

# Numerical Methods in Economics and Finance

## Lecture 3: Perturbation

Xi Wang

*Department of Finance, School of Economics  
Peking University*

April 1, 2019

# REVIEW AND OVERVIEW OF THIS LECTURE

- ▶ Linear Policy Function
- ▶ LQ approximation
- ▶ Policy function with more accuracy

# IMPLICIT FUNCTION THEOREM

- The relationship between  $x, y$  is implicitly or explicitly determined by

$$0_{\dim=?} = h(x_{n \times 1}, y_{m \times 1}) \quad (1)$$

where  $h : U \subset R^{n \times m} \rightarrow V \subset R^?$ , implicit function theorem allows us to compute the derivatives of  $y$  respect to  $x$ .

- Let  $h : R^{n+m} \rightarrow R^?$  be a continuously differentiable function. Or continuously differentiable function on a open set  $U$  and mapping it into  $V$ , (question: continuous function will map an open set into ?), If there is  $\bar{x}, \bar{y} \in U, V$ , s.t  $h(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) = 0$ , I assume that  $Dg_y(x, y)$  is invertible at  $\bar{x}, \bar{y}$ , and there is a uniquely defined continuously differentiable around  $\bar{x}$ , such that  $h(x, f(x)) = 0$

# IMPLICIT FUNCTION THEOREM CONTINUED

- And the function  $f$  has derivatives, or Jacobian matrix, BTW, what is Hessian?

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x^T} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_2} & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_n} \\ \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x_2} & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x_n} \\ \dots & & & \\ \frac{\partial f_m}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial f_m}{\partial x_2} & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_m}{\partial x_n} \end{bmatrix} = -\left[\frac{\partial h(x, y)}{\partial y^T}\right]^{-1}\left[\frac{\partial h(x, y)}{\partial x^T}\right]|_{x,y=\bar{x},\bar{y}} \quad (2)$$

- Why? Total Differentiate it

$$dh(x, f(x)) = \frac{\partial h(x, y)}{\partial x^T}(x - \bar{x}) + \frac{\partial h(x, y)}{\partial y^T}(y - \bar{y}) \quad (3)$$

$$= \frac{\partial h(x, y)}{\partial x^T}dx + \frac{\partial h(x, y)}{\partial y^T} \frac{\partial f(x)}{\partial x^T}dx \quad (4)$$

$$= 0 \quad (5)$$

# PROBLEM TO BE SOLVED

- Euler Equation with Budget constraint

$$K_{t+1} + C_t - g(K_t) = 0 = h_1\left(\begin{bmatrix} K_t \\ C_t \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} K_{t+1} \\ C_{t+1} \end{bmatrix}\right) \quad (6)$$

$$\beta u'(C_{t+1})g'(K_{t+1}) - u'(C_t) = 0 = h_2\left(\begin{bmatrix} K_t \\ C_t \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} K_{t+1} \\ C_{t+1} \end{bmatrix}\right) \quad (7)$$

- What would be my candidate of  $\bar{x}, \bar{y}$ ?
- My objective is to get a function

$$\begin{bmatrix} K_{t+1} \\ C_{t+1} \end{bmatrix} = g\left(\begin{bmatrix} K_t \\ C_t \end{bmatrix}\right) \quad (8)$$

# IMPLEMENT IMPLICIT FUNCTION THEOREM

- Jacobian of  $g$  would be

$$-\left[\frac{\partial h}{\partial y^T}\right]^{-1}\left[\frac{\partial h}{\partial x^T}\right] \quad (9)$$

- The first term

$$\frac{\partial h}{\partial y^T} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial h_1}{\partial y_1} & \frac{\partial h_1}{\partial y_2} \\ \frac{\partial h_2}{\partial y_1} & \frac{\partial h_2}{\partial y_2} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \beta u'(\bar{c})g''(\bar{k}) & \beta u''(\bar{c})g'(\bar{k}) \end{bmatrix} \quad (10)$$

- The Second term

$$\frac{\partial h}{\partial x^T} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial h_1}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial h_1}{\partial x_2} \\ \frac{\partial h_2}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial h_2}{\partial x_2} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -g'(k) & 1 \\ 0 & u''(\bar{c}) \end{bmatrix} \quad (11)$$

# RESULT

- Jacobian of  $g$  would be

$$-\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \beta u'g'' & u'' \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{1}{\beta} & 1 \\ 0 & -u'' \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\beta} & -1 \\ -\frac{u'g''}{u''} & 1 + \frac{\beta u'g''}{u''} \end{bmatrix}$$

- I used a identity

$$\mathbf{A}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}^{-1} = \frac{1}{\det \mathbf{A}} \begin{bmatrix} d & -b \\ -c & a \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{ad - bc} \begin{bmatrix} d & -b \\ -c & a \end{bmatrix}$$

