

Solutions to Homework 2

§1 Selected Questions from Karatzas and Shreve [1]

1. p. 197: 3.5.9 Exercise

With $\mu > 0$ and $W_* \triangleq \inf_{t>0} W_t$, under $P^{(\mu)}$ the random variable $-W_*$ is exponentially distributed with parameter 2μ , i.e.,

$$P^{(\mu)}[-W_* \in db] = 2\mu e^{-2\mu b} db, \quad b > 0.$$

Remark 1 $P^{(\mu)}$ is a measure which satisfies

$$P^{(\mu)}(A) = E[1_A Z_t]; \quad A \in \mathcal{F}_t,$$

where $Z_t \triangleq \exp(\mu W_t - \frac{1}{2}\mu^2 t)$.

Proof. Define that

$$W_t^* = \inf_{0 < s \leq t} W_s, \quad T_b = \inf\{t \geq 0; W_t = b\}.$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} P^{(\mu)}(-W_* \geq b) &= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} P^{(\mu)}(-W_t^* \geq b) \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} P^{(\mu)}(-W_t^* \geq b) \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} P^{(\mu)}(W_t^* \leq -b) \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} P^{(\mu)}(T_{-b} \leq t) \end{aligned}$$

Then, with the same method as (5.11) [[1] P196], and combining with (8.5) [[1] P96], we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} P^{(\mu)}(T_{-b} \leq t) &= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} E[1_{\{T_{-b} \leq t\}} Z_t] \\
&= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} E[1_{\{T_{-b} \leq t\}} E[Z_t | F_{t \wedge T_b}^w]] \\
&= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} E[1_{\{T_{-b} \leq t\}} Z_{t \wedge T_b}] \\
&= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} E[1_{\{T_{-b} \leq t\}} Z_{T_b}] \\
&= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} E[1_{\{T_{-b} \leq t\}} e^{-\mu b - \frac{1}{2} \mu^2 T_{-b}}] \\
&= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^t e^{-\mu b - \frac{1}{2} \mu^2 s} P[T_{-b} \in ds] \\
&= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^t e^{-\mu b - \frac{1}{2} \mu^2 s} \left(\frac{|-b|}{\sqrt{2\pi s^3}} e^{-\frac{b^2}{2s}} \right) ds \\
&= \int_0^\infty \frac{b}{\sqrt{2\pi s^3}} e^{-\mu b - \frac{1}{2} \mu^2 s - \frac{b^2}{2s}} ds \\
&= e^{-2\mu b} \int_0^\infty \frac{b}{\sqrt{2\pi s^3}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} (\mu \sqrt{s} - \frac{b}{\sqrt{s}})^2} ds.
\end{aligned}$$

Take $y = \mu \sqrt{s} - \frac{b}{\sqrt{s}}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&e^{-2\mu b} \int_{-\infty}^\infty \frac{4\mu b}{\sqrt{2\pi}(y + \sqrt{y^2 + 4\mu b})\sqrt{y^2 + 4\mu b}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}y^2} dy \\
&= e^{-2\mu b} \left[\int_{-\infty}^\infty \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}y^2} dy - \int_{-\infty}^\infty \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \frac{y}{\sqrt{y^2 + 4\mu b}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}y^2} dy \right] \\
&= e^{-2\mu b} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^\infty \frac{y}{\sqrt{y^2 + 4\mu b}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}y^2} dy \right).
\end{aligned}$$

It is obvious that $\int_{-\infty}^\infty \frac{y}{\sqrt{y^2 + 4\mu b}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}y^2} dy = 0$, then we get

$$P^{(\mu)}(-W_* \geq b) = e^{-2\mu b}.$$

Thus,

$$P^{(\mu)}(-W_* \in db) = -de^{-2\mu b} = 2\mu e^{-2\mu b} db.$$

Remark 2 This is a directly corollary of (5.13) [[1] P197].

■

Proof. There is another way to get the conclusion.

Define

$$\tau_{-x} = \inf \{t \geq 0; W_t + \mu t = -x\}.$$

Since $\exp \left\{ \lambda W_t - \frac{1}{2} \lambda^2 t \right\}$ is a martingale and for every $t > 0$,

$$E \exp \left\{ \lambda W_t - \frac{1}{2} \lambda^2 t \right\} = 1.$$

So that for t big enough,

$$\begin{aligned}
1 &= E \exp \left\{ \lambda W_t - \frac{1}{2} \lambda^2 t \right\} \\
&= E \exp \left\{ \lambda W_{t \wedge \tau_{-x}} - \frac{1}{2} \lambda^2 t \wedge \tau_{-x} \right\} \\
&= E \exp \left\{ \lambda W_{t \wedge \tau_{-x}} + \lambda \mu t \wedge \tau_{-x} - \lambda \mu t \wedge \tau_{-x} - \frac{1}{2} \lambda^2 t \wedge \tau_{-x} \right\} \\
&= E \left\{ \exp \left\{ \lambda W_{t \wedge \tau_{-x}} + \lambda \mu t \wedge \tau_{-x} - \lambda \mu t \wedge \tau_{-x} - \frac{1}{2} \lambda^2 t \wedge \tau_{-x} \right\} \middle| \tau_{-x} < \infty \right\} P(\tau_{-x} < \infty) \\
&\quad + E \left\{ \exp \left\{ \lambda W_{t \wedge \tau_{-x}} + \lambda \mu t \wedge \tau_{-x} - \lambda \mu t \wedge \tau_{-x} - \frac{1}{2} \lambda^2 t \wedge \tau_{-x} \right\} \middle| \tau_{-x} = \infty \right\} P(\tau_{-x} = \infty) \\
&= E \left\{ \exp \left\{ \lambda W_{\tau_{-x}} + \lambda \mu \tau_{-x} - \lambda \mu \tau_{-x} - \frac{1}{2} \lambda^2 \tau_{-x} \right\} \middle| \tau_{-x} < \infty \right\} P(\tau_{-x} < \infty) \\
&\quad + E \left\{ \exp \left\{ \lambda W_t + \lambda \mu t - \lambda \mu t - \frac{1}{2} \lambda^2 t \right\} \middle| \tau_{-x} = \infty \right\} P(\tau_{-x} = \infty).
\end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

