Who	Email-date	Member?	Comment	Current Text	Suggested Text	Status (Accepted / Rejected / Modified / Duplicate)
Bjorn Kimminich	24-Jul	Yes	Does this mean logos *can* be kept on the page indefinitely if the leader so wishes? The old handbooks implied that 1year was the max, not the min. If so, it might be better spelled out explicitly.	"These types of public recognition shall be online no less than one year, or in the case of Project the next major release, whichever is greater."		Accept
Bjorn Kimminich	24-Jul	Yes	That is not what happens today. Today any new supporter name is automatically merged into master branch and thus releases immediately. If this is not intended, it needs to be replaced with a Pull Request. Otherwise the wording of the policy needs to be updated to match reality.	"The placement of the donors name into the online donor's file is automatic, but the group Leader will need to approve the release of that information."		Accept
Harold Blakenship	24-Jul	Yes	It would be better to not have restricted gifts altogether, though.			Accept
Torsten Gigler	12-Aug	Yes	The title speaks of donations. But the first sentence uses the term "gift" and the document goes on to speak of "sponsoring", "support", "grants" and "giving" near the end. The document should clearly define what it is describing / regulating near the beginning of the document.		The Open Web Application Security Project (OWASP) is a 501(c)(3) worldwide not-for-profit charitable organization focused on improving the security of software. Our mission is to make software security visible, so that individuals and organizations are able to make informed decisions. We are glad that you'd like to support us so that we can help to improve the security of software and share and spread this knowledge worldwide.	Accept
Torsten Gigler	12-Aug	Yes	> The website DONATE form is the sole online option for the > processing and collection of gifts and support. This may make sense from an administrative perspective, but requesting an invoice from HQ should be offered too. Very often, Companies can not simply donate without an invoice for accounting reasons. So maybe this is only the online option and the offline options exists too, but it is not described.		Manual donations (e.g. by bank transfer) are discouraged because of the larger administrative overhead, but are accepted for amounts of $1,000 and higher. If necessary, OWASP will issue a pro-forma invoice for this amount.	Accept
Torsten Gigler	12-Aug	Yes		typo: "anyway" -> "any way"		Accept
Torsten Gigler	12-Aug	Yes	OK. Group leader is a new term. It's better to stick with project and chapter leader. If there are multiple ones, all of them or one of them? Please be explicit about this.	> The placement of the donors > name into the online donor's file is automatic, but the group > Leader will need to approve the release of that information.		Accept
Torsten Gigler	12-Aug	Yes	It is not quite clear how "Attribution" (previous paragraph) of a donation and "Supporters" (described in this section) relate to one another. Attribution is thus about money and the support is about anything else? Not clear, since above the text spoke of "Donors have the option to be listed as Supporter of a Project". So this all looks a bit puzzling. Clear definitions would help.			Accept
Torsten Gigler	12-Aug	Yes	Now what is a sustaining gift and what is a grant? This has to be defined. And given there is a "sole donation policy" defined below, what "more information" could there be? This is ambiguous.	> Grants > > Sustaining Gifts and Grants are welcomed. Please > Contact Us for more information.		Accept
Torsten Gigler	12-Aug	Yes	The document is not clear about its scope, but here it says that it basically defines everything. It denies any wiggle room for any form of donation or sponsoring. This is very limiting for projects and chapters and maybe even for the foundation itself. The problem would be far smaller, if the page would open the window for special cases for large sums.	> Sole Donation Policy	"Donations and sponsoring to projects and chapters of $5,000 or above per year are welcome. The foundation allows different terms in these cases than the ones outlined above. Please get in touch ...	Accept
Daniel Ting	12-Aug	Yes		Change "online gifts" to "online donations"		Accept
Daniel Ting	12-Aug	Yes		Echoing comments on the consistency of language on "gift", "donations", "grants", as this is tax and legal implications for the supporting organization.		Accept

Who	Email-date	Member?	Comment	Current Text	Suggested Text	Status (Accepted / Rejected / Modified / Duplicate)
Daniel Ting	12-Aug	Yes	This 15% does not make sense. Surely there's some cost numbers associated with it?	Restricted gifts are net 15% administration and processing costs.		Accept
