

Matrix Factorizations

LU and LDL^T

Section 8.1

CS 3010

Numerical Methods

Dr. Amar Raheja

LU Factorization

- Can a matrix be factored into a multiplication of a lower and upper triangle matrices ?

- An $n \times n$ system of linear equations can be written in matrix form $\mathbf{Ax} = \mathbf{b}$

where the coefficient matrix \mathbf{A} has the form

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} & \cdots & a_{2n} \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} & \cdots & a_{3n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{n1} & a_{n2} & a_{n3} & \cdots & a_{nn} \end{bmatrix} \quad \mathbf{L} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & & \\ \ell_{21} & 1 & & & \\ \ell_{31} & \ell_{32} & 1 & & \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \\ \ell_{n1} & \ell_{n2} & \ell_{n3} & \cdots & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \mathbf{U} = \begin{bmatrix} u_{11} & u_{12} & u_{13} & \cdots & u_{1n} \\ & u_{22} & u_{23} & \cdots & u_{2n} \\ & & u_{33} & \cdots & u_{3n} \\ & & & \ddots & \vdots \\ & & & & u_{nn} \end{bmatrix}$$

- Objective: show that the naive Gaussian algorithm applied to \mathbf{A} yields a factorization of \mathbf{A} into a product of two simple matrices, one unit *lower triangular* (\mathbf{L}) and the other *upper triangular* (\mathbf{U}):
- In short, we refer to this as an **LU factorization** of \mathbf{A} ; that is, $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{LU}$

Numerical Example to Understand

- Linear System to solve

$$\begin{bmatrix} 6 & -2 & 2 & 4 \\ 12 & -8 & 6 & 10 \\ 3 & -13 & 9 & 3 \\ -6 & 4 & 1 & -18 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \\ x_4 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 16 \\ 26 \\ -19 \\ -34 \end{bmatrix}$$

- Gaussian Elimination
(forward process only gives)

$$\begin{bmatrix} 6 & -2 & 2 & 4 \\ 0 & -4 & 2 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & -5 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \\ x_4 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 16 \\ -6 \\ -9 \\ -3 \end{bmatrix}$$

- The forward elimination phase can be interpreted as starting from $\mathbf{Ax}=\mathbf{B}$ and proceeding to $\mathbf{MAx} = \mathbf{Mb}$
- where \mathbf{M} is a matrix chosen so that \mathbf{MA} is the coefficient matrix for the upper triangular matrix shown above, $\mathbf{MA} = \mathbf{U}$

Numerical Example to Understand

$$MA = \begin{bmatrix} 6 & -2 & 2 & 4 \\ 0 & -4 & 2 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & -5 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -3 \end{bmatrix} \equiv U$$

- The first step of naive Gaussian elimination results in the system

$$\begin{bmatrix} 6 & -2 & 2 & 4 \\ 0 & -4 & 2 & 2 \\ 0 & -12 & 8 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 & 3 & -14 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \\ x_4 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 16 \\ -6 \\ -27 \\ -18 \end{bmatrix}$$

- This step can be accomplished by multiplying $Ax = b$ by a lower triangular matrix $M_1 \Rightarrow M_1 Ax = M_1 b$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 6 & -2 & 2 & 4 \\ 12 & -8 & 6 & 10 \\ 3 & -13 & 9 & 3 \\ -6 & 4 & 1 & -18 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{\text{Multiply 6 by appropriate factor in 1}^{\text{st}} \text{ column}} M_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -2 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{2} & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

- Special form of M_1 : diagonal elements are all 1's, and the only other nonzero elements are in the first column.
- These numbers are the *negatives of the multipliers* located in the positions where they created 0's as coefficients in step 1 of the forward elimination phase.

Numerical Example to Understand

- Continue, step 2 of FE results in

$$\begin{bmatrix} 6 & -2 & 2 & 4 \\ 0 & -4 & 2 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & -5 \\ 0 & 0 & 4 & -13 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \\ x_4 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 16 \\ -6 \\ -9 \\ -21 \end{bmatrix}$$

which is equivalent to $\mathbf{M}_2\mathbf{M}_1\mathbf{Ax} = \mathbf{M}_2\mathbf{M}_1\mathbf{b}$ where

$$\begin{bmatrix} 6 & -2 & 2 & 4 \\ 0 & -4 & 2 & 2 \\ 0 & -12 & 8 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 & 3 & -14 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{\text{Multiply -4 by appropriate factor in 2}^{\text{nd}} \text{ column}} \mathbf{M}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -3 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