Since $\tau_{-x} = \inf \{t \geq 0; W_t + \mu t = -x\}$, when $\tau_{-x} = \infty$, $W_t + \mu t > -x$ for every $t > 0$.

Let $\lambda = -2\mu$, then under the condition $\tau_{-x} = \infty$,

$$\exp \left\{ \lambda W_t + \lambda \mu t - \lambda \mu t - \frac{1}{2} \lambda^2 t \right\} \leq \exp \left\{ -\lambda x - \lambda \mu t - \frac{1}{2} \lambda^2 t \right\}.$$

Take $\lambda = -2\mu$ and let $t \rightarrow \infty$ in (1),

$$\begin{aligned}
1 &= E \left\{ \exp \left\{ \lambda W_{\tau_{-x}} + \lambda \mu \tau_{-x} - \lambda \mu \tau_{-x} - \frac{1}{2} \lambda^2 \tau_{-x} \right\} \middle| \tau_{-x} < \infty \right\} P(\tau_{-x} < \infty) \\
&= E \left\{ \exp \left\{ -\lambda x - \lambda \mu \tau_{-x} - \frac{1}{2} \lambda^2 \tau_{-x} \right\} \middle| \tau_{-x} < \infty \right\} P(\tau_{-x} < \infty) \\
&= E \left\{ e^{-2\mu x} \middle| \tau_{-x} < \infty \right\} P(\tau_{-x} < \infty) \\
&= e^{-2\mu x} P(\tau_{-x} < \infty).
\end{aligned}$$

So that,

$$P(\tau_{-x} < \infty) = e^{-2\mu x}, P(\tau_{-dx} < \infty) = 2\mu e^{-2\mu x} dx$$

which means,

$$P^{(\mu)}(-W_* \in db) = P(\tau_{-db} < \infty) = 2\mu e^{-2\mu b} db$$

■

2. p.283: 5.1.2 Problem

Assume that the coefficients b_i, σ_{ij} are bounded and continuous, and the \mathbb{R}^d -valued process X satisfies

$$X_t^{(i)} = x_i + \int_0^t b_i(X_s) ds + \sum_{j=1}^r \int_0^t \sigma_{ij}(X_s) dW_s^{(j)}; \quad 0 \leq t < \infty, 1 \leq i \leq d. \quad (2)$$

Show that

$$E^x [X_t^{(i)} - x_i] = t b_i(x) + o(t) \quad (3)$$

$$E^x \left[\left(X_t^{(i)} - x_i \right) \left(X_t^{(k)} - x_k \right) \right] = t a_{ik}(x) + o(t)$$

as $t \downarrow 0$, for $1 \leq i, k \leq d$ hold for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, and that

$$\lim_{t \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{t} [E^x f(X_t) - f(x)] = (\mathcal{A}f)(x); \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^d \quad (4)$$

hold for every $f \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ which is bounded and has bounded first- and second-order derivatives where the operator $\mathcal{A}f$ in (4) is given by

$$(\mathcal{A}f)(x) \triangleq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^d \sum_{k=1}^d a_{ik}(x) \frac{\partial^2 f(x)}{\partial x_i \partial x_k} + \sum_{i=1}^d b_i(x) \frac{\partial f(x)}{\partial x_i}$$

Remark 3 E^x means the expectation conditioning the initial value of the process being x .

Proof. (1)

According to (2), we get

$$\begin{aligned} E^x [X_t^{(i)} - x_i] - t b_i(x) &= E^x \left[\int_0^t b_i(X_s) ds - \sum_{j=1}^r \int_0^t \sigma_{ij}(X_s) dW_s^{(j)} - \int_0^t b_i(x) ds \right] \\ &= E^x \left[\int_0^t (b_i(X_s) - b_i(x)) ds - \sum_{j=1}^r \int_0^t \sigma_{ij}(X_s) dW_s^{(j)} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Since b_i is continuous and X_t has continuous sample paths, for $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists t > 0$, so that $\forall 0 < s < t$, we get $|b_i(X_s) - b_i(x)| < \varepsilon$.

