science.

ELFE replicates the self-improvement capability of Lisp, but makes it central. Furthermore, it also adds a focus on user-friendly notations. In ELFE, the notation comes first, and the language is supposed to help you use this notation in your programs. By contrast, in Lisp, you have to adapt to the parenthese-heavy Lisp syntax, and many programmers find this daunting.

In summary, ELFE helps programmers take advantage of Moore's law by adding new tricks to their language over time. The long term vision is a language continuously and incrementally made both more powerful and easier to use thanks to a large number of community-developed and field-tested language extensions.

1.4 Examples

The key characteristics of ELFE outlined above are best illustrated with a few short examples, going from simple programming to more advanced functional-style programming to simple metaprogramming.

Hello World A "hello world" program is very often the first program shown to introduce a new programming language, and I will follow this long-established tradition. A program writing Hello World in ELFE is shown in Figure 3.

writeln "Hello World"

 $\textbf{Figure 3.} \ \, \textbf{Example of Hello World program in ELFE} \\$

This program is only notable by what it lacks: no semi-colons, no parentheses, no main function. For very simple programs like this one, ELFE is just as terse as a typical scripting language.

Factorial function The factorial is a well-known mathematical function, often used to illustrate programming languages because it is a good way to introduce the notion of *recursion*. Figure 4 illustrates the definition of the factorial function in ELFE:

```
// Declaration of the factorial notation 0! \rightarrow 1 N! \rightarrow N * (N-1)!
```

Figure 4. Declaration of the factorial function

As you can see, the code is quite short. Actually, it is probably surprisingly short for developers coming from a C or Java background. Yet it contains everything you need, and not much more:

- The first line indicates that the notation 0! transforms into 1. You can interpret it as a form of operator overloading that operates only on the value 0.
- The second line indicates how to transform the notation N! for other values of N than O.

The resulting code is very close to a mathematical definition of the factorial³. If you try to remove any character from this program (except spaces), you end up with a program that is missing an essential aspect of what a factorial is.

Map, reduce, filter Figure 5 illustrates operations usually known as map, reduce and filter. These operations are characteristic of a programming paradigm called functional programming, because they take functions as arguments. In ELFE, map, reduce and filter operations can all use an infix with notation with slightly different forms for the parameters. Section 4.1.10 describes these operations in more details.

```
// Map: Computing the factorial of the first 10 integers
// The result is 1, 1, 2, 6, 24, 120, 720, 5040, 40320, 362880
(N->N!) with 0..9

// Reduce: Compute the sum of the first 5 factorials, i.e. 409114
(X,Y -> X+Y) with (N->N!) with 0..5

// Filter: Displaying the factorials that are multiples of 3
// The result is 6, 24, 120, 720, 5040, 40320, 362880
(N when N mod 3 = 0) with (N->N!) with 0..9
```

Figure 5. Map, reduce and filter

Figure 6 illustrates the ELFE definition of the if-then-else statement, which will serve as our first introduction to meta-programming. Here, we tell the compiler how to transform a particular form of the source code (the if-then-else statement). Note how this transformation uses the same -> notation we used to declare a factorial function in Figure 4. This shows how, in ELFE, meta-programming integrates transparently with regular programming.

```
// Declaration of if-then-else
if true then TrueClause else FalseClause -> TrueClause
if false then TrueClause else FalseClause -> FalseClause
```

Figure 6. Declaration of if-then-else

The next sections will clarify how these operations work.

1.5 Concept programming

Concept programming is the underlying design philosophy behind ELFE. The core idea is very simple:

Programming is the art of transforming ideas (i.e. concepts that belong to concept space) into artifacts such as programs or data structure (i.e. code that belongs to code space).

^{3.} A mathematician might use the = sign for definitions, but the -> operator really indicates a program transformation, not an equality.

Introduction 5

From concept to code: a lossy conversion Concepts and code do not exist in the same context, do not obey the same rules, and are generally hard to compare. However, experience shows that it is generally a good idea to make the code look and feel as close to the concept it represents as possible. Unfortunately, doing so is incredibly difficult in practice, in large part because computers and code are limiting our ability to represent arbitrary concepts.

We are quite good at building abstractions that bridge the gap, for example integer data types and arithmetic that mimic mathematical integers and arithmetic. But then we tend to forget that these are only abstractions. We get caught when they do not behave like the real thing, for example when an int overflows or wraps around, something that mathematical integers never do.

The key takeaway is that the conversion of concept to code is necessarily *lossy*. Minimizing the loss remains a worthy goal, but doing so is difficult. By drawing our attention to the conversion process itself, concept programming gives us new and useful tools to solve old problems.

Pseudo-metrics Among the tools brought by concept programming is a set of *pseudo-metrics* allowing us to better evaluate the code we create. These are called pseudo-metrics because they apply to things that in all fairness cannot really be measured, like the distance between concepts in our brains and code in the computer. At the same time, they are easy to understand and use, and allow us to identify and solve problems that are otherwise hard to pinpoint.

Key pseudo-metrics in concept programming include:

- 1. Syntactic noise is a discrepancy between the appearance of the code and the usual or desired notation for the associated concept. For example, the usual mathematical operation 1+2 is ideally represented in the code by 1+2. Notations such as (+ 1 2) or add(1, 2), by contrast, introduce a little bit of syntactic noise.
- 2. Semantic noise is a discrepancy between the meaning of the code and the usual or desired notation for the associated concept. For example, when one needs to consider if computing X+1 possibly overflows, runs out of memory, throws an exception or takes an unpredictable amount of time to compute, then a little bit of semantic noise appears.
- 3. Bandwith is the fraction of the concept space that is covered by a given code. The larger the bandwidth, the more general the code is. For example, the mathematical minimum concept includes the ability to compare almost anything provided there is an order relation (which may be total or partial); it applies to functions, to sets, to series, and so on. So it's fair to say that the C function shown in Figure 7 is very narrow band:

```
int min(int x, int y) { return x < y ? x : y; }
Figure 7. Narrow-band min in C</pre>
```

4. The *signal-noise ratio* is the fraction of the code that is actually useful to solve the problem from concept space, as opposed to code that is there only because of code-space considerations. In the same min example given above, semi-colons or curly braces have little to do with the problem at hand: they are noise rather than signal.

An amusing observation about this choice of terminology is that just like in engineering, noise cannot ever be completely eliminated, though many techniques exist to reduce it; and just like in art, what is noise to one person may be music to another.

Influence on ELFE ELFE is the first programming language designed specifically with concept programming in mind. As a result, it is also the first programming language that explicitly attempts to optimize the pseudo-metrics listed above.

1.6 State of the implementation

The current implementation of the language is available as an open-source software, at URL http://c3d.github.io/elfe. A few details of the implementation are given in Section 6.

There are currently four wildly different implementations in one program, corresponding to different levels of optimization:

• An interpreted mode where tree rewrites are applied immediately. This implementation can be compiled by itself, for system with insufficient resources for higher optimizations. The interpreter is practically complete.

A bytecode mode, where a first pass analyzes code ahead of time in order to generate a
more optimized, faster evaluation. The bytecode mode is currently being redesigned, and
as a result no longer works very well.

- A dynamic compiler that uses LLVM to generate machine code on the fly to acclerate the evaluation of the bytecode.
- An optimizing compiler that uses type inference to identify the low-level machine types most suitable to represent the ELFE program.

Historically, ELFE derives from an earlier project called XL. Experiments with XL have shown that it was possible to achieve performance within 15% of optimized C code in some cases. The current ELFE implementation is still very far from that objective, however, and does not even compete with semi-interpreted languages such as Lua or Python.

2 Syntax

ELFE source text is encoded using UTF-8. Source code is parsed into a an abstract syntax tree format known simply as tree in the ELFE type system.

Nodes in a tree can be any of four literal node types (integer, real, text and symbol), which are the leaves of the tree, and four structured node types (prefix, postfix, infix and block), which are the inner nodes:

- integer nodes represent integer constants such as 21 in the source tree.
- real nodes represent floating-point constants such as 3.14.
- text nodes represent text constants such as "Hello World".
- symbol nodes represent names such as ABC and operator symbols such as <=.
- prefix nodes represent prefix operations such as sin X.
- postfix nodes represent postfix operations such as 3%.
- infix nodes represent infix operations such as A+B.
- block nodes represent grouping blocks such as (A) or {3}.

Note that line breaks normally parse as infix operators, where the operator is a "line break", and that indentation normally parses as block nodes, where the opening and closing elements correspond to indent and unindent.

The precedence of operators is given by the elfe.syntax configuration file. It can also be changed dynamically in the source code using the syntax statements. This is detailed in Section 2.6. Both methods to define syntax are called syntax configuration.

The rest of this document will occasionally refer to *normal ELFE* for defaults settings such as the default syntax configuration, as shipped with the standard ELFE distribution.

2.1 Spaces and indentation

Spaces and tabs are generally not significant, but may be required to separate operator or name symbols. For example, there is no difference between A B (one space) and A B (four spaces), but both are different from AB (zero space).

Spaces and tabs are significant at the beginning of lines. ELFE will use them to determine the level of indentation from which it derives program structures (off-side rule), as illustrated in Figure 8. Both space or tabs can be used for indentation, but cannot be mixed for indentation in a single source file. In other words, if the first indented line uses spaces, all other indentation must be done using spaces, and similarly for tabs.

```
if A < 3 then
   write "A is too small"
else
   write "A is too big"</pre>
```

 $\textbf{Figure 8.} \ \, \textbf{Off-side rule: Using indentation to mark program structure.} \\$

Syntax 7

Spaces are also significant around an operator, as they can change the way the operator is parsed. If you write X-Y or X - Y, then this is parsed as an infix, which in that case represents a subtraction. On the other hand, if you write Write -X, then the minus sign is parsed as a prefix, which is itself a child of another prefix, the Write symbol.

2.2 Comments and spaces

Comments are section of the source text which are typically used for documentation purpose and play no role in the execution of the program. Comments begin with a comment separator, and finish with a comment terminator.

Comments in normal ELFE are similar to C++: they begin with /* and finish with */, or alternatively they begin with // and finish at the end of line. This is illustrated in Figure 9.

```
// This is a single-line comment
/* This particular comment
   can be placed on multiple lines */
```

Figure 9. Single-line and multi-line comments

While comments play no actual role in the execution of a normal ELFE program, they are actually recorded as attachments in the parse tree. It is possible for some special code to access or otherwise use these comments. For example, a documentation generator can read comments and use them to construct documentation automatically.

2.3 Literals

Four literal node types represent atomic values, i.e. values which cannot be decomposed into smaller units from an ELFE point of view. They are also the leaves of a tree, i.e. the outermost nodes, the nodes that don't have children. Literals include:

- 1. Integer constants
- 2. Real constants
- 3. Text literals
- 4. Symbols and names

2.3.1 Integer constants

Integer constants⁴ such as 123 consist of one or more digits (0123456789) interpreted as unsigned radix-10 values. Note that -3 is not an integer literal but a prefix - preceding the integer literal. The integer constant is defined by the longest possible sequence of digits in the source code.

Integer constants can also be expressed in any radix between 2 and 36. Such constants begin with a radix-10 integer specifying the radix, followed by a hash sign #, followed by valid digits in the given radix. For instance, 2#1001 represents the same integer constant as 9. If the radix is larger than 10, letters are used to represent digits following 9. For example, 255 can be represented in hexadecimal as 16#FF. Upper-case and lower-case letters represent the same value, and only the non-accented letters in the range A-Z or a-z are accepted, i.e. 16#ac is invalid.

The underscore character _ can be used to separate digits, but does not change the value being represented. For example 1_000_000 is a more readable way to write 1000000, and 16#FFFF_FFFF is the same as 16#FFFFFFF. Underscore characters can only separate digits, i.e. 1__3, _3 or 3_ are all invalid.

```
12
1_000_000
16#FFFF_FFFF
2#1001_1001_1001_1001
```

Figure 10. Valid integer constants

^{4.} At the moment, ELFE uses the largest native integer type on the machine (generally 64-bit) in its internal representations. The scanner detects overflow in integer constants.

2.3.2 Real constants

Real constants such as 3.14 consist of one or more digits (0123456789), followed by a dot . followed by one or more digits (0123456789). Note that there must be at least one digit after the dot, i.e. 1. is not a valid real constant, but 1.0 is.