- Hence there will be eigenvalues  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2$ :

$$\lambda_1 \lambda_2 = \frac{1}{\beta} > 1 \tag{12}$$

$$\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = 1 + \frac{1}{\beta} + \frac{\beta u'g''}{u''} > 1 + \frac{1}{\beta} \tag{13}$$

# SCHUR DECOMPOSITION

- Any square matrix can be decomposed  $A = QUQ^{-1}$ ,  $U$  is upper Triangle with eigenvalues on diagonal.
- Hence I will represent

$$\frac{\partial g}{\partial [k_t \ c_t]} = Q \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & s_{12} \\ 0 & \lambda_2 \end{bmatrix} Q^{-1}, \quad \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 \quad (14)$$

- Hence we have

$$Q^{-1} \frac{\partial g}{\partial [k_t \ c_t]} \begin{bmatrix} dk_t \\ dc_t \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & s_{12} \\ 0 & \lambda_2 \end{bmatrix} Q^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} dk_t \\ dc_t \end{bmatrix} \quad (15)$$

- Or

$$Q^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} dk_{t+1} \\ dc_{t+1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & s_{12} \\ 0 & \lambda_2 \end{bmatrix} Q^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} dk_t \\ dc_t \end{bmatrix} \quad (16)$$

# IMPLICATION

- ▶ See Sims(2001) for the elegant argument.
- ▶ I have proved that in the Deterministic case that our economy will converge to s.s

$$Q^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} b_{11} & b_{12} \\ b_{21} & b_{22} \end{bmatrix}, \quad b_{21}dk_t + b_{22}dc_t = 0 \quad (17)$$

- ▶ More explicitly

$$C_t = \bar{C} - \frac{b_{21}}{b_{22}}(K_t - \bar{K}), \quad dC_t = constant \cdot dK_t \quad (18)$$

- ▶ Hence from the dynamic equation, you will have

$$dK_{t+1} = \lambda_1 dK_t$$

$$[b_{11} \ b_{12}] \begin{bmatrix} dK_{t+1} \\ constant \times dK_{t+1} \end{bmatrix} = \lambda [b_{11} \ b_{12}] \begin{bmatrix} dK_t \\ constant \times dK_t \end{bmatrix}$$

# IMPLICATION

- ▶ Why do I only care the first Derivative? And the implicit assumption?
- ▶ Because the function we define has a fixed point, you really should take Topologic Class

$$x^* = f(x^*) \quad (19)$$

- ▶ Hence, by Taylor expansion:

$$y = f(x) = f(x^*) + f'(x^*)(x - x^*) \quad (20)$$

- ▶ Implicit assumption? Extension?

# ANOTHER WAY TO LOOK

- Now let me use

$K_{t+1} = h(K_t) \approx K^* + h'(K^*)(K_t - K^*), C_t = g(K_t) - h(K_t)$ ,  
and use it in my Euler Equation

$$u'(C_t) = \beta u'(U(C_{t+1}))g'(K_{t+1}) \quad (21)$$

$$u'(g(K_t) - h(K_t)) = \beta u'(g(h(K_t)) - h(h(K_t)))g'(h(K_t)) \quad (22)$$

$$u''(g' - h') = \beta u'g''h' + \beta u''g'(g'h' - h'^2) \quad (23)$$

$$u''h'^2 + u''g' = u''h' + \beta u'g''h' + u''h' \quad (24)$$

$$h'^2 + \frac{1}{\beta} = (g' + \beta u'g''/u'' + 1)h' \quad (25)$$

- Does this seems similar to  $u$ ? There will be two solution

$$x_1x_2 = 1/\beta, x_1+x_2 = (g' + \beta u'g''/u'' + 1) = (\frac{1}{\beta} + \beta u'g''/u'' + 1)$$

- Surely my previous routine can be pretty general, does not need to be  $2 \times 1$  dimensional

# LINEAR QUADRATIC

- Linear Exogenous Dynamics:

$$x_{t+1} = A_{n \times n} x_t + B_{n \times m} u_t + \epsilon, E[\epsilon \epsilon^T] = \Sigma$$

- Let's also assume that Agent would like to  
 $\max E[\sum_t \beta^t (x'_t Q x_t + u'_t R u_t + 2u'_t S x_t)]$
- Dynamic Programming Method:

$$x' P x + d = \max_u x' Q x + 2u' S x + u' R u + \beta E[x'_{t+1} P x_{t+1} + d] \quad (26)$$

$$= \max_u x' Q x + 2u' S x + u' R u \quad (27)$$

$$+ \beta E[(A x + B u + \epsilon)' P (A x + B u + \epsilon) + d] \quad (28)$$

$$= x' Q x + \beta x' A' P A x + 2u' S x + u' R u + 2\beta x' A' P B u \\ \quad (29)$$

$$+ \beta u' B' P B u + \beta \text{tr}(P \Sigma) + \beta d \quad (30)$$

# LINEAR QUADRATIC

- F.O.C

$$Sx + Ru + \beta B'PAx + \beta B'PBu = 0 \quad (31)$$

$$u = -(R + \beta B'PB)^{-1}(S + \beta B'PA)x \quad (32)$$

- Get control back you will have

$$P = Q + \beta A'PA - (S + \beta B'PA)'[R + \beta B'PB]^{-1}(S + \beta B'PA) \quad (33)$$

$$d = \frac{\beta \text{tr}(P\Sigma)}{1 - \beta} \quad (34)$$

- BTW, does  $\Sigma$  affect control problem? So Bear in mind that if I use LQ to approximate a solution, I implicitly impose this Certainty Equivalence Property.
- See Tom Sargent's Website for more details.