- These numbers are the *negatives of the multipliers* located in the positions where they created 0's as coefficients in step 2 of the forward elimination phase

Numerical Example to Understand

- Continue, step 3 of FE results in
$$\begin{bmatrix} 6 & -2 & 2 & 4 \\ 0 & -4 & 2 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & -5 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \\ x_4 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 16 \\ -6 \\ -9 \\ -3 \end{bmatrix}$$

which is equivalent to $\mathbf{M}_3\mathbf{M}_2\mathbf{M}_1 \mathbf{Ax} = \mathbf{M}_3\mathbf{M}_2\mathbf{M}_1 \mathbf{b}$ where

$$\begin{bmatrix} 6 & -2 & 2 & 4 \\ 0 & -4 & 2 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & -5 \\ 0 & 0 & 4 & -13 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{\text{Multiply 2 by appropriate factor in 3rd column}} \mathbf{M}_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

- These numbers are the *negatives of the multipliers* located in the positions where they created 0's as coefficients in step 3 of the forward elimination phase

Numerical Example to Understand

- the forward elimination phase is complete, and with

$$\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{M}_3 \mathbf{M}_2 \mathbf{M}_1$$

- From our equation $\mathbf{MA} = \mathbf{U} \Rightarrow \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{M}^{-1} \mathbf{U}$
 $\Rightarrow \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{M}_1^{-1} \mathbf{M}_2^{-1} \mathbf{M}_3^{-1} \mathbf{U}$

$$\mathbf{MA} = \begin{bmatrix} 6 & -2 & 2 & 4 \\ 0 & -4 & 2 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & -5 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -3 \end{bmatrix} \equiv \mathbf{U}$$

- Verify this by multiplying all the \mathbf{M} matrices
- Since each \mathbf{M}_k has such a special form, its inverse is obtained by simply changing the signs of the negative multiplier entries! Hence, we have

$$\mathbf{L} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} & 3 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & -\frac{1}{2} & 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

LU Factorization

- We see that **A** is **factored** or **decomposed** into a unit lower triangular matrix **L** and an upper triangular matrix **U**.
- The matrix **L** consists of the multipliers located in the positions of the elements they annihilated from **A**, of unit diagonal elements, and of 0 upper triangular elements.
- In fact, we now know the general form of **L** and can just write it down directly using the multipliers *without* forming the \mathbf{M}_k^{-1} 's and the \mathbf{M}_k 's. The matrix **U** is upper triangular (not generally having unit diagonal) and is the final coefficient matrix after the forward elimination phase is completed.

$$\mathbf{LU} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} & 3 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & -\frac{1}{2} & 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 6 & -2 & 2 & 4 \\ 0 & -4 & 2 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & -5 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 6 & -2 & 2 & 4 \\ 12 & -8 & 6 & 10 \\ 3 & -13 & 9 & 3 \\ -6 & 4 & 1 & -18 \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{A}$$

LU Factorization Theorem

LU FACTORIZATION THEOREM

Let $A = (a_{ij})$ be an $n \times n$ matrix. Assume that the forward elimination phase of the naive Gaussian algorithm is applied to A without encountering any 0 divisors. Let the resulting matrix be denoted by $\tilde{A} = (\tilde{a}_{ij})$. If

$$L = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \tilde{a}_{21} & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \tilde{a}_{31} & \tilde{a}_{32} & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \tilde{a}_{n1} & \tilde{a}_{n2} & \cdots & \tilde{a}_{n,n-1} & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$U = \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{a}_{11} & \tilde{a}_{12} & \tilde{a}_{13} & \cdots & \tilde{a}_{1n} \\ 0 & \tilde{a}_{22} & \tilde{a}_{23} & \cdots & \tilde{a}_{2n} \\ 0 & 0 & \tilde{a}_{33} & \cdots & \tilde{a}_{3n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \tilde{a}_{nn} \end{bmatrix}$$

then $A = LU$.

