

Linear Systems

Gaussian Elimination with Scaled Partial Pivoting

CS3010

Numerical Methods

Dr. Amar Raheja

Section 2.2

Lecture 6

Gaussian Elimination Drawback

- During elimination process, the coefficient of the diagonal element of the pivotal equation might become very small or zero.
- This creates issues with normalization to find factor to subtract from subsequent equations.
 - Normalization step leads to division by 0
- Hence, using the equations in the order presented might not be the wisest choice.
- Pivoting (partial or full) fixes this problem
 - Partial pivoting. Switching the rows so that the largest element is the pivot element.
 - Complete(full) pivoting. Searching for the largest element in all rows and columns then switching.

Partial vs. Complete Pivoting

- Gaussian elimination with **partial pivoting** selects the pivot row to be the one with the maximum pivot entry in absolute value from those in the leading column of the reduced submatrix.
- Two rows are interchanged to move the designated row into the pivot row position.
- Gaussian elimination with **complete pivoting** selects the pivot entry as the maximum pivot entry from all entries in the submatrix. (This complicates things because some of the unknowns are rearranged.)
- Two rows and two columns are interchanged to accomplish this.
- In practice, partial pivoting is almost as good as full pivoting and involves significantly less work.

Gaussian Elimination with Scaled Partial Pivoting

$$\begin{aligned}3x_1 - 13x_2 + 9x_3 + 3x_4 &= -19 \\ -6x_1 + 4x_2 + x_3 - 18x_4 &= -34 \\ 6x_1 - 2x_2 + 2x_3 + 4x_4 &= 16 \\ 12x_1 - 8x_2 + 6x_3 + 10x_4 &= 26\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 3 & -13 & 9 & 3 \\ -6 & 4 & 1 & 18 \\ 6 & -2 & 2 & 4 \\ 12 & -8 & 6 & 10 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \\ x_4 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -19 \\ -34 \\ 16 \\ 26 \end{bmatrix}$$

- The index vector is $\ell = [1, 2, 3, 4]$ at the beginning.
- The scale vector does not change throughout the procedure and is given as $\mathbf{s} = [13, 18, 6, 12]$ (highest absolute coefficient in each row)

Scaled Partial Pivoting (1 of 4)

- To determine the first pivot row, we look at four ratios:

$$\left\{ \frac{|a_{\ell_{i,1}}|}{s_{\ell_i}} : i = 1, 2, 3, 4 \right\} = \left\{ \frac{3}{13}, \frac{6}{18}, \frac{6}{6}, \frac{12}{12} \right\} \approx \{0.23, 0.33, 1.0, 1.0\}$$

- select the index j as the *first* occurrence of the largest value of these ratios. In this example, the largest of these occurs for the index $j = 3$.
- So row three is to be the pivot equation in step 1 ($k = 1$) of the elimination process. In the index vector ℓ , entries ℓ_k and ℓ_j are interchanged so that the new index vector is $\ell = [3, 2, 1, 4]$

Scaled Partial Pivoting Example (2 of 4)

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & -12 & 8 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 & 3 & -14 \\ 6 & -2 & 2 & 4 \\ 0 & -4 & 2 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \\ x_4 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -27 \\ -18 \\ 16 \\ -6 \end{bmatrix}$$

- In the next step ($k = 2$), we use the index vector $\ell = [3, 2, 1, 4]$ and scan the ratios corresponding to rows two, one, and four:

$$\left\{ \frac{|a_{\ell_{i,2}}|}{s_{\ell_i}} : i = 2, 3, 4 \right\} = \left\{ \frac{2}{18}, \frac{12}{13}, \frac{4}{12} \right\} \approx \{0.11, 0.92, 0.33\}$$

- The largest is the second ratio, and we therefore set $j = 3$ and interchange ℓ_k with ℓ_j in the index vector. Thus, the index vector becomes $\ell = [3, 1, 2, 4]$. The pivot equation for step 2 in the elimination is now row one, and $\ell_2 = 1$.

Scaled Partial Pivoting Example (3 of 4)

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & -12 & 8 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 13/3 & -83/6 \\ 6 & -2 & 2 & 4 \\ 0 & 0 & -2/3 & 5/3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \\ x_4 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -27 \\ -45/2 \\ 16 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

- The third and final step ($k = 3$) is to examine the ratios corresponding to rows two and four:

$$\left\{ \frac{|a_{\ell_{i,3}}|}{s_{\ell_i}} : i = 3, 4 \right\} = \left\{ \frac{13/3}{18}, \frac{2/3}{12} \right\} \approx \{0.24, 0.06\}$$

- The larger value is the first, so we set $j = 3$. Since this is step $k = 3$, interchanging ℓ_k with ℓ_j leaves the index vector unchanged $\ell = [3, 1, 2, 4]$

Scaled Partial Pivoting Example (4 of 4)

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & -12 & 8 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 13/3 & -83/6 \\ 6 & -2 & 2 & 4 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -6/13 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \\ x_4 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -27 \\ -45/2 \\ 16 \\ -6/13 \end{bmatrix}$$

The solution is obtained by using equation $\ell_4 = 4$ to determine x_4 , and then equation $\ell_3 = 2$ to find x_3 , and so on. Carrying out the calculations, we have

$$x_4 = \frac{1}{-6/13} [-6/13] = 1$$

$$x_3 = \frac{1}{13/3} [(-45/2) + (83/6)(1)] = -2$$

$$x_2 = \frac{1}{-12} [-27 - 8(-2) - 1(1)] = 1$$

$$x_1 = \frac{1}{6} [16 + 2(1) - 2(-2) - 4(1)] = 3$$

Hence, the solution is $x = [3 \quad 1 \quad -2 \quad 1]^T$