```
Fall 2022: this is draft final exam.

I expect to finalize by end of Saturday, 03-Dec

Expect changes to problems 2 (will be replaced entirely),
5 and 6 (most likely, only problem data will be modified).
```

ABE6933 SML Take-Home Final Exam (100 pts + 10 pts bonus)

Directions

Please submit **one PDF** file including all your reports (answer + code + figures + comments; must be easily readable and have file size under a few megabytes) and **one R code script**. The R script is supplementary material to ensure that your code runs correctly. If you are using RMarkdown, please also include your **.Rmd** file.

Place these two (or three) files in a folder, make a zip or rar archive, and submit the archive electronically via Dropbox file request at tinyurl.com/nbliznyuk-submit-files (on the landing page, enter your name so that we know it is you and email so that you get a confirmation).

For the full list of rules/policies/expectations, please visit "hw.rules.pdf" document.

This exam is "open books/notes/class videos/Dropbox course folder", "open R", but "closed internet". Absolutely no collaboration or discussion with anyone (except the course staff). Using or trying to obtain solutions of others is prohibited.

Deadline: 17-Dec-2020, 08:00 PM EST. This is a hard deadline!

Please inform the instructor of suspected typos asap at nbliznyuk@ufl.edu. A publicly available FAQ will be kept in the same folder as the final exam.

Data for the individual problems is provided as dataframes in SML.2020.final.RData file.

To ensure reproducibility of results across students, use the following code (with seed=0) to create your validation and training folds:

```
myCVids <- function(n, K, seed=0) {
    # balanced subsets generation (subset sizes differ by at most 1)
    # n is the number of observations/rows in the training set
    # K is the desired number of folds (e.g., 5 or 10)
    set.seed(seed);
    t = floor(n/K); r = n-t*K;
    id0 = rep((1:K),times=t)
    ids = sample(id0,t*K)
    if (r > 0) {ids = c(ids, sample(K,r))}
    ids
}
# Example
inds.part = myCVids(101, 5); # partition of the indices into K=5 sets
k = 1; isk = (inds.part == k); # k varies from 1 to K
valid.k = which(isk); train.k = which(!isk); # indices of kth valid and train folds
```

Problem 1: [12 pts] Conceptual questions (similar to quizzes).

- 1.1. For a general classification problem, briefly discuss merits and limitations of ROC curve vs confusion matrix.
- 1.2. Interpret the 45-degree line on the ROC plot. Characterize the classifier for which TPR=FPR=x for $x \in [0, 1]$.
- 1.3. Suppose one obtained a classifier with $TPR(x) = x^2$, where x=FPR for every $x \in [0, 1]$. Can this classifier be improved upon without acquiring more data? If so, explain how; if not, explain why.
- 1.4. Suppose a given very large population has 80% controls (0) and 20% cases (1). Consider the following classifier: flip a coin with probability of heads equal to p; if "heads", predict 1, else predict 0. Determine the TPR and FPR for this procedure; you can assume arbitrarily large sample size and sampling with replacement.
- 1.5. In the previous problem, how is the performance influenced by proportion of cases in the population (call this q)? E.g., q approaches from 0.5 to 0.
- 1.6 Consider a multiple linear regression model with p=40 covariates. Suppose a dataset was simulated with n=50 (sample size) and it is known that all true coefficients were chosen to be nonzero. If a ridge regression model is fitted to these data, what can be said about the optimal shrinkage parameter λ ? (Specifically, is it going to be equal to 0?) What do you expect about the optimal value λ if the sample size n increases (using the same data-generating mechanism)? Briefly explain.

Problem 2: [16 pts] Choosing the optimal classifier under group disbalance and different error costs in binary classification. This problem will be replaced entirely.

In this problem, the goal is to determine the optimal classifier by minimizing the objective function for the overall cost of misclassification: $G(x) = c_{FN} \cdot FN(x) + c_{FP} \cdot FP(x)$, where x is the FPR. (Please refer to Tables 4.6 and 4.7 for notation.) For convenience, you can assume n = 100, $P = n \cdot q$, $N = n \cdot (1 - q)$, where q is the proportion of class in the population 1 (so that (1 - q) is the proportion of class 0). Allow non-integer values of FP and FN.

Consider three "design variables":

A - Populations:

A1: A balanced population, q = 0.5 (proportion of class 1)

A2: Unbalanced population, q = 0.2 (proportion of class 1).