# LINEAR QUADRATIC EXTENDED

- For Economics Problem, I have to separate two kind of s.v, exogenous and endogenous. See Heer and Maßner(2011) and Sims(2001); And Objective Would be

$$x_{t+1} \leq A_x x_t + A_z z_t + B u_t \quad (35)$$

$$z_t = \rho z_{t-1} + \epsilon, E[\epsilon \epsilon^T] = \Sigma \quad (36)$$

$$\max E \sum_t \beta^t \begin{bmatrix} x_t \\ u_t \\ z_t \end{bmatrix}^T \begin{bmatrix} A_{xx} & A_{xu} & A_{xz} \\ A_{xu} & A_{uu} & A_{uz} \\ A_{xz} & A_{uz} & A_{zz} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_t \\ u_t \\ z_t \end{bmatrix} \quad (37)$$

- HW problem, due next week, use Dynamic Problem Method to solve it
- I will use KKT instead

# LINEAR QUADRATIC EXTENDED CONTINUES

- Lagrange would be, note my shadow price is a vector.

$$L = E\beta^t \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} x_t \\ u_t \\ z_t \end{bmatrix}^T \begin{bmatrix} A_{xx} & A_{xu} & A_{xz} \\ A_{xu} & A_{uu} & A_{uz} \\ A_{xz} & A_{uz} & A_{zz} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_t \\ u_t \\ z_t \end{bmatrix} + 2\lambda'_t [A_x x_t + A_z z_t + B u_t - x_{t+1}] \right\}$$

- F.O.C:

$$2A_{uu}u_t + 2A_{ux}x_t + 2A_{uz}z_t + 2B'\lambda = 0 \quad (38)$$

$$\lambda_t = E \beta [A_{xx}x_{t+1} + A_{xu}u_{t+1} + A_{xz}z_{t+1} + A'_x\lambda_{t+1}] \quad (39)$$

- Treat shadow price as an endogenous state variable

# MATRIX SOLUTION

- Summarize what I have derived with Dynamics into

$$A_{uu}u_t = -[A_{ux} \ B'] \begin{bmatrix} x_t \\ \lambda_t \end{bmatrix} - A_{uz}z_t \quad (40)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \begin{bmatrix} \beta A_{xx} & \beta A'_x \\ I & 0 \end{bmatrix} E_t \begin{bmatrix} x_{t+1} \\ \lambda_{t+1} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -I \\ -A_x & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_t \\ \lambda_t \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} -A_{xu} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} Eu_{t+1} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ A_u \end{bmatrix} u_t + \begin{bmatrix} -\beta A_{xz} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} E[z_{t+1}] + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ A_z \end{bmatrix} z_t \end{aligned}$$

- First equation tells  $u$  how to do optimal controling, while the latter tells  $u$  how does the s.v moves. Please note all the coefficient matrix are pinned down by my problem setting. BTW, the latter is stochastic difference equation.

# HANSEN AND PRESCOTT METHOD: AN EXAMPLE

- Read Hansen and Prescott(1995)
- Let me solve a pretty simple version of problem

$$\max_{c_t, k_{t+1}} \sum_t \beta^t \ln(c_t)$$

s.t

$$k_{t+1} + c_t \leq k_t^\alpha$$

- S.S

$$k_{t+1} + c_t = k_t^\alpha \tag{41}$$

$$\frac{\beta c_t}{c_{t+1}} \alpha k_{t+1}^{\alpha-1} = 1 \tag{42}$$

- Approximate my objective function  $c_t = k_t^\alpha - I_t$ ,  $I_t = k_{t+1}$ ,  
 $\ln(c_t) = \ln(k_t^\alpha - I_t)$

## APPROXIMATION

- Quadratic Approximation of the objective function

$$\begin{aligned} \ln(k_t^\alpha - I_t) &= f(\bar{k}, \bar{I}) + f_1(k_t - \bar{k}) + f_2(I_t - \bar{I}) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}f_{11}(k_t - \bar{k})^2 + \frac{1}{2}f_{22}(I_t - \bar{I})^2 + f_{12}(I_t - \bar{I})(k_t - \bar{k}) \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ K \\ I \end{bmatrix}^T Q \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ K \\ I \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

and Q is?



