

# IO Problem Set 1 (BLP)

Chris Ackerman\*

November 4, 2021

## Problem 1

Estimate the Model using OLS, with price and promotion as characteristics

*#1.1: Estimate using OLS with price and promotion as product characteristics.*  
`res_log1 = smf.ols('Y ~ prices + prom_', data=otc_dataDf).fit()`

<b>Dep. Variable:</b>	Y	<b>R-squared:</b>	0.158
<b>Model:</b>	OLS	<b>Adj. R-squared:</b>	0.158
<b>Method:</b>	Least Squares	<b>F-statistic:</b>	3610.
<b>Date:</b>	Thu, 04 Nov 2021	<b>Prob (F-statistic):</b>	0.00
<b>Time:</b>	05:19:39	<b>Log-Likelihood:</b>	-56307.
<b>No. Observations:</b>	38544	<b>AIC:</b>	1.126e+05
<b>Df Residuals:</b>	38541	<b>BIC:</b>	1.126e+05
<b>Df Model:</b>	2		
<b>Covariance Type:</b>	nonrobust		

  

	coef	std err	t	P>  t	[0.025	0.975]
<b>Intercept</b>	-7.6267	0.014	-532.839	0.000	-7.655	-7.599
<b>prices</b>	-0.2496	0.003	-84.768	0.000	-0.255	-0.244
<b>prom_</b>	-0.0311	0.019	-1.653	0.098	-0.068	0.006

  

<b>Omnibus:</b>	1648.591	<b>Durbin-Watson:</b>	0.434
<b>Prob(Omnibus):</b>	0.000	<b>Jarque-Bera (JB):</b>	1461.418
<b>Skew:</b>	-0.415	<b>Prob(JB):</b>	0.00
<b>Kurtosis:</b>	2.529	<b>Cond. No.</b>	17.7

Notes:

[1] Standard Errors assume that the covariance matrix of the errors is correctly specified.

---

\*I worked on this problem set with Luna Shen, David Kerns, and Benedikt Graf

Estimate the Model using OLS, with price and promotion as characteristics, and brand dummies

*#1.2: Estimate using OLS with price and promotion as product characteristics and brand dummies.*  
 res\_log2 = smf.ols('Y ~ prices + prom\_ + C(brand)', data=otc\_dataDf).fit()

<b>Dep. Variable:</b>	Y	<b>R-squared:</b>	0.654
<b>Model:</b>	OLS	<b>Adj. R-squared:</b>	0.654
<b>Method:</b>	Least Squares	<b>F-statistic:</b>	6081.
<b>Date:</b>	Thu, 04 Nov 2021	<b>Prob (F-statistic):</b>	0.00
<b>Time:</b>	05:20:51	<b>Log-Likelihood:</b>	-39138.
<b>No. Observations:</b>	38544	<b>AIC:</b>	7.830e+04
<b>Df Residuals:</b>	38531	<b>BIC:</b>	7.841e+04
<b>Df Model:</b>	12		
<b>Covariance Type:</b>	nonrobust		

  

	coef	std err	t	P>  t	[0.025	0.975]
<b>Intercept</b>	-6.0745	0.036	-167.065	0.000	-6.146	-6.003
<b>C(brand)[T.2]</b>	-0.0048	0.022	-0.218	0.828	-0.048	0.039
<b>C(brand)[T.3]</b>	-0.4578	0.040	-11.502	0.000	-0.536	-0.380
<b>C(brand)[T.4]</b>	-0.4303	0.017	-25.951	0.000	-0.463	-0.398
<b>C(brand)[T.5]</b>	-0.8868	0.024	-37.403	0.000	-0.933	-0.840
<b>C(brand)[T.6]</b>	-1.3850	0.051	-27.408	0.000	-1.484	-1.286
<b>C(brand)[T.7]</b>	-1.6527	0.018	-94.139	0.000	-1.687	-1.618
<b>C(brand)[T.8]</b>	-2.2856	0.016	-141.034	0.000	-2.317	-2.254
<b>C(brand)[T.9]</b>	-1.9340	0.017	-111.950	0.000	-1.968	-1.900
<b>C(brand)[T.10]</b>	-1.8983	0.022	-87.306	0.000	-1.941	-1.856
<b>C(brand)[T.11]</b>	-2.1754	0.019	-113.355	0.000	-2.213	-2.138
<b>prices</b>	-0.3412	0.010	-33.864	0.000	-0.361	-0.321
<b>prom_</b>	0.3294	0.013	26.122	0.000	0.305	0.354

