

Homework Solutions

TA: Moren Gao and Xin Zang

The homework §1

§1.1 Normal and Log-normal Random Variables

1. First prove the following equation

$$M_{a+bX}(\vartheta) = e^{\vartheta a} M_X(b\vartheta) \quad (1)$$

According to the definition of moment generating function

$$M_{a+bX}(\vartheta) = Ee^{\vartheta(a+bX)} = E(e^{\vartheta a} e^{\vartheta bX}) = Ee^{\vartheta a} Ee^{\vartheta bX} = e^{\vartheta a} M_X(b\vartheta)$$

Let $X = \mu + \sigma Z$, $Z \sim N(0, 1)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} M_Z(\vartheta) &= Ee^{\vartheta Z} = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{\vartheta z} f(z) dz \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{\vartheta z} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{z^2}{2}} dz = e^{\frac{\vartheta^2}{2}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{(z-\vartheta)^2}{2}} dz \\ &= e^{\frac{\vartheta^2}{2}} \end{aligned}$$

So that

$$\phi(\vartheta) = M_X(\vartheta) = M_{\mu+\sigma Z}(\vartheta) = e^{\vartheta \mu} M_Z(\sigma \vartheta) = e^{\vartheta \mu} e^{\frac{\sigma^2 \vartheta^2}{2}} = \exp\left\{\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 \vartheta^2 + \vartheta \mu\right\} \quad (2)$$

2. Using equationn (1) and (2)

$$\begin{aligned} M_{X-\mu}(\vartheta) &= e^{-\mu \vartheta} M_X(\vartheta) = e^{-\mu \vartheta} e^{\vartheta \mu} e^{\frac{\sigma^2 \vartheta^2}{2}} \\ &= \exp\left\{\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 \vartheta^2\right\} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\vartheta^{2k} \sigma^{2k}}{2^k k!} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\vartheta^{2k}}{(2k)!} \frac{(2k)!}{k!} \frac{\sigma^{2k}}{2^k} \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

One property of moment generating function is

$$E(X^k) = \frac{d^k}{d\vartheta^k} M_X(\vartheta)|_{\vartheta=0} \quad (4)$$

So

$$E(X - \mu)^k = \frac{d^k}{d\vartheta^k} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\vartheta^{2k}}{(2k)!} \frac{(2k)!}{k!} \frac{\sigma^{2k}}{2^k} \Big|_{\vartheta=0}$$

$$= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } k = 1, 3, 5 \dots \\ \frac{\sigma^k (k)!}{2^{\frac{k}{2}} \frac{k}{2}!} & \text{for } k = 2, 4, 6 \dots \end{cases}$$

So

$$E(X - EX)^3 = 0$$

$$E(X - EX)^4 = \frac{\sigma^4 4!}{2^2 2!} = 3\sigma^4$$

3. First, get the CDF of log-normally distribution

For any $y > 0$

$$F(y) = Pr(Y \leq y) = Pr(\ln Y \leq \ln y) = \int_{-\infty}^{\ln y} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(y - \mu)^2\right\} dy$$

So the PDF of log-normal distribution is

$$f(y) = \frac{dF(y)}{dy} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma y} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(\ln y - \mu)^2\right\}, y > 0$$

The expectation is

$$EY = \int_0^{+\infty} y f(y) dy = \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(\ln y - \mu)^2\right\} dy$$

Let $x = \ln y$

$$EY = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \exp\left\{x - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(x - \mu)^2\right\} dx$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \exp\left\{\mu + \frac{\sigma^2}{2} - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(x - \mu - \sigma^2)^2\right\} dx$$

$$= \exp\left\{\mu + \frac{\sigma^2}{2}\right\} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(x - \mu - \sigma^2)^2\right\} dx$$

$$= \exp\left\{\mu + \frac{\sigma^2}{2}\right\}$$

The variance is

$$VarY = EY^2 - E^2Y = \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{y}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(\ln y - \mu)^2\right\} dy - \exp\{2\mu + \sigma^2\}$$

Let $x = \ln y$

$$VarY = \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \exp\left\{2x - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(x - \mu)^2\right\} dx - \exp\{2\mu + \sigma^2\}$$

$$= \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \exp\left\{2\mu + 2\sigma^2 - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(x - \mu - 2\sigma^2)^2\right\} dx - \exp\{2\mu + \sigma^2\}$$

$$= \exp\{2\mu + 2\sigma^2\} \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(x - \mu - 2\sigma^2)^2\right\} dx - \exp\{2\mu + \sigma^2\}$$

$$= \exp\{2\mu + 2\sigma^2\} - \exp\{2\mu + \sigma^2\}$$

$$= e^{2\mu + \sigma^2} (e^{\sigma^2} - 1)$$

4.

$$\begin{aligned} E(Y1_{\{Y>K\}}) &= \int_K^{+\infty} yf(y)dy \\ &= \int_K^{+\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(\ln y - \mu)^2\right\} dy \end{aligned}$$

Let $x = \ln y$

$$\begin{aligned} E(Y1_{\{Y>K\}}) &= \int_{\ln K}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \exp\left\{x - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(x - \mu)^2\right\} dx \\ &= \exp\left\{\mu + \frac{\sigma^2}{2}\right\} \int_{\ln K}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(x - \mu - \sigma^2)^2\right\} dx \\ &= \exp\left\{\mu + \frac{\sigma^2}{2}\right\} \int_{-\infty}^{2\mu+2\sigma^2-\ln K} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(x - \mu - \sigma^2)^2\right\} dx \\ &= e^{\mu+\frac{\sigma^2}{2}} \Phi\left(\frac{\mu - \ln K + \sigma^2}{\sigma}\right) \end{aligned}$$

5.

$$\begin{aligned} E(Y - K)^+ &= \int_K^{+\infty} (y - K)f(y)dy = \int_K^{+\infty} yf(y)dy - K \int_K^{+\infty} f(y)dy \\ &= \int_K^{+\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(\ln y - \mu)^2\right\} dy - K \int_K^{+\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma y} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(\ln y - \mu)^2\right\} dy \end{aligned}$$

Let $x = \ln y$

$$\begin{aligned} E(Y - K)^+ &= \exp\left\{\mu + \frac{\sigma^2}{2}\right\} \int_{\ln K}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(\mu - x + \sigma^2)^2\right\} dx - K \int_{\ln K}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(x - \mu)^2\right\} dx \\ &= e^{\mu+\frac{\sigma^2}{2}} \int_{-\infty}^{2\mu+2\sigma^2-\ln K} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(x - \mu - \sigma^2)^2\right\} dx - K \int_{-\infty}^{2\mu-\ln K} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(x - \mu)^2\right\} dx \\ &= e^{\mu+\frac{\sigma^2}{2}} \Phi\left(\frac{\mu - \ln K + \sigma^2}{\sigma}\right) - K \Phi\left(\frac{\mu - \ln K}{\sigma}\right) \end{aligned}$$

Use the same method

$$E(K - Y)^+ = \int_0^K (K - y)f(y)dy = - \int_0^K yf(y)dy + K \int_{-\infty}^K f(y)dy$$

Let $x = \ln y$

$$\begin{aligned} E(K - Y)^+ &= -\exp\left\{\mu + \frac{\sigma^2}{2}\right\} \int_{-\infty}^{\ln K} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(\mu - x + \sigma^2)^2\right\} dx + K \int_{-\infty}^{\ln K} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(x - \mu)^2\right\} dx \\ &= -e^{\mu+\frac{\sigma^2}{2}} \Phi\left(-\frac{\mu - \ln K + \sigma^2}{\sigma}\right) + K \Phi\left(-\frac{\mu - \ln K}{\sigma}\right) \end{aligned}$$

§1.2 Bivariate Normal Variables

X and W are uncorrelated if

$$Cov(X, W) = 0$$

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned} Cov(X, W) &= E(X)E(W) - E(XW) \\ &= E(X)E(Y - \frac{\rho\sigma_Y}{\sigma_X}X) - E(XY - \frac{\rho\sigma_Y}{\sigma_X}X^2) \\ &= E(X)E(Y) - \frac{\rho\sigma_Y}{\sigma_X}E(X)^2 - E(XY) + \frac{\rho\sigma_Y}{\sigma_X}E(X^2) \\ &= Cov(X, Y) - \frac{\rho\sigma_Y}{\sigma_X}Var(X) \\ &= \rho\sigma_Y\sigma_X - \frac{\rho\sigma_Y}{\sigma_X}\sigma_X^2 = 0 \end{aligned}$$

X and W are independent if

$$E(XW) = E(X)E(W)$$

The result I have shown above, so they are independent.

