

# Econ 139 Lecture 20 Notes

Andrei Caprau, Addison Weil, Leona Chung

April 09 2019

## 1 CCAPM

$$\begin{aligned} \max_c \quad & U(C_0) + \delta \mathbb{E}[U(C_\theta)] \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & C_0 = W_0 - P_x a \text{ (to consumption),} \\ & C_\theta = W_\theta + aX_\theta, \theta = 1, \dots, N \text{ (} t_1 \text{ consumption but multiple } \theta \text{ states)} \end{aligned}$$

$$\max_a \quad U(W_0 - P_x a) + \delta \mathbb{E}[U(W_\theta + aX_\theta)]$$

$$P_x = \mathbb{E}_\pi[m_\theta x_\theta]$$

$$m_\theta = \frac{\delta U'(C_\theta)}{U'(C_0)}$$

$$q_\theta = \frac{\pi_\theta \delta U'(C_\theta)}{U'(C_0)}$$

$$m_\theta = \frac{q_\theta}{\pi_\theta}$$

$$P_x = \mathbb{E}_\pi[m_\theta x_\theta] = \mathbb{E}_\pi\left[\frac{q_\theta}{\pi_\theta} x_\theta\right] = \sum_{\theta=1}^N \pi_\theta \left(\frac{q_\theta}{\pi_\theta} x_\theta\right) = \sum_{\theta=1}^N q_\theta x_\theta$$

(pricing AD asset in different states)

$$\mathbb{E}_\pi[m_\theta(1 + r_\theta)] = 1, \mathbb{E}_\pi[m_\theta(1 + r_f)] = 1, \mathbb{E}_\pi[m_\theta] = \frac{1}{1 + r_f}$$

$$\sum_{\theta=1}^N \pi_\theta m_\theta = \sum_{\theta=1}^N \pi_\theta \left(\frac{q_\theta}{\pi_\theta}\right) = \sum_{\theta=1}^N q_\theta = \frac{1}{1 + r_f}$$

$$\left(\sum_{\theta=1}^N q_\theta : \text{if I own all AD security, I earn the } r_f \text{ at } t=1.\right)$$

$$P_x = \frac{\sum_{\theta=1}^N q_{\theta} x_{\theta}}{\sum_{\theta=1}^N q_{\theta}} = \frac{1}{1+r_f} \left( \sum_{\theta=1}^N \left( \frac{q_{\theta}}{\sum_{\theta=1}^N q_{\theta}} \right) x_{\theta} \right)$$

$$\text{define } \pi_{\theta}^{RN} = \frac{q_{\theta}}{\sum_{\theta=1}^N q_{\theta}} \text{ (RN - risk neutral)}$$

$$\sum_{\theta=1}^N \pi_{\theta}^{RN} = \sum_{\theta=1}^N \frac{q_{\theta}}{\sum_{\theta=1}^N q_{\theta}} = 1$$

$$q_{\theta} > 0 \implies \pi_{\theta}^{RN} > 0$$

$$P_x = \frac{1}{1+r_f} \sum_{\theta=1}^N \pi_{\theta}^{RN} x_{\theta}$$

$$P_x = \frac{1}{1+r_f} \mathbb{E}_{\pi}^{RN}[x_{\theta}]$$

$$\mathbb{E}[m_{\theta}(1+r_{\theta})] = 1$$

$$\text{since } Cov(x, y) = \mathbb{E}(x, y) - \mathbb{E}(x)\mathbb{E}(y),$$

$$\mathbb{E}(x, y) = \mathbb{E}(x)\mathbb{E}(y) + Cov(x, y),$$

$$\mathbb{E}[m_{\theta}(1+r_{\theta})] = \mathbb{E}[m_{\theta}]\mathbb{E}[1+r_{\theta}] + Cov(m_{\theta}, r_{\theta})$$

$$\text{since } \mathbb{E}[m_{\theta}] = \frac{1}{1+r_f},$$

$$\mathbb{E}[m_{\theta}(1+r_{\theta})] = \frac{1}{1+r_f} \mathbb{E}[1+r_{\theta}] + Cov(m_{\theta}, r_{\theta})$$

$$\frac{1}{1+r_f} \mathbb{E}[1+r_{\theta}] + Cov(m_{\theta}, r_{\theta}) = 1$$

$$\mathbb{E}[1+r_{\theta}] + (1+r_f)Cov(m_{\theta}, r_{\theta}) = 1+r_f$$

$$\mathbb{E}[r_\theta] - r_f = -(1 + r_f)Cov(m_\theta, r_\theta)$$

$$\mathbb{E}[r_\theta] = r_f - (1 + r_f)Cov(m_\theta, r_\theta)$$

$$\mathbb{E}[r_\theta] = r_f - (1 + r_f)Cov\left(\frac{\delta U'(C_0)}{U'(C_0)}, r_\theta\right)$$

$$\mathbb{E}[r_\theta] = r_f - (1 + r_f)\left(\frac{\delta}{U'(C_0)}\right)Cov(U'(C_\theta), r_\theta)$$

here  $(1 + r_f)\left(\frac{\delta}{U'(C_0)}\right)Cov(U'(C_\theta), r_\theta)$  is the risk adjustment,

since  $(1 + r_f)$  is positive,  $\left(\frac{\delta}{U'(C_0)}\right)$  is positive,  $Cov(U'(C_\theta), r_\theta)$  is negative,

the entire risk adjustment is positive, consumption is more risky

$$\mathbb{E}[r_\theta] = r_f - \frac{Cov(m_\theta, r_\theta)}{\mathbb{E}[m_\theta]}$$

$$\mathbb{E}[r_\theta] = r_f + \left(\frac{Cov(-m_\theta, r_\theta)}{Var[m_\theta]}\right)\left(\frac{Var[m_\theta]}{\mathbb{E}[m_\theta]}\right)$$

