Shopping in VR

Christopher Davidson

2022-09-25

First of all I would like to thank the people who gave me this opportunity to prove my skills a data analyst and be able to showcase them. This is a Markdown document that will show all of the processes I went through whilst programming in R. Later, I exported the dataframe's I produced in R into PowerBI to be able to visualise the data in an easy way. Then I will be creating a report for the fictional stakeholders which will give you the conclusion of what is being mentioned here. This is the process I went through for my analysis.

Intro

I was asked to do a case study into Users using VR to shop. I was given a CSV containing the data from the time when these users were in VR. The CSV file does not contain time stamps when people used VR, however it does contain the amount of time users spent looking at certain products in VR. This was useful to understand how interested they were in a certain product. I am to work in this fictional team consisting of the Product Owner, a UX designer, and another data analyst. Meaning that I will have to present my findings in a way that everyone understands.

I used R to prepare and analyse the data but then used PowerBI to visualise the data later. I thought it would be easier this way.

Ask

In this setup, I was already given the questions. They are as follows:

- 1. Who is the main target group? Which segments do you identify?
- 2. What kind of data would I want to improve my analysis and back-up the insights I mentioned and why?
- 3. The team wants to develop new features that is personalised for each target market. Which target market should they focus on first?
- 4. Some users recorded whether they had children or not and others did not. The team is wanting to increase children product sales. They want to know which characteristics a user has that shows that they have children.

Process

The data collected was already very clean after initially looking at it through dplyr's glimpse and skimr's skim_without_charts. Nothing was coming out as unusual.

glimpse(CustomerData)

```
## Rows: 537,577
## Columns: 12
## $ CustomerID
                <dbl> 1000001, 1000001, 1000001, 1000001, 1000002, 1000003, 10~
## $ ItemID
                <chr> "P00069042", "P00248942", "P00087842", "P00085442", "P00~
                ## $ Sex
                <chr> "0-17", "0-17", "0-17", "0-17", "55+", "26-35", "46-50",~
## $ Age
## $ Profession
                <dbl> 10, 10, 10, 10, 16, 15, 7, 7, 7, 20, 20, 20, 20, 20, 9, ~
                <chr> "A", "A", "A", "A", "C", "A", "B", "B", "B", "A", "A", "~
## $ CityType
                <chr> "2", "2", "2", "2", "4+", "3", "2", "2", "2", "1", "1", ~
## $ YearsInCity
## $ HaveChildren <1g1> FALSE, FALSE, FALSE, FALSE, FALSE, FALSE, TRUE, TRVE, TR~
## $ ItemCategory1 <dbl> 3, 1, 12, 12, 8, 1, 1, 1, 1, 8, 5, 8, 8, 1, 5, 4, 2, 5, ~
## $ ItemCategory2 <dbl> NA, 6, NA, 14, NA, 2, 8, 15, 16, NA, 11, NA, NA, 2, 8, 5~
## $ Amount
                <dbl> 8370, 15200, 1422, 1057, 7969, 15227, 19215, 15854, 1568~
```

skim_without_charts(CustomerData)

Table 1: Data summary

Name	CustomerData
Number of rows	537577
Number of columns	12
Column type frequency:	
character	5
logical	1
numeric	6
Group variables	None

Variable type: character

skim_variable	$n_{missing}$	$complete_rate$	min	max	empty	n_unique	whitespace
ItemID	0	1	8	9	0	3623	0
Sex	0	1	1	1	0	2	0
Age	0	1	3	5	0	7	0
CityType	0	1	1	1	0	3	0
YearsInCity	0	1	1	2	0	5	0

Variable type: logical

skim_variable	n_missing	complete_rate	mean	count
HaveChildren	20170	0.96	0.41	FAL: 304366, TRU: 213041

Variable type: numeric

skim_variable n	_missing	complete_rate	mean	sd	p0	p25	p50	p75	p100
CustomerID	0	1.00	1002991.85	1714.39	1000001	1001495	1003031	1004417	1006040
Profession	0	1.00	8.08	6.52	0	2	7	14	20
ItemCategory1	0	1.00	5.30	3.75	1	1	5	8	18
ItemCategory2	166986	0.69	9.84	5.09	2	5	9	15	18
ItemCategory3	373299	0.31	12.67	4.12	3	9	14	16	18
Amount	0	1.00	9333.86	4981.02	185	5866	8062	12073	23961

After checking that there wasn't anything unusual. I decided to continue with two cleaning functions just in case.