# RECURSIVE STEP

- Since from LQ step we know value function would be  $k'Qk + d = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ k \end{bmatrix}^T V \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ k \end{bmatrix}$ , though I do not know what is  $V$  matrix is, maybe you know. But hold on for 1 second.
- Bellman equation method tells that our Current Value is

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ k \end{bmatrix}^T V \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ k \end{bmatrix} = \ln(K_t^\alpha - I_t) + \beta \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ k_{t+1} \end{bmatrix}^T V \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ k_{t+1} \end{bmatrix} \quad (43)$$

which can be approximated by

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ K \\ I \\ 1 \\ K' \end{bmatrix}^T \begin{bmatrix} Q & 0 \\ 0 & V \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ K \\ I \\ 1 \\ K' \end{bmatrix} \quad (44)$$

# RECURSIVE STEP

- ▶ However, I have set  $I = K'$ , hence

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ K \\ I \\ 1 \\ K' \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} & & I_{4 \times 4} & & \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ K \\ I \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad (45)$$

- ▶ Hence the original problem reduced to

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ K \\ I \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}^T D_1^T \begin{bmatrix} Q & 0 \\ 0 & V \end{bmatrix} D_1 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ K \\ I \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \equiv \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ K \\ I \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}^T S_1 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ K \\ I \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad (46)$$

# RECURSIVE STEP

- Again, I have set  $1 = 1$ , hence

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ K \\ I \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} & I_{3 \times 3} & \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ K \\ I \end{bmatrix} \quad (47)$$

- Hence the original problem reduced to

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ K \\ I \end{bmatrix}^T D_2^T S_1 D_3 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ K \\ I \end{bmatrix} \equiv \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ K \\ I \end{bmatrix}^T S_2 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ K \\ I \end{bmatrix} \quad (48)$$

# OPTIMIZE STEP

- Ok We have reduced our problem into

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ K \\ I \end{bmatrix}^T S_2 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ K \\ I \end{bmatrix} = s_2^{11} + s_2^{22}K^2 + s_2^{33}I^2 + 2s_2^{12}K + 2s_2^{13}I + 2s_2^{23}KI \quad (49)$$

Optimal Choice would be

$$I_t = -\frac{s_2^{13}}{s_2^{33}} - \frac{s_2^{23}}{s_2^{33}}K \quad (50)$$

- Therefore

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ K \\ I \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} I_{2 \times 2} & & \\ -\frac{s_2^{13}}{s_2^{33}} & -\frac{s_2^{23}}{s_2^{33}} & \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ K \end{bmatrix} = D_3 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ K \end{bmatrix} \quad (51)$$

# RECURSIVE STEP

- Ok We have reduced our problem further into

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ K \end{bmatrix}^T D_3^T S_2 D_3 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ K \end{bmatrix} \quad (52)$$

- Which by definition should equal to

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ K \end{bmatrix}^T D_3^T S_2 D_3 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ K \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ K \end{bmatrix}^T V \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ K \end{bmatrix} \quad (53)$$

- Iterate my steps until V matrix converges
- Homework: Set  $V^0 = -.2I_{2 \times 2}$ ,  $V^0 = .2I_{2 \times 2}$  and  $V^0 = 0 \times I_{2 \times 2}$ , see how does your solution converge.

# LINEAR QUADRATIC APPROXIMATION GENERAL

- Line s.v and c.v as a vector say  $x = [1 \ s.v \ c.v]$ , and approximate periodic gain function as quadratic form

$$g(x) = x'Qx \quad (54)$$

- Guess value function is  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ s.v. \end{bmatrix}^T V \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ s.v. \end{bmatrix}$
- Hence bellman equation told us that current value is

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ s.v. \\ c.v. \\ 1 \\ s.v.' \end{bmatrix}^T \begin{bmatrix} Q & 0 \\ 0 & \beta V \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ s.v. \\ c.v. \\ 1 \\ s.v.' \end{bmatrix} \quad (55)$$

# LINEAR QUADRATIC APPROXIMATION GENERAL

- Model Dynamic will tell us that  $s.v.' = As.v. + Bc.v.$
- Hence

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ s.v. \\ c.v. \\ 1 \\ s.v.' \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} I_{n \times n} & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & B \\ 0 & A & B \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ s.v. \\ c.v. \end{bmatrix} \quad (56)$$

- Optimize control  $c.v = D + Es.v.$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ s.v. \\ c.v. \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} I_{k \times k} & E \\ D & \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ s.v. \end{bmatrix} \quad (57)$$