Thus

$$\frac{1}{t} \int_0^t |b_i(X_s) - b_i(x)| ds \leq \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \varepsilon ds = \varepsilon.$$

Therefore,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0+} \frac{1}{t} E^x \left[\int_0^t (b_i(X_s) - b_i(x)) ds \right] = 0. \quad (5)$$

Meanwhile, Let $\Pi = \{0 \leq t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n \leq t\}$, $\|\Pi\| = \max \{t_k - t_{k-1}\}_{1 \leq k \leq n}$,

$$\begin{aligned} & E^x \left[\int_0^t \sigma_{ij}(X_s) dW_s^{(j)} \right] \\ &= \lim_{\|\Pi\| \rightarrow 0} E^x \sum_n \sigma_{ij}(X_{t_{n-1}}) (W_{t_n}^{(j)} - W_{t_{n-1}}^{(j)}) \\ &= \lim_{\|\Pi\| \rightarrow 0} E^x \left[E^x \sum_n \sigma_{ij}(X_{t_{n-1}}) (W_{t_n}^{(j)} - W_{t_{n-1}}^{(j)}) \mid \mathcal{F}_{t_{n-1}} \right] \\ &= \lim_{\|\Pi\| \rightarrow 0} E^x \left[\sum_n \sigma_{ij}(X_{t_{n-1}}) E^x \left[(W_{t_n}^{(j)} - W_{t_{n-1}}^{(j)}) \mid \mathcal{F}_{t_{n-1}} \right] \right] = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0+} \frac{1}{t} E^x \left[\int_0^t \sigma_{ij}(X_s) dW_s^{(j)} \right] = 0. \quad (6)$$

Combining (5) and (6), (3) holds.

(2)

$$\begin{aligned} & E^x [(X_t^{(i)} - x_i)(X_t^{(k)} - x_k)] \\ &= E^x \left[\left(\int_0^t b_i(X_s) ds + \sum_{j=1}^r \int_0^t \sigma_{ij}(X_s) dW_s^{(j)} \right) \left(\int_0^t b_k(X_s) ds + \sum_{l=1}^r \int_0^t \sigma_{kl}(X_s) dW_s^{(l)} \right) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

In the same method as we did in 1) ,we get

$$E^x \left[\left(\int_0^t b_i(X_s) ds \right) \left(\sum_{l=1}^r \int_0^t \sigma_{kl}(X_s) dW_s^{(l)} \right) \right] = o(t), \quad E^x \left[\left(\int_0^t b_i(X_s) ds \right) \left(\int_0^t b_k(X_s) ds \right) \right] = o(t).$$

Now it is sufficient to prove

$$\sum_{j=1}^r \sum_{l=1}^r E^x \left[\left(\int_0^t \sigma_{ij}(X_s) dW_s^{(j)} \right) \left(\int_0^t \sigma_{kl}(X_s) dW_s^{(l)} \right) \right] = t a_{ik}(x) + o(t). \quad (7)$$

Let $\Pi = \{0 \leq t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_N \leq t\}$, $\|\Pi\| = \max\{t_k - t_{k-1}\}_{1 \leq k \leq N}$.

$$\begin{aligned}
& E^x \left[\left(\int_0^t \sigma_{ij}(X_s) dW_s^{(j)} \right) \left(\int_0^t \sigma_{kl}(X_s) dW_s^{(l)} \right) \right] \\
&= \lim_{\|\Pi\| \rightarrow 0} E^x \left[\left(\sum_n \sigma_{ij}(X_{t_{n-1}}) (W_{t_n}^{(j)} - W_{t_{n-1}}^{(j)}) \right) \left(\sum_m \sigma_{kl}(X_{t_{m-1}}) (W_{t_m}^{(l)} - W_{t_{m-1}}^{(l)}) \right) \right] \\
&= \lim_{\|\Pi\| \rightarrow 0} \sum_n \sum_m E^x \left[\left(\sigma_{ij}(X_{t_{n-1}}) \sigma_{kl}(X_{t_{m-1}}) (W_{t_n}^{(j)} - W_{t_{n-1}}^{(j)}) (W_{t_m}^{(l)} - W_{t_{m-1}}^{(l)}) \right) \right].
\end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

For those terms with $t_{n-1} < t_{m-1}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& E^x \left[\left(\sigma_{ij}(X_{t_{n-1}}) \sigma_{kl}(X_{t_{m-1}}) (W_{t_n}^{(j)} - W_{t_{n-1}}^{(j)}) (W_{t_m}^{(l)} - W_{t_{m-1}}^{(l)}) \right) \right] \\
&= E^x \left[E^x \left[\left(\sigma_{ij}(X_{t_{n-1}}) \sigma_{kl}(X_{t_{m-1}}) (W_{t_n}^{(j)} - W_{t_{n-1}}^{(j)}) (W_{t_m}^{(l)} - W_{t_{m-1}}^{(l)}) \right) \mid \mathcal{F}_{t_{m-1}} \right] \right] \\
&= E^x \left[\left(\sigma_{ij}(X_{t_{n-1}}) \sigma_{kl}(X_{t_{m-1}}) (W_{t_n}^{(j)} - W_{t_{n-1}}^{(j)}) E^x \left[(W_{t_m}^{(l)} - W_{t_{m-1}}^{(l)}) \mid \mathcal{F}_{t_{m-1}} \right] \right) \right] \\
&= 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

For those term with $t_{m-1} < t_{n-1}$, the process is quiet same.