clean_names(CustomerData) #This is used to ensure that all the columns names are compatible for R to un

```
## # A tibble: 537,577 x 12
                                      profe~2 city_~3 years~4 have_~5 item_~6 item_~7
      custome~1 item_id sex
##
                                age
                                         <dbl> <chr>
                                                                            <dbl>
##
           <dbl> <chr>
                          <chr> <chr>
                                                        <chr>
                                                                 <lgl>
                                                                                    <dbl>
        1000001 P00069~ F
                                                                 FALSE
##
   1
                                0 - 17
                                            10 A
                                                        2
                                                                                3
                                                                                       NA
##
    2
        1000001 P00248~ F
                                            10 A
                                                        2
                                                                 FALSE
                                                                                        6
                                0 - 17
                                                                                1
        1000001 P00087~ F
##
    3
                                0 - 17
                                            10 A
                                                        2
                                                                 FALSE
                                                                               12
                                                                                       NA
        1000001 P00085~ F
                                                                               12
                                                                                        14
##
   4
                                0 - 17
                                            10 A
                                                        2
                                                                 FALSE
##
    5
        1000002 P00285~ M
                                55+
                                            16 C
                                                        4+
                                                                 FALSE
                                                                                8
                                                                                       NA
##
                                                                                        2
        1000003 P00193~ M
    6
                                26 - 35
                                            15 A
                                                        3
                                                                 FALSE
                                                                                1
                                                                                        8
##
    7
        1000004 P00184~ M
                                46-50
                                             7 B
                                                        2
                                                                 TRUE
                                                                                1
                                                                                       15
##
    8
        1000004 P00346~ M
                                46 - 50
                                             7 B
                                                        2
                                                                 TRUE
                                                                                1
##
    9
        1000004 P00972~ M
                                46-50
                                             7 B
                                                        2
                                                                 TRUE
                                                                                1
                                                                                       16
                                26-35
## 10
        1000005 P00274~ M
                                            20 A
                                                        1
                                                                 TRUE
                                                                                8
                                                                                       NA
## # ... with 537,567 more rows, 2 more variables: item_category3 <dbl>,
       amount <dbl>, and abbreviated variable names 1: customer_id, 2: profession,
## #
       3: city_type, 4: years_in_city, 5: have_children, 6: item_category1,
## #
       7: item_category2
```

get_dupes(CustomerData) #This ensures that none of the rows are duplicated and eliminates any that are

```
## No variable names specified - using all columns.
```

No duplicate combinations found of: CustomerID, ItemID, Sex, Age, Profession, CityType, YearsInCity,

```
## # A tibble: 0 x 13
```

```
## # ... with 13 variables: CustomerID <dbl>, ItemID <chr>, Sex <chr>, Age <chr>,
      Profession <dbl>, CityType <chr>, YearsInCity <chr>, HaveChildren <lgl>,
```

ItemCategory1 <dbl>, ItemCategory2 <dbl>, ItemCategory3 <dbl>,

Amount <dbl>, dupe_count <int> ## #

Analyse

Afterwards it was time to analyse and this took some time. First, I was wanting to look at the data I had available. Each header telling me what was contained in that column.

glimpse(CustomerData)

```
## Rows: 537,577
## Columns: 12
## $ CustomerID
                  <dbl> 1000001, 1000001, 1000001, 1000001, 1000002, 1000003, 10~
                  <chr> "P00069042", "P00248942", "P00087842", "P00085442", "P00~
## $ ItemID
                  ## $ Sex
                  <chr> "0-17", "0-17", "0-17", "0-17", "55+", "26-35", "46-50",~
## $ Age
## $ Profession
                  <dbl> 10, 10, 10, 10, 16, 15, 7, 7, 7, 20, 20, 20, 20, 20, 9, ~
                  <chr> "A", "A", "A", "A", "C", "A", "B", "B", "B", "A", "A", "~
## $ CityType
                  <chr> "2", "2", "2", "2", "4+", "3", "2", "2", "2", "1", "1", ~
## $ YearsInCity
                 <lgl> FALSE, FALSE, FALSE, FALSE, FALSE, TRUE, TRUE, TR~
## $ HaveChildren
## $ ItemCategory1 <dbl> 3, 1, 12, 12, 8, 1, 1, 1, 1, 8, 5, 8, 8, 1, 5, 4, 2, 5, ~
## $ ItemCategory2 <dbl> NA, 6, NA, 14, NA, 2, 8, 15, 16, NA, 11, NA, NA, 2, 8, 5~
## $ ItemCategory3 <dbl> NA, 14, NA, NA, NA, NA, 17, NA, NA, NA, NA, NA, NA, NA, NA, 5, 1~
## $ Amount
                  <dbl> 8370, 15200, 1422, 1057, 7969, 15227, 19215, 15854, 1568~
```

I figured out what I wanted to do. I wanted to look at the different type of users and what they were up to. That included sepearate dataframes for Age, Sex, Profession, children, City, and the amount of time lived in that city. Whether that made a difference or not. I knew later I would want to go deeper into analysing users with children but for now I looked at the basics.

```
"Age" <- `CustomerData` %>% #Have a look to see which age group is using it most
group_by(`Age`) %>%
summarise(AgeCount = n_distinct(CustomerID))
print(Age)
```

```
## # A tibble: 7 x 2
##
     Age
            AgeCount
##
     <chr>>
               <int>
## 1 0-17
                 218
## 2 18-25
                1069
## 3 26-35
                2053
## 4 36-45
                1167
## 5 46-50
                 531
## 6 51-55
                 481
## 7 55+
                 372
```

I realised at this point that numbers were so low, so I just wanted to make sure that the data I was looking at was correct.

```
`CustomerData` %>% #Only 5891 customers out of 537,577 rows. I'll double check these numbers with the s summarise(CustCount = n_distinct(CustomerID))
```

```
## # A tibble: 1 x 1
## CustCount
## <int>
## 1 5891
```

There was only 5891 customers out of 537,577 rows. I double checked the data using the =COUNTUNIQUE function in sheets and found that I got the same number. It was correct, there was just under 6000 people who tested this product.