Real constants can have a radix and use underscores to separate digits like integer constants. For example 2#1.1 is the same as 1.5 and 3.141_592_653 is an approximation of π .

A real constant can have an exponent, which consists of an optional hash sign #, followed by the character e or E, followed by optional plus + or minus - sign, followed by one or more decimal digits 0123456789. For example, 1.0e-3 is the same as 0.001 and 1.0E3 is the same as 1000.0. The exponent value is always given in radix-10, and indicates a power of the given radix. For example, 2#1.0e3 represents 2³, in other words it is the same as 8.0.

The hash sign in the exponent is required for any radix greater than 14, since in that case the character e or E is also a valid digit. For instance, 16#1.0E1 is approximately the same as 1.05493, whereas 16#1.0#E1 is the same as 16.0.

```
1.0
3.1415_9265_3589_7932
2#1.0000_0001#e-128
```

Figure 11. Valid real constants

2.3.3 Text literals

Text is any valid UTF-8 sequence of printable or space characters surrounded by text delimiters, such as "Hello Möndé". Except for line-terminating characters, the behavior when a text sequence contains control characters or invalid UTF-8 sequences is unspecified. However, implementations are encouraged to preserve the contents of such sequences.

The base text delimiters are the single quote ' and the double quote ". They can be used to enclose any text that doesn't contain a line-terminating character. The same delimiter must be used at the beginning and at the end of the text. For example, "Shouldn't break" is a valid text surrounded by double quotes, and 'He said "Hi"' is a valid text surrounded by single quotes.

In text surrounded by base delimiters, the delimiter can be inserted by doubling it. For instance, except for the delimiter, 'Shouldn''t break' and "He said ""Hi""" are equivalent to the two previous examples.

Other text delimiters can be specified, which can be used to delimit text that may include line breaks. Such text is called *long text*. With the default configuration, long text can be delimited with << and >>.

```
"Hello World"
'Où Toto élabora ce plan çi'
<<This text spans
multiple lines>>
```

Figure 12. Valid text constants

When long text contains multiple lines of text, indentation is ignored up to the indentation level of the first character in the long text. Figure 13 illustrates how long text indent is eliminated from the text being read⁵.

Source code	Resulting text
<< Long text can	Long text can
contain indentation	contain indentation
or not,	or not,
it's up to you>>	it's up to you

Figure 13. Long text and indentation

^{5.} This solution is not entirely satisfactory, and the behavior may change over time. It is a trade-off that allows text to be pasted as-is or indented with the source code, but it leads to inconsistencies for text that contains space at the beginning of lines.

Syntax 9

The text delimiters are not part of the value of text literals. Therefore, text delimiters are ignored when comparing texts.

2.3.4 Name and operator symbols

Names begin with an alphabetic character A..Z or a..z or any non-ASCII UTF-8 character, followed by the longuest possible sequence of alphabetic characters, digits or underscores. Two consecutive underscore characters are not allowed. Thus, Marylin_Monroe, élaböràtion or j1 are valid ELFE names, whereas A-1, 1cm or A_2 are not.

Operator symbols, or *operators*, begin with an ASCII punctuation character⁶ which does not act as a special delimiter for text, comments or blocks. For example, + or -> are operator symbols. An operator includes more than one punctuation character only if it has been declared in the syntax (typically in the syntax configuration file). For example, unless the symbol %, (percent character followed by comma character) has been declared in the syntax, 3%,4% will contain two operator symbols % and , instead of a single %, operator.

A special name, the empty name, exists only as a child of empty blocks such as ().

After parsing, operator and name symbols are treated identically. During parsing, they are treated identically except in the *expression versus statement rule* explained in Section 2.5.4.

Figure 14. Examples of valid operator and name symbols

2.4 Structured nodes

Four structured node types represent combinations of nodes. They are:

- 1. Infix nodes, representing operations such as A+B or A and B, where the operator is between its two operands.
- 2. Prefix nodes, representing operations such as +3 or $\sin x$, where the operator is before its operand.
- 3. Postfix nodes, representing operations such as 3% or 3 cm, where the operator is after its operand.
- 4. Blocks, representing grouping such as (A+B) or {lathe;rinse;repeat}, where the operators surround their operand.

Infix, prefix and postfix nodes have two children nodes. Blocks have a single child node. Their relative precedence in complex expressions are defined in the elfe.syntax file.

2.4.1 Infix nodes

An infix node has two children separated by a name or operator symbol. Infix nodes are used, among other things, for:

- binary arithmetic operators such as A+B,
- binary logic operators such as A and B,
- to separate statements with semi-colons ; or line breaks (referred to as NEWLINE in the syntax configuration).

2.4.2 Prefix and postfix nodes

Prefix and postfix nodes have two children, one on the left, one on the right, without any separator between them. The only difference between prefix and postfix nodes is in what is considered the "operation" and what is considered the "operand". For a prefix node, the operation is on the left and the operand on the right, whereas for a postfix node, the operation is on the right and the operand on the left.

 $^{6.\}$ Non-ASCII punctuation characters or digits are considered as alphabetic.

Prefix nodes are used for functions. The default for a name or operator symbol (i.e. one that is not explicitly declared in the elfe.syntax file or configured using a syntax statement) is to be treated as a prefix function, i.e. to be given a common function precedence referred to as FUNCTION in the syntax configuration. For example, sin in the expression sin x is treated as a function.

2.4.3 Block nodes

Block nodes have one child bracketed by two delimiters. Normal ELFE recognizes the following pairs as block delimiters:

- Parentheses, as in (A)
- Brackets, as in [A]
- Curly braces, as in {A}
- Indentation, as shown surrounding the write statements in Figure 8. The delimiters for indentation are referred to as INDENT and UNINDENT in the syntax configuration.

2.5 Parsing rules

The ELFE parser only needs a small number of rules to parse any ELFE source code as a tree:

- 1. Precedence
- 2. Associativity
- 3. Infix versus prefix versus postfix
- 4. Expression versus statement

These rules are detailed below.

2.5.1 Precedence

Infix, prefix, postfix and block symbols are ranked according to their *precedence*, represented as a non-negative integer. The precedence is specified by the syntax configuration, either in the syntax configuration file, elfe.syntax, or through syntax statements in the source code. This is detailed in Section 2.6.

Symbols with higher precedence associate before symbols with lower precedence. For instance, if the symbol * has infix precedence value 300 and symbol + has infix precedence value 290, then the expression 2+3*5 will parse as an infix + whose right child is an infix *.

The same symbol may receive a different precedence as an infix, as a prefix and as a postfix operator. For example, if the precedence of - as an infix is 290 and the precedence of - as a prefix is 390, then the expression 3 - -5 will parse as an infix - with a prefix - as a right child.

The precedence associated to blocks is used to define the precedence of the resulting expression. This precedence given to entire expressions is used primarily in the *expression versus statement* rule described in Section 2.5.4.

2.5.2 Associativity

Infix operators can associate to their left or to their right.

The addition operator is traditionally left-associative, meaning that in A+B+C, A and B associate before C. As a result, the outer infix + node in A+B+C has an infix + node as its left child, with A and B as children, and C as its right child.

Conversely, the semi-colon in ELFE is right-associative, meaning that A;B;C is an infix node with an infix as the right child and A as the left child.

Operators with left and right associativity cannot have the same precedence, as this would lead to ambiguity. To enforce that rule, ELFE arbitrarily gives an even precedence to left-associative operators, and an odd precedence to right-associative operators. For example, the precedence of + in the default configuration is 290 (left-associative), whereas the precedence of ^ is 395 (right-associative).

Syntax 11

2.5.3 Infix versus Prefix versus Postfix

During parsing, ELFE needs to resolve ambiguities between infix and prefix symbols. For example, in -A + B, the minus sign - is a prefix, whereas the plus sign + is an infix. Similarly, in A and not B, the and word is infix, whereas the not word is prefix. The problem is therefore exactly similar for names and operator symbols.

ELFE resolves this ambiguity as follows⁷:

- The first symbol in a statement or in a block is a prefix: and in (and x) is a prefix.
- A symbol on the right of an infix symbol is a prefix: and in A+and B is a prefix.
- Otherwise, if the symbol has an infix precedence but no prefix precedence, then it is interpreted as an infix: and in A and B is an infix.
- If the symbol has both an infix precedence and a prefix precedence, and either a space following it, or no space preceding it, then it is an infix: the minus sign in A B is an infix, but the same character is a prefix in A -B.
- Otherwise, if the symbol has a postfix precedence, then it is a postfix: % in 3% is a postfix.
- Otherwise, the symbol is a prefix: sin in write sin x is a prefix.

In the first, second and last case, a symbol may be identified as a prefix without being given an explicit precedence. Such symbols are called *default prefix*. They receive a particular precedence known as *function precedence*, identified by FUNCTION in the syntax configuration.

2.5.4 Expression versus statement

Another ambiguity is related to the way humans read text. In write $\sin x$, $\sin y$, most humans will read this as a write instruction taking two arguments. This is however not entirely logical: if write takes two arguments, then why shouldn't \sin also take two arguments? In other words, why should this example parse as $write(\sin(x),\sin(y))$ and not as $write(\sin(x,\sin(y)))$?

The reason is that we tend to make a distinction between *statements* and *expressions*. This is not a distinction that is very relevant to computers, but one that exists in most natural languages, which distinguish whole sentences as opposed to subject or complement.

ELFE resolves the ambiguity by implementing a similar distinction. The boundary is a particular infix precedence, called *statement precedence*, denoted as STATEMENT in the syntax configuration. Intuitively, infix operators with a lower precedence separate statements, whereas infix operators with a higher precedence separate expressions. For example, the semi-colon; or else separate statements, whereas + or and separate expressions.

More precisely:

- If a block's precedence is less than statement precedence, its content begins as an expression, otherwise it begins as a statement: 3 in (3) is an expression, write in {write} is a statement
- Right after an infix symbol with a precedence lower than statement precedence, we are in a statement, otherwise we are in an expression. The name B in A+B is an expression, but it is a statement in A;B.
- A similar rule applies after prefix nodes: {optimize} write A,B gives two arguments to write, whereas in (x->x+1) sin x,y the sin function only receives a single argument.
- A default prefix begins a statement if it's a name, an expression if it's a symbol: the name write in write X begins a statement, the symbol + in +3 begins an expression.

In practice, there is no need to worry too much about these rules, since normal ELFE ensures that most text parses as one would expect from daily use of English or mathematical notations.

2.6 Syntax configuration

The default ELFE syntax configuration file, named elfe.syntax, looks like Figure 15 and specifies the standard operators and their precedence.

^{7.} All the examples given are in normal ELFE, i.e. based on the default elfe.syntax configuration file.

```
INFIX
             NEWLINE
        11
        21
                -> =>
        25
                as
        31
                else into
        40
                loop while until
        50
                then require ensure
        61
        75
                with
        85
                := += -= *= /= ^= |= &=
        100
                STATEMENT
        110
        120
                written
        130
                where
        200
               DEFAULT
        211
               when
        231
        240
              return
        250
               and or xor
        260
               in at contains
        271
               of to
        280
               .. by
        290
               = < > <= >= <>
        300
                & |
        310
        320
                * / mod rem
        381
        500
        600
PREFIX
        30
                data
        40
                loop while until
        50
                property constraint
        121
                case if return yield transform
        350
                not in out constant variable const var
        360
                ! ~
        370
                - + * /
        401
                FUNCTION
        410
                function procedure to type iterator
        420
                ++ --
        430
                &
POSTFIX
        400
               ! ? % cm inch mm pt px
        420
               ++ --
BLOCK
        5
                INDENT UNINDENT
        25
                '{''}'
                ,(, ,), ,[, ,],
        500
TEXT
        "<<" ">>>"
COMMENT
        "//" NEWLINE
        "/*" "*/"
SYNTAX "C"
        extern;
```

Figure 15. Default syntax configuration file

Syntax 13

Syntax information can also be provided in the source code using the syntax name followed by a block, as illustrated in Figure 16.

```
// Declare infix 'weight' operator
syntax (INFIX 350 weight)
Obj weight W -> Obj = W

// Declare postfix 'apples' and 'kg'
syntax
     POSTFIX 390 apples kg
X kg -> X * 1000
N apples -> N * 0.250 kg

// Combine the notations declared above
if 6 apples weight 1.5 kg then
     write "Success!"
```

Figure 16. Use of the syntax specification in a source file

As a general stylistic rule, it is recommended to use restraint when introducing new operators using syntax statements, as this can easily confuse a reader who is not familiar with the new notation. On the other hand, there are cases where good use of new and well-chosen operators will render the code much more readable and easy to maintain.

