

ABE 6933 PSC, Fall 2020
Monte Carlo Integration - two examples

Instructor: Dr. Nikolay Bliznyuk

24 November, 2020

Deterministic Integration

Let X_1, \dots, X_n be iid with cdf F that has pdf f . Let $E(X_i) = \mu$ and $Var(X_i) = \sigma^2$. Define $\hat{\theta}_1 = S_n^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X}_n)^2$, where $\bar{X}_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$. Find $Var(\hat{\theta}_1)$.

Deterministic Integration

1. Find $E(\hat{\theta}_1) = \sigma^2$ (analytically).
- 2a. $Var(\hat{\theta}_1) = E(\hat{\theta}_1^2) - \left(E(\hat{\theta}_1)\right)^2 = E(\hat{\theta}_1^2) - \sigma^4$.
- 2b. Recall $(n-1)\hat{\theta}_1 = \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X}_n)^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2 - n(\bar{X}_n)^2$
- 2c. Expand $E\left(\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X}_n)^2\right)^2 = E\left(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2 - n(\bar{X}_n)^2\right)^2 = E\left(\left(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2\right)^2 - 2n(\bar{X}_n)^2 \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2 + n^2(\bar{X}_n)^4\right)$.
- 2d. Use the linearity of expectations to “simplify” 2c as $\sum_{i,j,k,l} c_{i,j,k,l} E(X_i X_j X_k X_l)$, determine constants $c_{i,j,k,l}$
- 2e. For every combination of (i, j, k, l) - “ties” like $(i = j)$ are allowed, use deterministic integration to compute $E(X_i X_j X_k X_l) = \int \dots \int x_i x_j x_k x_l f(x_i) f(x_j) f(x_k) f(x_l) dx_i dx_j dx_k dx_l$
- 2f. Put together the results of 2a-2e.

Deterministic Integration

Let X_1, \dots, X_n be iid with cdf F that has pdf f . Let $E(X_i) = \mu$ and $Var(X_i) = \sigma^2$. Define $\hat{\theta}_1 = S_n^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X}_n)^2$,

where $\bar{X}_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$. Let $\hat{\theta}_2 = \sqrt{\hat{\theta}_1}$. Find

$$Var(\hat{\theta}_2) = E(\hat{\theta}_2^2) - \left(E(\hat{\theta}_2)\right)^2 = \sigma^2 - \left(E(\hat{\theta}_2)\right)^2.$$

I.e., we need to find $E(\hat{\theta}_2)$.

Can we (reliably) use deterministic integration to find $E(\hat{\theta}_2)$?

Monte Carlo Integration

Assume that we can simulate (indefinitely) from F .

Let D_1, \dots, D_m be iid replications of our dataset (X_1, \dots, X_n) from F , i.e., $D_j = (X_{j1}, \dots, X_{jn})$ for $j = 1, \dots, m$.

For $j = 1, \dots, m$, compute $V_j = \hat{\theta}_1^{(j)}$ and $W_j = \hat{\theta}_2^{(j)}$ based on the data D_j . Notice that

- V_1, \dots, V_m are iid with $E(V_j) = \mu_{V,1} = \sigma^2$ and $E(V_j^2) = \mu_{V,2}$. (Assume both finite.) Hence as $m \rightarrow \infty$
 $\bar{V}_m = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^m V_j \xrightarrow{P} \mu_{V,1}$, $\frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^m V_j^2 \xrightarrow{P} \mu_{V,2}$ (SLLN)
 $\frac{1}{m-1} \sum_{j=1}^m (V_j - \bar{V}_m)^2 \xrightarrow{P} \text{Var}(V_j)$ (CMT; see lectures).
- W_1, \dots, W_m are iid with $E(W_j) = E(\hat{\theta}_2)$ and $E(W_j^2) = \sigma^2$.
Hence $\bar{W}_m = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^m W_j \xrightarrow{P} E(W_j)$ by the WLLN.
- By the CLT, \bar{V}_m and \bar{W}_m are approximately normal. Hence can construct CIs and choose m to control the accuracy of the MC approximation (often, half-width of the 95% CI).

Monte Carlo Integration - more generally

In general, suppose we want to compute an integral

$$I(h) = \int_a^b h(x)dx < \infty \text{ where } h(x) \geq 0.$$

Let X be a rv with pdf f and support $[a, b]$ (e.g., $Uniform(a, b)$).

Then $E(g(X)) = \int_a^b g(x)f(x)dx$ for a “nice” function g .

Notice that if $g(x) = h(x)/f(x)$, then $E(g(X)) = I(h)$.

Let X_1, \dots, X_m be iid with pdf f . Let $V_j = g(X_j)$ and $\bar{V}_m = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^m V_j = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^m g(X_j)$.

Hence, by the SLLN, $\bar{V}_m \xrightarrow{p} I(h)$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$.

More generally, we can drop the assumptions that X is univariate and that the support of X is bounded.

Caveat: if f is chosen poorly, may get $Var(g(X)) = \infty$ (or finite, yet unreasonably large). This approach is generally referred to as the “importance sampling”.