

# Econ 139 Lecture Note 22

Yutong Dong, Xiaoyu Liu, Ky-An Tran, Renjun Cheng

April 16th 2019

## 1 First Fundamental Theorem of Asset Pricing

**Definition:** a probability measure  $\pi_{\theta}^{RN}$  is said to be a risk-neutral measure if:

(i)  $\pi_{\theta}^{RN} = 0, \forall \theta$

(ii)  $\sum \pi_{\theta}^{RN} = 1$

(iii)  $X_i(0) = E_{\pi^{RN}}[\frac{X_i(\theta,1)}{1+r_f}] = \sum \pi_{\theta}^{RN}[\frac{X_i(\theta,1)}{1+r_f}] \forall i = 1, \dots, M.$

## 2 Examples :

Example1

	Bond	Stock
State 1	1.1	5
State 2	1.1	7
P	1	4

$$\pi_1^{RN} \frac{3}{1.1} + \pi_2^{RN} \frac{7}{1.1} = 4$$

$$\pi_1^{RN} + \pi_2^{RN} = 1$$

$$\pi_1^{RN} = 0.65$$

$$\pi_2^{RN} = 0.35$$

Example2

	Bond	Stock1	Stock2
State 1	1.1	3	1
State 2	1.1	2	4
State 3	1.1	1	6
	1	2	3

$$\pi_1^{RN} \frac{3}{1.1} + \pi_2^{RN} \frac{2}{1.1} + \pi_3^{RN} \frac{1}{1.1} = 2$$

$$\pi_1^{RN} \frac{1}{1.1} + \pi_2^{RN} \frac{4}{1.1} + \pi_3^{RN} \frac{6}{1.1} = 3$$

$$\pi_1^{RN} + \pi_2^{RN} + \pi_3^{RN} = 1$$

$$\pi_1^{RN} = 0.3 \quad \pi_2^{RN} = 0.6 \quad \pi_3^{RN} = 0.1$$

$$\begin{vmatrix} 1.1 & 3 & 1 \\ 1.1 & 2 & 4 \\ 1.1 & 1 & 6 \end{vmatrix} = X$$

$$p = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \end{vmatrix}^T \quad q = \begin{vmatrix} q_1 & q_2 & q_3 \end{vmatrix}^T$$

$$q = (x^T)^{-1}p$$

$$\sum q_i = 0.9$$

$$\sum q_i = \frac{1}{1+r_f}$$

$$\pi_{\theta}^{RN} = q_{\theta}^{RN}(1+r_f)$$

### 3 Incomplete Market :

	Bond	Stock
State 1	1.1	1
State 2	1.1	2
State 3	1.1	3
	1	2

$$\pi_1^{RN} + \pi_2^{RN} + \pi_3^{RN} = 1$$

$$\pi_1^{RN} \frac{1}{1.1} + \pi_2^{RN} \frac{2}{1.1} + \pi_3^{RN} \frac{3}{1.1} = 2$$

$$\pi_2^{RN} = 0.8 - 2\pi_1^{RN} (> 0)$$

$$\pi_3^{RN} = 0.2 + 2\pi_1^{RN} (> 0)$$

$$\pi_1^{RN} (> 0)$$

$$0 < \pi_1^{RN} < 4$$

$$\{(\pi_1^{RN}, \pi_2^{RN}, \pi_3^{RN}) \in (\lambda, 0.8 - 0.2\lambda, 0.2 + \lambda) : 0 < \lambda < 0.4\}$$

## 4 Arbitrage Opportunity :

	Bond	Stock1	Stock2
State 1	1.1	2	4
State 2	1.1	3	5
State 3	1.1	1	2.5
	1	2	3

$$\begin{vmatrix} 1.1 \\ 1.1 \\ 1.1 \end{vmatrix} + \begin{vmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \\ 1 \end{vmatrix} << \begin{vmatrix} 4 \\ 5 \\ 2.5 \end{vmatrix}$$

Proposition: suppose set of fundamental securities is arbitrage free,  
then for any portfolio:

$$V_0 = \frac{1}{1+r_f} E_{\pi^{RN}} [V(\theta, 1)] \text{ for any } RN \text{ measure.}$$

$$V_0 = n_b X_b(0) + \sum n_i X_i(0)$$

$$V(\theta, 1) = n_b X_b(1) + \sum n_i X_i(1)$$

Proof:

$$\sum \pi_{\theta}^{RN} \frac{n_b X_b(1) + \sum n_i X_i(\theta, 1)}{1 + r_f} = n_b \sum_{n=\theta}^N \frac{X_b(1)}{1 + r_f} \pi_{\theta}^{RN} + \sum_{n=\theta}^N n_1 \sum_{n=\theta}^N \pi_{\theta}^{RN} \frac{x_1(\theta_1, 1)}{1 + r_f}$$

Proposition: The market is complete if and only if there exist a unique RN probability measure.