Proof of Theorem

- We define the Gaussian algorithm formally as follows. Let $\mathbf{A}^{(1)} = \mathbf{A}$. Then we compute $\mathbf{A}^{(2)}, \mathbf{A}^{(3)}, \dots, \mathbf{A}^{(n)}$ recursively by the naive Gaussian algorithm, following these equations:

$$a_{ij}^{(k+1)} = a_{ij}^{(k)} \quad (\text{if } i \leq k \text{ or } j < k) \quad (1)$$

$$a_{ij}^{(k+1)} = \frac{a_{ik}^{(k)}}{a_{kk}^{(k)}} \quad (\text{if } i > k \text{ and } j = k) \quad (2)$$

$$a_{ij}^{(k+1)} = a_{ij}^{(k)} - \left(\frac{a_{ik}^{(k)}}{a_{kk}^{(k)}} \right) a_{kj}^{(k)} \quad (\text{if } i > k \text{ and } j > k) \quad (3)$$

- Equation (7) states that in proceeding from $\mathbf{A}^{(k)}$ to $\mathbf{A}^{(k+1)}$, we do not alter rows $1, 2, \dots, k$ or columns $1, 2, \dots, k - 1$.
- Equation (8) shows how the multipliers are computed and stored in passing from $\mathbf{A}^{(k)}$ to $\mathbf{A}^{(k+1)}$.
- Equation (9) shows how multiples of row k are subtracted from rows $k + 1, k + 2, \dots, n$ to produce $\mathbf{A}^{(k+1)}$ from $\mathbf{A}^{(k)}$.

Proof of Theorem

- $\mathbf{A}^{(n)}$ is the final result of the process. (It was referred to as \tilde{A} in the statement of the theorem.)
- The formal definitions of $\mathbf{L} = (l_{ik})$ and $\mathbf{U} = (u_{kj})$ are therefore

$$\ell_{ik} = 1 \quad (i = k) \quad (4)$$

$$\ell_{ik} = a_{ik}^{(n)} \quad (k < i) \quad (5)$$

$$\ell_{ik} = 0 \quad (k > i) \quad (6)$$

$$u_{kj} = a_{kj}^{(n)} \quad (j \geq k) \quad (7)$$

$$u_{kj} = 0 \quad (j < k) \quad (8)$$

- Now we draw some consequences of these equations. First, it follows immediately from Equation (1) that

$$a_{ij}^{(i)} = a_{ij}^{(i+1)} = \dots = a_{ij}^{(n)} \quad (9)$$

$$a_{ij}^{(j+1)} = a_{ij}^{(j+2)} = \dots = a_{ij}^{(n)} \quad \text{for } (j < n) \quad (10)$$

Proof of Theorem

- From equations (10) and (2), we have

$$a_{ij}^{(n)} = a_{ij}^{(j+1)} = \frac{a_{ij}^{(j)}}{a_{jj}^{(j)}} \quad (j < n) \quad (11)$$

- From equations (11) and (5), we have

$$\ell_{ik} = a_{ik}^{(n)} = \frac{a_{ik}^{(k)}}{a_{kk}^{(k)}} \quad (k < i) \quad (12)$$

- From equations (7) and (9), we have

$$u_{kj} = a_{kj}^{(n)} = a_{kj}^{(k)} \quad (k \leq j) \quad (13)$$

Proof of Theorem

- With the aid of all these equations, we can now prove that $\mathbf{LU} = \mathbf{A}$.
- For the case $i \leq j$

$$\begin{aligned}(\mathbf{LU})_{ij} &= \sum_{k=1}^n \ell_{ik} u_{kj} \\&= \sum_{k=1}^i \ell_{ik} u_{kj} && \text{by Equation (6)} \\&= \sum_{k=1}^{i-1} \ell_{ik} u_{kj} + u_{ij} && \text{by Equation (4)} \\&= \sum_{k=1}^{i-1} \left[\frac{a_{ik}^{(k)}}{a_{kk}^{(k)}} \right] a_{kj}^{(k)} + a_{ij}^{(i)} && \text{by Equation (12) and (17)} \\&= \sum_{k=1}^{i-1} \left[a_{ij}^{(k)} - a_{ij}^{(k+1)} \right] + a_{ij}^{(i)} && \text{by Equation (3)} \\&= a_{ij}^{(1)} = a_{ij}\end{aligned}$$

Proof of Theorem

- In the remaining case, $i > j$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}(LU)_{ij} &= \sum_{k=1}^n \ell_{ik} u_{kj} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^j \ell_{ik} u_{kj} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^j \left[\frac{a_{ik}^{(k)}}{a_{kk}^{(k)}} \right] a_{kj}^{(k)} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} \left[\frac{a_{ik}^{(k)}}{a_{kk}^{(k)}} \right] a_{kj}^{(k)} + a_{ij}^{(j)} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} \left[a_{ij}^{(k)} - a_{ij}^{(k+1)} \right] + a_{ij}^{(j)} \\ &= a_{ij}^{(1)} = a_{ij}\end{aligned}$$

by Equation (8)

by Equation (12) and (13)

by Equation (3)