For those term with $t_{n-1} = t_{m-1}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& E^x \left[\left(\sigma_{ij}(X_{t_{n-1}}) \sigma_{kl}(X_{t_{m-1}}) (W_{t_n}^{(j)} - W_{t_{n-1}}^{(j)}) (W_{t_m}^{(l)} - W_{t_{m-1}}^{(l)}) \right) \right] \\
&= E^x \left[\left(\sigma_{ij}(X_{t_{m-1}}) \sigma_{kl}(X_{t_{m-1}}) (W_{t_m}^{(j)} - W_{t_{m-1}}^{(j)}) (W_{t_m}^{(l)} - W_{t_{m-1}}^{(l)}) \right) \right] \\
&= E^x \left[E^x \left[\left(\sigma_{ij}(X_{t_{m-1}}) \sigma_{kl}(X_{t_{m-1}}) (W_{t_m}^{(j)} - W_{t_{m-1}}^{(j)}) (W_{t_m}^{(l)} - W_{t_{m-1}}^{(l)}) \right) \mid \mathcal{F}_{t_{m-1}} \right] \right] \\
&= E^x \left[\sigma_{ij}(X_{t_{m-1}}) \sigma_{kl}(X_{t_{m-1}}) E^x \left[\left((W_{t_m}^{(j)} - W_{t_{m-1}}^{(j)}) (W_{t_m}^{(l)} - W_{t_{m-1}}^{(l)}) \right) \mid \mathcal{F}_{t_{m-1}} \right] \right].
\end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

When $t_{n-1} = t_{m-1}$, $j \neq l$, since $W^{(j)}$ is independent with $W^{(l)}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& E^x \left[\left((W_{t_m}^{(j)} - W_{t_{m-1}}^{(j)}) (W_{t_m}^{(l)} - W_{t_{m-1}}^{(l)}) \right) \mid \mathcal{F}_{t_{m-1}} \right] \\
&= E^x \left[(W_{t_m}^{(j)} - W_{t_{m-1}}^{(j)}) (W_{t_m}^{(l)} - W_{t_{m-1}}^{(l)}) \right] \\
&= E^x (W_{t_m}^{(j)} - W_{t_{m-1}}^{(j)}) E^x (W_{t_m}^{(l)} - W_{t_{m-1}}^{(l)}) \\
&= 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

When $t_{n-1} = t_{m-1}$, $j = l$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& E^x \left[\left(\sigma_{ij}(X_{t_{m-1}}) \sigma_{kj}(X_{t_{m-1}}) (W_{t_m}^{(j)} - W_{t_{m-1}}^{(j)}) (W_{t_m}^{(j)} - W_{t_{m-1}}^{(j)}) \right) \right] \\
&= E^x \left[E^x \left[\left(\sigma_{ij}(X_{t_{m-1}}) \sigma_{kj}(X_{t_{m-1}}) (W_{t_m}^{(j)} - W_{t_{m-1}}^{(j)}) (W_{t_m}^{(j)} - W_{t_{m-1}}^{(j)}) \right) \mid \mathcal{F}_{t_{m-1}} \right] \right] \\
&= E^x \left[\sigma_{ij}(X_{t_{m-1}}) \sigma_{kj}(X_{t_{m-1}}) E^x (W_{t_m}^{(j)} - W_{t_{m-1}}^{(j)})^2 \right] \\
&= (t_m - t_{m-1}) E^x [\sigma_{ij}(X_{t_{m-1}}) \sigma_{kj}(X_{t_{m-1}})].
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\sigma_{ij}(x), \sigma_{kj}(x), X_t$ are both continuous sample paths, for $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists t > 0$, so that $\forall 0 < s < t, \forall 1 \leq i, j, k \leq d$, we get $|\sigma_{ij}(X_s)\sigma_{kj}(X_s) - \sigma_{ij}(x)\sigma_{kj}(x)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{d^2}$.

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \left\{ \sum_m (t_m - t_{m-1}) E^x [\sigma_{ij}(X_{t_{m-1}}) \sigma_{kj}(X_{t_{m-1}})] \right\} - t \sigma_{ij}(x) \sigma_{kj}(x) \right| \\ &= \left| \sum_m (t_m - t_{m-1}) E^x [\sigma_{ij}(X_{t_{m-1}}) \sigma_{kj}(X_{t_{m-1}}) - \sigma_{ij}(x) \sigma_{kj}(x)] \right| \\ &\leq \varepsilon \left| \sum_m (t_m - t_{m-1}) \right| \\ &= \frac{\varepsilon t}{d^2}. \end{aligned}$$

So that

$$\left| \left\{ \sum_i \sum_j \sum_m (t_m - t_{m-1}) E^x [\sigma_{ij}(X_{t_{m-1}}) \sigma_{kj}(X_{t_{m-1}})] \right\} - t a_{ik}(x) \right| \leq \varepsilon t. \quad (12)$$

Since (8), (9), (10), (11) and (12) hold for any Π , let $\|\Pi\| \rightarrow 0$, we can get that (7) holds, which means

$$E^x [(X_t^{(i)} - x_i)(X_t^{(k)} - x_k)] = a_{ik}(x)t + o(t).$$

(3)

Since $f \in C^2(R^d)$,

$$f(X_t) - f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^d \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} f(x) (X_t^{(i)} - x_i) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^d \sum_{j=1}^d \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} f(x) (X_t^{(i)} - x_i)(X_t^{(j)} - x_j).$$

Combining with the conclusion of 1) and 2), we can get

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{t} E^x f(X_t) - f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^d b_i(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} f(x) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^d \sum_{j=1}^d \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} f(x) a_{ij}(x) = (\mathcal{A}f)(x).$$

Remark 4 We can get some intuitive sense by simply use Itô rule to $f(X_t)$, and then it is easily to remember this formula.

■

Proof. Here is an alternative proof by applying Itô's formula to $f(X_t)$.