Proof:

Suppose the market is incomplete we get more than 1 RN measure.

Suppose there are two distinct RN measures and market is complete.

Since the market is incomplete. We must be able to construct a portfolio such that:

$$V(0) > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad V(\theta, 1) = 0 \quad \text{if} \quad \theta \neq k$$

$$V(0) > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad V(\theta, 1) = 1 \quad \text{if} \quad \theta = k$$

$$V(0) = E_{\pi}^{RN} \left[ \frac{V(\theta, 1)}{1 + r_f} \right]$$

$$\pi^{RN}, \hat{\pi}^{RN} : \pi^{RN} \neq \hat{\pi}^{RN}$$

$$E_{\pi}^{RN} \left[ \frac{V(\theta, 1)}{1 + r_f} \right] = \frac{\pi_k^{RN} * 1 + (1 - \pi_k^{RN}) * 0}{1 + r_f}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{\pi_k^{RN}}{1+r_f} \neq \frac{\hat{\pi}_k^{RN}}{1+r_f} = E_{\hat{\pi}^{RN}} \left[ \frac{V(\theta, 1)}{1+r_f} \right] = V(0) \\
V(0) &= E_{\hat{\pi}^{RN}} \left[ \frac{V(\theta, 1)}{1+r_f} \right] \\
V(0) &= E_{\pi^{RN}} \left[ \frac{V(\theta, 1)}{1+r_f} \right]
\end{aligned}$$

## 5 Arbitrage Pricing Theory:

Market Model

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{j} &= \alpha_j + \beta_j(\hat{r}_M - E[\hat{r}_M]) + \epsilon_j \\
\text{where : } E[\tilde{\epsilon}_j] &= 0 \\
\text{cov}(\hat{r}_M, \tilde{\epsilon}_j) &= 0 \\
\text{cov}(\hat{\epsilon}_j, \hat{\epsilon}_k) &= 0 \quad \text{for } j \neq k
\end{aligned}$$

decomposes  $\hat{\epsilon}_j$  into two orthogonal components:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\beta_j(\hat{r}_M - E[\hat{r}_M]) \\
\tilde{\epsilon}_j &: \text{purely idiosyncratic component}
\end{aligned}$$

Typically, we estimate  $\alpha_j$  and  $\beta_j$  from a time-series regression

$$\begin{aligned}
\beta_j &= \frac{\text{cov}(\hat{r}_j, \hat{r}_M - E[\hat{r}_M])}{\text{var}(\hat{r}_M - E[\hat{r}_M])} = \frac{\text{cov}(\hat{r}_j, \hat{r}_M)}{\text{var}(\hat{r}_M)} \\
E[\hat{r}_j] &= \alpha_j + \beta_j(\hat{r}_M - E[\hat{r}_M]) \\
\alpha_j &= E[\hat{r}_j]
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, we can write:

$$\hat{r}_j = E[\hat{r}_j] + \beta_j(\hat{r}_M - E[\hat{r}_M]) + \epsilon_j$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma_j^2 &= E[(\hat{r}_j - E[\hat{r}_j])^2] = B_j^2 * \sigma_M^2 + \sigma_{\epsilon_j}^2 \\
\text{Cov}(\hat{r}_j, \hat{r}_k) &= E[(\hat{r}_j - E[\hat{r}_j]) * (\hat{r}_k - E[\hat{r}_k])] = B_j B_k \sigma_M^2
\end{aligned}$$

## 6 MPT: to estimate cov matrix

$$N \text{ variances, } \frac{N(N-1)}{2} \text{ covariances}$$

$$N = 100 : 50, 50 \text{ parameters}$$

MM: N betas, 1 market variance, N idiosyncratic variances

$$B = (B_1, \dots, B_N)^T$$

$$\sigma_M^2 = \text{market variance}$$

$$\sigma_\epsilon^2 = (\sigma_\epsilon^2, \dots, \sigma_{\epsilon M}^2)$$

$$\sum_{(n \times n)} = \sigma_M^2 (BB^T) + \text{diag}(\sigma_\epsilon^2)$$

$$\text{diag}\left(\begin{vmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \end{vmatrix}\right) = \begin{vmatrix} a & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & c \end{vmatrix}$$