- Update V matrix

# SIMPLEST CASE

- ▶ Let me move into stochastic version. This is a typical way how New Keynesian or DSGEers solve problem. PS: I ignore the fact that most of them are using Bayesian Approach, which I think is not essential by itself.
- ▶ Consider Small Variation

$$0 = k_{t+1} + c_t - z_t f(k_t) - (1 - \delta)k_t \quad (58)$$

$$0 = u'(c_t) - \beta E[u'(c_{t+1})(z_{t+1}f'(k_{t+1}) + 1 - \delta)] \quad (59)$$

$$\ln z_t = \rho \ln z_{t-1} + \epsilon \quad (60)$$

$$\bar{z}_t = z_t - 1 \quad (61)$$

$$\bar{z}_t \approx \rho \bar{z}_{t-1} + \epsilon_t \quad (62)$$

- ▶ Linearized dynamics of this system

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \bar{k}_t \\ \bar{c}_t \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} b_{11} & b_{12} \\ b_{21} & b_{22} \end{bmatrix} E \begin{bmatrix} \bar{k}_{t+1} \\ \bar{c}_{t+1} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \end{bmatrix} z_t + \begin{bmatrix} d_1 \\ d_2 \end{bmatrix} E[z_{t+1}] \quad (63)$$

where  $\bar{y} = y - y^{ss}$

After Linearization Step

# BONUS QUESTION

- ▶ Use Taylor Expansion to prove

$$\begin{aligned}\ln F_T &= \ln F_t + \frac{1}{F_t} (F_T - F_t) \\ &\quad - \int_0^{F_t} \frac{1}{K^2} (K - F_T)^+ dK - \int_{F_t}^{\infty} \frac{1}{K^2} (F_T - K)^+ dK\end{aligned}$$

- ▶ Proof

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^{F_t} \frac{1}{K^2} (K - F_T)^+ dK &= \int_{F_T}^{F_t} \frac{1}{K^2} (K - F_T) dK \\ &= \ln(F_t) - \ln(F_T) - F_T \left( \frac{1}{F_t} - \frac{1}{F_F} \right) \\ \int_{F_t}^{\infty} \frac{1}{K^2} (F_T - K)^+ dK &= \int_{F_t}^{F_T} \frac{1}{K^2} (F_T - K) dK \\ &= F_T \left( \frac{1}{F_t} - \frac{1}{F_T} \right) - \ln \left( \frac{F_T}{F_t} \right)\end{aligned}$$

# MATRIX FORM

- Consider the first two equations

$$0 = \bar{k}_{t+1} + \bar{c}_t - \bar{z}_t f(k^*) - (1 - \delta + f'(k^*)) \bar{k}_t \quad (64)$$

$$0 = u''(c^*) \bar{c}_t - \beta \{ [u''(c^*) f'(k^*) + u''(c^*)(1 - \delta)] \bar{c}_{t+1} + u'(c^*) f'(k^*) \bar{z}_{t+1} \} \quad (65)$$

$$+ u'(c^*) f''(k^*) \bar{k}_{t+1} \} \quad (66)$$

- Hence you will get

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -\beta u' f'' & -\beta u''(1 - \delta + f') \end{bmatrix} E \begin{bmatrix} \bar{k}_{t+1} \\ \bar{c}_{t+1} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} -(1 - \delta) - f' & 1 \\ 0 & u'' \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \bar{k}_t \\ \bar{c}_t \end{bmatrix} \quad (67)$$

$$+ \begin{bmatrix} -f \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \bar{z}_t + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -\beta u' f' \end{bmatrix} E[\bar{z}_{t+1}] \quad (68)$$

- BTW, I assume  $\bar{z}_{t+1} = \rho \bar{z}_t$

# DIRECT SOLUTION

- I will also use linear policy function to solve it. Consider

$$k_{t+1} = p_{kk}k_t + p_{kz}z_t \quad (69)$$

$$c_t = p_{ck}k_t + p_{cz}z_t \quad (70)$$

(71)

- Substitute these two equation into above function
- We will solve

$$\begin{bmatrix} coff_1 \\ coff_2 \end{bmatrix} k_t + \begin{bmatrix} coff_3 \\ coff_4 \end{bmatrix} z_t = 0 \quad (72)$$

# IMPLICIT FUNCTION THEOREM

- ▶ Actually Expectation operator is just a linear operator, nothing fancy, hence  $E[f(x, y)] = 0$  can also pin down a relationship between  $y$  and  $x$
- ▶ Think about the GMM method in Econometrics
- ▶ Now consider  $x = x_t = [k_t \ c_t]^T$ ,  $y = x_{t+1} = [k_{t+1} \ c_{t+1}]^T$ , and Consider policy function

$$k_{t+1} = g^k(k_t, z_t, \sigma) \quad (73)$$

$$c_t = g^c(k_t, z_t, \sigma) \quad (74)$$

Question: what is steady state value?