  

<b>Omnibus:</b>	2773.498	<b>Durbin-Watson:</b>	1.024
<b>Prob(Omnibus):</b>	0.000	<b>Jarque-Bera (JB):</b>	3867.305
<b>Skew:</b>	-0.618	<b>Prob(JB):</b>	0.00
<b>Kurtosis:</b>	3.938	<b>Cond. No.</b>	112.

Notes:

[1] Standard Errors assume that the covariance matrix of the errors is correctly specified.

Estimate the Model using OLS, with price and promotion as characteristics, and store-brand dummies

*#1.3. Using OLS with price and promotion as product characteristics and store-brand  
#(the interaction of brand and store) dummies.*

```
res_log3 = smf.ols('Y ~ prices + prom_ + C(brand)*C(store)', data=otc_dataDf).fit()
```

<b>Dep. Variable:</b>	Y	<b>R-squared:</b>	0.722
<b>Model:</b>	OLS	<b>Adj. R-squared:</b>	0.716
<b>Method:</b>	Least Squares	<b>F-statistic:</b>	121.9
<b>Date:</b>	Thu, 04 Nov 2021	<b>Prob (F-statistic):</b>	0.00
<b>Time:</b>	05:21:32	<b>Log-Likelihood:</b>	-34946.
<b>No. Observations:</b>	38544	<b>AIC:</b>	7.150e+04
<b>Df Residuals:</b>	37739	<b>BIC:</b>	7.839e+04
<b>Df Model:</b>	804		
<b>Covariance Type:</b>	nonrobust		

  

	coef	std err	t	P>  t	[0.025	0.975]
<b>Intercept</b>	-6.1994	0.093	-66.365	0.000	-6.382	-6.016
<b>prices</b>	-0.3302	0.010	-34.349	0.000	-0.349	-0.311
<b>prom_</b>	0.3288	0.011	28.619	0.000	0.306	0.351

  

<b>Omnibus:</b>	4044.934	<b>Durbin-Watson:</b>	1.268
<b>Prob(Omnibus):</b>	0.000	<b>Jarque-Bera (JB):</b>	7222.052
<b>Skew:</b>	-0.721	<b>Prob(JB):</b>	0.00
<b>Kurtosis:</b>	4.555	<b>Cond. No.</b>	4.08e+03

Notes:

- [1] Standard Errors assume that the covariance matrix of the errors is correctly specified.
- [2] The condition number is large, 4.08e+03. This might indicate that there are strong multicollinearity or other numerical problems. Dummies omitted.

## Estimate the models from parts 1–3 using wholesale cost as an instrument

```
# OLS with price and promotion as product characteristics, using wholesale cost as instrument
wholeSale_IV1 = iv.IV2SLS.from_formula('Y ~ 1 + [prices ~ cost_] + prom_', data=otc_dataDf).fit()
```

<b>Dep. Variable:</b>	Y	<b>R-squared:</b>	0.1531
<b>Estimator:</b>	IV-2SLS	<b>Adj. R-squared:</b>	0.1531
<b>No. Observations:</b>	38544	<b>F-statistic:</b>	5255.4
<b>Date:</b>	Thu, Nov 04 2021	<b>P-value (F-stat)</b>	0.0000
<b>Time:</b>	05:25:20	<b>Distribution:</b>	chi2(2)
<b>Cov. Estimator:</b>	robust		