§1.3 Risk Minimization

$$\begin{aligned} Var(w_1R_1 + w_2R_2) &= w_1^2VarR_1 + w_2^2VarR_2 + 2w_1w_2\sqrt{Var(R_1)Var(R_2)}Corr(R_1, R_2) \\ &= w_1^2\sigma_1^2 + (1 - w_1)^2\sigma_2^2 + 2w_1(1 - w_1)\sigma_1\sigma_2\rho \end{aligned}$$

Minimize $Var(w_1R_1 + w_2R_2)$, the first order condition is

$$\frac{\partial Var}{\partial w_1} = 0$$

Solve this equation, we can get the optimal portfolio weight is

$$(w_1 = \frac{\sigma_2^2 - \sigma_1\sigma_2\rho}{\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2 - 2\sigma_1\sigma_2\rho}, w_2 = \frac{\sigma_1^2 - \sigma_1\sigma_2\rho}{\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2 - 2\sigma_1\sigma_2\rho})$$

§1.4 Roll a Dice

Payoff has 6 outcomes 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6. So, first, I calculate the distribution of the payoff.

When payoff is 1, which means all of the three outcomes is 1, the probability is

$$Pr(\text{payoff} = 1) = (\frac{1}{6})^3 = \frac{1}{216}$$

When payoff is 2, which means at least one outcome is 2, and the rest is 1, the probability is

$$Pr(\text{payoff} = 2) = (\frac{1}{6})^3 + (\frac{1}{6})^2 \times \frac{1}{6} \times 3 + (\frac{1}{6}) \times (\frac{1}{6})^2 \times 3 = \frac{7}{216}$$

Use the same method, we can calculate

$$Pr(\text{payoff} = 3) = \left(\frac{1}{6}\right)^3 + \left(\frac{1}{6}\right)^2 \times \frac{2}{6} \times 3 + \left(\frac{1}{6}\right) \times \left(\frac{2}{6}\right)^2 \times 3 = \frac{19}{216}$$

$$Pr(\text{payoff} = 4) = \left(\frac{1}{6}\right)^3 + \left(\frac{1}{6}\right)^2 \times \frac{3}{6} \times 3 + \left(\frac{1}{6}\right) \times \left(\frac{3}{6}\right)^2 \times 3 = \frac{37}{216}$$

$$Pr(\text{payoff} = 5) = \left(\frac{1}{6}\right)^3 + \left(\frac{1}{6}\right)^2 \times \frac{4}{6} \times 3 + \left(\frac{1}{6}\right) \times \left(\frac{4}{6}\right)^2 \times 3 = \frac{61}{216}$$

$$Pr(\text{payoff} = 6) = \left(\frac{1}{6}\right)^3 + \left(\frac{1}{6}\right)^2 \times \frac{5}{6} \times 3 + \left(\frac{1}{6}\right) \times \left(\frac{5}{6}\right)^2 \times 3 = \frac{91}{216}$$

So the distribution is

Payoff	1	2	3	4	5	6
Probability	$\frac{1}{216}$	$\frac{7}{216}$	$\frac{19}{216}$	$\frac{37}{216}$	$\frac{61}{216}$	$\frac{91}{216}$

$$E(\text{Payoff}) = \sum_{i=1}^6 i Pr(\text{Payoff} = i) = \frac{119}{24}$$

The homework §2

§2.1 Bernoulli Trials and Conditional Expectation

1. $E(X_i|S_n)$ means given the condition that there are S_n outcomes are 1, $n - S_n$ outcomes are 0 in n trials, the expectation of i^{th} trial's outcome.

$$\begin{aligned} E(X_i|S_n) &= 1 \times Pr(X_i = 1|S_n) + 0 \times Pr(X_i = 0|S_n) \\ &= \frac{Pr(X_i = 1, S_n)}{Pr(S_n)} = p \frac{C_{n-1}^{S_n-1} p^{S_n-1} (1-p)^{n-S_n}}{C_n^{S_n} p^{S_n} (1-p)^{n-S_n}} = \frac{S_n}{n} \end{aligned}$$

Totally, there are S_n outcomes are 1 in the n trials. So in any trial, the expectation getting 1 is $\frac{S_n}{n}$

2. $E(S_m|S_n)$ means given the condition that there are S_n outcomes are 1, $n - S_n$ outcomes are 0 in n trials, the expectation of the outcome that the first m trials have S_m outcomes are 1, and $m - S_m$ outcomes are 0.

$$\begin{aligned} E(S_m|S_n) &= \sum_{i=0}^m i Pr(S_m = i|S_n) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^m i \frac{Pr(S_m = i, S_n)}{Pr(S_n)} \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^m i \frac{C_m^i p^i (1-p)^{m-i} C_{n-m}^{S_n-i} p^{S_n-i} (1-p)^{n-m-S_n+i}}{C_n^{S_n} p^{S_n} (1-p)^{n-S_n}} = \frac{m}{n} S_n \end{aligned}$$

Each trial, the expectation is $\frac{S_n}{n}$. Now, we have m trials. It is natural to get the expectation is $\frac{m}{n} S_n$.

§2.2 Conditional Variance Formula

$$\begin{aligned}
 & Var[E(X|Y)] + E[Var(X|Y)] \\
 &= E[E^2(X|Y)] - E^2[E(X|Y)] + E[E(X^2|Y) - E^2(X|Y)] \\
 &= E[E^2(X|Y)] - E^2(X) + E(X^2) - E[E^2(X|Y)] \\
 &= -E^2(X) + E(X^2) \\
 &= Var(X)
 \end{aligned}$$

§2.3 Conditional Distribution from Normal Vectors

According to the definition of conditional probability density function

$$f(x|y) = \frac{f(x, y)}{f(y)}$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned}
 f(x, y) &= \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma_X\sigma_Y\sqrt{1-\rho^2}} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2(1-\rho^2)}\left(\left(\frac{x-\mu_X}{\sigma_X}\right)^2 - 2\rho\frac{(x-\mu_X)(y-\mu_Y)}{\sigma_X\sigma_Y} + \left(\frac{y-\mu_Y}{\sigma_Y}\right)^2\right)\right\} \\
 f(y) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_Y} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2\sigma_Y^2}(y-\mu_Y)^2\right\}
 \end{aligned}$$

So

$$f(x|y) = \frac{f(x, y)}{f(y)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_X\sqrt{1-\rho^2}} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2\sigma_X^2(1-\rho^2)}\left(x - \left(\mu_X + \rho\frac{\sigma_X}{\sigma_Y}(y-\mu_Y)\right)\right)^2\right]$$

So given Y, the conditional distribution of X is a normal distribution

$$N\left(\mu_X + \rho\frac{\sigma_X}{\sigma_Y}(y-\mu_Y), \sigma_X^2(1-\rho^2)\right)$$

§2.4 Poisson Process and Conditional Expectation

$$\begin{aligned}
 E(N(1)|N(2)) &= \sum_{i=0}^{N(2)} i Pr(N(1)|N(2)) = \sum_{i=0}^{N(2)} i \frac{Pr(N(1), N(2))}{Pr(N(2))} \\
 &= \sum_{i=0}^{N(2)} i \frac{\frac{1^i}{i!} e^{-1} \frac{1^{N(2)-i}}{(N(2)-i)!} e^{-1}}{\frac{2^{N(2)}}{N(2)!} e^{-2}} = \sum_{i=1}^{N(2)} C_{N(2)-i}^{i-1} \frac{N(2)}{2^{N(2)}} \\
 &= \frac{N(2)}{2^{N(2)}} \times (1+1)^{N(2)-1} \\
 &= \frac{N(2)}{2} \\
 E(N(2)|N(1)) &= E(N(2) - N(1) + N(1)|N(1)) \\
 &= E(N(2) - N(1)|N(1)) + E(N(1)|N(1)) \\
 &= \lambda + E(N(1)) \\
 &= N(1) + 1
 \end{aligned}$$

§2.5 Roll a Dice Again

Using backward induction, the expectation of third roll is 3.5, so if the second roll's outcome is 1, 2 or 3, you should continue to roll; if the outcome is 4, 5, or 6, you should stop immediately. Using this strategy, the distribution of second and third roll is

Payoff	1	2	3	4	5	6
Probability	$\frac{1}{12}$	$\frac{1}{12}$	$\frac{1}{12}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{4}$

The expectation is 4.25. Using backward induction again, if the first roll's outcome is 1, 2, 3 and 4, you should roll again because you will be better off; otherwise, you should stop immediately.