Daniel Ting	12-Aug	Yes	That is to allow chapters to recognise contributors in other ways, such as acknowledgement in publications such as newsletters, videos, etc.	As noted above, the Donate Form will present donors with the option to be publicly listed as a supporter of the referring Project, Chapter, or group page. By checking this option on the Form, once a donation has been made, the donor's NAME will be appended to an online donor page for that group's respective website repository. The placement of the donors name into the online donor's file is automatic, but the group Leader will need to approve the release of that information.	Add: This does not however restrict other methods of attribution that the project and/or chapter may decide to make available.	Accept
Daniel Ting	12-Aug	Yes	Can we define 300x300px in another way? In an era of high DPI screens, and screens of different pixel density, this doesn't quite scale.	Organizations that support a project or chapter more than or equal to $1,000 may also request Leaders to post their logo (no larger than 300 x 300 px) as well.		Accept
Daniel Ting	12-Aug	Yes			Add: Donations of Time: In respecting that time is the most precious resource, donations of time may also be recognised in the same fashion at monetary contribution. The terms of attribution of contribution is at the discretion of the project and/or chapter.	Accept
Daniel Ting	12-Aug	Yes			Add: Donations in Kind: Donations in kind are not subject to this policy, and are at the discretion of the project and/or chapter, as long as it does not violate the Conflict of Interest policy.	Accept
Daniel Ting	12-Aug	Yes		The website DONATE form is the sole ONLINE preferred option for the processing and collection of gifts and support.	The website DONATE form is the sole online PREFERRED option for the processing and collection of gifts and support.	Accept - just need to clarily all path of donations
Torsten Gigler	12-Aug	Yes	To use a 15% fee seems very inflexible and also unjust. It is perfectly OK for the foundation to reserve some of the gifts to cover its administration and other running costs. But 15% from a gift of $1,000 where the HQ has been involved with acquiring		This should be more flexible / dynamic. Like 25% for sums up to 1,000 15% for sums from 1,000 - 4,999 10% for sums from 5,000 - 9,999 7% for sums of 10,000 and above	Accept concept
Torsten Gigler	12-Aug	Yes	So that is under owasp.org? Does this say anything about offsite project pages?	> Attribution > > As noted above, the Donate Form will present donors with the > option to be publicly listed as a supporter of the referring > Project, Chapter, or group page. By checking this option on > the Form, once a donation has been made, the donor's NAME > will be appended to an online donor page for that group's > respective website repository.		Accept for supporter recognition
Rick Mitchell	6-Aug	Yes	While I understand the idea here is Harold (or whoever the technical lead is in the future) really going to regularly check n 100s of pages to ensure this is actually happening? If not then it isn't worth putting in a policy doc if it isn't going to be monitored/enforced.	> In addition to listing supporters, this tab must also include "The OWASP Foundation is very grateful for the support by the individuals and organizations listed. However please note, the OWASP Foundation is strictly vendor neutral and does not endorse any of its supporters."		Accept. It is in the template for projects/chapters
Torsten Gigler	12-Aug	Yes	Currency support for US Dollar, British Sterling, > and EU Euros			Accept. Policy should be generic
Torsten Gigler	12-Aug	Yes	Post the logo where? On the OWASP website? Offsite website? Twitter?	> Organizations that support a project or chapter more > than or equal to $1,000 may also request Leaders to post > their logo (no larger than 300 x 300 px) as well.		Accept. Take out logo

Who	Email-date	Member?	Comment	Current Text	Suggested Text	Status (Accepted / Rejected / Modified / Duplicate)
Torsten Gigler	12-Aug	Yes	Additional confirmation is required to process a > Restricted Gift The nature / form of this confirmation is unclear. The process described below under "Restricted Gifts" does not describe an "additional confirmation", but rather an indication / designation. So this is ambiguous.			Accept. Will further document
Torsten Gigler	12-Aug	Yes	"DONATE button using Stripe": Writing operational details into a policy is probably leading to problems when they change.			Agree
Torsten Gigler	12-Aug	Yes	Greater? So 3 major releases in a year and the logo stays for at least a year, and no major release for 5 years and the logo stays for that duration? Also, the use of "or" is a bit odd since it is constrained to projects and then the sentence goes on for projects and non-projects. Best is to leave room for flexible sponsors options and timings based on the leaders plans and creativity. Don't put projects into a tight regulation. A single size won't fit every situation.	> These types > of public recognition shall be online no less than one year, > or in the case of Project the next major release, whichever > is greater.		Agree - for a miniumum of a year