	Parameter	Std. Err.	T-stat	P-value	Lower CI	Upper CI
<b>Intercept</b>	-7.8181	0.0150	-520.30	0.0000	-7.8476	-7.7887
<b>prom_</b>	-0.0068	0.0170	-0.4034	0.6866	-0.0401	0.0264
<b>prices</b>	-0.2066	0.0029	-71.884	0.0000	-0.2122	-0.2009

Endogenous: prices  
Instruments: cost\_  
Robust Covariance (Heteroskedastic)  
Debiased: False

```
# OLS with price and promotion as product characteristics and brand dummies
# using wholesale cost as instrument
```

```
wholeSale_IV2 = iv.IV2SLS.from_formula('Y ~ 1 + [prices ~ cost_] + prom_ + C(brand)', data=otc_dataDf).
```

<b>Dep. Variable:</b>	Y	<b>R-squared:</b>	0.6446
<b>Estimator:</b>	IV-2SLS	<b>Adj. R-squared:</b>	0.6445
<b>No. Observations:</b>	38544	<b>F-statistic:</b>	9.69e+04
<b>Date:</b>	Thu, Nov 04 2021	<b>P-value (F-stat)</b>	0.0000
<b>Time:</b>	05:26:08	<b>Distribution:</b>	chi2(12)
<b>Cov. Estimator:</b>	robust		

	Parameter	Std. Err.	T-stat	P-value	Lower CI	Upper CI
<b>Intercept</b>	-7.2171	0.0652	-110.69	0.0000	-7.3449	-7.0893
<b>C(brand)[T.2]</b>	-0.5161	0.0309	-16.703	0.0000	-0.5766	-0.4555
<b>C(brand)[T.3]</b>	-1.6627	0.0698	-23.833	0.0000	-1.7994	-1.5260
<b>C(brand)[T.4]</b>	-0.2833	0.0147	-19.314	0.0000	-0.3121	-0.2546
<b>C(brand)[T.5]</b>	-1.4660	0.0358	-40.904	0.0000	-1.5363	-1.3958
<b>C(brand)[T.6]</b>	-2.9699	0.0914	-32.484	0.0000	-3.1491	-2.7907
<b>C(brand)[T.7]</b>	-1.4158	0.0181	-78.185	0.0000	-1.4513	-1.3803
<b>C(brand)[T.8]</b>	-2.3613	0.0137	-172.35	0.0000	-2.3882	-2.3344
<b>C(brand)[T.9]</b>	-2.1365	0.0169	-126.55	0.0000	-2.1696	-2.1034
<b>C(brand)[T.10]</b>	-1.4120	0.0321	-44.036	0.0000	-1.4749	-1.3492
<b>C(brand)[T.11]</b>	-2.5260	0.0283	-89.396	0.0000	-2.5814	-2.4707
<b>prom_</b>	0.4307	0.0145	29.801	0.0000	0.4024	0.4590
<b>prices</b>	-0.0081	0.0189	-0.4287	0.6682	-0.0452	0.0290

Endogenous: prices  
Instruments: cost\_  
Robust Covariance (Heteroskedastic)  
Debiased: False

```
# OLS with price and promotion as product characteristics and brand dummies
# using wholesale cost as instrument
```

```
wholeSale_IV3 = iv.IV2SLS.from_formula('Y ~ 1 + [prices ~ cost_] + prom_ + C(brand)*C(store)', data=otc.
```

<b>Dep. Variable:</b>	Y	<b>R-squared:</b>	0.7150
<b>Estimator:</b>	IV-2SLS	<b>Adj. R-squared:</b>	0.7090
<b>No. Observations:</b>	38544	<b>F-statistic:</b>	1.766e+05
<b>Date:</b>	Thu, Nov 04 2021	<b>P-value (F-stat)</b>	0.0000
<b>Time:</b>	05:27:37	<b>Distribution:</b>	chi2(804)
<b>Cov. Estimator:</b>	robust		

