

# HW1 problem 5 clarification (problem 4 in 2020/21)

5. Exact and approximate small-sample CIs for the mean.

For each of the cases 1-3 below, complete the steps (a)-(e) below.

For  $j=1, \dots, 1000$ ,

- (a) set the random seed to  $j$ ,
- (b) generate a random sample of size 4 from  $\text{Normal}(0,1)$
- (c) compute a 2-sided 95% CI for the mean.
- (d) record whether the CI contains the true mean ( $=0$ ).
- (e) for cases 2 and 3, also store the length of the CI

Case 1: sig2 is known and is equal to 1. CI :  $\bar{X}_n \pm \Phi^{-1}(0.975) \cdot \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}$

standard normal  
quantile

Case 2: sig2 is unknown and exact small sample CI is computed in (c) [using t-distribution quantiles] :  $\bar{X}_n \pm Q(0.975) \cdot \frac{s}{\sqrt{n}}$  ;  $s$  - sample st. dev.

Case 3: sig2 is unknown and an approximate large-sample CI is computed in (c) [using  $\text{Normal}(0,1)$  quantiles]  $\bar{X}_n \pm \Phi^{-1}(0.975) \cdot \frac{s}{\sqrt{n}}$

Report

- (1) the "empirical frequency of coverage" (average of (d))
- (2) histogram of interval widths (when appropriate).

Discuss your findings (particularly, try to relate (1) and (2)).

A suggestion to stats/biostats folks: think LLN and CLT + exact vs approximate CIs.