Rick Mitchell	6-Aug	Yes	> In addition to listing supporters, this tab must also include "The OWASP Foundation is very grateful for the support by the individuals and organizations listed. However please note, the OWASP Foundation is strictly vendor neutral and does not endorse any of its supporters." While I understand the idea here is Harold (or whoever the technical lead is in the future) really going to regularly check n 100s of pages to ensure this is actually happening? If not then it isn't worth putting in a policy doc if it isn't going to be monitored/enforced			Duplicate
Sherif Mansour	16-Aug	Yes		Unspent Restricted gift balances become unrestricted at the end of each calendar year	"Unspent restricted gift balances will be reviewed at the end of each calendar year. by default the restricted gift balances will be rolled over to the following year's balances. If there is an exception such as the successful completion of an initiative, the remaining balance will be brought to the board to be voted to become restricted gifts.	**Duplicate**
Torsten Gigler	12-Aug	Yes	So you donate to a specific project / chapter by clicking on the correct button on the website?	> Restricted Gifts > > Gifts greater than or equal to $1,000 can optionally be > Restricted. This practice is discouraged because of the > internal accounting responsibilities for a restricted gift. > Donors can indicate their restriction at the time of their > online donation provided they visited the donation form by > clicking the DONATE button on the respective Project, > Chapter, or group. Restricted gifts are net 15% > administration and processing costs. Unspent Restricted gift > balances		NA
Seba Deleersnyd	11-Aug	Yes				NA

Who	Email-date	Member?	Comment	Current Text	Suggested Text	Status (Accepted / Rejected / Modified / Duplicate)
Torsten Gigler	12-Aug	Yes	Summary: Outside of the ambiguities and factual errors of the document, the core problem we have with this draft policy is the tight regulation it enforces on projects and also chapters with a general one size fits all attitude. The new policy takes away the freedom of projects to attract sponsors (term reserved for the foundation!) and to handle them on their own. This is not in the best interest of OWASP projects and ultimately not in the best interest of OWASP as a whole. This DRAFT does not seem to be mature to be further processed; it needs a fundamental redesign and should be reviewed again before it could make this step.			NA
Torsten Gigler	12-Aug	Yes	Would like to add "why and for which purpose" collects donations to the document			**Reject**
Torsten Gigler	12-Aug	Yes	Does not like the $1,000 limit for restricted gifts			**Reject**
Torsten Gigler	12-Aug	Yes	Does not like practice of restricted gifts becoming unrestricted at end of calendar year			**Reject**
Torsten Gigler	12-Aug	Yes	As a charity non-profit organisation we need to use our budget for our mission, so there might be a process that projects, chapters etc, do not collect funds without any end without using it. To prevent this we need smarter rules, no fixed values nor dates. Project leaders and chapters should be able to 'donate' budget from 'their virtual account' to another one of a supported project.			**Reject**
Torsten Gigler	12-Aug	Yes	A simple solution to all this, would be to make a distinction between a donation and sponsorship, and have a separate sponsoring policy.			**Reject**
Torsten Gigler	12-Aug	Yes	This rules out every form of project / chapter sponsoring. This is not in the best interest of the projects / chapters and it could also limit OWASP's overall ability to win sponsors for its larger family: Projects and chapters must be allowed to have sponsors.	> Projects and Chapters may recognize individuals and > corporations by name that have provided substantive support > on the project or chapters' respective web page provided this > recognition is on a "Acknowledgements" or "Supporters" tab. > These donations will be recognizied as "supporting" or > "donating" to a Project or Chapter and the Foundation > reserves the term "Sponsors" soley for OWASP Foundation > Events.		**Reject**
Daniel Ting	12-Aug	Yes		"Restricting gifts are only supported for amounts greater than or equal to $1,000"		**Reject**
Daniel Ting	12-Aug	Yes	I'd support a longer term, say, 3 years limitation before the first year's unused budget gets deallocated. This helps us build up some savings for growth, and longer term projects. I understand that funds management means that not all that funds may be currently liquid. Happy to have some notice period requirements around accessing more than say, $10k equivalent funds.	Unspent Restricted gift balances become unrestricted at the end of each calendar year.		**Reject**

Who	Email-date	Member?	Comment	Current Text	Suggested Text	Status (Accepted / Rejected / Modified / Duplicate)