Format of syntax configuration Spaces and indentation are not significant in a syntax configuration file. Lexical elements are identical to those of ELFE, as detailed in Section 2.3. The significant elements are integer constants, names, symbols and text. Integer constants are interpreted as the precedence of names and symbols that follow them. Name and symbols can be given either with lexical names and symbols, or with text.

A few names are reserved for use as keywords in the syntax configuration file:

- INFIX begins a section declaring infix symbols and precedence. In this section:
 - NEWLINE identifies line break characters in the source code
 - STATEMENT identifies the precedence of statements
 - DEFAULT identifies the precedence for symbols not otherwise given a precedence.
 This precedence should be unique in the syntax configuration, i.e. no other symbol should be given the DEFAULT precedence.
- PREFIX begins a section declaring prefix symbols and precedence. In this section:
 - FUNCTION identifies the precedence for default prefix symbols, i.e. symbols identified as prefix that are not otherwise given a precedence. This precedence should be unique, i.e. no other symbol should be given the FUNCTION precedence.
- POSTFIX begins a section declaring postfix symbols and precedence.
- BLOCK begins a section declaring block delimiters and precedence. In this section:
 - INDENT and UNINDENT are used to mark indentation and unindentation.
- TEXT begins a section declaring delimiters for long text.
- COMMENT begins a section declaring delimiters for comments. In this section:
 - NEWLINE identifies line breaks
- SYNTAX begins a section declaring external syntax files. In normal ELFE, a file C.syntax is used to define the precedences for any text between extern and; symbols. This is used to import C symbols using an approximation of the syntax of the C language, as described in Section 4.4. The C.syntax configuration file is shown in Figure 17.

```
INFIX
        41
PREFIX
        30
                 extern ...
                 FUNCTION
        400
        450
                 short long unsigned signed
POSTFIX
        100
BLOCK
                 ,(, ,), ,[, ,],
        500
COMMENT
        "//" NEWLINE
        "/*" "*/"
```

Figure 17. C syntax configuration file

3 Language semantics

The semantics of ELFE is based entirely on the rewrite of abstract syntax trees represented by the tree type. Tree rewrite operations define the execution of ELFE programs, also called *evaluation*.

3.1 Tree rewrite operators

There is a very small set of tree rewrite operators that are given special meaning in ELFE and treated specially by the ELFE compiler:

• Rewrite declarations are used to declare operations. They roughly play the role of functions, operator or macro declarations in other programming languages. A rewrite declaration takes the general form Pattern->Implementation and indicates that any tree matching Pattern should be rewritten as Implementation.

```
0! -> 1
N! -> N*(N-1)!
3! // Computes 6
```

Figure 18. Example of rewrite declaration

• Data declarations identify data structures in the program. Data structures are nothing more than trees that need no further rewrite. A data declaration takes the general form of data Pattern. Any tree matching Pattern will not be rewritten further.

```
data complex(x, y)
complex(3,5) // Will stay as is
```

Figure 19. Example of data declaration

• Type declarations define the type of variables. Type declarations take the general form of an infix colon operator Name: Type, with the name of the variable on the left, and the type of the variable on the right.

Language semantics 15

```
data person
    first:text
    last:text
    age:integer
person
    "John"
    "Smith"
    33
```

Figure 20. Example of data declarations containing type declarations

• Guards limit the validity of rewrite or data declarations. They use an infix when with a boolean expression on the right of when, i.e. a form like Declaration when Condition.

```
syracuse X:integer when X mod 2 = 0 \rightarrow X/2 syracuse X:integer \rightarrow 3*X+1
```

Figure 21. Example of guard to build the Syracuse suite

Assignment change the value associated to a binding. Assignments take the form
 Reference := Value, where Reference identifies the binding to change.

```
Zero := 0
```

Figure 22. Example of assignment

• Sequence operators indicate the order in which computations must be performed. ELFE has two infix sequence operators, the semi-colon; and the new-line NEWLINE.

```
write "Hello"; writeln " World"
emit_loud_beep
```

Figure 23. Example of sequence

• Index operators perform particular kinds of tree rewrites similar in usage to "structures" or "arrays" in other programming languages. The notations Reference.Field and Reference[Index] are used to refer to individual elements in a data structure. These are only convenience notations for specific kinds of tree rewrites, see Section 3.1.7.

```
A[3] := 5
A.ref_count := A.ref_count + 1
Figure 24. Examples of index operators
```

3.1.1 Rewrite declarations

The infix -> operator declares a tree rewrite. Figure 25 repeats the code in Figure 6 illustrating how rewrite declarations can be used to define the traditional if-then-else statement.

Figure 25. Examples of tree rewrites

The tree on the left of the -> operator is called the *pattern*. The tree on the right is called the *implementation* of the pattern. The rewrite declaration indicates that a tree that matches the pattern should be rewritten using the implementation.

The pattern contains *constant* and *variable* symbols and names:

- Infix symbols and names are constant, like + in A+B.
- Block-delimiting symbols and names are constant, like [and] in [A].
- A name on the left of a prefix is a constant, like sin in sin X.
- A name on the right of a postfix is a constant, like cm in X cm.
- A name alone on the left of a rewrite is a constant, like X in X->0.

- Operators are constant, like + in X and +Y.
- All other names are variable.

Figure 26 highlight in blue italic all variable symbols in the declarations of Figure 25.

```
if true then TrueClause else FalseClause -> TrueClause if false then TrueClause else FalseClause -> FalseClause
```

Figure 26. Constants vs. Variable symbols

Constant symbol and names form the structure of the pattern, whereas variable names form the parts of the pattern which can match other trees. The names are called *parameters* and the tree they match are called *arguments*.

For example, to match the pattern in Figure 25, the if, then and else words must match exactly, but TrueClause may match any tree, like for example write "Hello". TrueClause is a parameter, and write "Hello" would be the matching argument.

Note that there is a special case for a name as the pattern of a rewrite. A rewrite like X->0 binds X to value 0, i.e. X is a constant that must match in the tree being evaluated.

It is however possible to create a rewrite with a variable on the left by using a type declaration. For example, the rewrite X:real->X+1 does not declare the variable X, but an anonymous function⁸ that increments its input. Such rewrites are somewhat special, in particular because they are not visible to their implementation so as to avoid infinite recursion if their return type is identical to their input type.

An expression may use declarations that follow it in the same sequence. Declarations are visible to prior elements in the sequence and need not be evaluated, as shown in Figure 27, which computes 4. More generally, rewrites in a sequence belong to the context for the entire sequence (contexts are defined in Section 3.2).

foo
$$3$$
 foo $N \rightarrow N + 1$

Figure 27. Declarations are visible to the entire sequence containing them

3.1.2 Data declaration

The data prefix declares tree structures that need not be rewritten further. For instance, Figure 28 declares that 1,3,4 should not be evaluated further, because it is made of infix, trees which are declared as data.

```
data a,b
```

Figure 28. Declaring a comma-separated list

The tree following a data declaration is a pattern, with constant and variable symbols like for rewrite declarations. Data declarations only limit the rewrite of the tree specified by the pattern, but not the evaluation of pattern variables. In other words, pattern variables are evaluated normally, as specified in Section 3.3.

For instance, in Figure 29, the names x and y are variable, but the name complex is constant because it is a prefix. Using integer addition as defined in normal ELFE, complex(3+4, 5+6) will evaluate as complex(7,11) but no further⁹.

```
data complex(x:integer,y:integer)
```

```
Figure 29. Declaring a complex data type
```

The declaration in Figure 29 can be interpreted as declaring a complex data type. There is, however, a better way to describe data types in ELFE, which is detailed in Section 3.4.2.

The word self can be used to build data forms: data X is equivalent to X->self.

3.1.3 Type declaration

An type declaration is an infix colon: operator in a rewrite or data pattern with a name on the left and a type on the right. It indicates that the named parameter on the left has the type indicated on the right. A return type declaration is an infix as in a rewrite pattern with a pattern on the left and a type on the right. It specifies the value that will be returned by the implementation of the rewrite. Section 3.4 explains how types are defined.

^{8.} In functional programming, these are often called lambda functions.

^{9.} Evaluation is caused by the need to check the parameter types, i.e. verify that 3+4 is actually an integer.

Language semantics 17

Figure 30 shows examples of type declarations. To match the pattern for polynom, the arguments corresponding to parameters X and Z must be real, whereas the argument corresponding to parameter N must be integer. The value returned by polynom will belong to real.

```
polynom X:real, Z:real, N:integer as real -> (X-Z)^N
```

Figure 30. Simple type declarations

The type declarations filter which rewrites can be selected to evaluate a particular tree. This enables *overloading*, i.e. the ability to have multiple functions or operators with a similar structure, but different types for the parameters. Return type declarations, on the other hand, plays no role in the selection of candidates¹⁰. If there is a return type declaration and the implementation does not actually return the declared return type, a type error expression of the form type_error ExpectedType, ActualValue will attempt to correct the problem.

A type declaration can also be placed on the left of an assignment, see Section 3.1.4.

3.1.4 Assignment

The assignment operator := binds the reference on its left to the value of the tree on its right. The tree on the right is evaluated prior to the assignment.

An assignment is valid even if the reference on the left of := had not previously been bound. In that case, it creates a new binding in the current context. This is shown in Figure 6.15.

```
// Assigns to locally created X
assigns_to_local -> X := 1
```

Figure 31. Creating a new binding

On the other hand, if there is an existing binding, the assignment replaces the corresponding bound value. This is shown in Figure 6.15:

```
// Assigns to global X defined below assigns_to_global -> X := 1 X -> 0
```

Figure 32. Assignment to existing binding

Warning 1. In the current state of the standard implementation, assigning to an existing rewrite must respect the type and overwrites the value in place. For example, if there is a declaration like X->0, you may assign X:=1 and then X will be replaced with 1. But you will not be able to assign X:="Hello". Furthermore, it is currently only possible to assign scalar types, i.e. integer, real and text values. You cannot assign an arbitrary tree to a rewrite.

Local variables If the left side of an assignment is a type declaration, that assignment creates a new binding in the local scope, as illustrated in Figure 6.15. That binding has a return type declaration associated with it, so that later assignments to that same name will only succeed if the type of the assigned value matches the previously declared type. This is shown in Figure 6.15:

```
// Global X
X := 0

// Assign to local X
assigns_new -> X:integer := 1
```

Figure 33. Assigning to new local variable

Warning 2. Assigning to a new local may not work in the current implementation.

Assigning to references If the left side of an assignment is a reference, then the assignment will apply to the referred value, as shown in Figure 34. This may either modify the referred value if a binding already exists, or create a new binding in the context being referred to if no binding exists.

¹⁰. Ada is one of the few programming languages that have overloading based on return types.

```
Data ->
    0 -> 3
    1 -> 2
Data.0 := 4 // replaces 3
Data.2 := 5 // Creates new binding 2->5 in Data
```

Figure 34. Assignment to references

An assignment can also assign to the following special references (see Section 4.1.9):

- left X, right X when X is an infix, prefix or postfix
- child X when X is a block
- symbol X when X is a name or infix and the assigned value is a text
- opening X and closing X when X is a block or text and the assigned value is a text

Warning 3. Assignment to references, and in particular to portions of a tree, is mostly broken and does not work in the current implementation, whether standard or optimized.

Assigning to parameters Assigning to a reference is particularly useful for parameters. In some cases, parameters may be bound without being evaluated (see Section 3.3.3). This means that the parameter is bound to a reference. In that case, assigning to the parameter will assign to the reference, making it possible to implement assignment-like operations, as illustrated in Figure 35.

```
A : integer := 5
A+=3

// Effectively assign to A
X+=Y -> X:=X+Y

Figure 35. Assigning to parameter
```

In that example, the context for evaluating the implementation X:=X+Y will contain a binding for X in the form X->(A->5).A, where (A->5) is the original execution context. The expression (A->5).A means that we evaluate A in the context that existed at the point where expression A+=3 was evaluated. Therefore, assigning to X will affect the existing binding,, resulting in the updated binding A->8 in the original context.