From the data, it was also clear that it was mostly 26-35 year olds that wanted to use VR. You can see this more clearly in the report I created in PowerBI showing all the visuals.

```
"AgeTime" <- `CustomerData` %>% #The older people get, the longer they look at the article
  group_by(`Age`) %>%
  summarise(AvgTime = mean(Amount))
print(AgeTime)
## # A tibble: 7 x 2
##
     Age
           AvgTime
     <chr>>
             <dbl>
##
             9020.
## 1 0-17
## 2 18-25
             9235.
## 3 26-35
             9315.
## 4 36-45
             9401.
## 5 46-50
             9285.
## 6 51-55
             9621.
```

This was interesting. The older people got, the more time they would spend looking at individual products.

```
"Sex" <- `CustomerData` %>% #Over double the amount of people using this VR experience are male and men
group_by(`Sex`) %>%
summarise(SexCount = n_distinct(CustomerID), AvgTime = mean(Amount)) %>%
mutate(CountPerc = SexCount / sum(SexCount)*100) %>%
mutate(TimePerc = AvgTime / sum(AvgTime)*100)
print(Sex)

## # A tibble: 2 x 5
## Sex SexCount AvgTime CountPerc TimePerc
## <chr> <int> <dbl> <dbl> <dbl> <dbl> <dbl>
```

I decided to put a percentage in this one that would compare the sum of the column with the smaller part. Showing that around 70% of the users using VR are men and just under 30% were female. Men even spent a little longer on average on looking at the product than women.

48.1

51.9

```
"Prof" <- `CustomerData` %>%
  group_by(`Profession`) %>%
  summarise(Count = n_distinct(CustomerID), AvgTime = (mean(Amount)))%>%
  mutate(CountPerc = (Count / sum(Count)*100)) %>%
  mutate(TimePerc = (AvgTime / sum(AvgTime)*100)) %>%
  arrange(desc(CountPerc))
print(Prof)
## # A tibble: 21 x 5
```

```
Profession Count AvgTime CountPerc TimePerc
##
##
            <dbl> <int>
                           <dbl>
                                      <dbl>
                                               <dbl>
                    740
                           9279.
                                      12.6
                                                4.74
##
  1
                4
                                                4.70
##
    2
                0
                    688
                           9187.
                                     11.7
```

7 55+

1 F

2 M

9454.

1666

4225

8810.

9505.

28.3

71.7

```
7
                      669
                             9502.
                                         11.4
                                                     4.86
##
    4
##
                 1
                      517
                             9018.
                                          8.78
                                                     4.61
##
    5
                17
                      491
                             9906.
                                          8.33
                                                     5.06
    6
                      376
                                          6.38
                                                     5.05
##
                12
                             9883.
##
    7
                14
                      294
                             9569.
                                          4.99
                                                     4.89
##
    8
                20
                      273
                             8881.
                                          4.63
                                                     4.54
    9
##
                 2
                      256
                             9026.
                                          4.35
                                                     4.61
## 10
                16
                      235
                             9457.
                                          3.99
                                                     4.84
## # ... with 11 more rows
```

This was a large file, but generally I could see that there were **people with certain professions who would be more interested in VR.** However, the dataset was so small and it was a test run in the stores, there can be other contributing factors at play. These could include people with shift work not being able to make it on the day the store is showcasing VR or the other way around. Perhaps there could be a lot of co-workers who work in the store and surrounding stores whoc used the VR on their breaks. If I was to look into this again, I would want to know the types of professions these people do and see if the data coincides with professional interests. For example, 3D designers could be interested in VR because the want to look at the technology progression so they could perhaps buy a VR headset for work. Or perhaps game creators are just naturally interested in the technology.

```
"City" <- `CustomerData` %>%
  group_by(`CityType`) %>%
  summarise(Count = n_distinct(CustomerID), AvgTime = (mean(Amount)))
print(City)
```

```
##
   # A tibble: 3 x 3
##
     CityType Count AvgTime
##
     <chr>
               <int>
                        <dbl>
## 1 A
                1045
                        8958.
## 2 B
                1707
                        9199.
## 3 C
                3139
                        9844.
```

If this was a real study, I would ask what they City Types represent. Whether that be particular cities, city density and so on. Or if it is something else. However, the data clearly shows that **people are a lot more interested in VR in type C.** However, the tricky part is that we don't know details. Details we would want to understand this would be what City Types represent, the amount of time VR was shown in these cities, the amount of staff available to assist customers with VR and so on.

```
"Local" <- `CustomerData` %>%
  group_by(`YearsInCity`) %>%
  summarise(CountA = n_distinct(ifelse(CityType == "A",CustomerID, NA),na.rm = T),AvgTimeA = mean(ifels
print(Local)
```

```
## # A tibble: 5 x 9
     YearsInCity CountA AvgTimeA CountB AvgTimeB CountC AvgTimeC TCount TAvgTime
##
                                                                                 <dbl>
     <chr>
                   <int>
                             <dbl>
                                     <int>
                                               <dbl>
                                                      <int>
                                                                <dbl>
                                                                        <int>
## 1 0
                     147 1002916.
                                       211 1003020.
                                                        414 1003140.
                                                                          772
                                                                                 9247.
## 2 1
                     370 1002915.
                                       608 1003130.
                                                       1108 1003006.
                                                                         2086
                                                                                 9320.
## 3 2
                     183 1003183.
                                       342 1003059.
                                                        620 1002960.
                                                                         1145
                                                                                 9398.
## 4 3
                     180 1002492.
                                       295 1002920.
                                                        504 1003158.
                                                                          979
                                                                                 9351.
## 5 4+
                     165 1002972.
                                       251 1002918.
                                                        493 1002858.
                                                                          909
                                                                                 9346.
```