Solving Linear System using LU Factorization

- pseudocode for carrying out the LU factorization, which is sometimes called the **Doolittle factorization**

```
integer  $i, k, n$ ;  real array  $(a_{ij})_{1:n \times 1:n}, (\ell_{ij})_{1:n \times 1:n}, (u_{ij})_{1:n \times 1:n}$   
for  $k = 1$  to  $n$  do  
     $\ell_{kk} \leftarrow 1$   
    for  $j = k$  to  $n$  do  
        
$$u_{kj} \leftarrow a_{kj} - \sum_{s=1}^{k-1} \ell_{ks} u_{sj}$$
  
    end do  
    for  $i = k + 1$  to  $n$  do  
        
$$\ell_{ik} \leftarrow \left( a_{ik} - \sum_{s=1}^{k-1} \ell_{is} u_{sk} \right) / u_{kk}$$
  
    end do  
end do
```

Solving Linear Systems using LU Factorization

- When LU factorization of \mathbf{A} is available, we can solve the system $\mathbf{Ax} = \mathbf{b}$ by writing

$$\mathbf{LUx} = \mathbf{b}$$

Then we solve two triangular systems:

$$\mathbf{Lz} = \mathbf{b} \quad \text{for } \mathbf{z}$$

Likewise, \mathbf{x} is obtained by the pseudocode

$$\mathbf{Ux} = \mathbf{z}$$

This is particularly useful for problems that involve the same coefficient matrix \mathbf{A} and many different right-hand vectors \mathbf{b} .

Solving Linear Systems using LU Factorization

- Since L is unit lower triangular, \mathbf{z} is obtained by the pseudocode

```
integer  $i, n$ ;   real array  $(b_i)_{1:n}, (\ell_{ij})_{1:n \times 1:n}, (z_i)_{1:n}$   
 $z_1 \leftarrow b_1$   
for  $i = 2$  to  $n$  do  
     $z_i \leftarrow b_i - \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \ell_{ij} z_j$   
end for
```

- This algorithm applies the forward phase of Gaussian elimination to the right-hand-side vector \mathbf{b} . [Recall that the ℓ_{ij} 's are the *multipliers* that have been stored in the array (a_{ij}) .]

Solving Linear Systems using LU Factorization

- Likewise, \mathbf{x} is obtained by the pseudocode

```
integer  $i, n$ ;   real array  $(u_{ij})_{1:n \times 1:n}, (x_i)_{1:n}, (z_i)_{1:n}$   
 $x_n \leftarrow z_n / u_{nn}$   
for  $i = n - 1$  to  $1$  step  $-1$  do  
     $x_i \leftarrow \left( z_i - \sum_{j=i+1}^n u_{ij} x_j \right) / u_{ii}$   
end for
```

- This algorithm for solving $\mathbf{U}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{z}$ is the back substitution phase of the naive Gaussian elimination process.

Example for LU factorization

- Find \mathbf{L} and \mathbf{U} for $\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 0 & 3 \\ 0 & -1 & 3 \\ 1 & 3 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$

$$\mathbf{L} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 0 & 3 \\ 0 & -1 & 3 \\ 0 & 3 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \mathbf{U} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 0 & 3 \\ 0 & -1 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 8 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\mathbf{L} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1/3 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} * \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -3 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1/3 & -3 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Class Exercises Section 8.1

- Problem 1b

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \frac{1}{3} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 3 & -1 \\ 3 & -3 & 0 & 6 \\ 0 & 2 & 4 & -6 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$L = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 3 & -3 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & -1/4 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad U = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1/3 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 3 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 8 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -13/4 \end{bmatrix}$$

LDL^T Factorization

- In the LDL^T factorization, L is unit lower triangular, and D is a diagonal matrix.
- This factorization can be carried out if A is symmetric and has an ordinary LU factorization, with L unit lower triangular.
- To see this, we start with

$$LU = A = A^T = (LU)^T = U^T L^T$$

- Since L is unit lower triangular, it is invertible, and we can write

$$U = L^{-1} U^T L^T \Rightarrow U(L^T)^{-1} = L^{-1} U^T$$

- Since the right side of this equation is lower triangular and the left side is upper triangular, both sides are diagonal, say, D . From the equation $U(L^T)^{-1} = D$, we have

$$U = DL^T \text{ and } A = LU = LDL^T$$

Derivation of \mathbf{LDL}^T Psuedocode

- we write a_{ij} as generic elements of \mathbf{A} and l_{ij} as generic elements of \mathbf{L} .
The diagonal of \mathbf{D} has elements d_{ii} , or d_i
- From the equation $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{LDL}^T$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} a_{ij} &= \sum_{v=1}^n \sum_{\mu=1}^n \ell_{iv} d_{v\mu} \ell_{\mu j}^T \\ &= \sum_{v=1}^n \sum_{\mu=1}^n \ell_{iv} d_v \delta_{v\mu} \ell_{j\mu} \\ &= \sum_{v=1}^n \ell_{iv} d_v \ell_{jv} \quad (1 \leq i, j \leq n) \end{aligned}$$