Using this strategy

$$Pr(\text{payoff} = 1) = Pr(\text{payoff} = 2) = Pr(\text{payoff} = 3) = \frac{4}{6} \times \frac{1}{2} \times \frac{1}{6} = \frac{1}{18}$$

$$Pr(\text{payoff} = 4) = \frac{4}{6} \times \frac{1}{6} + \frac{4}{6} + \frac{1}{2} \times \frac{1}{6} = \frac{1}{6}$$

$$Pr(\text{payoff} = 5) = Pr(\text{payoff} = 6) = \frac{1}{6} + \frac{4}{6} \times \frac{1}{6} + \frac{4}{6} \times \frac{1}{2} \times \frac{1}{6} = \frac{1}{3}$$

So the distribution of payoff is

Payoff	1	2	3	4	5	6
Probability	$\frac{1}{18}$	$\frac{1}{18}$	$\frac{1}{18}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{3}$

$$E(\text{payoff}) = \sum_{i=1}^6 i Pr(\text{payoff} = i) = \frac{14}{3}$$

§2.6 Poisson Process: Conditional Distribution of Arrival Times

Let

$$0 < t_1 < t_2 < \cdots < t_{n+1} = t$$

and h_i which satisfies

$$t_i + h_i < t_{i+1}, i = 1, 2, \cdots, n$$

$$\begin{aligned} & Pr(t_i \leq S_i \leq t_i + h_i, i = 1, 2, \cdots, n | N(t) = n) \\ &= \frac{\lambda h_1 e^{-\lambda h_1} \lambda h_2 e^{-\lambda h_2} \cdots \lambda h_n e^{-\lambda h_n} e^{-\lambda(t-h_1-h_2-\cdots-h_n)}}{\frac{e^{-\lambda t} (\lambda t)^n}{n!}} \\ &= \frac{n!}{t^n} h_1 h_2 \cdots h_n \end{aligned}$$

So

$$Pr(t_i \leq S_i \leq t_i + h_i, i = 1, 2, \cdots, n | N(t) = n) = \frac{n!}{t^n} h_1 h_2 \cdots h_n$$

Let $h_i \rightarrow 0$

We can get the conditional distribution of arrival time S_1, S_2, \dots, S_n is

$$f(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n) = \frac{n!}{t^n}, \quad 0 < t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_n$$

The homework §3

§3.1 Random Walk and Martingales

1.

$$\begin{aligned} & E(M_{n+1} - (n+1)\mu | \mathcal{F}_n) \\ &= E(M_n + X_{n+1} - n\mu - \mu | \mathcal{F}_n) \\ &= E(M_n - n\mu | \mathcal{F}_n) + E(X_{n+1} - \mu | \mathcal{F}_n) \\ &= M_n - n\mu + E(X_{n+1} - \mu) = M_n - n\mu + \mu - \mu \\ &= M_n - n\mu \end{aligned}$$

2.

$$\begin{aligned} & E(M_{n+1}^2 - (n+1)\sigma^2 | \mathcal{F}_n) \\ &= E((M_n + X_{n+1})^2 - (n+1)\sigma^2 | \mathcal{F}_n) \\ &= E(M_n^2 + 2M_nX_{n+1} + X_{n+1}^2 - n\sigma^2 - \sigma^2 | \mathcal{F}_n) \\ &= E(M_n^2 - n\sigma^2 | \mathcal{F}_n) + E(2M_nX_{n+1} | \mathcal{F}_n) + E(X_{n+1}^2 - \sigma^2 | \mathcal{F}_n) \\ &= M_n^2 - n\sigma^2 + 2M_nE(X_{n+1} | \mathcal{F}_n) + E(X_{n+1}^2 | \mathcal{F}_n) - \sigma^2 \\ &= M_n^2 - n\sigma^2 + \text{Var}(X_{n+1}) - E^2(X_{n+1}) - \sigma^2 = M_n^2 - n\sigma^2 + \sigma^2 - \sigma^2 \\ &= M_n^2 - n\sigma^2 \end{aligned}$$

§3.2 Wald Martingale

For $n \geq 1$

$$\begin{aligned} E(W_{n+1} | \mathcal{F}_n) &= E\left(\frac{e^{\theta \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} X_j}}{(\phi(\theta))^{n+1}} | \mathcal{F}_n\right) \\ &= E\left(\frac{e^{\theta \sum_{j=1}^n X_j} \cdot \theta e^{X_{n+1}}}{(\phi(\theta))^{n+1}} | \mathcal{F}_n\right) \\ &= E\left(\frac{e^{\theta \sum_{j=1}^n X_j}}{(\phi(\theta))^{n+1}} | \mathcal{F}_n\right) \cdot E(\theta e^{X_{n+1}} | \mathcal{F}_n) = \frac{W_n}{\phi(\theta)} E(\theta e^{X_{n+1}} | \mathcal{F}_n) \\ &= \frac{W_n}{\phi(\theta)} \phi(\theta) = W_n \end{aligned}$$

For $n = 0$

$$\begin{aligned} E(W_1|\mathcal{F}_0) &= E\left(\frac{e^{\theta X_1}}{\phi(\theta)}|\mathcal{F}_0\right) \\ &= \frac{E(e^{\theta X_1})}{\phi(\theta)} = \frac{\phi(\theta)}{\phi(\theta)} = 1 = W_0 \end{aligned}$$

§3.3 Compensated Poisson Process as a Martingale

For any $0 \leq s \leq t \leq T$

$$\begin{aligned} E(N(t) - \lambda t|\mathcal{F}_s) &= E(N(t) - N(s) + N(s) - \lambda t|\mathcal{F}_s) \\ &= E(N(t) - N(s)|\mathcal{F}_s) + N(s) - \lambda t \\ &= \lambda(t - s) + N(s) - \lambda t \\ &= N(s) - \lambda s \end{aligned}$$

§3.4 Asymmetric Random Walk and Gamblers Problem

1. Let $T_n = (\frac{1-p}{p})^{S_n}$

$$\begin{aligned} E(T_{n+1}|\mathcal{F}_n) &= E\left(\left(\frac{1-p}{p}\right)^{S_{n+1}}|\mathcal{F}_n\right) = E\left(\left(\frac{1-p}{p}\right)^{S_n} \cdot \left(\frac{1-p}{p}\right)^{X_{n+1}}|\mathcal{F}_n\right) \\ &= E\left(\left(\frac{1-p}{p}\right)^{S_n}|\mathcal{F}_n\right) E\left(\left(\frac{1-p}{p}\right)^{X_{n+1}}|\mathcal{F}_n\right) = T_n \left(p \left(\frac{1-p}{p}\right) + (1-p) \left(\frac{1-p}{p}\right)^{-1}\right) \\ &= T_n(1 - p + p) = T_n \end{aligned}$$

2. T_n is a martingale, so

$$E\left(\left(\frac{1-p}{p}\right)^{S_\tau}|\mathcal{F}_0\right) = \left(\frac{1-p}{p}\right)^{S_0} = \left(\frac{1-p}{p}\right)^a$$

So

$$E\left(\left(\frac{1-p}{p}\right)^{S_\tau}|\mathcal{F}_0\right) = \left(\frac{1-p}{p}\right)^N Pr(S_\tau = N) + \left(\frac{1-p}{p}\right)^0 Pr(S_\tau = 0) = \left(\frac{1-p}{p}\right)^a$$

We also know that

$$Pr(S_\tau = N) + Pr(S_\tau = 0) = 1$$

Solve equations

$$\begin{cases} \left(\frac{1-p}{p}\right)^N Pr(S_\tau = N) + \left(\frac{1-p}{p}\right)^0 Pr(S_\tau = 0) = \left(\frac{1-p}{p}\right)^a \\ Pr(S_\tau = N) + Pr(S_\tau = 0) = 1 \end{cases}$$

we can get

$$Pr(S_\tau = N) = \frac{1 - \left(\frac{1-p}{p}\right)^{-a}}{\left(\frac{1-p}{p}\right)^{N-a} - \left(\frac{1-p}{p}\right)^{-a}}$$

The homework §4

§4.1 One-Period Binomial Lattice Model

1. Consider a self-financing strategy while invest M in stock market and borrow M from money market.

The initial investment is 0, at time 1 we have:

$$V_u(1) = uM - (1+r)M = (u-1-r)M \quad \text{if the stock price rises}$$

$$V_d(1) = dM - (1+r)M = (d-1-r)M \quad \text{if the stock price goes down}$$

No arbitrage requires that $(u-1-r)(d-1-r) < 0$, i.e.

$$0 < d < 1+r < u$$

2. Consider the following portfolios

(a) Portfolio 1: One Call option and $\frac{K}{1+r}$ Bond at time 0.