Next was just a little bit more complicated. I wanted to check the time that each user had lived in the city and how that was to effect the likelihood of them wanting to try VR. As you can see from the data frame or in the PowerBI report, you can clearly see that **people who have lived in the city for more than a year are more likely to try VR.** I know that this is not a report to speculate in, however, this probably is due to people who have just moved in are looking to settle so too busy, people who are 2+ years area already settled so not looking for any new experiences. Each of the counts with letters represent different cities and from the data we can see that it doesn't matter which city you live in, you are still more likely to try VR if you have lived in that city for 1-2 years.

```
"TKids" <- `CustomerData` %>%
  group_by(`HaveChildren`) %>%
  drop_na(HaveChildren) %>%
  summarise(Count = n_distinct(CustomerID), AvgTime = (mean(Amount))) %>%
  mutate(CountPerc = (Count / sum(Count)*100)) %>%
  mutate(TimePerc = (AvgTime / sum(AvgTime)*100))
print(TKids)
## # A tibble: 2 x 5
##
     HaveChildren Count AvgTime CountPerc TimePerc
##
     <1g1>
                           <dbl>
                                     <dbl>
                                               <dbl>
                   <int>
## 1 FALSE
                           9334.
                                      57.8
                                                50.0
                   3280
## 2 TRUE
                   2399
                           9332.
                                      42.2
                                                50.0
```

Now here comes the simple question of how many people who used VR have kids. I calculated the percentage on the total sum of the other columns since it would give me a more accurate reading. It is clear that just under 60% of people who used VR didn't have children and just over 40% did.

```
"KidCount" <- `CustomerData` %>% #Tried with item ID, ended up with 3623 rows, so trying with category
group_by(`ItemCategory1`) %>%
summarise(WithKids = n_distinct(ifelse(HaveChildren == TRUE, CustomerID, NA), na.rm = T), WOKids = n_dis
mutate(WithKidsPerc = WithKids / sum(WithKids)*100) %>%
mutate(WOKidsPerc = WOKids / sum(WOKids)*100) %>%
mutate(KidsPercDif = WithKidsPerc-WOKidsPerc) %>%
arrange(KidsPercDif)
print(KidCount)
```

```
## # A tibble: 18 x 6
##
       ItemCategory1 WithKids WOKids WithKidsPerc WOKidsPerc KidsPercDif
##
                <dbl>
                          <int>
                                                 <dbl>
                                                             <dbl>
                                                                           <dbl>
                                  <int>
##
    1
                    3
                           1489
                                   2198
                                                 7.00
                                                             7.51
                                                                         -0.518
##
    2
                   11
                           1418
                                   2024
                                                 6.66
                                                             6.92
                                                                         -0.257
##
    3
                    2
                           1713
                                   2417
                                                 8.05
                                                             8.26
                                                                         -0.214
##
    4
                   15
                                                 4.54
                                                             4.72
                                                                         -0.174
                            967
                                   1380
##
    5
                    4
                           1337
                                   1870
                                                 6.28
                                                             6.39
                                                                         -0.111
                    9
                                                                         -0.0704
##
    6
                            156
                                    235
                                                 0.733
                                                             0.803
##
    7
                    1
                           2331
                                   3224
                                                11.0
                                                            11.0
                                                                         -0.0697
##
    8
                                                             5.95
                                                                         -0.0660
                   16
                           1252
                                   1740
                                                 5.88
    9
                                                                         -0.0217
##
                   13
                            910
                                   1257
                                                 4.28
                                                             4.30
## 10
                    6
                           1654
                                   2261
                                                 7.77
                                                             7.73
                                                                          0.0416
                    7
                            595
                                                                          0.0436
## 11
                                    805
                                                 2.80
                                                             2.75
## 12
                    5
                           2345
                                   3193
                                                11.0
                                                            10.9
                                                                          0.102
## 13
                   14
                            408
                                    524
                                                 1.92
                                                             1.79
                                                                          0.126
                           2313
                                                10.9
## 14
                    8
                                   3139
                                                            10.7
                                                                          0.136
```

```
## 15
                   18
                            538
                                    689
                                                 2.53
                                                              2.36
                                                                           0.172
## 16
                   10
                            968
                                   1260
                                                 4.55
                                                              4.31
                                                                           0.241
## 17
                   17
                            202
                                    206
                                                 0.949
                                                              0.704
                                                                           0.245
## 18
                            686
                                    827
                                                              2.83
                                                                           0.396
                   12
                                                 3.22
```