By Itô's formula,

$$f(X_t) - f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^d \int_0^t \frac{\partial f(X_s)}{\partial x_i} dX_s^{(i)} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^d \sum_{k=1}^d \int_0^t \frac{\partial^2 f(X_s)}{\partial x_i \partial x_k} d\langle X^{(i)}, X^{(k)} \rangle_s,$$

with

$$X_t^{(i)} = x_i + \int_0^t b_i(X_s) ds + \sum_{j=1}^r \int_0^t \sigma_{ij}(X_s) dW_s^{(j)},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \langle X^{(i)}, X^{(k)} \rangle_t &= \sum_{j=1}^r \int_0^t \sigma_{ij}(X_s) \sigma_{kj}(X_s) ds \\ &= \int_0^t a_{ik}(X_s) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} f(X_t) - f(x) &= \sum_{i=1}^d \int_0^t b_i(X_s) \frac{\partial f(X_s)}{\partial x_i} ds + \sum_{i=1}^d \sum_{j=1}^r \int_0^t \sigma_{ij}(X_s) \frac{\partial f(X_s)}{\partial x_i} dW_s^{(j)} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^d \sum_{k=1}^d \int_0^t a_{ik}(X_s) \frac{\partial^2 f(X_s)}{\partial x_i \partial x_k} ds \\ &= \int_0^t (\mathcal{A}f)(X_s) ds + \sum_{i=1}^d \sum_{j=1}^r \int_0^t \sigma_{ij}(X_s) \frac{\partial f(X_s)}{\partial x_i} dW_s^{(j)}. \end{aligned}$$

Taking expectation on both sides of the above equation and we obtain

$$E^x f(X_t) - f(x) = E \int_0^t (\mathcal{A}f)(X_s) ds,$$

which implies

$$\lim_{t \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{t} [E^x f(X_t) - f(x)] = (\mathcal{A}f)(x),$$

since $f \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ implies $\mathcal{A}f \in C(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and X has a continous path.

If we let $f(y) = y_i$, then $(\mathcal{A}f)(y) = b_i(y)$, and we obtain

$$\lim_{t \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{t} [E^x X_t^{(i)} - x_i] = b_i(x),$$

which is equivalent to the first equation in (3).

If we let $f(y) = (y_i - x_i)(y_k - x_k)$, then $(\mathcal{A}f)(y) = a_{ik}(y) + b_i(x)(y_k - x_k) + b_k(x)(y_i - x_i)$, and we obtain

$$\lim_{t \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{t} E^x [(X_t^{(i)} - x_i)(X_t^{(k)} - x_k)] = a_{ik}(x),$$

which is equivalent to the second equation in (3). ■

3. p. 360: 5.6.15 Problem

We have an equation

$$dX_t = [A(t) X_t + a(t)] dt + \sum_{j=1}^r [S_j(t) X_t + \sigma_j(t)] dW_t^{(j)}, \quad (13)$$

where $W = \{W_t = (W_t^{(1)}, \dots, W_t^{(r)}), \mathcal{F}_t; 0 \leq t < \infty\}$ is an r -dimensional Brownian motion, and the coefficients A, a, S_j, σ_j are measurable, $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}$ -adapted, almost surely locally bounded processes. Show that the unique solution of this equation is

$$X_t = Z_t \left[X_0 + \int_0^t \frac{1}{Z_u} \{a(u) - \sum_{j=1}^r S_j(u) \sigma_j(u)\} du + \sum_{j=1}^r \int_0^t \frac{\sigma_j(u)}{Z_u} dW_u^{(j)} \right], \quad (14)$$

where we set

$$\varsigma_t \triangleq \sum_{j=1}^r \int_0^t S_j(u) dW_u^{(j)} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^r \int_0^t S_j^2(u) du,$$

$$Z_t \triangleq \exp \left[\int_0^t A(u) du + \varsigma_t \right].$$

In particular, the solution of the equation

$$dX_t = A(t) X_t dt + \sum_{j=1}^r S_j(t) X_t dW_t^{(j)}$$

is given by

$$X_t = X_0 \exp \left[\int_0^t \{A(u) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^r S_j^2(u)\} du + \sum_{j=1}^r \int_0^t S_j(u) dW_u^{(j)} \right]. \quad (15)$$

In the case of constant coefficients $A(t) \equiv A, S_j(t) \equiv S_j$ with $2A < \sum_{j=1}^r S_j^2$ in (15), show that $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} X_t = 0$ a.s., for arbitrary initial condition X_0 .

Proof. 1)

Since

$$|(A(t)x - a(t)) - (A(t)y - a(t))|^2 + \sum_{j=1}^r |(S_j(t)x + \sigma_j(t)) - (S_j(t)y + \sigma_j(t))|^2 \leq \left(|A(t)|^2 + \sum_{j=1}^r |S_j(t)|^2 \right) |x - y|^2,$$

combining with Theory (5.2.5) [[1] P287], the solution is unique.

Now it is sufficient to show that (14) satisfies (13).