- ▶ From previous Slides or you can derive now that

$$E \begin{bmatrix} \bar{k}_{t+1} \\ \bar{c}_{t+1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1/\beta & -1 \\ -u'f''/u'' & 1 + \beta u'f''/u'' \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \bar{k}_t \\ \bar{c}_t \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} f \\ -\frac{\beta u'ff'' + \rho\beta u'f'}{u''} \end{bmatrix} \bar{z}_t \quad (75)$$

# DIVERGE AND CONVERGE

- Let me write the previous equation like  $E[x_{t+1}] = Wx_t + Sz_t$ , and We know that the eigenvalues of  $W$  lie on both sides of 1, Hence

$$E \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{k}_{t+1} \\ \tilde{c}_{t+1} \end{bmatrix} \equiv Q^{-1} E \begin{bmatrix} \bar{k}_{t+1} \\ \bar{c}_{t+1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & s_{12} \\ 0 & \lambda_2 \end{bmatrix} Q^{-1} E \begin{bmatrix} \bar{k}_t \\ \bar{c}_t \end{bmatrix} + Q^{-1} R \bar{z}_t \quad (76)$$

Or

$$E \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{k}_{t+1} \\ \tilde{c}_{t+1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & s_{12} \\ 0 & \lambda_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{k}_t \\ \tilde{c}_t \end{bmatrix} + Q^{-1} R \bar{z}_t \quad (77)$$

- And From the second equation we have

$$E[\tilde{c}_{t+1}] = \underbrace{\lambda_2}_{>1} \tilde{c}_t + q_2 z_t$$

$$\tilde{c}_t = -\frac{1}{\lambda_2} E[\tilde{c}_{t+1}] - \frac{q_2}{\lambda_2} \bar{z}_t$$

# RECURSIVE FORMULA

- ▶ Iterate the formula forward

$$\tilde{c}_t = -\frac{\frac{q_2}{\lambda_2}}{1 - \frac{\rho}{\lambda_2}} \bar{z}_t$$

- ▶ Later is a policy function for consumption, combining with  $\bar{k}_{t+1} = \frac{1}{\beta} \bar{k}_t - \bar{c}_t + f \bar{z}$ , we have another policy function.



# HOMEWORK

- ▶ Set utility function as  $\frac{c^{1-\eta}}{1-\eta}$ , production function  $f(k) = k^\alpha$
- ▶ Parameter  $\delta = 1, \alpha = .3, \beta = 0.99, \rho = 0.89, \sigma = 0.0072$
- ▶ Derive your policy function
- ▶ Simulate 100,000 Shock and discard the first 50000 points, plot out the distribution of capital stock.
- ▶ BTW, you normally counter log-linearizion, how did they get it?

# GENERALLY

- ▶ According to my LQ approximation with shocks, rewrite as

$$\begin{aligned} A_{uu} u_t &= -[A_{ux} \ B'] \begin{bmatrix} x_t \\ \lambda_t \end{bmatrix} - A_{uz} z_t \\ &\quad \begin{bmatrix} \beta A_{xx} & \beta A'_x \\ I & 0 \end{bmatrix} E_t \begin{bmatrix} x_{t+1} \\ \lambda_{t+1} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -I \\ -A_x & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_t \\ \lambda_t \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} -A_{xu} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} E u_{t+1} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ A_u \end{bmatrix} u_t + \begin{bmatrix} -\beta A_{xz} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} E[z_{t+1}] + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ A_z \end{bmatrix} z_t \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ Because of our current and future control  $u_{t,t+1}$  is pinned down by the first equation, hence one can derive the following with exogenous transition  $z_t$

$$E_t \begin{bmatrix} x_{t+1} \\ \lambda_{t+1} \end{bmatrix} = W \begin{bmatrix} x_t \\ \lambda_t \end{bmatrix} + R z_t \tag{78}$$

# GENERAL SLIDES II

- Schur Decomposition again

$$W = Q \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & s_{12} \\ 0 & \lambda_2 \end{bmatrix} Q^{-1} \quad (79)$$

$$Q^{-1}E_t \begin{bmatrix} x_{t+1} \\ \lambda_{t+1} \end{bmatrix} = E \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{x}_{t+1} \\ \tilde{\lambda}_{t+1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & s_{12} \\ 0 & \lambda_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{x}_t \\ \tilde{\lambda}_t \end{bmatrix} + Q^{-1}Rz_t \quad (80)$$

- Then I will use the Later equation to iterate forward.  $\lambda_2$  denotes a upperright triangle-matrix, and I assume that  $z' = \Pi z_t$ , here  $z$  is a vector, hence the second equation would be

$$\tilde{\lambda}_t = \Phi z \quad (81)$$

$$\Phi\Pi = \lambda_2\Phi + QR_2 \quad (82)$$

$$(\Pi' \otimes I)vec(\Phi) = (I \otimes \lambda)vec(\Phi) + vec(QR_2) \quad (83)$$

$$vec(\Phi) = [\Pi' \otimes I - I \otimes \lambda]^{-1}vec(QR_2) \quad (84)$$

# GENERAL SLIDES III

- ▶ Given I have solved  $\tilde{\lambda}_t = \Phi z_t = Q_{21}x_t + Q_{22}\lambda_t$ , I have the policy function for  $\lambda_t$
- ▶ Because of  $E_t \begin{bmatrix} x_{t+1} \\ \lambda_{t+1} \end{bmatrix} = W \begin{bmatrix} x_t \\ \lambda_t \end{bmatrix} + Rz_t$ ,  
 $E[x_{t+1}] = W_{11}x_t + W_{12}\lambda_t + R_1z_t = f(x_t, z_t)$
- ▶ Control  $u_t$  is a function of  $x_t, z_t, \lambda_t$ , so ...