This is something I didn't use in my final report since it is showing a 0.5% difference between people with and without children. I considered this statistically insignificant and thought this data isn't useful.

```
"KidTime" \leftarrow `CustomerData` \%>% #I'm not comfortable with these variables. These numbers are too tight.
  group_by(`ItemCategory1`) %>%
  summarise(WithKids = mean(ifelse(HaveChildren == TRUE, Amount, NA), na.rm = T), WOKids = mean(ifelse(HaveChildren == TRUE, Amount, NA), na.rm = T), WOKids = mean(ifelse(HaveChildren == TRUE, Amount, NA), na.rm = T)
  mutate(WithKidsPerc = WithKids / (WithKids+WOKids)*100) %>%
  mutate(WOKidsPerc = WOKids / (WithKids+WOKids)*100) %>%
  mutate(KidsPercDif = WithKidsPerc-WOKidsPerc) %% #Something has gone wrong with this difference, how
  arrange(KidsPercDif)
print(KidTime)
## # A tibble: 18 x 6
      ItemCategory1 WithKids WOKids WithKidsPerc WOKidsPerc KidsPercDif
##
##
               <dbl>
                          <dbl> <dbl>
                                                <dbl>
                                                             <dbl>
                                                                          <dbl>
                         15064. 15919.
                                                                         -2.76
##
    1
                                                 48.6
                                                              51.4
##
    2
                          4614.
                                 4721.
                                                 49.4
                                                              50.6
                                                                         -1.14
                   11
##
   3
                   18
                          2950.
                                 3001.
                                                 49.6
                                                              50.4
                                                                         -0.861
##
    4
                   13
                           720.
                                   725.
                                                 49.8
                                                              50.2
                                                                         -0.383
                        15811. 15867.
                                                                         -0.177
##
    5
                    6
                                                 49.9
                                                              50.1
                    7
                        16317. 16364.
##
    6
                                                 49.9
                                                              50.1
                                                                         -0.145
   7
                        19703. 19663.
                                                 50.1
                                                                          0.100
##
                   10
                                                              49.9
                         1352. 1348.
                                                              49.9
##
    8
                   12
                                                 50.1
                                                                          0.154
##
   9
                   15
                        14790. 14744.
                                                 50.1
                                                              49.9
                                                                          0.154
                        13640. 13596.
## 10
                    1
                                                 50.1
                                                              49.9
                                                                          0.161
                        14802. 14736.
                                                 50.1
                                                                          0.223
## 11
                   16
                                                              49.9
## 12
                    8
                         7521. 7479.
                                                 50.1
                                                              49.9
                                                                          0.279
                          6260. 6222.
## 13
                    5
                                                 50.2
                                                              49.8
                                                                          0.305
                        10148. 10076.
## 14
                   17
                                                 50.2
                                                              49.8
                                                                          0.356
                        13188. 13082.
## 15
                   14
                                                 50.2
                                                              49.8
                                                                          0.406
## 16
                    2
                        11360. 11178.
                                                 50.4
                                                              49.6
                                                                          0.806
                    3
## 17
                         10198. 10010.
                                                 50.5
                                                              49.5
                                                                          0.927
## 18
                    4
                          2358.
                                2305.
                                                 50.6
                                                              49.4
                                                                          1.14
"KidTime2" <- `CustomerData` %>%
  group_by(`ItemCategory2`) %>%
  summarise(WithKids = mean(ifelse(HaveChildren == TRUE, Amount, NA), na.rm = T), WOKids = mean(ifelse(HaveChildren))
  mutate(WithKidsPerc = WithKids / (WithKids+WOKids)*100) %>%
  mutate(WOKidsPerc = WOKids / (WithKids+WOKids)*100) %>%
  mutate(KidsPercDif = WithKidsPerc-WOKidsPerc) %>%
  arrange(KidsPercDif)
print(KidTime2)
## # A tibble: 18 x 6
```

<dbl>

49.5

<dbl>

50.5

<dbl>

-0.922

ItemCategory2 WithKids WOKids WithKidsPerc WOKidsPerc KidsPercDif

<dbl>

6917.