Assignments as expressions Using an assignment in an expression is equivalent to using the value bound to the variable after the assignment. For instance, sin(x:=f(0)) is equivalent to x:=f(0) followed by sin(x).

3.1.5 Guards

The infix when operator in a rewrite or data pattern introduces a *guard*, i.e. a boolean condition that must be true for the pattern to apply.

Figure 36 shows an improved definition of the factorial function which only applies for non-negative values. This set of rewrites is ignored for a negative integer value.

```
0! -> 1
N! when N > 0 -> N * (N-1)!
```

Figure 36. Guard limit the validity of operations

A form where the guard cannot be evaluated or evaluates to anything but the value true is not selected. For example, if we try to evaluate 'ABC'! the condition N>0 is equivalent to 'ABC'>0, which cannot be evaluated unless you added specific declarations. Therefore, the rewrite for N! does not apply.

Warning 4. Guards are only implemented in optimized mode, which is not fully functional yet.

Language semantics 19

3.1.6 Sequences

The infix line-break NEWLINE and semi-colon; operators are used to introduce a sequence between statements. They ensure that the left node is evaluated entirely before the evaluation of the right node begins.

Figure 37 for instance guarantees that the code will first write "A", then write "B", then write the result of the sum f(100)+f(200). However, the implementation is entirely free to compute f(100) and f(200) in any order, including in parallel.

```
write "A"; write "B"
write f(100)+f(200)
```

Figure 37. Code writing A, then B, then f(100)+f(200)

Items in a sequence can be *declarations* or *statements*. Declarations include rewrite declarations, data declarations, type declarations and assignments to a type declaration. All other items in a sequence are statements.

3.1.7 Index operators

The notation A[B] and A.B are used as index operators, i.e. to refer to individual items in a collection. The A[B] notation is intended to represent array indexing operations, whereas the A.B notation is intended to represent field indexing operations.

For example, consider the declarations in Figure 38.

```
MyData ->
    Name -> "Name of my data"
    Value -> 3.45
    1 -> "First"
    2 -> "Second"
    3 -> "Third"
```

Figure 38. Structured data

In that case, the expression MyData.Name results in the value "Name of my data". The expression MyData[1] results in the value "First".

The two index operators differ when their right operand is a name. The notation A.B evaluates B in the context of A, whereas A[B] first evaluates B in the current context, and then applies A to it (it is actually nothing more than a regular tree rewrite). Therefore, the notation MyData.Value returns the value 3.45, whereas the value of MyData[Value] will evaluate Value in the current context, and then apply MyData to the result. For example, if we had Value->3 in the current context, then MyData[Value] would evaluate to "Third".

Warning 5. Index operators are only partially implemented. They work for simple examples, but may fail for more complex use cases. In particular, it is not currently possible to update a context by writing to an indexed value.

Comparison with C Users familiar with languages such as C may be somewhat disconcerted by ELFE's index operators. The following points are critical for properly understanding them:

- Arrays, structures and functions are all represented the same way. The entity called MyData can be interpreted as an array in MyData[3], as a structure in MyData.Name, or as a function if one writes MyData 3. In reality, there is no difference between MyData[3] and MyData 3: the former simply passes a block as an argument, i.e. it is exactly equivalent to MyData(3), MyData{3}. Writing MyData[3] is only a way to document an intent to use MyData as an array, but does not change the implementation.
- Data structures can be extended on the fly. For example, it is permitted to assign something to a non-existent binding in MyData, e.g. by writing MyData[4]:=3. The ability to add "fields" to a data structure on the fly makes it easier to extend existing code.
- Data structures can include other kinds of rewrites, for example "functions", enabling object-oriented data structures. This is demonstrated in Section 5.6.
- Since the notation A.B simply evaluates B in the context of A, the value of MyData.4 is... 4: there is no rewrite for 4 in MyData, therefore it evaluates a itself.

3.1.8 C interface

A *C interface* is is a rewrite where the implementation is a prefix of two names, the first one being C and the second one being the name of a C function. A C interface can also be specified using a special extern syntax. The name of the C function can also be specified as text if it does not obey ELFE naming rules, e.g. to interface to a function named _foobar_.

Figure 39 shows two ways of making the **sin** function of the C standard library available to an ELFE program. The first one uses an ELFE-style rewrite, whereas the second one uses a C-style syntax:

sin X:real as real -> C sin
extern double sin(double);

Figure 39. Creating an interface for a C function

The C-like syntax used for extern declaration is defined by the file C.syntax, and applies for anything between delimiters extern and; as indicated in the elfe.syntax file. While extremely simplistic relative to the real C syntax, it is sufficient to import most functions.

Table 1 shows which types can	be used in a C interface ar	nd what C type they map to:
Table I shows which types can	oc asca in a c interface ai	id what C type they map to.

ELFE type	C type
integer	int
real	double
text	<pre>const char *</pre>
tree	Tree *
infix	Infix *
prefix	Prefix *
postfix	Postfix *
block	Block *
name	Name *
boolean	bool

 ${\bf Table~1.}$ Type correspondances in a C interface

Warning 6. The C interface syntax is only available in optimized mode.

3.1.9 Machine Interface

A machine interface is a rewrite where the implementation is a prefix of two names, the first one being opcode. Figure 40 shows how a specific tree rewrite can be connected to the generation of machine-level opcodes:

X:integer+Y:integer as integer -> opcode Add

Figure 40. Generating machine code using opcode declarations

Machine-level opcodes are provided by the LLVM library (http://llvm.org). Opcodes available to ELFE programs are described in Section 6.14.

Warning 7. The machine-level interface is only available in optimized mode.

3.2 Binding References to Values

A rewrite declaration of the form Pattern->Implementation is said to bind its pattern to its implementation. A sequence of rewrite declarations is called a *context*. For example, the block $\{x-3;y-4\}$ is a context that binds x to 3 and y to 4.

Warning 8. The idea of formalizing the context and making it available to programs was only formalized after the standard and optimized mode were implemented. It is not currently working, but should be implemented in a future release. However, many notions described in this section apply internally to the existing implementations, i.e. the context order is substantially similar even if it is not made visible to programs in the way being described here.

3.2.1 Context Order

A context may contain multiple rewrites that hide one another.

Language semantics 21

For example, in the context $\{x->0;x->1\}$, the name x is bound twice. The evaluation of x in that context will return 0 because rewrites are tested in order. In other words, the declaration x->0 shadows the declaration x->1 in that context.

For the purpose of finding the first match, a context is traversed depth first in left-to-right order, which is called *context order*.

3.2.2 Scoping

The left child of a context is called the *local scope*. The right child of a context is the *enclosing context*. All other left children in the sequence are the local scopes of expressions currently being evaluated. The first one being the *enclosing scope* (i.e. the local scope of the enclosing context) and the last one being the *global scope*.

This ensures that local declarations hide declarations from the surrounding context, since they are on the left of the right child, while allowing local declarations in the left child of the context to be kept in program order, so that the later ones are shadowed by the earlier ones.

The child at the far right of a context is a catch-all rewrite intended to specify what happens when evaluating an undefined form.

3.2.3 Current context

Any evaluation in ELFE is performed in a context called the *current context*. The current context is updated by the following operations:

- 1. Evaluating the implementation of a rewrite creates a scope binding all arguments to the corresponding parameters, then a new context with that scope as its left child and the old context as its right child. The implementation is then evaluated in the newly created context.
- 2. Evaluating a sequence initializes a local context with all declarations in that sequence, and creates a new current context with the newly created local context as its left child and the old context as its right child. Statements in the sequence are then evaluated in the newly created context.
- 3. Evaluating an assignment changes the implementation of an existing binding if there is one in the current context, or otherwise creates a new binding in the local scope.

3.2.4 References

An expression that can be placed on the left of an assignment to identify a particular binding is called a *reference*. A reference can be any pattern that would go on the left of a rewrite. In addition, it can be an index operator:

- If A refers to a context, assigning to A.B will affect the binding of B in the context A, and not the binding of A.B in the current context.
- If A refers to a context, assigning to A[B] (or, equivalently, to A{B}, A(B) or A B) will affect the binding corresponding to B in the context of A, not the binding of A B in the current context. The index B will be evaluated in the current context if required to match patterns in A, as explained in Section 3.3.
- Special forms described in Section 4.1.9, such as left X refer to children of infix, prefix, postfix or block trees. They can be used as a reference in an assignment, and will modify the tree being referred to. This can be used to directly manipulate the program structure.

3.3 Evaluation

Evaluation is the process through which a given tree is rewritten.

3.3.1 Standard evaluation

Except for special forms described later, the evaluation of ELFE trees is performed as follows:

1. The tree to evaluate, T, is matched against the available data and rewrite pattern. 3*4+5 will match A*B+C as well as A+B (since the outermost tree is an infix + as in A+B).

2. Possible matches are tested in *context order* (defined in Section 3.2) against the tree to evaluate. The first matching tree is selected. For example, in Figure 4, (N-1)! will be matched against the rules 0! and N! in this order.

- 3. Nodes in each candidate pattern P are compared to the tree T as follows:
 - Constant symbols or names in P are compared to the corresponding element in T and must match exactly. For example, the + symbol in pattern A+B will match the plus + symbol in expression 3*4+5 but not the minus symbol in 3-5.
 - Variables names in P that are not bound to any value and are not part of a type declaration are bound to the corresponding fragment of the tree in T. For example, for the expression 3!, the variable N in Figure 4 will be bound to 3.
 - Variable names in P that are bound to a value are compared to the corresponding tree fragment in T after evaluation. For instance, if true is bound at the point of the declaration in Figure 25, the test if A<3 then X else Y requires the evaluation of the expression A<3, and the result will be compared against true.
 - This rule applies even if the binding occured in the same pattern. For example, the pattern A+A will match 3+3 but not 3+4, because A is first bound to 3 and then cannot match 4. The pattern A+A will also match (3-1)+(4-2): although A may first be bound to the unevaluated value 3-1, verifying if the second A matches requires evaluating both A and the test value.
 - Type declarations in P match if the result of evaluating the corresponding fragment in T has the declared type, as defined in Section 3.4. In that case, the variable being declared is bound to the evaluated value.
 - Constant values (integer, real and text) in P are compared to the corresponding fragment of T after evaluation. For example, in Figure 4, when the expression (N-1)! is compared against 0!, the expression (N-1) is evaluated in order to be compared to 0.
 - Infix, prefix and postfix nodes in P are compared to the matching node in T by comparing their children in depth-first, left to right order.

The comparison process, called *pattern matching*, may cause fragments of the tree to be evaluated. Each fragment is evaluated at most once for the process of evaluating the tree T. Once the fragment has been evaluated, the evaluated value will be *memoized* and used in any subsequent comparison or variable binding. For example, when computing F(3)!, the evaluation of F(3) is required in order to compare to 0!, guaranteeing that N in N! will be bound to the evaluated value if F(3) is not equal to 0.

- 4. If there is no match found between any pattern P and the tree to evaluate T:
 - Integer, real and text terminals evaluates as themselves.
 - A block evaluates as the result of evaluating its child.
 - If the tree is a prefix with the left being a name containing error, then the program immediatly aborts and shows the offending tree. This case corresponds to an unhandled error.
 - For a prefix node or postfix tree, the operator child (i.e. the left child for prefix and the right child for postfix) is evaluated, and if the result is different from the original operator child, evaluation is attempted again after replacing the original operator child with its evaluated version.
 - In any other case, the tree is prefixed with evaluation_error and the result is evaluated. For example, \$foo will be transformed into evaluation_error \$foo. A prefix rewrite for evaluation_error is supposed to handle such errors.
- 5. If a match is found, variables in the first matching pattern (called *parameters*) are bound to the corresponding fragments of the tree to evaluate (called *arguments*).
 - If an argument was evaluated (including as required for comparison with an earlier pattern), then the corresponding parameter is bound with the evaluated version.

Language semantics 23

• If the argument was not evaluated, the corresponding parameter is bound with the tree fragment in context, as explained in Section 3.2. In line with the terminology used in functional languages, this context-including binding is called a *closure*.