  

	Parameter	Std. Err.	T-stat	P-value	Lower CI	Upper CI
<b>Intercept</b>	-7.2138	0.0775	-93.106	0.0000	-7.3657	-7.0620
<b>prom_</b>	0.4193	0.0132	31.774	0.0000	0.3934	0.4451
<b>prices</b>	-0.0346	0.0178	-1.9442	0.0519	-0.0695	0.0003

Table 1: IV-2SLS Estimation Summary, dummies suppressed

Endogenous: prices  
Instruments: cost\_  
Robust Covariance (Heteroskedastic)  
Debiased: False

Estimate the models from parts 1–3 using the Hausman instrument

<b>Dep. Variable:</b>	Y	<b>R-squared:</b>	0.1578
<b>Estimator:</b>	IV-2SLS	<b>Adj. R-squared:</b>	0.1577
<b>No. Observations:</b>	38544	<b>F-statistic:</b>	9465.0
<b>Date:</b>	Thu, Nov 04 2021	<b>P-value (F-stat)</b>	0.0000
<b>Time:</b>	05:40:33	<b>Distribution:</b>	chi2(2)
<b>Cov. Estimator:</b>	robust		

	Parameter	Std. Err.	T-stat	P-value	Lower CI	Upper CI
<b>Intercept</b>	-7.6143	0.0135	-565.64	0.0000	-7.6407	-7.5879
<b>prom_</b>	-0.0327	0.0170	-1.9257	0.0541	-0.0660	0.0006
<b>prices</b>	-0.2524	0.0026	-97.062	0.0000	-0.2574	-0.2473

Endogenous: prices

Instruments: pricestore1, pricestore2, pricestore3, pricestore4, pricestore5, pricestore6, pricestore7, pricestore8, pricestore9, pricestore10, pricestore11, pricestore12, pricestore13, pricestore14, pricestore15, pricestore16, pricestore17, pricestore18, pricestore19, pricestore20, pricestore21, pricestore22, pricestore23, pricestore24, pricestore25, pricestore26, pricestore27, pricestore28, pricestore29, pricestore30

Robust Covariance (Heteroskedastic)

Debiased: False

### Mean own-price elasticities from the estimates in models 1–3

These results make sense. As a rule of thumb, the own-price elasticities should be  $\in (-2, -5)$ . The IV estimates using the Hausman instrument are approximately in this range. The OLS estimates are not, indicating that endogeneity is a practical concern in this setting. The estimates with wholesale cost as an instrument are also “too small”, indicating that wholesale cost may not be a viable instrument in this context.

<b>Dep. Variable:</b>	Y	<b>R-squared:</b>	0.6511
<b>Estimator:</b>	IV-2SLS	<b>Adj. R-squared:</b>	0.6510
<b>No. Observations:</b>	38544	<b>F-statistic:</b>	9.529e+04
<b>Date:</b>	Thu, Nov 04 2021	<b>P-value (F-stat)</b>	0.0000
<b>Time:</b>	05:41:01	<b>Distribution:</b>	chi2(12)
<b>Cov. Estimator:</b>	robust		