Let

$$Z_t \triangleq \exp \left\{ \int_0^t A(u) du + \sum_{j=1}^r \int_0^t S_j(u) dW_u^{(j)} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^r \int_0^t S_j^2(u) du \right\}.$$

According to the Itô's rule,

$$df(X_t) = f'(X_t) dX_t + \frac{1}{2} f''(X_t) d\langle M \rangle_t,$$

it is easy to get that

$$dZ_t = Z_t \left[A(t) dt + S_j(t) dW_t^{(j)} \right] - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^r S_j^2(t) dt + Z_t \left[\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^r S_j^2(t) dt \right] = Z_t \left[A(t) dt + S_j(t) dW_t^{(j)} \right].$$

Then (13) can be simplified,

$$dX_t = (A(t)X_t + a(t)) dt + \sum_{j=1}^r (S_j(t)X_t + \sigma_j(t)) dW_t^{(j)} = \frac{X_t}{Z_t} dZ_t + a(t) dt + \sum_{j=1}^r \sigma_j(t) dW_t^{(j)}. \quad (16)$$

Apply Itô's rule and (16) to (14),

$$\begin{aligned}
& d \left\{ Z_t \left[X_0 + \int_0^t \frac{1}{Z_u} \{a(u) - \sum_{j=1}^r S_j(u) \sigma_j(u)\} du + \int_0^t \frac{1}{Z_u} \sigma_j(u) dW_u^{(j)} \right] \right\} \\
&= X_0 dZ_t + \left\{ \left[a(t) - \sum_{j=1}^r S_j(t) \sigma_j(t) \right] dt + \left[\int_0^t \frac{1}{Z_u} \{a(u) - \sum_{j=1}^r S_j(u) \sigma_j(u)\} du \right] dZ_t \right\} + \\
&\quad \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^r \sigma_j(t) dW_t^{(j)} + \left[\int_0^t \frac{1}{Z_u} \sigma_j(u) dW_u^{(j)} \right] dZ_t + \sum_{j=1}^r S_j(t) \sigma_j(t) dt \right\} \\
&= \left\{ X_0 + \left[\int_0^t \frac{1}{Z_u} \{a(u) - \sum_{j=1}^r S_j(u) \sigma_j(u)\} du \right] + \left[\int_0^t \frac{1}{Z_u} \sigma_j(u) dW_u^{(j)} \right] \right\} dZ_t + \\
&\quad \left[a(t) - \sum_{j=1}^r S_j(t) \sigma_j(t) \right] dt + \sum_{j=1}^r \sigma_j(t) dW_t^{(j)} + \sum_{j=1}^r S_j(t) \sigma_j(t) dt \\
&= \frac{X_t}{Z_t} dZ_t + a(t) dt + \sum_{j=1}^r \sigma_j(t) dW_t^{(j)} \\
&= dX_t
\end{aligned}$$

So (14) is the unique solution of (13).

2)

In the case of constant coefficients,

$$A(t) \equiv A, S_j(t) \equiv S_j, 2A < \sum_{j=1}^r S_j^2,$$

in (15),

$$X_t = X_0 \exp \left\{ \left(A - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^r S_j^2 \right) t + \sum_{j=1}^r \int_0^t S_j dW_u^{(j)} \right\} = X_0 \exp \left\{ \left(A - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^r S_j^2 \right) t + \sum_{j=1}^r S_j W_t^{(j)} \right\}.$$

Since $\sum_{j=1}^r S_j W_t^{(j)}$ is Brownian motion, applying the strong law of large numbers [[1] P104 Problem 9.3], we can get

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{W_t}{t} = 0. \quad (17)$$

We can also use the Law of the Iterated Logarithm [[1] P112 Theorem 9.23]

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{W_t(w)}{\sqrt{2t \log \log t}} = 1, \liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{W_t(w)}{\sqrt{2t \log \log t}} = -1 \quad (18)$$

According to (17) or (18),

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \sum_{j=1}^r S_j W_t^{(j)} = 0.$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} X_0 \exp \left\{ \left(A - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^r S_j^2 \right) t + \sum_{j=1}^r \int_0^t S_j dW_u^{(j)} \right\} \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} X_0 \exp \left\{ \left(A - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^r S_j^2 \right) + \frac{1}{t} \sum_{j=1}^r S_j W_t^{(j)} \right\} t = 0 \end{aligned}$$

■

§2 Selected Questions from Shreve [2]

4. p.291: Exercise 6.8

(Kolmogorov backward equation). Consider the stochastic differential equation

$$dX(u) = \beta(u, X(u)) du + \gamma(u, X(u)) dW(u).$$

We assume that, just as with a geometric Brownian motion, if we begin a process at an arbitrary initial positive value $X(t) = x$ at an arbitrary initial time t and evolve it forward using this equation, its value at each time $T > t$ could be any positive number but cannot be less than or equal to zero. For $0 \leq t \leq T$, let $p(t, T, x, y)$ be the transition density for the solution to this equation (i.e., if we solve the equation with the initial condition $X(t) = x$, then the random variable $X(T)$ has density $p(t, T, x, y)$ in the y variable). We are assuming that $p(t, T, x, y) = 0$ for $0 \leq t \leq T$ and $y \leq 0$.

Show that $p(t, T; x, y)$ satisfies the *Kolmogorov backward equation*

$$-p_t(t, T, x, y) = \beta(t, x) p_x(t, T, x, y) + \frac{1}{2} \gamma^2(t, x) p_{xx}(t, T, x, y).$$

Proof. We know from the Feynman-Kac Theorem that for any borel measurable function $h(y)$,

$$g(t, x) = E^{t, x} h(X(T)) = \int_0^\infty h(y) p(t, T, x, y) dy$$

satisfies

$$g_t(t, x) + \beta(t, x)g_x(t, x) + \frac{1}{2}\gamma^2(t, x)g_{xx}(t, x) = 0.$$

So

$$g_t(t, x) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int_0^\infty h(y)p(t, T, x, y)dy = \int_0^\infty h(y)p_t(t, T, x, y)dy.$$

$$g_x(t, x) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \int_0^\infty h(y)p(t, T, x, y)dy = \int_0^\infty h(y)p_x(t, T, x, y)dy.$$

$$g_{xx}(t, x) = \int_0^\infty h(y)p_{xx}(t, T, x, y)dy.$$

By these three equations, we can get

$$\int_0^\infty h(y)p_t(t, T, x, y)dy + \beta(t, x) \int_0^\infty h(y)p_x(t, T, x, y)dy + \frac{1}{2}\gamma^2(t, x) \int_0^\infty h(y)p_{xx}(t, T, x, y)dy = 0.$$

Because the integration variable is y , $\beta(t, x)$ and $\gamma^2(t, x)$ is independent of it.