(this is the CCAPM Relationship)

$\left(\frac{Cov(-m_\theta, r_\theta)}{Var[m_\theta]}\right)$  is  $B_{r,m}$  which is the quantity of risk,

$\left(\frac{Var[m_\theta]}{\mathbb{E}[m_\theta]}\right)$  is  $\lambda_{r,m}$  which is the price of risk

## Hansen-Jagannathan Bound

- upper bound for the Sharpe ratio of all assets in the economy
- gives us a way to empirically test CCAPM model

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbb{E}[m_\theta]\mathbb{E}[1 + r_\theta] + \mathbb{C}(m_\theta, r_\theta) &= 1 \\
 \mathbb{E}[m_\theta]\mathbb{E}[1 + r_\theta] + \rho_{m,r}\sigma_m\sigma_r &= 1 \\
 \frac{1}{1 + r_f}\mathbb{E}[1 + r_\theta] - 1 &= -\rho_{m,r}\sigma_m\sigma_r \\
 \mathbb{E}[1 + r_\theta] - (1 + r_f) &= -\rho_{m,r}\sigma_m\sigma_r(1 + r_f) \\
 \mathbb{E}[r_\theta] - r_f &= -\rho_{m,r}\sigma_r \frac{\sigma_m}{\mathbb{E}[m_\theta]} \\
 \left| \frac{\mathbb{E}[r_\theta] - r_f}{\sigma_r} \right| &= |-\rho_{m,r}| \frac{\sigma_m}{\mathbb{E}[m_\theta]} \\
 \left| \frac{\mathbb{E}[r_\theta] - r_f}{\sigma_r} \right| &\leq \frac{\sigma_m}{\mathbb{E}[m_\theta]}
 \end{aligned}$$

## Equity Premium Puzzle

- assume power utility

$$u(c) = \frac{c^{1-\gamma}}{1-\gamma}$$

- assume consumption growth rate

$$Cg = \ln\left(\frac{c_{t+1}}{c_t}\right)$$

is normally distributed

$$Cg \sim N(\mu_{Cg}, \sigma_{Cg}^2)$$

- under this assumption

$$\frac{\sigma_m}{\mathbb{E}[m_\theta]} \approx \gamma\sigma_{Cg}$$

- between 1995 and 2005 in the United States

1. real stock returns averaged 9% with a standard deviation of 16%
2. real return on Treasury bills was 1%
3. aggregate consumption growth rate had mean and st. dev of 1%

$$S = \frac{0.09 - 0.01}{0.16} = 0.5$$

$$\frac{\sigma_m}{\mathbb{E}[m_\theta]} \approx \gamma\sigma_{Cg} = 0.01\gamma \implies 0.5 \leq 0.01\gamma$$

For this to hold, risk aversion coefficient would have to be at least 50 (unreasonable). To illustrate how high this number is, consider a gamble that pays 100,000 with probability 0.5, and 50,000 w.p. 0.5. The following show the certainty equivalents for various gammas.

$\gamma$	2	3	50
CE	66,667	63,246	50,712

## Arrow-Debreu Pricing II

	AD1	AD2	AD3	Asset 1	Asset X
State 1	1	0	0	3	$X_1$
State 2	0	1	0	2	$X_2$
State 3	0	0	1	1	$X_3$
P	$q_1$	$q_2$	$q_3$	$3q_1 + 2q_2 + q_3$	$q_1X_1 + q_2X_2 + q_3X_3$

Let's look at a market:

	Asset 1	Asset 2	AD1	AD2
State 1	3	5	1	0
State 2	1	5	0	1
P	2	4	0.6	0.2

### Create AD1

Pays off 1 in state 1 and 0 in state 2.

$$3a_1 + 5a_2 = 1$$

$$a_1 + 5a_2 = 0$$

$$a_1 = 0.5 \text{ and } a_2 = -0.1$$

Buy 0.5 share of  $a_1$  and short 0.1 share of  $a_2$ .

Price of portfolio is  $0.5 \times 2 - 0.1 \times 4 = 0.6$

### Create AD2

Pays off 1 in state 1 and 0 in state 2.

$$3a_1 + 5a_2 = 0$$

$$a_1 + 5a_2 = 1$$

$$a_1 = -0.5 \text{ and } a_2 = 0.3$$

Short 0.5 share of  $a_1$  and buy 0.3 share of  $a_2$ .

Price of portfolio is  $0.3 \times 4 - 0.5 \times 2 = 0.2$

This is a complete market because you can construct AD securities as portfolios of assets.

More assets than states example:

	Asset 1	Asset 2	Asset 3
State 1	3	5	4
State 2	1	5	3
P	2	4	3

Let  $P = \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 4 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 4 & 3 \end{pmatrix}^T$

Price of AD security  $q = \begin{pmatrix} q_1 \\ q_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} q_1 & q_2 \end{pmatrix}^T$

Payoff matrix  $X = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 5 & 4 \\ 1 & 5 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$

$$P = X^T q$$

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 1 \\ 5 & 5 \\ 4 & 3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} q_1 \\ q_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 3q_1 + q_2 \\ 5q_1 + 5q_2 \\ 4q_1 + 3q_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} P_1 \\ P_2 \\ P_3 \end{pmatrix}$$

Starting with  $P = X^T q$  and multiplying both sides by  $X$ :

$$XP = XX^T q$$

$$q = (XX^T)^{-1}XP$$

If this equation can be solved, the market is complete.