	Parameter	Std. Err.	T-stat	P-value	Lower CI	Upper CI
<b>Intercept</b>	-5.4061	0.0539	-100.33	0.0000	-5.5117	-5.3005
<b>C(product_ids)[T.2]</b>	0.2942	0.0259	11.370	0.0000	0.2435	0.3449
<b>C(product_ids)[T.3]</b>	0.2471	0.0574	4.3071	0.0000	0.1347	0.3595
<b>C(product_ids)[T.4]</b>	-0.5162	0.0140	-36.812	0.0000	-0.5437	-0.4888
<b>C(product_ids)[T.5]</b>	-0.5479	0.0298	-18.367	0.0000	-0.6064	-0.4894
<b>C(product_ids)[T.6]</b>	-0.4578	0.0751	-6.0967	0.0000	-0.6050	-0.3107
<b>C(product_ids)[T.7]</b>	-1.7913	0.0169	-105.81	0.0000	-1.8245	-1.7581
<b>C(product_ids)[T.8]</b>	-2.2413	0.0143	-156.43	0.0000	-2.2694	-2.2132
<b>C(product_ids)[T.9]</b>	-1.8155	0.0156	-116.73	0.0000	-1.8460	-1.7850
<b>C(product_ids)[T.10]</b>	-2.1827	0.0280	-77.963	0.0000	-2.2376	-2.1279
<b>C(product_ids)[T.11]</b>	-1.9703	0.0231	-85.436	0.0000	-2.0155	-1.9251
<b>prom_</b>	0.2701	0.0143	18.947	0.0000	0.2421	0.2980
<b>prices</b>	-0.5361	0.0155	-34.507	0.0000	-0.5665	-0.5056

Endogenous: prices

Instruments: pricestore1, pricestore2, pricestore3, pricestore4, pricestore5, pricestore6, pricestore7, pricestore8, pricestore9, pricestore10, pricestore11, pricestore12, pricestore13, pricestore14, pricestore15, pricestore16, pricestore17, pricestore18, pricestore19, pricestore20, pricestore21, pricestore22, pricestore23, pricestore24, pricestore25, pricestore26, pricestore27, pricestore28, pricestore29, pricestore30

Robust Covariance (Heteroskedastic)

Debiased: False



<b>Dep. Variable:</b>	Y	<b>R-squared:</b>	0.7183
<b>Estimator:</b>	IV-2SLS	<b>Adj. R-squared:</b>	0.7123
<b>No. Observations:</b>	38544	<b>F-statistic:</b>	1.711e+05
<b>Date:</b>	Thu, Nov 04 2021	<b>P-value (F-stat)</b>	0.0000
<b>Time:</b>	05:42:30	<b>Distribution:</b>	chi2(804)
<b>Cov. Estimator:</b>	robust		

	Parameter	Std. Err.	T-stat	P-value	Lower CI	Upper CI
<b>Intercept</b>	-5.4606	0.0662	-82.482	0.0000	-5.5903	-5.3308
<b>prom_</b>	0.2630	0.0130	20.297	0.0000	0.2376	0.2884
<b>prices</b>	-0.5454	0.0138	-39.560	0.0000	-0.5725	-0.5184

Endogenous: prices

Instruments: pricestore1, pricestore2, pricestore3, pricestore4, pricestore5, pricestore6, pricestore7, pricestore8, pricestore9, pricestore10, pricestore11, pricestore12, pricestore13, pricestore14, pricestore15, pricestore16, pricestore17, pricestore18, pricestore19, pricestore20, pricestore21, pricestore22, pricestore23, pricestore24, pricestore25, pricestore26, pricestore27, pricestore28, pricestore29, pricestore30

Robust Covariance (Heteroskedastic) Dummies omitted.