(b) Portfolio 2: One Put option and S_0 Stock.

At time 1, the value of Portfolio 1 is

$$P_1 = \max(S_1 - K, 0) + K = \max(S_1, K)$$

While that of Portfolio 2 is

$$P_2 = \max(K - S_1, 0) + S_1 = \max(K, S_1)$$

Since $P_1 = P_2$, and they should be equal to each other at time 0, i.e.

$$C_0 + \frac{K}{1+r} = P_0 + S_0 \Rightarrow C_0 - P_0 = S_0 - \frac{K}{1+r}$$

3. From the Put-Call parity, we have

$$C_0 + \frac{K}{1+r} = P_0 + S_0$$

However $C'_0 < C_0$, namely, $C'_0 + \frac{K}{1+r} < P_0 + S_0$. We do as the followings:

(a) Short one Put option and one stock and get $P_0 + S_0$

(b) Get one Call option and $\frac{K}{1+r}$ Bonds, the paid $C'_0 + \frac{K}{1+r}$

(c) Hold $P_0 + S_0 - C'_0 + \frac{K}{1+r}$ Bond and paid $P_0 + S_0 - C'_0 + \frac{K}{1+r}$

The initial investment is 0 at time 0. At time 1, we have different payoffs with respect to the three circumstances:

(a) Short one Put option and one stock engender the cash outflow $\max(K, S_1)$

(b) One Call option and $\frac{K}{1+r}$ Bonds derives $\max(S_1, K)$ cash inflow

(c) $P_0 + S_0 - C'_0 + \frac{K}{1+r}$ Bond and get $(P_0 + S_0 - C'_0 + \frac{K}{1+r})(1+r)$ cash inflow

Therefore the net revenue at time 1 is $(P_0 + S_0 - C'_0 + \frac{K}{1+r})(1+r) > 0$, arbitrage.

§4.2 Multi-Period Binomial Lattice Model

1.

$$\tilde{p} = \frac{1+r-d}{u-d} = \frac{1+0-\frac{1}{2}}{2-\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{1}{3}$$

$$V_2(HH) = (4 \times 2 \times 2 - 6)^+ = 10, \quad Q(HH) = \tilde{p}^2 = \frac{1}{9}$$

$$V_2(HT) = V_2(TH) = (4 \times 2 \times \frac{1}{2} - 6)^+ = 0, \quad Q(HT) = Q(TH) = \tilde{p}(1-\tilde{p}) = \frac{2}{9}$$

$$V_2(TT) = (4 \times \frac{1}{2} \times \frac{1}{2} - 6)^+ = 0, \quad Q(TT) = (1-\tilde{p})^2 = \frac{4}{9}$$

$$V_0 = (\frac{1}{1+r})^2 E^Q V_N = 10 \times \frac{1}{9} + 0 \times \frac{2}{9} + 0 \times \frac{2}{9} + 0 \times \frac{4}{9} = \frac{10}{9}$$

2.

$$\Delta_1(H) = \frac{V_2(HH) - V_2(HT)}{S_2(HH) - S_2(HT)} = \frac{10 - 0}{16 - 4} = \frac{5}{6}$$

$$\Delta_1(T) = \frac{V_2(TH) - V_2(TT)}{S_2(TH) - S_2(TT)} = \frac{0 - 0}{4 - 1} = 0$$

$$\Delta_0 = \frac{X_1(H) - X_1(T)}{S_1(H) - S_1(T)} = \frac{\frac{1}{3}(16 - 6)^+ + (1 - \frac{1}{3})(4 - 6)^+ - \frac{1}{3}(4 - 6)^+ + (1 - \frac{1}{3})(1 - 6)^+}{8 - 2} = \frac{\frac{10}{3}}{6} = \frac{5}{9}$$

At time i , we hedge with the following strategies:

- (a) Hold Δ_i stock
- (b) Invest $X_i - \Delta_i S_i$ in money market

At time 0, we need to hold $\frac{5}{9}$ stock and borrow $\frac{10}{9}$

At time 1, if $S_1 = S_1(H)$ we increase our share to $\frac{5}{6}$ and borrow $\frac{10}{3}$

If $S_1 = S_1(T)$ at time 0, we hold 0 stock and borrow nothing.

- 3. It is an open question. The higher p in our formulae seems irrelevant to the call option price, however, it is notable that we should consider people's irrationalities; you can explain from the perspective of behavioral finance or practice.

The homework §5

§5.1 Scaling Property of Brownian Motion

$B(t)$ satisfies

1.

$$B(0) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{a}} W(0) = 0$$

- 2. For each $\omega \in \Omega$ $W(t)(\omega)$ is a continuous function of $t > 0$, so $B(t)$ is also a continuous function.

3.

$$B(t) - B(s) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{a}}(W(at) - W(as))$$

Because $W(at) - W(as) \sim N(0, a(t-s))$

$$B(t) - B(s) \sim N(0, \frac{a(t-s)}{a}) = N(0, t-s)$$

4. For all $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_m$, the increments $B(t_1) - B(t_0), B(t_2) - B(t_1), \dots, B(t_m) - B(t_{m-1})$ are independent.

§5.2 Finite Dimensional Distribution of a Brownian Motion

$$X = w(s_1) \sim N(0, s_1)$$

$$Y = w(s_2) - w(s_1) \sim N(0, s_2 - s_1)$$

Now let

$$\begin{cases} U = X = w(s_1) \\ V = Y + X = w(s_2) \end{cases}$$

We need to get the joint distribution of (U, V)

First, we can get

$$\begin{cases} x = u \\ y = v - u \end{cases}$$

So the $J = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, $|\det(J)| = 1$ We know that

$$f_{x,y} = p(s_1, 0, x_1)p(s_2 - s_1, x_2, 0)$$

So the distribution of (U, V)

$$f_{u,v} = |\det(J)|f_{x,y}(x(u, v), y(u, v)) = p(s_1, 0, y_1)p(s_2 - s_1, y_2 - y_1, 0)$$

§5.3 Brownian Motion with Drift

1.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Corr}(X_t, X_s) &= \frac{\text{Cov}(X_t, X_s)}{\sqrt{\text{Var}(X_t)\text{Var}(X(s))}} \\ &= \frac{E(X_t X_s) - E(X_t)E(X_s)}{\sigma^2 \sqrt{ts}} = \frac{\sigma^2 \min\{t, s\}}{\sigma^2 \sqrt{ts}} \\ &= \min\{\sqrt{\frac{t}{s}}, \sqrt{\frac{s}{t}}\} \end{aligned}$$

2. Let $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n = t$

$$\begin{aligned} [X, X](t) &= \lim_{\|\Pi\| \rightarrow 0} \sum_{i=1}^n [X(t_i) - X(t_{i-1})]^2 \\ &= \lim_{\|\Pi\| \rightarrow 0} \sum_{i=1}^n [\sigma^2(W(t_i) - W(t_{i-1}))^2 + 2\sigma\mu(W(t_i) - W(t_{i-1}))(t_i - t_{i-1}) + \mu^2(t_i - t_{i-1})^2] \\ &= \sigma^2 t \end{aligned}$$

§5.4 Geometric Brownian Motion

- 1.

$$\begin{aligned} Pr(S(t) > K) &= Pr(S_0 \exp\{\sigma(W(t) + G(t))\}) \\ &= Pr(W(t) > \frac{\ln K - \ln S_0 - G(t)}{\sigma}) \end{aligned}$$

We know that $W_t \sim N(0, t)$ So

$$Pr(W(t) > \frac{\ln K - \ln S_0 - G(t)}{\sigma}) = 1 - \Phi\left(\frac{\ln K - \ln S_0 - G(t)}{\sigma\sqrt{t}}\right)$$