##

##

##

1

<dbl>

<dbl>

6791.

```
10264. 10419.
##
                   15
                                                  49.6
                                                               50.4
                                                                         -0.754
##
    3
                    8
                         10231. 10323.
                                                  49.8
                                                               50.2
                                                                         -0.446
##
    4
                   16
                         10256. 10333.
                                                  49.8
                                                               50.2
                                                                         -0.371
                                                                         -0.137
##
    5
                   10
                         15613. 15656.
                                                  49.9
                                                               50.1
##
    6
                   17
                          9407.
                                  9402.
                                                  50.0
                                                               50.0
                                                                          0.0268
    7
                          7107.
                                                  50.0
                                                               50.0
                                                                          0.0912
##
                   14
                                  7094.
                    6
                         11534. 11503.
                                                  50.1
                                                               49.9
                                                                          0.137
##
    8
                    9
                          7314.
##
    9
                                 7273.
                                                  50.1
                                                               49.9
                                                                          0.281
                         13678. 13600.
##
  10
                    2
                                                  50.1
                                                               49.9
                                                                          0.286
##
   11
                   NA
                          7737.
                                  7655.
                                                  50.3
                                                               49.7
                                                                          0.535
##
   12
                   18
                          9426.
                                  9325.
                                                  50.3
                                                               49.7
                                                                          0.537
                   12
                          7008.
                                  6925.
                                                  50.3
                                                               49.7
                                                                          0.591
##
   13
##
   14
                   11
                          9005.
                                  8873.
                                                  50.4
                                                               49.6
                                                                          0.739
                         10332. 10134.
                                                  50.5
                                                                          0.967
## 15
                    4
                                                               49.5
## 16
                    3
                         11364. 11140.
                                                  50.5
                                                               49.5
                                                                          0.992
## 17
                    5
                          9157.
                                  8969.
                                                  50.5
                                                               49.5
                                                                          1.04
## 18
                   13
                          9879.
                                  9534.
                                                  50.9
                                                               49.1
                                                                          1.77
```

```
"KidTime3" <- CustomerData %>%
group_by(`ItemCategory3`) %>%
summarise(WithKids = mean(ifelse(HaveChildren == TRUE, Amount, NA), na.rm = T), WOKids = mean(ifelse(HaveChildren == TRUE, Amount, NA), na.rm = T), WOKids = mean(ifelse(HaveChildren == TRUE, Amount, NA), na.rm = T), WOKids = mean(ifelse(HaveChildren == TRUE, Amount, NA), na.rm = T), WOKids = mean(ifelse(HaveChildren == TRUE, Amount, NA), na.rm = T), WOKids = mean(ifelse(HaveChildren == TRUE, Amount, NA), na.rm = T), WOKids = mean(ifelse(HaveChildren == TRUE, Amount, NA), na.rm = T), WOKids = mean(ifelse(HaveChildren == TRUE, Amount, NA), na.rm = T), WOKids = mean(ifelse(HaveChildren == TRUE, Amount, NA), na.rm = T), WOKids = mean(ifelse(HaveChildren == TRUE, Amount, NA), na.rm = T), WOKids = mean(ifelse(HaveChildren == TRUE, Amount, NA), na.rm = T), WOKids = mean(ifelse(HaveChildren == TRUE, Amount, NA), na.rm = T), WOKids = mean(ifelse(HaveChildren == TRUE, Amount, NA), na.rm = T), WOKids = mean(ifelse(HaveChildren == TRUE, Amount, NA), na.rm = T), WOKids = mean(ifelse(HaveChildren == TRUE, Amount, NA), na.rm = T), WOKids = mean(ifelse(HaveChildren == TRUE, Amount, NA), na.rm = T), WOKids = mean(ifelse(HaveChildren == TRUE, Amount, NA), na.rm = T), WOKids = mean(ifelse(HaveChildren == TRUE, Amount, NA), na.rm = T), WOKids = mean(ifelse(HaveChildren == TRUE, Amount, NA), na.rm = T), WOKids = mean(ifelse(HaveChildren == TRUE, Amount, NA), na.rm = T), WOKids = mean(ifelse(HaveChildren == TRUE, Amount, NA), na.rm = T), WOKids = mean(ifelse(HaveChildren == TRUE, Amount, NA), na.rm = T), WOKids = mean(ifelse(HaveChildren == TRUE, Amount, NA), na.rm = T), WOKids = mean(ifelse(HaveChildren == TRUE, Amount, NA), na.rm = T), WOKids = mean(ifelse(HaveChildren == TRUE, Amount, NA), na.rm = T), WOKids = mean(ifelse(HaveChildren == TRUE, Amount, NA), na.rm = T), WOKids = mean(ifelse(HaveChildren == TRUE, Amount, NA), na.rm = T), WOKids = mean(ifelse(HaveChildren == TRUE, Amount, NA), na.rm = T), WOKids = mean(ifelse(HaveChildren == TRUE, Amount, NA), na.rm =
```

```
## # A tibble: 16 x 6
##
      ItemCategory3 WithKids WOKids WithKidsPerc WOKidsPerc KidsPercDif
##
               <dbl>
                         <dbl> <dbl>
                                                <dbl>
                                                            <dbl>
                                                                          <dbl>
##
    1
                    3
                        13749. 14104.
                                                 49.4
                                                             50.6
                                                                       -1.28
##
    2
                   11
                        12029. 12198.
                                                 49.7
                                                             50.3
                                                                       -0.698
##
    3
                   14
                         9980. 10113.
                                                 49.7
                                                             50.3
                                                                       -0.663
                        13129. 13236.
    4
                    6
                                                 49.8
                                                             50.2
                                                                       -0.403
##
    5
                    8
                        13009. 13052.
                                                 49.9
                                                             50.1
                                                                       -0.162
##
                    9
                        10433. 10438.
                                                                       -0.0249
##
    6
                                                 50.0
                                                             50.0
                                                                        0.0818
##
    7
                  NA
                         8314. 8300.
                                                 50.0
                                                             50.0
                        12017. 11956.
                                                 50.1
                                                             49.9
                                                                         0.252
##
    8
                   16
##
    9
                   13
                        13221. 13146.
                                                 50.1
                                                             49.9
                                                                         0.287
                        12393. 12316.
##
  10
                   15
                                                 50.2
                                                             49.8
                                                                         0.309
##
   11
                   17
                        11832. 11748.
                                                 50.2
                                                             49.8
                                                                         0.359
##
   12
                   18
                        11052. 10936.
                                                 50.3
                                                             49.7
                                                                         0.527
##
  13
                    5
                        12226. 12067.
                                                 50.3
                                                             49.7
                                                                         0.653
                    4
## 14
                         9867.
                                 9730.
                                                 50.3
                                                             49.7
                                                                         0.700
## 15
                   10
                        13667. 13372.
                                                 50.5
                                                             49.5
                                                                         1.09
## 16
                   12
                         8861.
                                 8648.
                                                 50.6
                                                             49.4
                                                                         1.21
```