Debiased: False

	OLS1	OLS2	OLS3	IV4.1	IV4.2	IV4.3	IV5.1	IV5.2	IV5.3
brand									
1	-0.852929	-1.166183	-1.128481	-0.706043	-0.027733	-0.118239	-0.862496	-1.832176	-1.864240
2	-1.232714	-1.685451	-1.630960	-1.020423	-0.040082	-0.170887	-1.246541	-2.647991	-2.694332
3	-1.750607	-2.393550	-2.316167	-1.449128	-0.056921	-0.242681	-1.770243	-3.760477	-3.826287
4	-0.739114	-1.010568	-0.977896	-0.611828	-0.024032	-0.102461	-0.747405	-1.587691	-1.615476
5	-1.283685	-1.755141	-1.698398	-1.062616	-0.041739	-0.177953	-1.298083	-2.757481	-2.805738
6	-2.036190	-2.784018	-2.694011	-1.685529	-0.066207	-0.282271	-2.059029	-4.373936	-4.450483
7	-0.666923	-0.911862	-0.882382	-0.552069	-0.021685	-0.092453	-0.674403	-1.432616	-1.457688
8	-0.900107	-1.230688	-1.190900	-0.745096	-0.029267	-0.124779	-0.910203	-1.933518	-1.967356
9	-0.989782	-1.353297	-1.309545	-0.819327	-0.032183	-0.137210	-1.000884	-2.126149	-2.163357
10	-0.481135	-0.657841	-0.636573	-0.398277	-0.015644	-0.066698	-0.486532	-1.033526	-1.051613
11	-1.109697	-1.517254	-1.468201	-0.918591	-0.036082	-0.153834	-1.122144	-2.383739	-2.425456

## Problem 2

Our results for this section don't make sense, and there are a few indications that we may have errors in our code. Our GMM function reached the maximum number of iterations. It's not clear if we set the tolerance level too low or if it simply failed to converge; if it didn't converge then the estimation procedure is incorrect and our results *shouldn't* make sense.

### Estimate the parameter values using BLP

$\alpha$	$\beta$	$\sigma_{ib}$	$\sigma_I$	$\sigma_I^2$
1.67671625	40.5539681	$\begin{bmatrix} 0.35372042 \\ 0.4527695 \\ 0.2383683 \end{bmatrix}$	0.005161	-0.121263
	-37.20820459			
	22.10159763			
	-9.87790967			
	-18.56618279			
	17.5039916			
	-32.63598696			
	34.20049778			
	-12.49605257			
	0.95162148			
	-53.64393846			

Note that we have one more element of  $\beta$  than we should. When we constructed the dummies, we neglected to exclude one dummy variable, but we were unable to re-run our code before submitting our results. Given more time, we would re-run this step, and we suspect that the coefficients would then make more sense.

### What are the elasticities for store 9 in week 10?

Back out the marginal costs for store 9 in week 10. How are they different from wholesale costs?

### Problem 3

Predict the post-merger prices using the logit model, but only for store 9 in week 10

	store	week	brand	prices	new_prices	price_change
33	9	10	1	3.29	3.235631	-0.054369
34	9	10	2	4.87	4.815631	-0.054369
35	9	10	3	6.38	6.325631	-0.054369
36	9	10	4	2.83	2.797369	-0.032631
37	9	10	5	5.29	5.257369	-0.032631
38	9	10	6	8.39	8.357369	-0.032631
39	9	10	7	2.71	2.694294	-0.015706
40	9	10	8	3.34	3.324294	-0.015706
41	9	10	9	3.97	3.954294	-0.015706
42	9	10	10	1.69	1.671601	-0.018399
43	9	10	11	4.49	4.471601	-0.018399

### How to predict the change in prices after the merger using the random coefficients model?

The procedure for predicting the effects of a merger using estimates from the random coefficients model is exactly the same. When we estimate the logit model, we end up with unrealistic elasticities, and hence unrealistic substitution patterns. The random coefficients model gives us a more reasonable matrix of elasticities, but we use it in the same way. When we want to assess the change of moving from two single-product firms to a multi-product firm, we switch from looking at firms that take FOCs with respect to a single price to a single firm that takes FOCs with respect to two prices (the price of each good that it produces). What does this mean? With a more realistic estimate of substitution patterns, we have a better idea of how consumers will respond to changes in prices. Pre-merger, a firm would be “unwilling” to raise its price because it would lose customers. Now, however, the merged firm *may* recognize that increasing the price of one of its products will cause consumers to switch to its other product, so its profit maximizing price may be higher in the merged case than in the pre-merger case. The random coefficients model is giving us a better insight into these actual substitution patterns.