

ABE6933 SML HW1

Directions

Please submit **ONE PDF** file including all your reports (answer + code + figures + comments; must be easily readable and have file size under a few megabytes) and **ONE R code script**. The R script is supplementary material to ensure that your code runs correctly. If you are using RMarkdown, please also include your `.Rmd` file.

Place these two (or three) files in a folder, make a zip or rar archive, and submit the archive electronically via Dropbox file request at tinyurl.com/nbliznyuk-submit-files (on the landing page, enter your name so that we know it is you and email so that you get a confirmation).

For the full list of rules/policies/expectations, please visit “hw.rules.pdf” document.

Deadline: 24-Sep-2020, 11:59 PM EST.

Practice/Optional Problems (do not submit)

1. Practice with pdfs and cdfs: integrate uniform and exponential pdfs to obtain the cdfs, then differentiate your cdf expressions; make sure you get the same results (the correct pdfs); be super cautious about the range of possible values (aka support of a rv). This should be done by whatever means necessary, e.g., by analytical or numerical integration/differentiation.
2. Try to repeat the preceding exercise for normal and general gamma pdfs (can you find closed-form math expressions?); appreciate the fact that we can work with pdfs rather than cdfs
3. Verify the factorization criterion for independence in the pdf form (i.e., establish equivalence of pdf & cdf versions) for 2 rvs.
4. (a) MLE by hand: try to do analytical maximization of the likelihood rather than the log-likelihood for a problem of your choice (any sample size of 10 or greater);
(b) MLE graphically/numerically using R: try to plot your likelihood function in 4(a); compare with the plots of log-likelihood; which one is better behaved?
5. Implement (by hand, without using external R libraries) the log-likelihood for the bivariate normal data model. Use numerical optimization to obtain the MLE of the 5-dimensional parameter vector (μ , Σ , ρ). Refer to "2020.09.15.demo.MLE.R" under the code folder for details on how to generate the data.

Required Problems (for submission)

1. (Inefficient) Implementation by hand a nearest-neighbors-like classifier with 2d features.

Your goal is to provide your own implementation of a NN method “by hand”, i.e., writing your own functions rather than using existing third-party libraries. The data for this problem is in file “SML.NN.data.csv”. The columns are Y (the response - class 0 or 1), X_1 and X_2 (features, horizontal and vertical “coordinates”) and set identification (“train”, “valid” or “test”). Approach: calibrate/tune the parameter(s) using the calibration (“valid”) set; report performance on the left-out “test” set.

1.1: Write a function `getClass1Prop(x, r)` with inputs x and r that outputs the proportion of class 1 among observations of the training data that are within radius r from point x . The function would return

NA if there are no points within radius r . Use the Euclidean distance when defining proximity. You will use this function in later subproblems to make predictions (0 or 1) using thresholding: predict 1 if the class 1 proportion is 0.5 or higher; else predict class 0.

1.2: Write a function that, for a fixed radius r , computes misclassification rate over the validation data. I.e., for each x in the “valid” set, obtain prediction $\hat{y}(x)$, compare it with the true $y(x)$ and compute the proportion of incorrect predictions.

1.3: Explore the “train” and “valid” data. What would be your guess(es) about the good values of r for accurate out-of-sample classification? (Record those for future comparisons in 1.4).

1.4: Compute the misclassification rate (from 1.2) for a grid of r values (e.g., from 0.01 to 1 with step size 0.01) and plot. Find the value of r that achieves the lowest misclassification rate; call it r^* . Use r^* to obtain misclassification rate on “test” set. Compare it with the misclassification rates using your guesses in 1.3; briefly discuss.

1.5*: Optional - optimize your code organization to reduce the use of loops.

1.6*: Optional - contrast this “fixed radius r ” approach with the “fixed number of neighbors K ” approach.

2. Factorization criterion in action in the special case of the bivariate normal pdf.

2.1. Find the marginals (i.e., the marginal pdfs of X and Y from the joint pdf on slide 11 from 2019/08/29 under “old/lectures” or p.18 of 2016.08.24.statlearn.review.pack.01.pdf under “[3].hand-outs.to.print.or.comment.electronically”). If you are unable to do this analytically (which is fine, no penalties), assume $\mu_X = \mu_Y = 0$, $\sigma_X = \sigma_Y = 1$, and $\rho = 0.5$; specifically, use numerical integration to find the values of the marginal pdfs on a fine grid from on the interval $[-3,3]$, plot those and compare with Normal(0,1) pdf.

2.2. Show that if $\rho = 0$ then the rvs are independent. You can use the fact established in 2.1 that, marginally, X is normal with mean μ_X and variance σ_X^2 (similarly, for Y).

2.3. Assume $\mu_X = \mu_Y = 0$ and $\sigma_X = \sigma_Y = 1$; let ρ be general (strictly between -1 and 1). For values of ρ on the grid from -0.75 to 0.75 with step size 0.25, plot the conditional pdf of X given that $Y = 1$. If $Y = 1$ is the observed value, does the correlation (positive or negative) help one predicting X , relative to the case of $\rho = 0$? Briefly discuss.

3. MLE with data from exponential distribution.

Let X_1, \dots, X_{100} be independent rvs from the exponential distribution with rate λ (i.e., rate is the reciprocal of the population mean here). Nature uses the following code to generate the data:

```
set.seed(0); x = rexp(100,10);
```

I.e., in the game theory setup, Nature chooses $\lambda = 10$, but this is not known to the Statistician.

3.1. (Computational) Use the examples from class to estimate λ using the method of maximum likelihood. Show all steps.

3.2. (Optional - analytical) Use calculus to obtain the (expression for) MLE of lambda. Show all steps (including checking the second-order conditions presented in class).

4. Exact and approximate small-sample CIs for the mean.

For each of the cases 1-3 below, complete the steps (a)-(e) below. For $j=1, \dots, 1000$,

(a) set the random seed to j ,

- (b) generate a random sample of size 4 from $\text{Normal}(0,1)$
- (c) compute a 2-sided 95% CI for the mean.
- (d) record whether the CI contains the true mean ($=0$).
- (e) for cases 2 and 3, also store the length of the CI

Case 1: sig^2 is known and is equal to 1.

Case 2: sig^2 is unknown and exact small sample CI is computed in (c) [using t-distribution quantiles]

Case 3: sig^2 is unknown and an approximate large-sample CI is computed in (c) [using $\text{Normal}(0,1)$ quantiles]

Report

- (1) the “empirical frequency of coverage” (i.e., the average of (d))
- (2) histogram of interval widths (when appropriate).

Discuss your findings (particularly, try to relate (1) and (2)).