All these dataframes are looking at the different product catagories and explores the likelihood of people looking at these products categories when they have kids or not. It turns out that there wasn't a single catagory in any of Category types that really stood out as having one group or the other looking at those items more. The most the difference even got was 2% in KidTime2 which I didn't consider enough to chase.

```
"KidTimeArt" <-`CustomerData` %>% #Tried with item ID, ended up with 3623 rows, so trying group_by(`ItemID`) %>% summarise(WithKids = mean(ifelse(HaveChildren == TRUE,(Amount), NA),na.rm = T),WOKids = mean(ifelse(Haute(WithKids) = mean(ifelse(Haute(WithKids) = mean(ifelse(Haute(WithKids) = mean(ifelse(Haute(WithKids) = mean(ifelse(Haute(WithKids) = mean(ifelse(Haute(WithKids) = mutate(WithKids) = mean(ifelse(Haute(WithKids) = mean(ifelse(Haute(WithKids) = mean(ifelse(Haute(WithKids) = mutate(WithKids) = mutate(WithKids) = mean(ifelse(Haute(Haute(WithKids) = mean(ifelse(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(Haute(
```

```
## # A tibble: 3,623 x 7
                                                                           Dif
##
      ItemID
                 WithKids WOKids WithKidsPerc WOKidsPerc KidsPercDif
##
      <chr>
                    dbl>
                           <dbl>
                                         <dbl>
                                                     <dbl>
                                                                  <dbl>
                                                                         <dbl>
    1 P00175142
                    7989
                           2193
                                          78.5
                                                                   56.9
                                                                         5796
##
                                                      21.5
##
    2 P00077142
                    7866
                           2222
                                          78.0
                                                      22.0
                                                                   55.9 5644
##
   3 P00309742
                    6096. 1729
                                          77.9
                                                      22.1
                                                                   55.8 4368.
##
   4 P00161342
                   15262
                           4516
                                          77.2
                                                      22.8
                                                                   54.3 10746
##
    5 P00131842
                   20468.
                           6308
                                          76.4
                                                      23.6
                                                                   52.9 14160.
##
    6 P00138742
                   12779
                           4348
                                          74.6
                                                      25.4
                                                                   49.2 8431
##
   7 P00261942
                   11425
                           3977
                                          74.2
                                                      25.8
                                                                   48.4 7448
##
   8 P00293442
                     571.
                            200
                                          74.1
                                                      25.9
                                                                   48.1
                                                                          371.
    9 P00152342
                    8565
                           3010.
                                          74.0
                                                      26.0
                                                                   48.0 5554.
## 10 P00247842
                    6025
                           2195
                                          73.3
                                                      26.7
                                                                   46.6 3830
## # ... with 3,613 more rows
```

I instead looked at each individual article. I found there were quite a few articles where people with kids would look at those longer than people without. This could well predict whether people do have kids or not if we don't have that data.

```
"KidAgeCount" <-`CustomerData` %>% #The older people get, the more likely they are to have kids
group_by(`Age`) %>%
summarise(CountKids = n_distinct(ifelse(HaveChildren == TRUE, CustomerID, NA), na.rm = T), CountWOKids =
mutate(WithKidsPerc = (CountKids / (CountKids+CountWOKids))*100) %>%
mutate(WOKidsPerc = (CountWOKids / (CountKids+CountWOKids))*100) %>%
mutate(dif = WithKidsPerc - WOKidsPerc)
print(KidAgeCount)
```

```
## # A tibble: 7 x 6
##
     Age
            CountKids CountWOKids WithKidsPerc WOKidsPerc
                                                                  dif
##
     <chr>
                <int>
                                            <dbl>
                                                        <dbl>
                                                               <dbl>
                             <int>
## 1 0-17
                                211
                                              0
                                                        100
                                                              -100
                     0
                                             23.3
## 2 18-25
                  239
                               785
                                                         76.7
                                                               -53.3
## 3 26-35
                               1198
                                             39.5
                                                         60.5
                                                               -20.9
                  783
                                             39.9
                                                               -20.2
## 4 36-45
                  448
                               675
                                                         60.1
## 5 46-50
                  363
                               152
                                             70.5
                                                         29.5
                                                                 41.0
## 6 51-55
                  334
                                128
                                             72.3
                                                                 44.6
                                                         27.7
## 7 55+
                  232
                                131
                                             63.9
                                                         36.1
                                                                 27.8
```