Derivation of LDL^T Psuedocode

- Use the fact that $l_{ij} = 0$ when $j > i$ and $l_{ii} = 1$ to continue the argument

$$a_{ij} = \sum_{v=1}^{\min(i,j)} \ell_{iv} d_v \ell_{jv} \quad (1 \leq i, j \leq n)$$

- Assume now that $j < i$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} a_{ij} &= \sum_{v=1}^j \ell_{iv} d_v \ell_{jv} \\ &= \sum_{v=1}^{j-1} \ell_{iv} d_v \ell_{jv} + \ell_{ij} d_j \ell_{jj} \\ &= \sum_{v=1}^{j-1} \ell_{iv} d_v \ell_{jv} + \ell_{ij} d_j \quad (1 \leq j \leq i \leq n) \end{aligned}$$

Derivation of LDL^T Pseudocode

- In particular, let $j = i$. We get

$$a_{ii} = \sum_{v=1}^{i-1} \ell_{iv} d_v \ell_{iv} + d_i \quad (1 \leq i \leq n)$$

- Equivalently, we have

$$d_i = a_{ii} - \sum_{v=1}^{i-1} d_v \ell_{iv}^2 \quad (1 \leq i \leq n)$$

- Particular cases of this are

$$d_1 = a_{11}$$

$$d_2 = a_{22} - d_1 \ell_{21}^2$$

$$d_3 = a_{33} - d_1 \ell_{31}^2 - d_2 \ell_{32}^2$$

etc.

Derivation of LDL^T Psuedocode

- Now we can limit our attention to the cases $1 \leq j \leq n$, where we have

$$a_{ij} = \sum_{v=1}^{j-1} \ell_{iv} d_v \ell_{jv} + \ell_{ij} d_j \quad (1 \leq j < i \leq n)$$

- Solving for ℓ_{ij} , we obtain

$$\ell_{ij} = \left[a_{ij} - \sum_{v=1}^{j-1} \ell_{iv} d_v \ell_{jv} \right] / d_j \quad (1 \leq j < i \leq n)$$

- Taking $j = 1$, we have

$$\ell_{i1} = a_{i1} / d_1 \quad (2 \leq i \leq n)$$

- This formula produces column one in \mathbf{L} . Taking $j = 2$, we have

$$\ell_{i2} = (a_{i2} - \ell_{i1} d_1 \ell_{21}) / d_2 \quad (3 \leq i \leq n)$$

LDL^T Pseudocode

- This formula produces column two in \mathbf{L} . The formal algorithm for the LDL^T factorization is as follows:

```
integer  $i, j, n, v$ ;   real array  $(a_{ij})_{1:n \times 1:n}, (\ell_{ij})_{1:n \times 1:n}, (d_i)_{1:n}$   
for  $j = 1$  to  $n$   
     $\ell_{jj} = 1$   
     $d_j = a_{jj} - \sum_{v=1}^{j-1} d_v \ell_{jv}^2$   
    for  $i = j + 1$  to  $n$   
         $\ell_{ji} = 0$   
         $\ell_{ij} = \left( a_{ij} - \sum_{v=1}^{j-1} \ell_{iv} d_v \ell_{jv} \right) / d_j$   
    end for  
end for
```

Example of LDL^T

- Determine the LDL^T factorization of the matrix

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{3}{4} & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{2}{3} & 1 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{2} & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 3 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & \frac{3}{4} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{4} \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{2}{3} & \frac{1}{3} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix} = LU$$

$$U = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{3}{4} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{2}{3} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \frac{3}{4} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{4} \\ 0 & 1 & \frac{2}{3} & \frac{1}{3} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \frac{1}{2} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = DL^T$$

Clearly, we have $A = LDL^T$

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 3 & 2 & 1 \\ 3 & 3 & 2 & 1 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Note: symmetric matrix

Class Exercise

- Determine the LDL^T factorization for the following matrix:

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & -1 & 1 \\ 2 & 3 & -4 & 3 \\ -1 & -4 & -1 & 3 \\ 1 & 3 & 3 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$L = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad D = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -2 \end{bmatrix}$$