6. Once all bindings have been performed, the implementation corresponding to the pattern in the previous step is itself evaluated. The result of the evaluation of the original form is the result of evaluating the implementation in the new context created by adding to the original context the bindings of parameters to their arguments. For a data form, the result of evaluation is the pattern after replacing parameters with the corresponding arguments.

3.3.2 Special forms

Some forms have a special meaning and are evaluated specially:

- 1. A terminal node (integer, real, type, name) evaluates as itself, unless there is an explicit rewrite rule for it¹¹.
- 2. A block evaluate as the result of evaluating its child.
- 3. A rewrite rule evaluates as itself.
- 4. A data declaration evaluates as itself
- 5. An assignment binds the variable and evaluates as the named variable after assignment
- 6. Evaluating a sequence creates a new local context with all declarations in the sequence, then evaluates all its statements in order in that new local context. The result of evaluation is the result of the last statement, if there is one, or the newly created context if the sequence only contains declarations.
- 7. If C is a context and E is an expression, evaluating C E is equivalent to evaluating E in the current context, then evaluating the result in the context of C. For example, (0->3)(1-1) will evaluate 1-1, resulting in 0, then evaluate the result in the context 0->3, resulting in the value 3.
- 8. If C is a context and E is an expression, evaluating C.E is equivalent to evaluating E in the context of C. For example, (foo->1;bar->2).bar will return 2.

3.3.3 Lazy evaluation

When an argument is bound to a parameter, it is associated to a context which allows correct evaluation at a later time, but the argument is in general not evaluated immediately. Instead, it is only evaluated when evaluation becomes necessary for the program to execute correctly. This technique is called *lazy evaluation*. It is intended to minimize unnecessary evaluations.

Evaluation of an argument before binding it to its parameter occurs in the following cases, collectively called *demand-based evaluation*:

1. The argument is compared to a constant value or bound name, see Section 3.3.1, and the static value of the tree is not sufficient to perform the comparison. For example, in Figure 41, the expression 4+X requires evaluation of X for comparison with 4 to check if it matches A+A; the expression B+B can be statically bound to the form A+A without requiring evaluation of B; finally, in B+C, both B and C need to be evaluated to compare if they are equal and if the form A+A matches.

```
A+A -> 2*A

4+X // X evaluated

B+B // B not evaluated

B+C // B and C evaluated
```

Figure 41. Evaluation for comparison

2. The argument is tested against a parameter with a type declaration, and the static type of the tree is not sufficient to guarantee a match. For example, in Figure 42, the expression Z+1 can statically be found to match the form X+Y, so Z needn't be evaluated. On the other hand, in 1+Z, it is necessary to evaluate Z to type-check it against integer.

^{11.} There are several use cases for allowing rewrite rules for integer, real or text constants, notably to implement data maps such as (1->0; 0->1), also known as associative arrays.

```
X:tree + Y:integer -> ...
Z + 1 // Z not evaluated
1 + Z // Z evaluated
```

Figure 42. Evaluation for type comparison

3. A specific case of the above scenario is the left side of any index operator. In A.B or A[B], the value A needs to be evaluated to verify if it contains B.

When lazy evaluation happens, the expression being bound is a closure as explained in Section 3.3.1, i.e. it will be an expression of the form C.E where C is the original evaluation context and E is the original expression to evaluate.

Warning 9. Lazy evaluation was formalized after the compilers were implemented, and is not entirely consistent in the current implementations. This should be fixed in future versions.

3.3.4 Explicit evaluation

Expressions are also evaluated in the following cases, collectively called explicit evaluation:

- 1. An expression on the left or right of a sequence is evaluated. For example, in A;B, the names A and B will be evaluated.
- 2. The prefix do forces evaluation of its argument. For example, do X will force the evaluation of X.
- 3. The program itself is evaluated. Most useful programs are sequences.

The explicit evaluation of a name does not change the value bound to that name in the current context. For example, if the current context contains A->write "Hello", each explicit evaluation of A will cause the message Hello to be written.

3.3.5 Memoization

Whenever a parameter is evaluated, the evaluated result may be used for all subsequent demand-based evaluations of the same tree, a process called *memoization*. What is memoized is associated with the original tree.

Memoization does not happen for explicit evaluations. This is illustrated with the example in Figure 43:

```
foo X ->
    X
    if X then writeln "X is true"
    if do X then writeln "X is true"
    X
bar ->
    writeln "bar evaluated"
    true
foo bar
```

Figure 43. Explicit vs. lazy evaluation

In Figure 43, evaluation happens as follows:

- 1. The expression foo bar is evaluated explicitly, being part of a sequence. This matches the rewrite for foo X on the first line.
- 2. The first reference to X in the implementation is evaluated explicitly. This causes the message bar evaluated to be written to the console.
- 3. The second reference to X is demand-based, but since X has already been evaluated, the result true is used directly. The message X is true is emitted on the console, but the message bar evaluated is not.
- 4. The third reference to X is an argument to do, so it is evaluated again, which writes the message bar evaluated on the console.

Language semantics 25

5. The last reference to X is another explicit evaluation, so the message bar evaluated is written on the console again.

The purpose of these rules is to allow the programmer to pass code to be evaluated as an argument, while at the same time minimizing the number of repeated evaluations when a parameter is used for its value. In explicit evaluation, the value of the parameter is not used, making it clear that what matters is the effect of evaluation itself. In demand-based evaluation, it is on the contrary assumed that what matters is the result of the evaluation, not the process of evaluating it. It is always possible to force evaluation explicitly using do.

Warning 10. Like lazy evaluation, memoization is not fully consistent in the current implementations.

3.4 Types

Types are expressions that appear on the right of the colon operator: in type declarations. In ELFE, a type identifies the *shape* of a tree. A value is said to *belong* to a type if it matches the shape defined by the type. A value may belong to multiple types.

Warning 11. Like contexts, the type system was largely redesigned based on experience with the first implementations of the language. As a result, the current implementations implement a very weak type system compared to what is being described in this section. At this point, user-defined types do not work as descried in either the standard or optimized implementation. This section defines the future implementation.

3.4.1 Predefined types

The following types are predefined:

- integer matches integer values
- real matches real values
- text matches text values
- symbol matches names and operator symbols
- name matches names only
- operator matches operator symbols only
- infix matches infix nodes
- prefix matches prefix nodes
- postfix matches postfix nodes
- block matches block nodes
- tree matches any abstract syntax tree, i.e. any representable ELFE value
- boolean matches the names true and false.

3.4.2 Type definition

A type definition for type T is a special form of tree rewrite declaration where the pattern has the form type X. A type definition declares a type name, and the pattern that the type must match. For example, Figure 44 declares a type named complex requiring two real numbers called re and im, and another type named ifte that contains three arbitrary trees called Cond, TrueC and FalseC.

```
complex -> type (re:real, im:real)
ifte -> type {if Cond then TrueC else FalseC}
```

Figure 44. Simple type declaration

The outermost block of a type pattern, if it exists, is not part of the type pattern. To create a type matching a specific block shape, two nested bocks are required, as illustrated with paren_block_type in Figure 45:

```
paren_block_type -> type((BlockChild))
```

Figure 45. Simple type declaration

Note that type definitions and type declarations should not be confused. A type definition defines a type and has the form Name -> type TypePattern, whereas a type declaration declares the type of an entity and has the form Name:Type. The type defined by a type definition can be used on the right of a type declaration. For example, Figure 46 shows how to use the complex type defined in Figure 44 in parameters.

```
Z1:complex+Z2:complex -> (Z1.re+Z2.re, Z1.im+Z2.im)
```

Figure 46. Using the complex type

Parameters of types such as complex are bound to contexts with declarations for the individual variables of the pattern of the type. For example, a complex like Z1 in Figure 46 contains a rewrite for re and a rewrite for im. Figure 47 possible bindings when using the complex addition operator defined in Figure 46. The standard index notation described in Section 3.1.7 applies, e.g. in Z1.re, and these bindings can be assigned to.

```
// Expression being evaluated
(3.4, 5.2)+(0.4, 2.22)

// Possible resulting bindings
// in the implementation of +
Z1 ->
    re->3.4
    im->5.2
    re, im
Z2 ->
    re->0.4
    im->2.22
    re, im
```

Figure 47. Binding for a complex parameter

Figure 48 shows two ways to make type A equivalent to type B:

```
A -> B
A -> type X:B
```

Figure 48. Making type A equivalent to type B

3.4.3 Normal form for a type

By default, the name of a type is not part of the pattern being recognized. It is often recommended to make data types easier to identify by making the pattern more specific, for instance by including the type name in the pattern itself, as shown in Figure 49:

```
complex -> type complex(re:real, im:real)
```

Figure 49. Named patterns for complex

In general, multiple notations for a same type can coexist. In that case, it is necessary to define a form for trees that the other possible forms will reduce to. This form is called the *normal form*. This is illustrated in Figure 50, where the normal form is complex(re;im) and the other notations are rewritten to this normal form for convenience.

```
// Normal form for the complex type
complex -> type complex(re:real, im:real)

// Other possible notations that reduce to the normal form
i -> complex(0,1)
A:real + i*B:real -> complex(A,B)
A:real + B:real*i -> complex(A,B)
```

Figure 50. Creating a normal form for the complex type

Language semantics 27

3.4.4 Properties

Properties are types that match a number of trees, based not just on the shape of the tree, but on symbols bound in that tree. For instance, when you need a color type representing red, green and blue components, you care about the value of the components, but not the order in which they appear.

A properties definition is a rewrite declaration like the one shown in Figure 51 where:

- 1. The implementation is a prefix with the name properties followed by a block.
- 2. The block contains a sequence of type declarations Name: Type or assignments to type declarations Name: Type:=DefaultValue, each such statement being called a *property*.
- 3. The block optionally contains one or more inherit prefix (see Section 3.4.5)

```
color -> properties
  red : real
  green : real
  blue : real
  alpha : real := 1.0
```

Figure 51. Properties declaration

Properties parameters match any block for which all the properties are defined. Properties are defined either if they exist in the argument's context, or if they are explicitly set in the block argument, or if a *default value* was assigned to the property in the properties declaration. An individual property can be set using an assignment or by using the property name as a prefix.

For example, Figure 52 shows how the color type defined in Figure 51 can be used in a parameter declaration, and how a color argument can be passed.

```
write C:color ->
   write "R", C.red
   write "G", C.green
   write "B", C.blue
   write "A", C.alpha
write_color { red 0.5; green 0.2; blue 0.6 }
```

Figure 52. Color properties

Properties parameters are contexts containing local declarations called *getters* and *setters* for each individual property:

- The setter is a prefix taking an argument of the property's type, and setting the property's value to its argument.
- The getter returns the value of the property in the argument's context (which may be actually set in the argument's enclosing contexts), or the default value if the property is not bound in the argument's context.

This makes it possible to set default value in the caller's context, which will be injected in the argument, as illustrated in Figure 53, where the expression C.red in write_color will evaluate to 0.5, and the argument C.alpha will evaluate to 1.0 as specified by the default value:

```
red := 0.5
write_color (blue 0.6; green 0.2)
```

Figure 53. Setting default arguments from the current context

It is sufficient for the block argument to define all required properties. The block argument may also contain more code than just the references to the setters, as illustrated in Figure 54:

```
write_color
  X:real := 0.444 * sin time
  if X < 0 then X := 1.0+X
  red X
  green X^2
  blue X^3</pre>
```

Figure 54. Additional code in properties

3.4.5 Data inheritance

Properties declarations may *inherit* data from one or more other types by using one or more inherit prefixes in the properties declaration, as illustrated in Figure 55, where the type rgb contains three properties called red, green and blue, and the type rgba additionally contains an alpha property:

```
rgb -> properties
  red : real
  green : real
  blue : real
rgba -> properties
  inherit rgb
  alpha : real
```

Figure 55. Data inheritance

Only declarations are inherited in this manner. The resulting types are not compatible, although they can be made compatible using automatic type conversions (see Section 3.4.7).

3.4.6 Explicit type check

Internally, a type is any context where a contains prefix can be evaluated. In such a context, the expression contains X is called a *type check* for the type and for value X. A type check must return a boolean value to indicate if the value X belongs to the given type.