I then looked at people of differenct age groups and the liklihood of them having kids. It was immediately clear The older the user gets, the more likely they are to have kids. Dropping off when we looked at people over the age of 55. You can clearly see this in the report.

```
"KidCityYearsCount" <-`CustomerData` %>% #There doesn't seem to be a difference when looking at years i
group_by(`YearsInCity`) %>%
summarise(CountKids = (n_distinct(ifelse(HaveChildren == TRUE,CustomerID, NA),na.rm = T)),CountWOKids
mutate(WithKidsPerc = (CountKids / sum(CountKids))*100) %>%
mutate(WOKidsPerc = (CountWOKids / sum(CountWOKids))*100) %>%
mutate(dif = WithKidsPerc - WOKidsPerc)
print(KidCityYearsCount)
```

```
## # A tibble: 5 x 6
     YearsInCity CountKids CountWOKids WithKidsPerc WOKidsPerc
##
                                                                         dif
##
     <chr>>
                      <int>
                                   <int>
                                                 <dbl>
                                                             <dbl>
                                                                       <dbl>
## 1 0
                        300
                                     446
                                                  12.5
                                                              13.6 -1.09
## 2 1
                        891
                                    1120
                                                  37.1
                                                              34.1 2.99
## 3 2
                                                              19.5 0.00713
                        469
                                     641
                                                  19.5
## 4 3
                        385
                                     554
                                                  16.0
                                                              16.9 -0.842
## 5 4+
                        354
                                     519
                                                  14.8
                                                              15.8 -1.07
```

The last dataframe was looking deeper into this idea of the users having children and whether the amount of time the user has lived in the city makes a difference as to whether they had children or not. The result was a very small difference between years in the city and whether or not the people were more likely to have kids. I was going this way because I was thinking whether users who have just moved to the city were more likely to be travellers who never settled. But I couldn't find any data to back that theory up.

Share

I exported all the DataFrames as CSV files to be pulled into PowerBI to throw together the report that shows all the visuals. I realised that this is probably the most efficient way of doing things since writing out code to show the visuals is very time consuming.

```
write.csv(Age, "C:/Users/chris/Documents/R/IkeaInterview220922/Ikea Interview/CSV/Age.csv", row.names = F
write.csv(AgeTime, "C:/Users/chris/Documents/R/IkeaInterview220922/Ikea Interview/CSV/AgeTime.csv", row.n
write.csv(Sex, "C:/Users/chris/Documents/R/IkeaInterview220922/Ikea Interview/CSV/Sex.csv", row.names = F
write.csv(Prof, "C:/Users/chris/Documents/R/IkeaInterview220922/Ikea Interview/CSV/Prof.csv", row.names =
write.csv(City, "C:/Users/chris/Documents/R/IkeaInterview220922/Ikea Interview/CSV/City.csv", row.names =
write.csv(Local, "C:/Users/chris/Documents/R/IkeaInterview220922/Ikea Interview/CSV/Local.csv", row.names
write.csv(TKids, "C:/Users/chris/Documents/R/IkeaInterview220922/Ikea Interview/CSV/KidS.csv", row.names
write.csv(KidTimeArt, "C:/Users/chris/Documents/R/IkeaInterview220922/Ikea Interview/CSV/KidAgeCount.csv"
write.csv(KidAgeCount, "C:/Users/chris/Documents/R/IkeaInterview220922/Ikea Interview/CSV/KidAgeCount.csv")
```

Answering Question 1 - Who is the main target group? Which segments do you identify?:

The Segments I identify are:

- 1. Men used VR more often and spent more time looking at the products
- 2. Aged 26-35, the younger also enjoyed VR and so did the older equally. Then it wavered off after the age of 50.
- 3. The professions that enjoyed VR most included 4,0,7 and 1.

- 4. The city type that enjoyed VR the most was type C, more of them using it and looking at products longer. Followed by B, then at the bottom was A
- 5. The majority of people trying out VR don't have kids
- 6. There are specific products that people with kids prefer to look at but the list is too long to list here
- 7. People between the ages of 51 to 55 appear to spend more time on average looking at each product in VR

Answering Question 3 - The team wants to develop new features that is personalised for each target market. Which target market should they focus on first?

The right target group to create VR products for are males aged 26-35 living in cities catagorised as "C" having lived there for over 1 year but below 2 years, doesn't have children and profession falls into catagory 4.

Answering Question 4 - Some users recorded whether they had children or not and others did not. The team is wanting to increase children product sales. They want to know which characteristics a user has that shows that they have children.

I looked into a variety of different tells that could tell us if someone had kids or not. The two segments that really stood out to me are as follows:

- 1. There were certain products users with kids would spend longer looking at. The list is too long to list here.
- 2. People were most likely to have kids if they were between the ages of 51-55 and the chance of people having kids goes down with age until its extremely unlikely that people have kids if they are a teenager.

Act

Question 2 asked me what kind of data would I want to improve my analysis and back-up the insights I mentioned and why? There are several bits of data I would like:

- 1. I would like to know the **time and date** when the users were trying out the VR headset to pull in other factors that could contribute to why certain groups were more likely to try VR then others
- 2. I would also love to have the data **revealing the professions each of the numbers represent** to know more certainly about whether they are working in the shop or surrounding shops and other factors.
- 3. I would like to know what each of the City Types represent, to understand whether they were multiple cities or just one. Whether the type was judged on density of the city, location or something else. All of this data would help build a better profile on the customer which is using the VR. Knowing the types of connections that city has and other variables that may not have been considered when assigning this city type.

The dataset was quite small with only a couple thousand participants. However, if I was working for this company, I would hope that these experiments would continue before they release the final product. I still feel that there is some more data needed to get a clear picture as to who our target market should be. This includes time and date when the VR was tried and more details on parts assigned codes instead of named. But overall, I do feel that the suggestions I included would help any team trying to improve their product.

Thankyou for reading.