# INTUITION

- I will follow Physics Literature to follow Taylor's Rule frequently; Implicit function

$$H(x, f(x)) = 0 \quad (85)$$

$$\frac{\partial H}{\partial x^T} dx + \frac{\partial H}{\partial y^T} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^T} dx = 0 \quad (86)$$

*From here hard to use matrix* (87)

$$\forall j \quad (88)$$

$$0 = H_{x_k}^j(x, f(x)) + \sum_i H_{y_i}^j(x, y) f_{x_k}^i(x) \quad (89)$$

$$0 = H_{x_k, x_m}^j(x, f(x)) + \sum_i H_{x_k, y_i}^j(x, f(x)) f_{x_m}^i(x) + \sum_i H_{y_i}^j(x, y) f_{x_k, x_m}^i(x) \quad (90)$$

$$+ \sum_i H_{y_i, x_m}^j(x, y) f_{x_k}^i(x) + \sum_i \sum_h H_{y_i, y_h}^j(x, y) f_{x_k}^i(x) f_{x_k}^h(x) \quad (91)$$

# DETERMINISTIC EXAMPLE

- I will follow use policy function like

$$\bar{k}_{t+1} = p_{11}\bar{k}_t + p_{12}\bar{k}_t^2 \quad (92)$$

$$\bar{c}_{t+1} = p_{21}\bar{k}_t + p_{22}\bar{k}_t^2 \quad (93)$$

- Again I have two equations like  $H^i(k, c, k', c') = 0$ ,  $i = 1, 2$

$$H^i(k, f(k), h(k), f(h(k))) = 0 \quad (94)$$

$$H_1^i + H_2^i f' + H_3^i h' + H_4^i f' h' = 0 \quad (95)$$

This part is same for linear approximation, 2 equations and 2 parameters.

- Differentiate the two equation again, and suppose I have got  $h'$  and  $f'$  or  $p_{11}$ ,  $p_{21}$

# DETERMINISTIC EXAMPLE II

- Differentiate again will give

$$\begin{aligned} & H_{11}^i + H_{12}^i f' + H_{13}^i h' + H_{14}^i f'h' + (H_{21}^i + H_{22}^i f' + H_{23}^i h' + H_{24}^i f'h')f' \\ & + H_2^i f'' + \\ & (H_{31}^i + H_{32}^i f' + H_{33}^i h' + H_{34}^i f'h')h' \\ & + H_3^i h'' \\ & (H_{41}^i + H_{42}^i f' + H_{43}^i h' + H_{44}^i f'h')f'h' + \\ & H_4^i f''h' + H_4^i f'h'' = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Also Two parameter two equation

- HW: Represent it as matrix form. I will allow you one piece of paper to present your result.

# STOCHASTIC EXAMPLE II

- ▶ Schmitt-Grohe and Martin Uribe(2004, NBER), Lombardo and Sutherland (2007, JEDC)
- ▶ State Variable now is  $z_t, k_t$  and  $\sigma_t$ , which is absent when we are using LQ.

$$E \left[ \begin{array}{l} k' + c - (1 - \delta)k - e^z k^\alpha \\ c^{-\gamma} - \beta c'^{-\gamma} (1 - \delta + e^{z'} \alpha k'^{\alpha-1}) \end{array} \right] \equiv E[H(k, c, k', c', z, z')] = 0 \quad (96)$$

- ▶ I am looking for a Quadratic Policy function:

$$\begin{aligned} f^i(k, z, \sigma) &= f^i(k^*, z^*, \sigma = 0) + f_1^i \bar{k} + f_2^i \bar{z} + f_3^i \bar{\sigma} + \\ &\quad + [\bar{k} \ \bar{z} \ \bar{\sigma}] H^i \begin{bmatrix} \bar{k} \\ \bar{z} \\ \bar{\sigma} \end{bmatrix}, \quad i = C, K \end{aligned}$$

# STOCHASTIC ALGORITHM

- One can represent

$$\begin{bmatrix} c \\ k' \\ c' \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} f^c(k, z, \sigma) \\ f^k(k, z, \sigma) \\ f^c(f^k(k, z, \sigma), \rho z + \sigma \epsilon', \sigma) \end{bmatrix} = G(k, z, z'|_{= \rho z + \sigma \epsilon}, \sigma) \quad (97)$$

A function of random variable; This is why the expectation operator has effect.