Daniel Ting	12-Aug	Yes	If language is going to be reserved, this will take away flexibility in working with local norms. Some places prefer using one over the other. I've had experiences where this is a contested point with sponsoring organisations. Do we want to leave multiple thousands of dollars on the table over a naming convention? Instead, I'd recommend the policy be a "should use" approach, and adopt using the level of compliance requirement language as defined in IETF RFC 2119.	Projects and Chapters may recognize individuals and corporations by name that have provided substantive support on the project or chapters' respective web page provided this recognition is on a "Acknowledgements" or "Supporters" tab. These donations will be recognizied as "supporting" or "donating" to a Project or Chapter and the Foundation reserves the term "Sponsors" soley for OWASP Foundation Events.		**Reject**
Daniel Ting	12-Aug	Yes	I believe that we should first differ from the "contract" or agreement that the chapter/project has if any. If one does not exist, then these default terms apply. This allows for greater flexibility based on the kinds of support (eg. a specific event) that is being given.	Unless otherwise varied by an agreement with the chapter or project, by default, these types of public recognition shall be online no less than one year from date of publication, or in the case of Project the next major release, whichever is greater.		**Reject**
Daniel Ting	12-Aug	Yes		Regardless of the information or offers presented throughout the OWASP website or conveyed by its Leaders, members, staff or Directors, this page is the sole and authoritative Donation and Giving policy of the OWASP Foundation, Inc.	Add: Chapters and projects may provide more restrictive supplementary policy than that which has been defined here.	**Reject**
Daniel Ting	12-Aug	Yes			Add: Excections: Although this policy aims to cover most situations and establish expected norms, it is understood that it does not cover all situations. As such, any exceptions or variations to these policies may be obtained via from the Exec Director, within reasonable time. Should there be dissatisfaction with the response provided, an appeal can be made to the board.	**Reject**
Wim Eikelboom	24-Jul	Yes	I would like to give an suggestion to improve the donations and make membership more easy. In my case, I dont have a creditcard, my employer pays the membership what is no problem. But could PayPal not be an option to make as alternative for creditcards. Or further thinking find a company which offers lot of ways of process the transation for an area in the world.			**Rejected**
Bjorn Kimminich	24-Jul	Yes	One other thing: I'd like to give supporters the option to check "Show my donated amount" on the donation form so it becomes visible on the website. Would require slightly different storage of the donation data, but might encourage larger donations because they could be sorted by amount rather than date.			**Rejected. Techincal detail that could be submitted via Github. Must respect privacy. This isn't a policy detail.**
Bjorn Kimminich	24-Jul	Yes	Another thing in the practical side: Leaders need to get an email about any donation, even the unattributed ones. Then at least we could say thanks in private.			**Rejected. Techincal detail that could be submitted via Github. Must respect privacy. This isn't a policy detail.**

Who	Email-date	Member?	Comment	Current Text	Suggested Text	Status (Accepted / Rejected / Modified / Duplicate)
Simon Bennetts	12-Aug	No	But I do want people to be able to donate $10 to ZAP and for me to be able to find out about that easily so that I can thank them. I dont care what legalese is included on the 'donate' form which basically says we cant guarantee that the money will be spent on ZAP.			Accept
Raul Sanchez	24-Jul	No	clarify whether the Restricted Gifts are only supported as online donations or not, and to specify the non online approach if supported.			Accept
Simon Bennets	7-Aug	No	Presumably this section only applies to owasp.org domains and not to project specific sites such as zaproxy.org	Recognizing Supporters		Accepted for recognizing "supporters" but not for donation processing
Simon Bennets	7-Aug	No	Then processes should be changed or alternative options investigated	"Gifts greater than or equal to $1,000 can optionally be Restricted. This practice is discouraged because of the internal accounting responsibilities for a restricted gift."		Duplicate
Simon Bennets	7-Aug	No		"The website DONATE form is the sole online option for the processing and collection of gifts and support."		Reject
Simon Bennets	7-Aug	No	I can see why it's a pain to allocate every last cent, but this figure is too high. I think it should be $100 or more, maybe even lower.	Restricting gifts are only supported for amounts greater than or equal to $1,000"		Reject
Haral Tsitsivas	13-Aug	No		Unspent Restricted gift balances become unrestricted at the end of each calendar year	Unspent Restricted gift balances become unrestricted after 12 months.	Reject
Ron Craig	24-Aug			Current wording:... Project or Chapter; however, this option does not restrict the gift in anyway whatsoever.	Proposed wording:... Project or Chapter; however, this option does not restrict the gift in any way whatsoever.	Accept