2. For $0 \leq s < t$, if $S(t)$ is a martingale, $S(t)$ should satisfy

$$E(S(t)|W(s)) = S(s)$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} E(\exp\sigma(W(t) - W(s)) + G(t) - G(s)) &= 1 \\ e^{G(t) - G(s)} &= E(\exp - \sigma(W(t) - W(s))) = \exp - \frac{\sigma^2(t - s)}{2} \end{aligned}$$

So

$$G(t) = -\frac{\sigma^2 t}{2} + C$$

§5.5 Multidimensional Brownian Motion

To construct a three-dimensional correlated BM, we Let $\begin{pmatrix} W_1(t) \\ W_2(t) \\ W_3(t) \end{pmatrix} = A \begin{pmatrix} Z_1(t) \\ Z_2(t) \\ Z_3(t) \end{pmatrix}$ Since we have

known the correlations

$$Corr \begin{pmatrix} W_1(t) \\ W_2(t) \\ W_3(t) \end{pmatrix} = A corr \begin{pmatrix} Z_1(t) \\ Z_2(t) \\ Z_3(t) \end{pmatrix} A^t = AA^t = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \rho_{12} & \rho_{13} \\ \rho_{12} & 1 & \rho_{23} \\ \rho_{13} & \rho_{23} & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Solve the equation, we can get

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \rho_{12} & \sqrt{1 - \rho_{12}^2} & 0 \\ \rho_{13} & \frac{\rho_{23} - \rho_{12}\rho_{13}}{\sqrt{1 - \rho_{12}^2}} & \frac{\sqrt{1 - \rho_{12}^2 - \rho_{13}^2 - 2\rho_{12}\rho_{13}\rho_{23}}}{\sqrt{1 - \rho_{12}^2}} \end{pmatrix}$$

The homework §6

§6.1 Shreve Vol. II Exercise 4.5

1. The differential form of Itô Formula is

$$df(t, W(t)) = f_t(t, W(t))dt + f_x(t, W(t))dW(t) + \frac{1}{2}f_{xx}(t, W(t))dt.$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} d \log S(t) &= \frac{1}{S(t)}dt - \frac{1}{2S(t)^2}dS(t)dS(t) \\ &= \frac{1}{2S(t)^2} (2S(t)dS(t) - dS(t)dS(t)) \\ &= \frac{1}{2S(t)^2} (2S(t)(\alpha(t)S(t)dt + \sigma(t)S(t)dW(t)) - \sigma(t)^2S(t)^2dt) \\ &= \sigma(t)dW(t) + \left(\alpha(t) - \frac{\sigma(t)^2}{2} \right) dt. \end{aligned}$$

2.

$$\log S(t) = \log S(0) + \int_0^t \sigma(s)dW(s) + \int_0^t \left(\alpha(s) - \frac{\sigma(s)^2}{2} \right) ds.$$

Then we have

$$S(t) = S(0) \exp \left\{ \int_0^t \sigma(s)dW(s) + \int_0^t \left(\alpha(s) - \frac{\sigma(s)^2}{2} \right) ds \right\}.$$

§6.2 Continuing with Shreve Vol. II Exercise 4.5

$$\begin{aligned} d \left(\frac{1}{S(t)} \right) &= -\frac{1}{S(t)^2}dS(t) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{2}{S(t)^3} \sigma^2 S(t)^2 dt \\ &= \frac{(\sigma(t)^2 - \alpha(t))dt - \sigma(t)dW(t)}{S(t)}. \end{aligned}$$

§6.3 Shreve Vol. II Exercise 4.6

$$dS(t) = \sigma S(t)dW(t) + \left(\alpha - \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \right) S(t)dt + \frac{\sigma^2}{2} S(t)dt = \alpha S(t)dt + \sigma S(t)dW(t).$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} dS^p(t) &= pS^{p-1}(t)dS(t) + \frac{1}{2}p(p-1)S^{p-2}(t)\sigma^2 S(t)^2 dt \\ &= pS^{p-1}(t) (\alpha S(t)dt + \sigma S(t)dW(t)) + \frac{1}{2}p(p-1)S^{p-2}(t)\sigma^2 S(t)^2 dt \\ &= pS^p(t) \left(\sigma dW(t) + \alpha + \frac{p-1}{2} \sigma^2 dt \right). \end{aligned}$$

§6.4 Shreve Vol. II Exercise 4.7

1.

$$dW(t) = 4W^3(t)dW(t) + \frac{1}{2} \times W^2(t)dt = 4W^3(t)dt + 6W^2(t)dt.$$

And

$$W^4(t) = 4 \int_0^T W^3(t)dW(t) + 6 \int_0^T W^2(t)dt.$$

2.

$$E(W^4(t)) = E\left(4 \int_0^T W^3(t)dW(t) + 6 \int_0^T W^2(t)dt\right) = 6 \int_0^T tdt = 3T^2.$$

3. Use the same method

$$dW^6(t) = 6W^5(t)dW(t) + \frac{1}{2} \times 6 \times W^4(t)dt = 6W^5(t)dW(t) + 15W^4(t)dt.$$

So

$$W^6(T) = 6 \int_0^T W^5(t)dW(t) + 15 \int_0^T W^4(t)dt,$$

$$E(W^6(t)) = 15 \int_0^T 3t^2dt = 15T^3.$$

§6.5 Shreve Vol. II Exercise 4.15

1. $B_i(t) = \sum_{j=1}^d \int_0^t \frac{\sigma_{ij}(t)}{\sigma_i(t)} dW_j(t)$

(1) $B_i(0) = 0$;

(2) Since $\int_0^t \frac{\sigma_{ij}(t)}{\sigma_i(t)} dW_j(t)$ is Itô integral, $B_i(t)$ is continuous;

(3) Since $\int_0^t \frac{\sigma_{ij}(t)}{\sigma_i(t)} dW_j(t)$ is martingale, $B_i(t)$ is also a martingale;

(4) Since $W_i(t)$ are independent,

$$dB_i(t)dB_i(t) = \left[\sum_{j=1}^d \frac{\sigma_{ij}(t)}{\sigma_i(t)} dW_j(t) \right]^2 = \sum_{j=1}^d \left[\frac{\sigma_{ij}(t)}{\sigma_i(t)} \right]^2 dW_j(t)dW_j(t) = \sum_{j=1}^d \left[\frac{\sigma_{ij}(t)}{\sigma_i(t)} \right]^2 dt = dt.$$

According to Lévy theorem, $B_i(t)$ is Brownian motion.

2.

$$\begin{aligned} dB_i(t)dB_k(t) &= \left[\sum_{j=1}^d \frac{\sigma_{ij}(t)}{\sigma_i(t)} dW_j(t) \right] \left[\sum_{j=1}^d \frac{\sigma_{kj}(t)}{\sigma_k(t)} dW_j(t) \right] \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^d \sum_{l=1}^d \frac{\sigma_{ij}(t)\sigma_{kl}(t)}{\sigma_i(t)\sigma_k(t)} = \rho_{ik}(t)dt. \end{aligned}$$

§6.6 An Integral

$$1. d[tW(t)] = W(t)dt + t dW(t),$$

$$J(t) = \int_0^t W(s)ds = tW(t) - \int_0^t s dW(s) = tW(t) - I(t).$$

For $\forall t > 0$, $W(t) \sim N(0, t)$, hence $tW(t) \sim N(0, t^3)$.

$$I(t) = \int_0^t s dW(s) \sim N\left(0, \int_0^t s^2 ds\right) = N\left(0, \frac{1}{3}t^3\right).$$

Therefore, $J(t)$ is the difference between two normal distribution variables, and $J(t)$ is also normal.

$$2. \text{ (By Yuchi Zhang) } E \int_0^t W_s ds = \int_0^t E W_s ds = 0$$

We know that : $E[B_s B_u] = s \wedge u$

$$\begin{aligned} E\left[\int_0^t B_s ds\right]^2 &= E\left[\int_0^t B_s ds \times \int_0^t B_u du\right] = E \int_0^t \left[\int_0^t B_u B_s du\right] ds = \int_0^t \int_0^t E[B_u B_s] du ds \\ &= \int_0^t \int_0^t s \wedge u du ds = \int_0^t du \int_0^u s ds + \int_0^t ds \int_0^s u du = \frac{1}{3}t^3 \end{aligned}$$

So we get : $\int_0^t W_s ds \sim N\left(0, \frac{1}{3}t^3\right)$.