Type checks can be declared explicitly to create types identifying arbitrary forms of trees that would be otherwise difficult to specify. This is illustrated in Figure 56 where we define an odd type that contains only odd integers and the text "Odd". We could similarly add a type check to the definition of rgb in Figure 55 to make sure that red, green and blue are between 0.0 and 1.0.

```
odd ->
    contains X:integer -> X mod 2 = 1
    contains "Odd" -> true
    contains X -> false
```

Figure 56. Defining a type identifying an arbitrary AST shape

The type check for a type can be invoked explicitly using the infix contains (with the type on the left) or is_a (with the type on the right). This is shown in Figure 57. The first type check odd contains 3 should return true, since 3 belongs to the odd type. The second type check should return false since rgb expects the property blue to be set.

3.4.7 Explicit and automatic type conversions

Prefix forms with the same name as a type can be provided to make it easy to convert values to type T. Such forms are called *explicit type conversions*. This is illustrated in Figure 58:

```
rgba C:rgb -> (red C.red; green C.green; blue C.blue; alpha 1.0)
rgb C:rgba -> (red C.red; green C.green; blue C.blue)
```

Figure 58. Explicit type conversion

An automatic type conversion is an infix as form with a type on the right. If such a form exists, it can be invoked to automatically convert a value to the type on the right of as. This is illustrated in Figure 59.

```
X:integer as real -> real X
1+1.5  // 1.0+1.5 using conversion above
```

Figure 59. Automatic type conversion

Standard ELFE library 29

3.4.8 Parameterized types

Since type definitions are just regular rewrites, a type definition may contain a more complex pattern on the left of the rewrite. This is illustrated in Figure 60, where we define a one_modulo N type that generalizes the odd type.

```
one_modulo N:integer ->
    contains X:integer -> X mod N = 1
    contains X -> false
show X:(one_modulo 1)
```

Figure 60. Parameterized type

It is also possible to define tree forms that are neither name nor prefix. Figure 61 shows how we can use an infix form with the .. operator to declare a range type.

```
Low:integer..High:integer ->
    contains X:integer -> X>=Low and X<=High
    contains X -> false
foo X:1..5 -> write X
```

Figure 61. Declaring a range type using an infix form

3.4.9 Rewrite types

The infix -> operator can be used in a type definition to identify specific forms of rewrites that perform a particular kind of tree transformation. Figure 62 illustrates this usage to declare an adder type that will only match rewrites declaring an infix + node:

```
adder -> type {X+Y -> Z}
```

Figure 62. Declaration of a rewrite type

4 Standard ELFE library

The ELFE language is intentionally very simple, with a strong focus on how to extend it rather than on built-in features. Most features that would be considered fundamental in other languages are implemented in the library in ELFE. Implementing basic amenities that way is an important proof point to validate the initial design objective, extensibility of the language.

Warning 12. This describes the standard ELFE library for the core, text-only implementation of ELFE found in the open-source implementation. Since there is no real difference between built-in functions and library definitions, the ELFE language can be "embedded" in an application that will provide a much richer vocabulary. In particular, users of Tao Presentations should refer to the Tao Presentations on-line documentation for information about features specific to this product, such as 3D graphics, regular expressions, networking, etc.

4.1 Built-in operations

A number of operations are defined by the core run-time of the language, and appear in the context used to evaluate any ELFE program.

This section decsribes the minimum list of operations available in any ELFE program. Operator priorities are defined by the elfe.syntax file in Figure 15. All operations listed in this section may be implemented specially in the compiler, or using regular rewrite rules defined in a particular file called builtins.xl that is loaded by ELFE before evaluating any program, or a combination of both.

4.1.1 Arithmetic

Arithmetic operators for integer and real values are listed in Table 2, where x and y denote integer or real values. Arithmetic operators take arguments of the same type and return an argument of the same type. In addition, the power operator ^ can take a first real argument and an integer second argument.

Form	Description
x+y	Addition
x-y	Subtraction
x*y	Multiplication
x/y	Division
x rem y	Remainder
x mod y	Modulo
x^y	Power
-x	Negation
x%	Percentage (x/100.0)
x!	Factorial

Table 2. Arithmetic operations

4.1.2 Comparison

Comparison operators can take integer, real or text argument, both arguments being of the same type, and return a boolean argument, which can be either true or false. Text is compared using the lexicographic order¹².

Form	Description
х=у	Equal
x<>y	Not equal
x <y< th=""><th>Less-than</th></y<>	Less-than
x>y	Greater than
x<=y	Less or equal
x>=y	Greater or equal

Table 3. Comparisons

4.1.3 Bitwise arithmetic

Bitwise operators operate on the binary representation of integer values, treating each bit indivudally.

Form	Description
x shl y	Shift x left by y bits
x shr y	Shift x right by y bits
x and y	Bitwise and
x or y	Bitwise or
x xor y	Bitwise exclusive or
not x	Bitwise complement

Table 4. Bitwise arithmetic operations

4.1.4 Boolean operations

Boolean operators operate on the names true and false.

Form	Description
х=у	Equal
x<>y	Not equal
x and y	Logical and
x or y	Logical or
x xor y	Logical exclusive or
not x	Logical not

Table 5. Boolean operations

4.1.5 Mathematical functions

Mathematical functions operate on real numbers. The random function can also take two integer arguments, in which case it returns an integer value.

^{12.} There is currently no locale-dependent text comparison.

Standard ELFE library 31

Form	Description
sqrt x	Square root
sin x	Sine
cos x	Cosine
tan x	Tangent
asin x	Arc-sine
acos x	Arc-cosine
atan x	Arc-tangent
atan(y,x)	Coordinates arc-tangent (atan2 in C)
exp x	Exponential
expm1 x	Exponential minus one
log x	Natural logarithm
log2 x	Base 2 logarithm
log10 x	Base 10 logarithm
log1p x	Log of one plus x
pi	Numerical constant π
random	A random value between 0 and 1
random x,y	A random value between x and y

Table 6. Mathematical operations

4.1.6 Text functions

Text functions operate on text values.

Form	Description
x&y	Concatenation
text_length x	Length of the text
text_range t, start, len	Range of characters in t
t[n]	Character at index n
t[n1n2]	Characters in range n1n2

Table 7. Text operations

The first character in a text is numbered 0.

4.1.7 Conversions

Conversions operations transform data from one type to another.

Form	Description
real x:integer	Convert integer to real
real x:text	Convert text to real
integer x:real	Convert real to integer
integer x:text	Convert text to real
text x:integer	Convert integer to text
text x:real	Convert real to text
text n:name	Convert name to text
name t:text	Convert text to name

Table 8. Conversions

A conversion from text that fails returns the value 0. Conversions to text always use the format used for ELFE source code, using dot as a decimal separator: text 0.0 is "0.0".

4.1.8 Date and time

Date and time functions manipulates time. Time is expressed with an integer representing a number of seconds since a time origin. Except for system_time which never takes an argument, the functions can either take an explicit time represented as an integer as returned by system_time, or apply to the current time in the current time zone.

Form	Description
hours	Hours
minutes	Minutes
seconds	Seconds
year	Year
month	Month
day	Day of the month
week_day	Day of the week
year_day	Day of the year
system_time	Current time in seconds

Table 9. Date and time

4.1.9 Tree operations

Tree operations allow direct manipulation of abstract syntax trees.

Form	Description
identity x	Returns x
do x	Forces explicit evaluation of x
х.у	Evaluate y in context of x
self	The input form in a rewrite implementation
left X, right X	Left and right child for infix, prefix, postfix
child X	Child of a block
symbol X	Symbol for an infix or name as text
opening X, closing X	Opening and closing of text or blocks

Table 10. Tree operations

The prefix left, right, child, symbol, opening and closing can be assigned to, as described in Section 3.1.4.

4.1.10 List operations, map, reduce and filter

By convention, ELFE lists use comma-separated lists, such as 1,3,5,6, although similar operations can be built with any other data structure. The map, reduce and filter operations act on such lists. They also can take a range Low..High as input. An empty list is represented by the name nil. Basic list operations are shown in Table 11:

Form	Description
nil	The empty list
head,tail	A data form for lists
length L	The length of list L
map F L	Map function F to list L
reduce F L	Combine list elements in a single value
filter F L	Filter elements of a list
x with y	Convenience notation for Map, Reduce or Filter
ху	Create a range of elements between x and y
head L or L.head	Head of the list
tail L or L.tail	Tail of the list (all but first element)
L1 & L2	Concatenation of lists

Table 11. List operations

The map operation builds a list by applying the first argument as a prefix to each element of the list in turn. For example, map foo (1,3,5) returns the list foo 1, foo 3, foo 5. Map can be used with anonymous functions: map (x->x+1) (2,4,6) returns (2+1,4+1,6+1).

The reduce operation, sometimes called fold or accumulate in other functional languages, combines elements of the list two by two using a binary operation, and returns a single result. For example, reduce (x,y->x+y) (1,3,5) returns 1+3+5.

Standard ELFE library 33

The filter operation takes a predicate (i.e. a function taking a single argument and returning a boolean) and a list, and returns elements of the list for which the predicate returns true. For example, filter (x->x<10) (1,12,17,2) returns (1,2).

The notation (X where Predicate X) with L corresponds to a filter operation on list L with predicate Predicate. For example, (X where X<10) with (1,12,17,2) returns (1,2).

The notation $(X,Y \rightarrow ...)$ with L corresponds to a reduce operation on list L. For example, $(X,Y \rightarrow X+Y)$ with (2,4,6) returns (2+1,4+1,6+1).

For other forms of F, the notation F with L corresponds to a map operation on list L. For example, sin with (1,3,5) returns sin 1, sin 3, sin 5.

The notation x..y is called a *range*. A range of integer, real numbers or text can be used as a type. A range of integers can also be used as a lazy enumeration of all elements as a comma-separated list. In other words, 1..5 is a short-hand notation for 1,2,3,4,5.

4.2 Control structures

Control structures such as tests and loops are implemented in the ELFE standard library.

Warning 13. The control structures described below are not necessarily all implemented at all optimization levels. Future implementations will add new control structures as soon as the compiler becomes smart enough to generate correct code for the definitions given in this section.

4.2.1 Tests

The defintion of the if-then-else statement in the library is as shown in Figure 63:

```
// Declaration of if-then-else
if true then TrueClause else FalseClause -> TrueClause
if false then TrueClause else FalseClause -> FalseClause
```

Figure 63. Library definition of if-then-else

This definition requires the value to be a boolean, i.e. true or false. The good function shown in Figure 64 provides a behavior closer to what is seen in languages such as C, where the value 0 is logically false and non-zero values are logically true.

```
good false -> false
good 0 -> false
good 0.0 -> false
good "" -> false
good nil -> false
good Other -> true
```

Figure 64. The good function

It is possible to add declarations of good for other data types. Such local declarations will precede the declarations for good in scoping order, so that they override that "default" implementation of good shown above.

4.2.2 Infinite Loops

The ELFE standard library provides a number of loop constructs. Figure 4.2.3 shows an implementation for the simplest form of loop, the infinite loop. The repeated evaluation of Body illustrates the importance of explicit evaluation (see Section 3.3.4). Note that such a recursive implementation is only efficient if tail recursion optimization works correctly (see Section 6.12).

```
loop Body ->
   Body
loop Body
```

Figure 65. Infinite loop

4.2.3 Conditional Loops (while and until loops)

Figure 66 shows an implementation for the while loop, which runs while a given condition is true. Explicit evaluation is not required for Condition because it is evaluated only once in the implementation of the while loop, preventing memoization of Condition (see Section 3.3.3). The parameter Condition is not given a boolean type because we want the expression, not the result of evaluating that expression.

```
while Condition loop Body ->
    if Condition then
        Body
        while Condition loop Body
        Figure 66. While loop
```

The until loop shown in Figure 4.2.4 is very similar to the while loop except that it stops when the condition becomes true instead of false.

```
until Condition loop Body ->
    if not Condition then
        Body
        until Condition loop Body
         Figure 67. Until loop
```

4.2.4 Controlled Loops (for loops)

The for loop is the most complex kind of loop. It exists in multiple variants. The simplest one, shown in Figure 68, iterates over a range of integer values. Notice that it creates a local Index variable to ensure it doesn't modify Variable unless the loop is actually executed.

```
for Variable in Low:integer..High:integer loop Body ->
    Index : integer := Low
    while Index < High loop
        Variable := Index
        Body
        Index := Index + 1
```

Figure 68. For loop on an integer range

The for loop shown in Figure 69 iterates on all elements of a container such as a list or a range. It updates its Variable for each iteration with a new element in the container.

```
for Variable in Container loop Body ->
    C : tree := Container
    while good C loop
        Variable := head C
        Body
        C := tail C
```

Figure 69. For loop on a container

There are several other kinds of for loops, corresponding to the patterns shown in Figure 70:

```
for Variable in Low:integer..High:integer step Step:integer
for Variable in Low:real..High:real
for Variable in Low:real..High:real step Step:real
```

Figure 70. Other kinds of for loop

It is not difficult to create custom for loops to explore other data structures.