Thus

$$\int_0^\infty h(y) \left(p_t(t, T, x, y)dy + \beta(t, x)p_x(t, T, x, y)dy + \frac{1}{2}\gamma^2(t, x)p_{xx}(t, T, x, y) \right) dy = 0.$$

Since p is the transition density of $X(t)$, it is independent of $h(y)$.

So for every $h(y)$, the equation holds. Now it is easy to see

$$p_t(t, T, x, y)dy + \beta(t, x)p_x(t, T, x, y)dy + \frac{1}{2}\gamma^2(t, x)p_{xx}(t, T, x, y) = 0. \quad a.e.$$

(In fact, we can choose $h(y) = p_t(t, T, x, y)dy + \beta(t, x)p_x(t, T, x, y)dy + \frac{1}{2}\gamma^2(t, x)p_{xx}(t, T, x, y)$ for some every t, T, x . Then it is easy to see.) ■

5. p.291: Exercise 6.9

(Kolmogorov forward equation). (Also called the *Fokker-Planck equation*). We begin with the same stochastic differential equation,

$$dX(u) = \beta(u, X(u)) du + \gamma(u, X(u)) dW(u),$$

as in Exercise 6.8, use the same notation $p(t, T, x, y)$ for the transition density, and again assume that $p(t, T, x, y) = 0$ for $0 \leq t < T$ and $y \leq 0$. In this problem, we show that $p(t, T, x, y)$ satisfies the *Kolmogorov forward equation*

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial T} p(t, T, x, y) = -\frac{\partial}{\partial y} (\beta(t, y) p(t, T, x, y)) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} (\gamma^2(t, y) p(t, T, x, y)).$$

In contrast to the Kolmogorov backward equation, in which T and y were held constant and the variables were t and x , here t and x are held constant and the variables are y and T . The variables t and x are sometimes called the *backward variables*, and T and y are called the *forward variables*.

(i) Let b be a positive constant and let $h_b(y)$ be a function with continuous first and second derivatives such that $h_b(x) = 0$ for all $x \leq 0$, $h'_b(x) = 0$ for all $x \geq b$, and $h_b(b) = h'_b(b) = 0$. Let $X(u)$ be the solution to the stochastic differential equation with initial condition $X(t) = x \in (0, b)$, and use the Itô's formula to compute $dh_b(X(u))$.

(ii) Let $0 \leq t \leq T$ be given, and integrate the equation you obtained in (i) from t to T . Take expectations and use the fact that $X(u)$ has density $p(t, u, x, y)$ in the y -variable to obtain

$$\int_0^b h_b(y) p(t, T, x, y) dy = h_b(x) + \int_t^T \int_0^b \beta(u, y) p(t, u, x, y) h'_b(y) dy du + \frac{1}{2} \int_t^T \int_0^b \gamma^2(u, y) p(t, u, x, y) h''_b(y) dy du. \quad (19)$$

(iii) Integrate the integrals $\int_0^b \dots dy$ on the right-hand side of (19) by parts to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^b h_b(y) p(t, T, x, y) dy \\ = & h_b(x) - \int_t^T \int_0^b \frac{\partial}{\partial y} [\beta(u, y) p(t, u, x, y)] h_b(y) dy du + \frac{1}{2} \int_t^T \int_0^b \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} [\gamma^2(u, y) p(t, u, x, y)] h_b(y) dy du \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

(iv) Differentiate (20) with respect to T to obtain

$$\int_0^b h_b(y) \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial T} p(t, T, x, y) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} (\beta(T, y) p(t, T, x, y)) - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} (\gamma^2(T, y) p(t, T, x, y)) \right] dy = 0 \quad (21)$$

(v) Use (21) to show that there cannot be numbers $0 < y_1 < y_2$ such that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial T} p(t, T, x, y) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} (\beta(T, y) p(t, T, x, y)) - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} (\gamma^2(T, y) p(t, T, x, y)) > 0 \text{ for all } y \in (y_1, y_2).$$

Similarly, there cannot be numbers $0 < y_1 < y_2$ such that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial T} p(t, T, x, y) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} (\beta(T, y) p(t, T, x, y)) - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} (\gamma^2(T, y) p(t, T, x, y)) < 0 \text{ for all } y \in [y_1, y_2].$$

This is as much as you need to do for this problem. It is now obvious that if

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial T} p(t, T, x, y) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} (\beta(T, y) p(t, T, x, y)) - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} (\gamma^2(T, y) p(t, T, x, y))$$

is a continuous function of y , then this expression must be zero for every $y > 0$, and hence $p(t, T, x, y)$ satisfies the Kolmogorov forward equation stated at the beginning of this problem.