Another method for calculating $Var(J(t))$: (By TA Moren Gao)

$$\begin{aligned} Var(J(t)) &= Var(tW(t) - I(t)) = t^2 Var(W(t)) + Var(I(t)) - 2t \mathbb{E}(W(t)I(t)) \\ &= t^3 + \frac{1}{3}t^3 - 2t \mathbb{E}(W(t)I(t)) \end{aligned}$$

To calculate the covariance $\mathbb{E}(W(t)I(t))$, utilizing Itô's lemma, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(W(t)I(t)) &= I(t)dW(t) + W(t)dI(t) + dW(t)dI(t) = I(t)dW(t) + W(t)tdW(t) + dW(t) \cdot t dW(t) \\ &= tdt + [I(t) + tW(t)]dW(t) \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $W(t)I(t) = \int_0^t s ds + \int_0^t [I(s) + sW(s)] dW(s)$, and then $\mathbb{E}W(t)I(t) = \int_0^t s ds = \frac{1}{2}t^2$.

Therefore, $Var(J(t)) = t^3 + \frac{1}{3}t^3 - 2t \cdot \frac{1}{2}t^2 = \frac{1}{3}t^3$.

The homework §7

§7.1 The Geometric Mean-Reversion Process

1.

$$\begin{aligned} d(e^{kt}X(t)) &= e^{kt}dX(t) + ke^{kt}X(t)dt = e^{kt}\left(k\theta - \frac{\sigma^2}{2} - kX(t)\right)dt + ke^{kt}X(t)dt + e^{kt}\sigma dW(t) \\ &= e^{kt}\left(k\theta - \frac{\sigma^2}{2}\right)dt + e^{kt}\sigma dW(t) \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} e^{kt}X(t) - X(0) &= \int_0^t e^{ku}\left(k\theta - \frac{\sigma^2}{2}\right)du + \int_0^t e^{ku}\sigma dW(u) \\ &= \frac{\left(k\theta - \frac{\sigma^2}{2}\right)(e^{kt} - 1)}{k} + \int_0^t e^{ku}\sigma dW(u) \end{aligned}$$

So

$$S(t) = e^{X(t)} = \exp\left\{e^{-kt}\log S(0) + \left(\theta - \frac{\sigma^2}{2k}\right)(1 - e^{-kt}) + \int_0^t e^{k(u-t)}\sigma dW(u)\right\}$$

2. According to the property of Itô Integrate, $\log S(t)$ is normal distribution

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}(\log S(t)) &= e^{-kt}\log S(0) + \left(\theta - \frac{\sigma^2}{2k}\right)(1 - e^{-kt}) \\ \text{Var}(\log S(t)) &= \int_0^t e^{2k(u-t)}\sigma^2 du = \frac{\sigma^2}{2k}(1 - e^{-2kt}) \end{aligned}$$

So $S(t)$ is log-normal distribution and

$$\mathbb{E}(S(t)) = \exp\left\{e^{-kt}\log S(0) + \left(\theta - \frac{\sigma^2}{2k}\right)(1 - e^{-kt}) + \frac{\sigma^2}{4k}(1 - e^{-2kt})\right\}$$

§7.2 A Double Mean-reversion Model

1. pass

2. Let $d\widetilde{W}_2(t) = \rho dW_1(t) + \sqrt{1 - \rho^2}dW_2(t)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} d e^{\kappa_2 t} X_2(t) &= \kappa_2 e^{\kappa_2 t} X_2(t)dt + e^{\kappa_2 t} dX_2(t) \\ &= e^{\kappa_2 t} \left\{ \kappa_2 \theta_2 dt + \sigma_2 d\widetilde{W}_2(t) \right\} \\ X_2(t) &= x_2 e^{-\kappa_2 t} + \theta_2 (1 - e^{-\kappa_2 t}) + \sigma_2 \int_0^t e^{\kappa_2(s-t)} d\widetilde{W}_2(s) \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} X_1(t) &= x_1 e^{-\kappa_1 t} + e^{-\kappa_1 t} \int_0^t e^{\kappa_1 s} X_2(s) ds + e^{-\kappa_1 t} \int_0^t e^{\kappa_1 s} dW_1(s) \\ &= x_1 e^{-\kappa_1 t} + \frac{\kappa_1}{\kappa_1 - \kappa_2} (x_2 - \theta_2) (e^{-\kappa_2 t} - e^{-\kappa_1 t}) + \theta_2 (1 - e^{-\kappa_1 t}) \\ &\quad + \frac{\kappa_1}{\kappa_1 - \kappa_2} \sigma_2 \int_0^t e^{\kappa_2(s-t)} (1 - e^{(\kappa_1 - \kappa_2)(s-t)}) d\widetilde{W}_2(s) \\ &\quad + \sigma_1 \int_0^t e^{\kappa_1(s-t)} dW_1(s) \end{aligned}$$

§7.3 Correlated Assets

1. According to Itô formula,

$$\begin{aligned} d \log S_i(t) &= \frac{1}{S_i(t)} dS_i(t) + \frac{1}{2} \left(-\frac{1}{S_i(t)^2} \right) \sigma_i^2 S_i(t) dt \\ &= \mu_i dt + \sigma_i dW_i(t) - \frac{1}{2} \sigma_i^2 dt = \left(\mu_i - \frac{1}{2} \sigma_i^2 \right) dt + \sigma_i dW_i(t) \end{aligned}$$

Then integrate

$$S_i(t) = S_i(0) \exp \left\{ \left(\mu_i - \frac{1}{2} \sigma_i^2 \right) t + \sigma_i W_i(t) \right\}$$

2. Let $\Sigma = (\rho_{ij})_{nm}$, there exist a lower triangular matrix $A = (a_{ij})_{nn}$ and $AA^t = \Sigma$ and

$$\begin{pmatrix} W_1(t) \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ W_n(t) \end{pmatrix} = A \begin{pmatrix} B_1(t) \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ B_n(t) \end{pmatrix}$$

where $\begin{pmatrix} B_1(t) \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ B_n(t) \end{pmatrix}$ is n dimension standard BM

$$dW_i(t) = \sum_{j=1}^i a_{ij} dB_j(t)$$

$$dS_i(t) = \mu_i S_i(t) dt + \sigma_i S_i(t) \sum_{j=1}^i a_{ij} dB_j(t)$$

So

$$S_i(t) = S_i(0) \exp \left\{ \left(\mu_i - \frac{\sigma_i^2}{2} \right) t + \sigma_i S_i(0) \sum_{j=1}^i a_{ij} B_j(t) \right\}$$

3. When Δt is small, we have

$$S_i(\Delta t) - S_i(0) = \mu_i S_i(0) \Delta t + \sigma_i S_i(0) W_i(\Delta t)$$

$$S_j(\Delta t) - S_j(0) = \mu_j S_j(0) \Delta t + \sigma_j S_j(0) W_j(\Delta t)$$

So

$$Cov(S_i(\Delta t) - S_i(0), S_j(\Delta t) - S_j(0)) = \sigma_i \sigma_j S_i(0) S_j(0) W_i(\Delta t) W_j(\Delta t)$$

$$Var(S_i(\Delta t) - S_i(0)) = \sigma_i^2 S_i(0)^2 Var(W_i(\Delta t))$$

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\Delta t \rightarrow 0} Corr(S_i(\Delta t) - S_i(0), S_j(\Delta t) - S_j(0)) &= \lim_{\Delta t \rightarrow 0} \frac{Cov(S_i(\Delta t) - S_i(0), S_j(\Delta t) - S_j(0))}{\sqrt{Var(S_i(\Delta t) - S_i(0)) Var(S_j(\Delta t) - S_j(0))}} \\ &= \rho_{ij} \end{aligned}$$

The homework §8

§8.1 Change of Discounted Values

Proof. Since

$$dX(t) = \Delta(t)dS(t) + r(X(t) - \Delta(t)S(t))dt$$

$$d[e^{-rt}X(t)] = -re^{-rt}X(t)dt + e^{-rt}dX(t) = -\Delta(t)re^{-rt}S(t)dt + \Delta(t)e^{-rt}dS(t) = \Delta(t)d[e^{-rt}S(t)]$$

§8.2 Verification for Black-Scholes-Merton (1973)

Proof. We construct a self-financing portfolio with values $X(t) = c(t, S(t))$. $\Delta(t) = c_x(t, S(t))$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & d[e^{-rt}X(t)] \\ &= \Delta(t)d[e^{-rt}S(t)] \\ &= c_x(t, S(t))e^{-rt}[dS(t) - rS(t)dt] \\ &= c_x(t, S(t))S(t)e^{-rt}[(\alpha - r)dt + \sigma dW(t)] \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & d[e^{-rt}c(t, S(t))] = e^{-rt}[dc(t, S(t)) - rc(t, S(t))dt] \\ &= e^{-rt}\left[c_t dt + c_x dS(t) + \frac{1}{2}c_{xx}d[S, S]_t - rc(t, S(t))dt\right] \\ &= e^{-rt}\left[c_t + \alpha c_x S(t) + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 c_{xx}S(t)^2 - rc\right]dt + e^{-rt}\sigma c_x S(t)dW(t) \\ & \quad \text{(using BSM PDE)} \\ &= e^{-rt}S(t)c_x[(\alpha - r)dt + \sigma dW(t)] \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have $d[e^{-rt}X(t)] = d[e^{-rt}c(t, S(t))]$.