- First Differentiate the two conditions will have

$$E[H_1 + H_2 f_k^c + H_3 f_k^k + H_4 f_k^c f_k^k] = 0 \quad (98)$$

$$E[H_5 + H_6 \rho + H_2 f_z^c + H_3 f_1^z + H_4 f_k^c f_z^k + H_4 f_z^c \rho] = 0 \quad (99)$$

$$E[H_2 f_\sigma^c + H_3 f_\sigma^k + H_4 f_\sigma^c + H_4 f_k^c f_\sigma^k + H_4 f_z^c \rho \epsilon + H_6 \epsilon] = 0 \quad (100)$$

6 equations and 6 parameters.

- Hessian? Differentiate again...

# STOCHASTIC ALGORITHM MATRIX FORM

- One can represent  $x = (k, c, k', c', z, z')$

$$E \frac{\partial H}{\partial(k, c, k', c')} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \frac{\partial G}{\partial k} \end{bmatrix} = 0 \quad (101)$$

$$E \frac{\partial H}{\partial(c, k', c', z, z')} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial G}{\partial z} \\ 1 \\ \rho \end{bmatrix} = 0 \quad (102)$$

$$E \frac{\partial H}{\partial(c, k', c', z')} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial G}{\partial \sigma} \\ \epsilon \end{bmatrix} = 0 \quad (103)$$

- Second Order equation: 12 parameters to solve or estimate, below is two equations. How did I get it?

$$E \frac{\partial H}{\partial(k, c, k', c')} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \frac{\partial^2 G}{\partial k^2} \end{bmatrix} + [1 \ \frac{\partial G}{\partial k}] \frac{\partial^2 H}{\partial(k, c, k', c') \partial(k, c, k', c')^T} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \frac{\partial G}{\partial k} \end{bmatrix} = 0 \quad (104)$$

# STOCHASTIC ALGORITHM MATRIX FORM II

- For  $kz$ , differential the first F.O.C to  $z$ , providing two more equations

$$E \frac{\partial H}{\partial(k, c, k', c')} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \frac{\partial^2 G}{\partial k \partial z} \end{bmatrix} + [1 \ \frac{\partial G}{\partial k}] \frac{\partial^2 H}{\partial(k, c, k', c')^T \partial(c, k', c', z, z')} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial G}{\partial z} \\ 1 \\ \rho \end{bmatrix} = 0 \quad (105)$$

- For  $k\sigma$  partial, differential the first F.O.C to  $\sigma$ , providing two more equations

$$E \frac{\partial H}{\partial(k, c, k', c')} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \frac{\partial^2 G}{\partial k \partial \sigma} \end{bmatrix} + [1 \ \frac{\partial G}{\partial k}] \frac{\partial^2 H}{\partial(k, c, k', c')^T \partial(c, k', c', z')} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial G}{\partial \sigma} \\ \epsilon \end{bmatrix} = 0 \quad (106)$$

# STOCHASTIC ALGORITHM MATRIX FORM III

- For  $z\sigma$ , differential the second F.O.C to  $\sigma$ , providing two more equations

$$E \frac{\partial H}{\partial(c, k', c', z, z')} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial G}{\partial z\sigma} \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + \left[ \frac{\partial G}{\partial z} \ 1 \ \rho \right] \frac{\partial^2 H}{\partial(c, k', c', z, z')^T \partial(c, k', c', z')} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial G}{\partial \sigma} \\ \epsilon \end{bmatrix} \quad (107)$$

- For  $zz$  partial, differential the second F.O.C to  $z$ , providing two more equations

$$E \frac{\partial H}{\partial(c, k', c', z, z')} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial^2 G}{\partial z^2} \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + \left[ \frac{\partial G}{\partial z} \ 1 \ \rho \right] \frac{\partial^2 H}{\partial(c, k', c', z, z')^T \partial(\text{same})} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial G}{\partial z} \\ 1 \\ \rho \end{bmatrix} = \quad (108)$$

# STOCHASTIC ALGORITHM MATRIX FORM III

- For  $\sigma^2$ , differential the third F.O.C to  $\sigma$ , providing two more equations

$$E \frac{\partial H}{\partial(c, k', c', z')} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial^2 G}{\partial \sigma^2} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + \left[ \frac{\partial G}{\partial \sigma} \ \epsilon \right] \frac{\partial^2 H}{\partial(c, k', c', z')^T \partial(c, k', c', z')} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial G}{\partial \sigma} \\ \epsilon \end{bmatrix} = 0 \quad (109)$$

- Then you get 12 equations and 12 parameters. Furthermore, you may asked what if you take differentiates on other equations.
- Overidentification, See GMM.

# HOW TO SOLVE EQUATIONS NUMERICALLY?

- ▶ See next lecture