Proof. (i)

We can easily find that

$$h_b(x) = 0 \quad x \leq 0 \text{ or } x \geq b,$$

$$h'_b(x) = 0 \quad x \leq 0 \text{ or } x \geq b,$$

$$h''_b(x) = 0 \quad x \leq 0 \text{ or } x \geq b.$$

With Itô's formula,

$$\begin{aligned} dh_b(X_u) &= h'_b(X_u) dX_u + \frac{1}{2} h''_b(X_u) dX_u dX_u \\ &= h'_b(X_u) \beta(u, X_u) du + h'_b(X_u) \gamma(u, X_u) dW_u + \frac{1}{2} h''_b(X_u) \gamma^2(u, X_u) du. \end{aligned}$$

(ii)

Integral both side of the equation above from t to T , we have

$$h_b(X_T) - h_b(X_t) = \int_t^T h'_b(X_u) \beta(u, X_u) du + \int_t^T h'_b(X_u) \gamma(u, X_u) dW_u + \int_t^T \frac{1}{2} h''_b(X_u) \gamma^2(u, X_u) du.$$

Take expectation of both sides conditioned on t, x ,

$$\begin{aligned} E^{t,x} h_b(X_T) - E^{t,x} h_b(X_t) &= E^{t,x} \int_t^T h'_b(X_u) \beta(u, X_u) du + E^{t,x} \int_t^T h'_b(X_u) \gamma(u, X_u) dW_u + \\ &E^{t,x} \int_t^T \frac{1}{2} h''_b(X_u) \gamma^2(u, X_u) du. \end{aligned}$$

The second term of the right hand side is an Itô integral, and $E^{t,x} h_b(X_t) = E[h_b(X_t) | X_t = x] = h_b(x)$.

$$E^{t,x} h_b(X_T) = h_b(x) + \int_t^T E^{t,x} h'_b(X_u) \beta(u, X_u) du + \int_t^T E^{t,x} \frac{1}{2} h''_b(X_u) \gamma^2(u, X_u) du.$$

$$\int_0^\infty h_b(y) p(t, T, x, y) dy = h_b(x) + \int_0^\infty \int_t^T h'_b(y) \beta(u, y) p(t, u, x, y) du dy + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty \int_t^T h''_b(y) \gamma^2(u, y) p(t, u, x, y) du dy.$$

According to (22), we can restrict the range of integration.

$$\int_0^b h_b(y) p(t, T, x, y) dy = h_b(x) + \int_t^T \int_0^b h'_b(y) \beta(u, y) p(t, u, x, y) dy du + \frac{1}{2} \int_t^T \int_0^b h''_b(y) \gamma^2(u, y) p(t, u, x, y) dy du.$$

(iii)

Thus

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial y} (h_b(y) \beta(u, y) p(t, u, x, y)) = h'_b(y) \beta(u, y) p(t, u, x, y) + h_b(y) \frac{\partial}{\partial y} (\beta(u, y) p(t, u, x, y)).$$

Integral both sides of the equation with respect to y from 0 to b ,

$$h_b(y) \beta(u, y) p(t, u, x, y) \Big|_0^b = \int_0^b h'_b(y) \beta(u, y) p(t, u, x, y) dy + \int_0^b h_b(y) \frac{\partial}{\partial y} (\beta(u, y) p(t, u, x, y)) dy.$$

Remend that $h_b(0) = h_b(b) = 0$,

$$\int_0^b h'_b(y) \beta(u, y) p(t, u, x, y) dy = - \int_0^b h_b(y) \frac{\partial}{\partial y} (\beta(u, y) p(t, u, x, y)) dy.$$

It is quiet same as above by do twice of partial differentiate and then integral

$$\int_0^b h''_b(y) \gamma^2(u, y) p(t, u, x, y) dy = \int_0^b h_b(y) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} (\gamma^2(u, y) p(t, u, x, y)) dy.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^b h_b(y) p(t, T, x, y) dy &= h_b(x) - \int_t^T \int_0^b h_b(y) \frac{\partial}{\partial y} (\beta(u, y) p(t, u, x, y)) dy du \\ &+ \int_t^T \int_0^b h_b(y) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} (\gamma^2(u, y) p(t, u, x, y)) dy du. \end{aligned}$$

(iv)

Differentiate with respect to T ,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial T} \int_0^b h_b(y) p(t, T, x, y) dy &= - \int_0^b h_b(y) \frac{\partial}{\partial y} (\beta(u, y) p(t, u, x, y)) dy + \int_0^b h_b(y) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} (\gamma^2(u, y) p(t, u, x, y)) dy. \\ \int_0^b h_b(y) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial T} p(t, T, x, y) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} (\beta(u, y) p(t, u, x, y)) - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} (\gamma^2(u, y) p(t, u, x, y)) \right) dy &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

For every t, T, x , if there exists a positive measurable set $A \in [0, b]$ that the $()$ term is positive or negative, we can choose $h_b(y)$ to be a little positive in A and to be 0 in $[0, b] \setminus A$, assume $()$ term is good enough then we can assure $h_b(y)$ to be continuous. Then, at this situation, (23) doesn't hold.

So

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial T} p(t, T, x, y) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} (\beta(u, y) p(t, u, x, y)) - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} (\gamma^2(u, y) p(t, u, x, y)) = 0. \quad a.e.$$

■

References

- [1] KARATZAS, I. and SHREVE, S. E. (1991). *Brownian Motion and Stochastic Calculus*, vol.113 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. 2nd ed. Springer-Verlag, New York.
- [2] SHREVE, S. E. (2004). *Stochastic Calculus for Finance. II*. Springer Finance, Springer-Verlag, New York.