Which implies $e^{-rt}X(t) - X(0) = e^{-rt}c(t, S(t)) - c(0, S(0))$, that is $X(t) = c(t, S(t))$.

§8.3 Understanding Options Return

By Itô's formula,

$$\begin{aligned} c(t + \Delta, S(t + \Delta)) - c(t, S(t)) &= \int_t^{t+\Delta} c_t(u, S_u)du + \int_t^{t+\Delta} c_x(u, S_u)dS_u + \frac{1}{2} \int_t^{t+\Delta} c_{xx}(u, S_u)dS_u dS_u \\ &= \int_t^{t+\Delta} (c_t(u, S_u) + \alpha S_u c_x(u, S_u) + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 S_u^2 c_{xx}(u, S_u))du + \int_t^{t+\Delta} \sigma S_u dW_u \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{\Delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\Delta} \frac{\mathbb{E}[c(t+\Delta, S(t+\Delta)) - c(t, S(t)) | \mathcal{F}_t]}{c(t, S(t))} - r &= \lim_{\Delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\Delta} \frac{\mathbb{E}[\int_t^{t+\Delta} (c_t(u, S_u) + \alpha S_u c_x(u, S_u) + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 S_u^2 c_{xx}(u, S_u)) du | \mathcal{F}_t]}{c(t, S(t))} - r \\
&= \frac{c_t(t, S_t) + \alpha S_t c_x(t, S_t) + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 S_t^2 c_{xx}(t, S_t) - r c(t, S(t))}{c(t, S(t))} \\
&= \frac{\alpha S_t c_x(t, S_t) - r S_t c_x(t, S_t)}{c(t, S(t))} \\
&= \frac{c_x(t, S_t) \sigma S_t}{c(t, S_t)} \lambda
\end{aligned}$$

§8.4 Option Pricing with the Local Volatility Model

Let $V(t) = X(t) = c(t, S(t))$, $t \in [0, T]$, on one hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
dX(t) &= \Delta(t) dS(t) + r(X(t) - \Delta(t) S(t)) dt \\
&= rX(t) dt + \Delta(t)(\mu - r)S(t) dt + \Delta(t)\sigma(S(t))S(t) dW(t)
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
dc(t, S(t)) &= c_t dt + c_x dS(t) + \frac{1}{2} c_{xx} dS(t) dS(t) \\
&= [c_t + \mu S(t) c_x + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2(S(t)) S(t)^2 c_{xx}] dt + \sigma(S(t)) S(t) c_x dW(t)
\end{aligned}$$

Since $dX(t) = dc(t, S(t))$ and let $S(t) = x$, we get the equation with terminal condition,

$$\begin{cases} rc(t, x) = c_t(t, x) + rc_x(t, x) + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2(x) x^2 c_{xx}(t, x) \\ c(T, x) = (K - x)^+ \end{cases}$$

§8.5 Exchange Option

Let $V(t) = X(t) = c(t, S_1(t), S_2(t))$, $t \in [0, T]$, on one hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
dX(t) &= \Delta_1(t) dS_1(t) + \Delta_2(t) dS_2(t) + r(X(t) - \Delta_1(t) S_1(t) - \Delta_2(t) S_2(t)) dt \\
&= rX(t) dt + [\Delta_1(t)(\mu_1 - r) S_1(t) + \Delta_2(t)(\mu_2 - r) S_2(t)] dt \\
&\quad + \Delta_1(t) \sigma_1 S_1(t) dW_1(t) + \Delta_2(t) \sigma_2 S_2(t) dW_2(t)
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
dc(t, S_1(t), S_2(t)) &= \left[c_t + c_{x_1} \mu_1 x_1 + c_{x_2} \mu_2 x_2 + \frac{1}{2} c_{x_1 x_1} \sigma_1^2 S_1(t)^2 + \frac{1}{2} c_{x_2 x_2} \sigma_2^2 S_2(t)^2 + c_{x_1 x_2} \sigma_1 \sigma_2 S_1(t) S_2(t) \rho \right] dt \\
&\quad + c_{x_1} \sigma_1 S_1(t) dW_1(t) + c_{x_2} \sigma_2 S_2(t) dW_2(t)
\end{aligned}$$

Since $dX(t) = dc(t, S(t))$ and let $S_i(t) = x_i, i = 1, 2$, we get the equation with terminal condition,

$$\Delta_1(t) = c_{x_1}(t, x_1, x_2), \quad \Delta_2(t) = c_{x_2}(t, x_1, x_2)$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} rc + c_{x_1}(\mu_1 - r)x_1 + c_{x_2}(\mu_2 - r)x_2 \\ = c_t + c_{x_1}\mu_1x_1 + c_{x_2}\mu_2x_2 + \frac{1}{2}c_{x_1x_1}\sigma_1^2x_1^2 + \frac{1}{2}c_{x_2x_2}\sigma_2^2x_2^2 + c_{x_1x_2}\sigma_1\sigma_2x_1x_2\rho \\ c(T, x_1, x_2) = (x_1 - x_2)^+ \end{array} \right.$$

that is,

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} r[c - c_{x_1}x_1 - c_{x_2}x_2] \\ = c_t + \frac{1}{2}c_{x_1x_1}\sigma_1^2x_1^2 + \frac{1}{2}c_{x_2x_2}\sigma_2^2x_2^2 + c_{x_1x_2}\sigma_1\sigma_2x_1x_2\rho \\ c(T, x_1, x_2) = (x_1 - x_2)^+ \end{array} \right.$$

The homework §9

§9.1 Option Pricing under Bachelier's Arithmetic Brownian Motion Model

1. Because $dS(t) = \mu dt + \sigma dW(t)$, we have

$$dX(t) = \Delta(t)dS(t) + r(X(t) - \Delta(t)S(t))dt = [rX(t) + \Delta(t)\mu - r\Delta(t)S(t)]dt + \sigma\Delta(t)dW(t),$$

$$dp(t, S(t)) = p_t dt + p_x dS(t) + \frac{1}{2}p_{xx}dS(t)dS(t) = [p_t + \mu p_x + \frac{1}{2}p_{xx}]dt + \sigma p_x dW(t).$$

Let $X(t) = p(t, S(t))$, $dX(t) = dp(t, S(t))$, then $\Delta(t) = p_x(t, S(t))$,

$$p_t(t, x) + rxp_x(t, x) + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 p_{xx}(t, x) = rp(t, x), \quad \forall t \in [0, T] \quad (5)$$

with a terminal condition $p(T, x) = (K - x)^+$.

2. By Feynman-Kac theorem,

$$p(t, S(t)) = \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}} \left[e^{-r(T-t)} (K - S(T))^+ | \mathcal{F}(t) \right]. \quad (6)$$

satisfies the following PDE

$$p_t(t, x) + rxp_x(t, x) + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 p_{xx}(t, x) = rp(t, x) \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, T] \quad (7)$$

with a terminal condition $p(T, x) = (K - x)^+$, where $\beta(t, x) = rx$, $\gamma(t, x) = \sigma$

3. Under risk-neutral measure, we have

$$dS(t) = rS(t)dt + \sigma dW^{\mathbb{Q}}(t) \quad (8)$$

Using the same method to Vasicek Model, we can deduce that

$$p(t, S(t)) = \sigma e^{rt} \sqrt{\tau} [d\Phi(d) + \phi(d)],$$

where

$$\sqrt{\tau} = \frac{e^{-2rt} - e^{-2rT}}{2r}, \quad d = \frac{Ke^{-rT} - S(t)e^{-rt}}{\sigma\sqrt{\tau}},$$

- 4.

