

Answer to Problem Set 2

Ex1.

Answer:

a) Risk averse

$$\text{As } u'(w) = 0.5w^{-0.5} > 0$$

$$u''(w) = -0.25w^{-1.5} < 0$$

b) Expected monetary value = $0.2 \cdot 22500 + 0.8 \cdot 100000 = 84500$

c) $(100000 - x)^{0.5} = 0.2 \cdot 22500^{0.5} + 0.8 \cdot 100000^{0.5}$

$$X = 19921.07$$

d) $Y = 0.2 \cdot (22500 - 100000) + 0.8 \cdot 0 = 15500$

Ex2:

Answer:

$$\text{e) } \min_{K,L} rK + wL$$

$$\text{s.t. } Q = 10K^{0.8}(L-40)^{0.2}$$

F.O.C.

$$\begin{cases} r = 8\lambda K^{-0.2}(L-40)^{0.2} \\ w = 2\lambda K^{0.8}(L-40)^{-0.8} \\ Q = 10K^{0.8}(L-40)^{0.2} \end{cases} \Rightarrow \begin{cases} K = \frac{Q}{10} \left(\frac{4w}{r} \right)^{0.2} \\ L = \frac{Q}{10} \left(\frac{r}{4w} \right)^{0.8} + 40 \end{cases}$$

The total cost function is:

$$C(w, r, Q) = wL + rK = 40w + 2^{-2.6} Q r^{0.8} w^{0.2}$$

$$\text{f) } C(32, 64, Q) = 1280 + 2^{3.2} Q$$

We could prove $Q(\lambda K, \lambda L) > \lambda Q(K, L)$

Hence, the technology exhibits increasing returns to scale.

g) $Q = 2000$,

The total demand of factors:

$$\begin{cases} K = \frac{2000}{10} \left(\frac{4 \times 32}{64} \right)^{0.2} \\ L = \frac{2000}{10} \left(\frac{64}{4 \times 32} \right)^{0.8} + 40 \end{cases}$$

By the assumptions of productivity:

$$k^* = K / 40 \approx 6$$

$$l^* = L = 40 \approx 4$$

The Marginal cost is:

$$MC = \frac{dC(32, 64, Q)}{dQ} = 2^{3.2}$$

The average cost is

$$AC = \frac{1280}{Q} + 2^{3.2}$$

Ex3:

Answer:

a) $MC_1 = 2y_1$, $MC_2 = 8 + 2y_2$

$$MC_1 = MC_2 \text{ and } y_1 + y_2 = 24$$

$$\text{Then } y_1 = 14 \text{ and } y_2 = 10$$

If producing in each plant, the total cost will increase as the marginal cost is increasing.

b) For firm 1,

$$\max_{y_1 \geq 0} p y_1 - 100 - y_1^2 \rightarrow y_1 = \frac{1}{2} p (p \geq 0)$$

For firm 2,

$$\max_{y_2 \geq 0} p y_2 - 16 - 8 y_2 - y_2^2 \rightarrow y_2 = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}(p - 8) & \text{if } p \geq 8 \\ 0 & \text{if } 0 \leq p \leq 8 \end{cases}$$

c) When the price is 6, $y_2 < 0$ and only firm 1 is active.

Ex4:

Answer:

a) $L = Q/10$

$$TC = 10 + 2L = 10 + Q/5$$

$$AC=TC/Q=10/Q+1/5$$

$$AVC=1/5$$

$$AFC=10/Q$$

$$MC=1/5$$

b)

$$\begin{array}{ll} \underset{K,L}{Min} & K+2L \\ \text{s.t.} & Q=KL \\ \text{F.O.C.} & \end{array} \quad \begin{cases} K^* = \sqrt{2Q} \\ L^* = \sqrt{\frac{Q}{2}} \end{cases}$$

the long run cost function is:

$$C(Q) = 2\sqrt{2Q}, \quad AC(Q) = 2\sqrt{2/Q}$$

Ex5:

Answer:

a) The equilibrium price satisfies that

$$10-p=4+p$$

$$(p^*, Q) = (3, 7)$$

b) By the introduction of Tax, different kinds of Tax would lead to various results.

t=1

Consumption tax

$$\begin{cases} p+t_c = 10-Q \\ p = Q-4 \end{cases} \Rightarrow \begin{cases} p_c = 2.5 \\ Q_c = 6.5 \end{cases}$$

the consumer should pay $p+t=3.5$ per good, and the firm get $p=2.5$ per good.

Production tax

$$\begin{cases} p-t_p = Q-4 \\ p = 10-Q \end{cases} \Rightarrow \begin{cases} p_p = 3.5 \\ Q_p = 6.5 \end{cases}$$

the consumer should pay $p=3.5$ per good, and the firm get $p-t=2.5$ per good.

$$6.5 \times 1000 = 6500$$

It is obvious that both of them could offer the same result.

c) Subsidy case $d=1$

$$\begin{cases} p+d_p = Q-4 \\ p = 10-Q \end{cases} = \begin{cases} p_d = 2.5 \\ Q_d = 7.5 \end{cases}$$

The total cost of gov. is

$$C(Q,d)=dQ=7.5*1000=7500$$