Standard ELFE library 35

Warning 14. The standard mode implements hard-coded for loops. The optimized mode is not currently powerful enough to handle for loop definitions properly.

4.2.5 Excursions

4.2.6 Error handling

4.3 Library-defined types

A variety of types are defined in the library.

4.3.1 Range and range types

The notation low..high defines a range. A range can be used as a list by list operations, as explained in Section 4.1.10, but also as a type. The range type low..high accepts all values between low and high included. It is defined in a way substantially equivalent to Figure 71:

```
low..high ->
  contains X -> X>=low and X<=high
  self</pre>
```

Figure 71. Range and range type definition

Arithmetic operations are also defined on ranges of integer and real numbers, and operate simultaneously on the low and high part of the range. When low and high are real, operations are performed with different rounding for low and high, so as to implement proper interval arithmetic.

Ranges of integer can also be interpreted as lists, with head and tail operations implemented in a way substantially similar to Figure 72. Lazy evaluation ensures that very large ranges can be processed efficiently (see Section 5.7.7).

```
head low:integer..high:integer -> if low <= high then low else nil
tail low:integer..high:integer -> if low < high then low+1..high else nil
Figure 72. Ranges as lists</pre>
```

A test is required to deal with the corner case of empty lists.

Warning 15. The range type is not currently implemented, pending improvements in the type system.

4.3.2 Union types

The notation A|B in types is a *union type* for A and B, i.e. a type that can accept any element of types A or B. It is pre-defined in the standard library as in Figure 73:

```
A|B ->
    contains X:A -> true
    contains X:B -> true
    contains X -> false
```

 $\textbf{Figure 73.} \ \ \textbf{Union type definition}$

Union types facilitate the definition of functions that work correctly on a multiplicity of data types, but not necessarily all of them, as shown in Figure 74:

Figure 74. Using union types

Warning 16. Union types are not implemented yet, pending improvements in the type system.

4.3.3 Enumeration types

An enumeration type accepts names in a predefined set. The notation enumeration (A, B, C) corresponds to an enumeration accepting the names A, B, C... This notation is pre-defined in the standard library as in Figure 75:

```
enumeration(A:name,Rest) ->
  contains X:name -> text X = text A or enumeration(Rest) contains X
  contains X -> false
```

Figure 75. Enumeration type definition

Unlike in other languages, enumeration types are not distinct from one another and can overlap. For example, the name do belongs to enumeration(do,undo,redo) as well as to enumeration(do,re,mi,fa,sol,la,si). Also, as this enumeration example demonstrates, enumerations can use names such as do that are also used by standard prefix functions.

Warning 17. Enumeration types are not implemented yet.

4.3.4 A type matching type declarations

Type declarations in a type definition are used to declare actual types, so a type that matches type declarations cannot be defined by a simple pattern. Figure 76 shows how the standard library defines a type_declaration type using a type check.

```
type type_declaration ->
  contains X:infix -> X.name = ":"
  contains X -> false
```

Figure 76. Type matching a type declaration

Warning 18. This definition of type_declaration does not work yet, pending improvements in the type system implementation.

4.4 Modules

ELFE modules make it possible to decompose a large ELFE program in smaller units.

4.4.1 Import statement

The import prefix imports a source file or a module, as shown in Figure 77:

```
import "file.xl"
import MyModule
import OtherModule 1.2
import MOD = LongMessage 1.3
```

Figure 77. Import statements examples

An import statement can be followed by a file name or a module specification:

- A file name provides a system-dependent file name for an ELFE source file. By convention, ELFE source file names end in .xl. In order to improve compatibility between systems, backslash characters \ in file names are converted to slash characters / on Unix systems, and slash characters in file names are converted to backslash on Windows. Drive specifications such as C: are not converted.
- A module can also be identified by a name, optionally followed by a real number representing a minimum required version number. Modules source files are located in a set of directories defined by a *module path*, and contain special module declarations specifying the module import name and the version number.

Example code 37

• Finally, the module being imported can locally be given a short name with the syntax import M=ModSpec. In that case, the contents of the module is only visible using the index notation with either the short name M or the long module name.

Importing a module or file has the following effects:

- 1. Any syntax statement in the imported module applies to the source code importing it.
- 2. A scope is created and populated with all declarations in the module.
- 3. Except if a short name is given, that scope is placed immediately to the right of the current context. In other words, it potentially shadows previously imported modules, but also is potentially shadowed by declarations in the current file.
- 4. If the module is identified by a name and not a file name, a binding of that module name to the newly created module scope, and another binding to the short name in case one was provided.

Warning 19. In the current implementation, the import statement does not make the syntax visible yet.

The rationale for these rules is to make different usage scenarios equally convenient:

- If declarations in a module are going to be used extensively, using import Module makes all declarations visible by default.
- If a local declaration Foo hides a declaration of Foo in the module, it is still possible to refer to the module's declaration as Module.Foo.
- If it is undesirable to see declarations from the module, using import M=Module will prevent the module from becoming visible, but will make it convenient to refer to entities declared in the module using the short name, as in M.Foo.

4.4.2 Declaring a module

A module is identified by a module description similar to Figure 78:

```
module_description

id "B1E18CF6-0E3E-4992-98AD-0FD998C9C9CB"

name "My Incredible Module"

description "This is an example of module"

import_name "MyModule"

author "John Doe"

website "http://www.taodyne.com"

url "git://git.taodyne.com/MyModule"

dependencies BaseLibrary 1.1, ELFE 0.9

version 1.0
```

Figure 78. Module definition

The module description contains information allowing the ELFE compiler to identify the modules. Only the <code>import_name</code> is required for that purpose. It is however considered good practice to provide the rest of the information, which can be used by various applications to provide meaningful information to the user, or useful utilities such as module dependency management.

5 Example code

5.1 Minimum and maximum

The minumum and maximum can be defined as follows:

```
min x, y -> m:tree := min y; if m < x then m else x min x -> x max x, y -> m:tree := max y; if m > x then m else x max x -> x
```

Figure 79. Computing a minimum and a maximum

The functions as defined will work with any number of arguments, as well as with lists of items.

5.2 Complex numbers

- 5.3 Vector and Matrix computations
- 5.4 Linked lists with dynamic allocation
- 5.5 Input / Output
- 5.6 Object-Oriented Programming
- 5.6.1 Classes
- 5.6.2 Methods
- 5.6.3 Dynamic dispatch
- 5.6.4 Polymorphism
- 5.6.5 Inheritance
- 5.6.6 Multi-methods
- 5.6.7 Object prototypes
- 5.7 Functional-Programming
- 5.7.1 Map
- **5.7.2** Reduce
- **5.7.3** Filter
- 5.7.4 Functions as first-class objects
- 5.7.5 Anonymous functions (Lambda)
- 5.7.6 Y-Combinator
- 5.7.7 Infinite data structures

Since arguments are evaluated lazily, the evaluation of one fragment of the form does not imply the evaluation of any other. This makes it possible to correctly evaluate infinite data structures, as illustrated in Figure 80.

Implementation notes 39

```
integers_above N:integer -> N, integers_above N+1
head X,Y -> X
tail X,Y -> Y

// This computes 7 without evaluating integers_above 8
head tail tail tail integers_above 4
```

Figure 80. Lazy evaluation of an infinite list

6 Implementation notes

This section describes the implementation as published at http://xlr.sourceforge.net.

- 6.1 Lazy evaluation
- 6.2 Type inference
- 6.3 Built-in operations

6.4 Controlled compilation

A special form, compile, is used to tell the compiler how to compile its argument. This makes it possible to implement special optimization for often-used forms.

```
compile {if Condition then TrueForm else FalseForm} ->
   generate_if_then_else Condition, TrueForm, FalseForm
```

Figure 81. Controlled compilation

Controlled compilation depends on low-level compilation primitives provided by the LLVM infrastructure 13 , and assumes a good understanding of LLVM basic operations. Table 12 shows the correspondence between LLVM primitives and primitives that can be used during controlled compilation.

ELFE Form	LLVM Entity	Description
llvm_value x	Value *	The machine value associated to tree x
llvm_type x	Type *	The type associated to tree x
llvm_struct x	StructType *	The structure type for signature x
<pre>llvm_function_type x</pre>	FunctionType *	The function type for signature x
llvm_function x	Function *	The machine function associated to x
llvm_global x	GlobalValue *	The global value identifying tree x
llvm_bb n	BasicBlock *	A basic block with name n
llvm_type		

Table 12. LLVM operations

6.5 Tree representation

The tree representation is performed by the Tree class, with one subclass per node type: Integer, Real, Text, Name, Infix, Prefix, Postfix and Block.

The Tree structure has template members GetInfo and SetInfo that make it possible to associate arbitrary data to a tree. Data is stored there using a class deriving from Info.

^{13.} For details, refer to http://llvm.org.

The rule of thumb is that Tree only contains members for data that is used in the evaluation of any tree. Other data is stored using Info entries.

Currently, data that is directly associated to the Tree includes:

- The tag field stores the kind of the tree as well as its position in the source code.
- The info field is a linked list of Info entries.

6.6 Evaluation of trees

Trees are evaluated in a given *context*, representing the evaluation environment. The context contains a lexical (static) and stack (dynamic) part.

- 1. The *lexical context* represents the declarations that precede the tree being evaluated in the source code. It can be determined statically.
- 2. The *dynamic context* represents the declarations that were introduced as part of earlier evaluation, i.e. in the "call stack".

A context is represented by a tree holding the declarations, along with associated code.

6.7 Tree position

The position held in the tag field is character-precise. To save space, it counts the number of characters since the beginning of compilation in a single integer value.

The Positions class defined in scanner.h maps this count to the more practical file-line-column positioning. This process is relatively slow, but this is acceptable when emitting error messages.

6.8 Actions on trees

Recursive operations on tree are performed by the Action class. This class implements virtual functions for each tree type called DoInteger, DoReal, DoText and so on.

6.9 Symbols

The ELFE runtime environment maintains symbol tables which form a hierarchy. Each symbol table has a (possibly NULL) parent, and contains two kinds of symbols: names and rewrites.

- Names are associated directly with a tree value. For example, X -> 0 will associate the value 0 to name X.
- Rewrites are used for more complex tree rewrites, e.g. X+Y->add X,Y.

6.10 Evaluating trees

A tree is evaluated as follows:

- 1. Evaluation of a tree is performed by elfe_evaluate() in runtime.cpp.
- 2. This function checks the stack depth to report infinite recursion.
- 3. If code is NULL, then the tree is compiled first.
- 4. Then, evaluation is performed by calling code with the tree as argument.

The signature for code is a function taking a Tree pointer and returning a Tree pointer.

6.11 Code generation for trees

Evaluation functions are functions with the signature shown in Figure 82:

Tree * (*eval_fn) (eval_fn eval, Tree *self)

Figure 82. Signature for rewrite code with two variables.

Implementation notes 41

Unfortunately, the signature in Figure 82 is not valid in C or C++, so we need a lot of casting to achieve the desired effect.

In general, the code for a tree takes the tree as input, and returns the evaluated value. However, there are a few important exceptions to this rule:

6.11.1 Right side of a rewrite

If the tree is on the right of a rewrite (i.e. the right of an infix -> operator), then code will take additional input trees as arguments. Specifically, there will be one additional parameter in the code per variable in the rewrite rule pattern.

For example, if a rewrite is X+Y->foo X,Y, then the code field for foo X,Y will have X as its second argument and Y as its third argument, as shown in Figure 82.

In that case, the input tree for the actual expression being rewritten remains passed as the first argument, generally denoted as self.