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(t) = p_x(t, S(t)) &= -\Phi(d) + [Ke^{-r(T-t)} - x]\Phi(d) \left(-\frac{e^{-rt}}{\sqrt{\tau}} \right) \\ &\quad + \sqrt{\tau}e^{rt} \left(-\frac{Ke^{-rT} - xe^{rt}}{\sqrt{\tau}} \right) \phi(d) \left(-\frac{e^{-rt}}{\sqrt{\tau}} \right) = -\Phi(d) < 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence the hedging strategy is to short $\Phi(d)$ shares stocks, and invest $X(t) + \Phi(d)S(t)$ into money market.

5. STEP 1: Eliminate the term on right side

We mimic the way we solve Vasicek model, and let $u(t, x) = e^{-rt}p(t, x)$. Then (3) transforms into

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + rx \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} = 0 \quad (9)$$

STEP 2: Eliminate the drift term

Then it's natural to think of ways to eliminate the drift term. To do this, we have to make change of variable x . Let $z = f(t)x$ and $u(t, x) := v(t, z)$. Take derivative w.r.t. t and x ,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} &= \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} f'(t)x \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} &= \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} f(t), \quad \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} = \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial y^2} f(t). \end{aligned}$$

Putting these equations into (5), and let the coefficient of $\frac{\partial v}{\partial y}$ equal to 0, we derive the expression of $f(t)$ and z . And (5) transforms into

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial y^2} e^{-2rt} = 0 \quad (10)$$

STEP 3: Turn into heat equation

We are only one step away from victory. According to the characteristics of (6), we guess that this time we have to make change to variable t to eliminate the coefficient $\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 e^{-2rt}$. Let $\tau = g(t)$ and $v(t, z) := s(\tau, z)$. By the same way as above, we can derive the expression of $g(t)$ or τ . Note you have to choose an appropriate form of $g(t)$ so that the terminal condition holds

$$s(0, z) = e^{-rT}p(T, x),$$

which means if $t = T$, then $\tau = 0$. Finally we have the heat equation

$$s_\tau(\tau, z) = s_{zz}(\tau, z). \quad (11)$$

Following the above three steps, we solve the heat equation

$$\begin{aligned} s(\tau, z) &= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} s(0, y) G(z, y, \tau) dy, \quad G(z, y, \tau) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi\tau}} \exp\left\{-\frac{(z-y)^2}{4\tau}\right\}. \\ s(\tau, z) &= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} s(0, y) G(z, y, \tau) dy = \int_{-\infty}^{\frac{Ke^{-rT}-z}{\sqrt{\tau}}} (Ke^{-rT} - \sqrt{\tau}x - z) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} dx \\ &= (Ke^{-rT} - z) \Phi\left(\frac{Ke^{-rT} - z}{\sqrt{\tau}}\right) + \sqrt{\tau} \phi\left(\frac{Ke^{-rT} - z}{\sqrt{\tau}}\right) \end{aligned}$$

So

$$p(t, S(t)) = \sigma e^{rt} \sqrt{\tau} [d\Phi(d) + \phi(d)]$$

where

$$\sqrt{\tau} = \frac{e^{-2rt} - e^{-2rT}}{2r}, \quad d = \frac{Ke^{-rT} - S(t)e^{-rt}}{\sigma\sqrt{\tau}},$$

§9.2 Shreve Vol II. Exercise. 4.12 (i) and (ii)

1. For call option $c(t, x)$, we have deduced that

$$\begin{aligned} c_x(t, x) &= N(d_+(T-t, x)), \quad d_{\pm}(\tau, x) = \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{\tau}} \left[\log \frac{x}{k} + \left(r \pm \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \right) \tau \right], \\ c_t(t, x) &= -rKe^{-r(T-t)}N(d_-(T-t, x)) - \frac{\sigma x}{2\sqrt{T-t}}N'(d_+(T-t, x)), \\ c_{xx}(t, x) &= \frac{1}{\sigma x\sqrt{T-t}}N'(d_-(T-t, x)). \end{aligned}$$

By put-call parity $x - Ke^{-r(T-t)} = c(t, x) - p(t, x)$, the following hold

$$\begin{aligned} p_x(t, x) &= c_x(t, x) - 1 = N(d_+(T-t, x)) - 1, \\ p_t(t, x) &= c_t(t, x) + rKe^{-r(T-t)} = rKe^{-r(T-t)}[1 - N(d_-(T-t, x))] - \frac{\sigma x}{2\sqrt{T-t}}N'(d_+(T-t, x)), \\ p_{xx}(t, x) &= c_{xx}(t, x) = \frac{1}{\sigma x\sqrt{T-t}}N'(d_+(T-t, x)). \end{aligned}$$

2. Because $p_x(t, x) = N(d_+(T-t, x)) - 1 < 0$, the hedging strategy is to short $|p_x(t, S(t))|$ share stocks, and invest $X(t) + |p_x(t, x)|S(t)$ into money market.

§9.3 Shreve Vol II. Exercise. 4.11

Proof. First, we note $c(t, x)$ solves the Black-Scholes-Merton PDE with volatility σ_1 :

$$\frac{\partial c(t, x)}{\partial t} + rx \frac{\partial c(t, x)}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{2}x^2\sigma_1^2 \frac{\partial^2 c(t, x)}{\partial x^2} = rc(t, x),$$

so

$$c_t(t, S_t) + rS_t c_x(t, S_t) + \frac{1}{2}\sigma_1^2 S_t^2 c_{xx}(t, S_t) = rc(t, S_t). \quad (12)$$

And

$$\begin{aligned} dc(t, S_t) &= c_t(t, S_t)dt + c_x(t, S_t)dS_t + \frac{1}{2}c_{xx}(t, S_t)dS_t dS_t \\ &= c_t(t, S_t)dt + c_x(t, S_t)(\alpha S_t dt + \sigma_2 S_t dW_t) + \frac{1}{2}\sigma_2^2 S_t^2 c_{xx}(t, S_t)dt \\ &= \left[c_t(t, S_t) + \alpha c_x(t, S_t)S_t + \frac{1}{2}\sigma_2^2 S_t^2 c_{xx}(t, S_t) \right] dt + \sigma_2 S_t c_x(t, S_t)dW_t \\ (\text{Using formula (12)}) &= \left[rc(t, S_t) + (\alpha - r)c_x(t, S_t)S_t + \frac{1}{2}(\sigma_2^2 - \sigma_1^2)S_t^2 c_{xx}(t, S_t) \right] dt + \sigma_2 S_t c_x(t, S_t)dW_t \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
 dX_t &= dc(t, S_t) - c_x(t, S_t)dS_t + r[X_t - c(t, S_t) + S_t c_x(t, S_t)]dt - \frac{1}{2}(\sigma_2^2 - \sigma_1^2)S_t^2 c_{xx}(t, S_t)dt \\
 &= \left[rc(t, S_t) + (\alpha - r)c_x(t, S_t)S_t + \frac{1}{2}(\sigma_2^2 - \sigma_1^2)S_t^2 c_{xx}(t, S_t) + rX_t - rc(t, S_t) + rS_t c_x(t, S_t) \right]dt \\
 &\quad - \frac{1}{2}(\sigma_2^2 - \sigma_1^2)S_t^2 c_{xx}(t, S_t) - c_x(t, S_t)\alpha S_t \Big]dt + [\sigma_2 S_t c_x(t, S_t) - c_x(t, S_t)\sigma_1 S_t]dW_t \\
 &= rX_t dt
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence $X_t = X_0 e^{rt}$. By $X_0 = 0$, we conclude $X_t = 0, \forall t \in [0, T]$.

□

§9.4 Show that the implied volatility calculated from call and put options are the same.

Assume σ_{imp} is the implied volatility of call option, i.e. $c_{market} = c(\sigma_{imp})$, where c_{market} is the market price of call option and $c(\sigma)$ is the BSM option pricing formula. By put-call parity, we have

$$c_{market} - p_{market} = S_t - e^{-r(T-t)}K$$

$$c(\sigma_{imp}) - p(\sigma_{imp}) = S_t - e^{-r(T-t)}K$$

Therefore,

$$p_{market} - p(\sigma_{imp}) = c_{market} - c(\sigma_{imp}) = 0$$

so the implied volatility of call option σ_{imp} is the implied volatility of put option as well, and vice versa.