6.11.2 Closures

If a tree is passed as a **tree** argument to a function, then it is encapsulated in a *closure*. The intent is to capture the environment that the passed tree depends on. Therefore, the associated code will take additional arguments representing all the captured values. For instance, a closure for write X,Y that captures variables X and Y will have the signature shown in Figure 83:

Tree * (*code) (Tree *self, Tree *X, Tree *Y)

Figure 83. Signature for rewrite code with two variables.

At runtime, the closure is represented by a prefix tree with the original tree on the left, and the captured values cascading on the right. For consistency, the captured values are always on the left of a Prefix tree. The rightmost child of the rightmost Prefix is set to an arbitrary, unused value (specifically, false).

Closures are built by the function elfe_new_closure, which is generally invoked from generated code. Their code field is set to a function that reads all the arguments from the tree and invokes the code with the additional arguments.

For example, do takes a tree argument. When evaluating do write X,Y, the tree given as an argument to do depends on variable X and Y, which may not be visible in the body of do. These variables are therefore captured in the closure. If its values of X and Y are 42 and Universe, then do receives a closure for write X,Y with arguments 42 and Universe.

- 6.12 Tail recursion
- 6.13 Partial recompilation
- 6.14 Machine Interface
- 6.15 Machine Types and Normal Types

SECTION Section

Table of contents

T	Introduction
	1.1 Design objectives
	1.2 Keeping it simple
	1.3 Examples
	1.4 Concept programming
	From concept to code: a lossy conversion
	Pseudo-metrics
	Influence on ELFE
	1.5 State of the implementation
2	Syntax
	2.1 Spaces and indentation
	2.2 Comments and spaces
	2.3 Literals
	2.3.1 Integer constants
	2.3.2 Real constants
	2.3.3 Text literals
	2.3.4 Name and operator symbols
	2.4 Structured nodes
	2.4.1 Infix nodes
	2.4.2 Prefix and postfix nodes
	2.4.3 Block nodes
	2.5 Parsing rules
	2.5.1 Precedence
	2.5.2 Associativity
	2.5.3 Infix versus Prefix versus Postfix
	2.5.4 Expression versus statement
	2.6 Syntax configuration
	Format of syntax configuration
3	Language semantics
	3.1 Tree rewrite operators
	3.1.1 Rewrite declarations
	3.1.2 Data declaration
	3.1.3 Type declaration
	3.1.4 Assignment
	Local variables
	Assigning to references
	Assigning to parameters
	Assignments as expressions
	3.1.5 Guards
	3.1.6 Sequences
	3.1.7 Index operators
	Comparison with C
	3.1.8 C interface
	3.1.9 Machine Interface
	3.2 Binding References to Values
	3.2.1 Context Order
	3.2.2 Scoping

Table of contents 43

	3.2.3 Current context	21
	3.2.4 References	
	3.3 Evaluation	
	3.3.1 Standard evaluation	
	3.3.2 Special forms	22
	3.3.3 Lazy evaluation	22
	3.3.4 Explicit evaluation	
	3.3.5 Memoization	
	3.4 Types	
	V I	
	3.4.1 Predefined types	
	3.4.2 Type definition	
	3.4.3 Normal form for a type	26
	3.4.4 Properties	26
	3.4.5 Data inheritance	27
	3.4.6 Explicit type check	
	3.4.7 Explicit and automatic type conversions	
	3.4.8 Parameterized types	
	V.	
	3.4.9 Rewrite types	28
1	Standard ELEE library	20
4	Standard ELFE library	
	4.1 Built-in operations	29
	4.1.1 Arithmetic	
	4.1.2 Comparison	
	4.1.3 Bitwise arithmetic	
	4.1.4 Boolean operations	30
	4.1.5 Mathematical functions	30
	4.1.6 Text functions	30
	4.1.7 Conversions	30
	4.1.8 Date and time	31
	4.1.9 Tree operations	31
	4.1.10 List operations, map, reduce and filter	
	4.2 Control structures	
	4.2.1 Tests	
	4.2.2 Infinite Loops	
	4.2.3 Conditional Loops (while and until loops)	
	4.2.4 Controlled Loops (for loops)	33
	4.2.5 Excursions	34
	4.2.6 Error handling	34
	4.3 Library-defined types	
	4.3.1 Range and range types	
	4.3.2 Union types	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	4.3.3 Enumeration types	35
	4.3.4 A type matching type declarations	35
	4.4 Modules	35
	4.4.1 Import statement	35
	4.4.2 Declaring a module	36
5	Example code	37
	5.1 Minimum and maximum	37
	5.2 Complex numbers	
	5.3 Vector and Matrix computations	
	5.4 Linked lists with dynamic allocation	
	5.5 Input / Output	37
	5.6 Object-Oriented Programming	
	5.6.1 Classes	37
	5.6.2 Methods	37
		91

SECTION SECTION

	.6.3 Dynamic dispatch	. 38
	.6.4 Polymorphism	. 38
	.6.5 Inheritance	. 38
	.6.6 Multi-methods	. 38
	.6.7 Object prototypes	. 38
5.	Functional-Programming	. 38
	.7.1 Map	. 38
	.7.2 Reduce	. 38
	.7.3 Filter	. 38
	.7.4 Functions as first-class objects	. 38
	.7.5 Anonymous functions (Lambda)	. 38
	.7.6 Y-Combinator	. 38
	.7.7 Infinite data structures	. 38
6 Ir	lementation notes	. 38
6.	Lazy evaluation	. 38
6.	Type inference	. 38
6.	Built-in operations	. 38
6.	Controlled compilation	. 38
	Tree representation	
6.	Evaluation of trees	. 39
6.	Tree position	. 39
6.	Actions on trees	. 39
6.	Symbols	. 39
6.	Evaluating trees	. 39
6.	Code generation for trees	. 39
	.11.1 Right side of a rewrite	. 39
	.11.2 Closures	. 40
6.	Tail recursion	. 40
6.	Partial recompilation	. 40
6.	Machine Interface	. 40
6.	Machine Types and Normal Types	. 40
Inde		. 42
\mathbf{List}	figures	. 45
List	tables	48

Index 45

\mathbf{Index}

:=	from text to number
abstract syntax tree 2, 5	conversions
anonymous function	C.syntax
argument	connexion to elfe.syntax 19
arithmetic	C.syntax file
bitwise	current context
array	data declaration
as function	data declarations
index	data inheritance
array index	date and time
art	declaration
assignment	of data
in expression	of rewrites
to parameter	of types
to type declaration	default precedence
AST	default prefix (precedence)
manipulations	default value
AST (abstract syntax tree)	definition
automatic type conversion	of properties
bandwidth	of types
binding	domain-specific language
in assignment	dot
local scope	as decimal separator
of module names	as index operator
parameters	double quote
with return type declaration	DSL (domain-specific language)
bindings	data declaration arguments
in type definitions $\dots \dots \dots$	data declaration arguments
bitwise arithmetic	explicit
block	explicit vs. lazy
block delimiters 9, 13	forcing explicit evaluation
block type	in assignment
boolean	lazy
built-in operations	mismatch
builtins.xl	of arguments
C interface	order
C symbols	special forms
child	standard case
child node	evaluation order
closing	execution (of programs) 13
closure	explicit evaluation
code space	explicit type check
comments	explicit type conversion
comparisons	exponent (for real constants)
concept	expression
concept space	allowed on left of assignment 17
constant	assignment as expression
constant symbols	expression (as opposed to statement) 11
contains	expression vs. statement 9, 10, 11
context	Extensible language and runtime
current	extern syntax
enclosing	external syntax file
parameter context	field index
passed with arguments	filter
context order	filter operation
control characters	function
conversion	function precedence
from number to text	functional programming

getter	overloading
good (function)	parameter
guard	parameterized types
guard (in a rewrite declaration)	parameters
hash sign (as a radix delimiter)	of types
if-then-else	with properties types
library definition	parsing
statement	parsing ambiguities 10, 11
type	pattern
implementation	in type
import	making type pattern specific
with a short name	matching
indentation 6, 11, 13	postfix
indentation (in long text) 8	postfix type
index	power operator
array	precedence
field	predefined types
for user-defined types	predicate
* -	•
index operator	prefix
infix	prefix type
infix type	programming paradigm
infix vs. prefix vs. postfix	properties
inherit	arguments
integer	as parameter types
integer constant	property
integer type	default value
interval arithmetic	required
is_a	setting
lambda function	property definition
lazy evaluation	pseudo-metric
left	quote
library	radix
line-terminating characters 8	in integer numbers
list	in real numbers
comma-separated	range
operations on lists	real
list operations	real type
literal node types 6	reduce
local scope	reduce operation
long text	reference
machine interface	required property
map	return type declaration
map operation	in assignment
mathematical functions	rewrite declaration
memoization	rewrite declaration
of arguments	
~	V 1
of parameters	right
meta-programming	scope
module	creation
description	enclosing
import	for modules
module description	global
module path	local
music	self
name	semantic noise
name type	semantics
noise	sequence
normal form	evaluation order
normal ELFE	sequence operator
off-side rule	setter
opcode	shadowed binding
opening	shadowing
operand (in prefix and postfix)	in modules
operator	short module name
operator symbols	signal-noise ratio
	_
operators	single quote \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots

Index 47

spaces (for indentation) 6	definition
special forms	explicit type check
standard operators	identifying arbitrary tree shapes
statement	multiple notations
statement precedence	normal form
structured node types 9	parameterized type
subject and complement	pattern
symbol	predefined
symbols	properties
syntactic noise 4	rewrite type
syntax	type check
in modules	type declaration
syntax configuration 5, 10, 11	in assignment
syntax statement	vs. type definition 25
tabs (for indentation) 6	type declarations
text	type definition
text delimiters	vs. type declaration 25
text functions	type pattern
text literals	undefined form
text type	underscore
tree	as digit separator
operations	UTF-8
tree rewrite	value (of text literals) 8
tree rewrite operators	variable
type	when infix operator
belonging to a type 24	ELFE0 (abstract syntax tree for ELFE) 5
check	ELFE (eXtensible Language and Runtime 2
conversions	elfe.syntax 5, 9, 10, 11, 29
declaration	connexion to C.syntax 19

List of figures

Declaration of the factorial function
Map, reduce and filter
Declaration of if-then-else
Off-side rule: Using indentation to mark program structure
Single-line and multi-line comments
Valid integer constants
Valid real constants
Valid text constants
Long text and indentation
Examples of valid operator and name symbols
Default syntax configuration file
Use of the syntax specification in a source file
C syntax configuration file
Example of rewrite declaration
Example of data declaration
Example of data declarations containing type declarations
Example of guard to build the Syracuse suite
Example of assignment
Example of sequence $\dots \dots \dots$
Examples of index operators
Examples of tree rewrites
Constants vs. Variable symbols
Declarations are visible to the entire sequence containing them $\dots \dots \dots$
Declaring a comma-separated list
Declaring a complex data type
Simple type declarations
Creating a new binding
Assignment to existing binding
Assigning to new local variable
Assignment to references
Assigning to parameter
Guard limit the validity of operations
Code writing A, then B, then f(100)+f(200)
Structured data
Creating an interface for a C function
Generating machine code using opcode declarations
Evaluation for comparison
Evaluation for type comparison
Explicit vs. lazy evaluation
Simple type declaration
Simple type declaration
Using the complex type
Binding for a complex parameter
Making type A equivalent to type B
Named patterns for complex
Creating a normal form for the complex type
Properties declaration
Color properties
Setting default arguments from the current context
Additional code in properties
Data inheritance
Defining a type identifying an arbitrary AST shape
Explicit type check
Explicit type conversion
Automatic type conversion
Parameterized type
Declaring a range type using an infix form
Declaration of a rewrite type
Library definition of if-then-else
The good function

List of figures 49

Infinite loop	3
While loop	3
Until loop	3
For loop on an integer range	3
For loop on a container	3
Other kinds of for loop	3
Range and range type definition	4
Ranges as lists	4
Union type definition	5
Using union types	5
Enumeration type definition	5
Type matching a type declaration	5
Import statements examples	6
Module definition	7
Lazy evaluation of an infinite list	8
Controlled compilation	8
Signature for rewrite code with two variables	9
Signature for rewrite code with two variables	O

List of tables

Type correspondances in a C interface
Arithmetic operations
Comparisons
Bitwise arithmetic operations
Boolean operations
Mathematical operations
Text operations
Conversions
Date and time
Tree operations
List operations
LLVM operations