C - Relative costs of FP and FN:

C1: $c_{FN} = c_{FP}$

C2: $c_{FN} = 10c_{FP}$ (e.g., a serious disease)

R - ROC curves for the classifiers:

R1: A classifier ROC curve TPR(x) = x, where x is FPR.

R2: A classifier ROC curve $TPR(x) = \sqrt{x}$, where x is FPR.

Here, a setup is a combination of the form (A,C,R), where each design variable can take one of the two values. For each of the 8 setups, determine the optimal classifier (state FPR and TPR) that minimizes G(x). Justify your choices by showing all relevant details. Some of the setups may require writing a simple program/"calculator".

Problem 3: [20 pts] Nested K-fold cross-validation.

In class, we used K-fold cross-validation complete the following two goals:

G1. For algorithms without tuning parameters (e.g., discriminant analysis or logistic regression or GAMs without variable selection), estimate the left-out test set predictive performance (RMSE or misclassification rate).

G2. For algorithms with tuning parameters, determine the optimal values of tuning parameters (e.g., calibration).

In real life, one is often interested in understanding the test set performance of an algorithm that requires calibration of tuning parameters. One way in which the two goals may be achieved is by nested CV: an inner loop perform CV to calibrate tuning parameters to achieve (G2), while the outer loop will do CV to estimate the test set performance. Specifically, if the ML method with tuning parameters tau is used (call it "methodX(tau)"), then the goal of the inner loop is to make calibration of these parameters automatic, thereby replacing "methodX(tau)" by "auto-methodX".

The goal of this problem is to carry out this nested 5-fold CV on the example from prelim (Problem 4). Unlike that problem, here we shall jointly achieve goals G1 and G2 based on the training dataset. This is particularly important in data-sparse situations, where one is unable to allocate a sufficiently large test dataset.

For this problem, use the data in prob3.df. Here, n = 200 and both rounds of the CV will use 5 folds. Let D be the set of row indices for the whole dataset.

- 1. Use function myCVids (with seed 0) to determine the 5 outer CV folds, call these $V_1, ..., V_5$. The *i*th outer training fold will be $T_i = D \setminus V_i$, where \ is the set difference.
- 2. Apply function myCVids (with seed 1000) to T_i to determine 5 inner CV folds, $V_{i1}, ...V_{i5}$. Use CV with these folds (as usual) to calibrate the tuning parameter d of the algorithm.

Report: for each i, 5-fold CV estimates of the test error (on the data in V_i) as a function of d (the degree of the polynomial). Additionally, report the combined CV estimate (over i) based on the best model for each i.

Discuss: potential drawbacks of this approach extended to other ML techniques.

Problem 4a: [14 pts] Best subsets selection (exhaustive enumeration) in logistic regression when p is low.

Let p=4 so that we have $2^p=16$ models. Let γ be the vector of inclusion indicators that determines the model, and α be the decimal representation (an integer) of the model γ (the same integer but in the binary representation). Reuse the code from "2020.09.03.mySubsets.R" under the "code" folder to generate all subsets in this representation. Specifically, obtain the matrix of all models as binM = myf(p) and model ids as ids = bin2dec(binM).

If Y is the response vector and X is the full design matrix with p columns (without the column of ones for the intercept), then model gamma may be fitted as $glm(Y \sim X[,gamma==1], family=binomial)$.

The goal here will be to use 5-fold CV to determine the optimal model.

Use the data frame prob4.df. Generate the CV folds using the function myCVids (with seed=0).

Report: plot of the CV estimates of the test misclassification error rate versus model id, as well as the selected covariates in the best model.

Problem 4b: [6 pts] ROC plot based on 5-fold CV.

For your best model, use 5-fold CV (same folds as in problem 4a) to construct the ROC curve plot. Your vector of predicted values for the ROC curve plot should be produced by training the best model on subset T_i and predicting on V_i . To get the entire vector of predicted values, put the 5 vectors of predicted values into a single vector; then compare vs truth (the whole vector Y) proceeding as with the usual ROC curve plot construction (reuse the code examples from the ISLR book).

Problem 5: [20 pts + 4 pts bonus] K-fold CV for calibration of tuning parameters of ML models for regression. I will likely replace only the data in this problem

Use the data from prob5.df. Here, p = 50 and n will denote the size of the training dataset. For your test data, use rows 401:800 of the data frame.

For your training data, consider 3 different sets/sample sizes: set 1 - rows 1:100; set 2 - rows 1:200; set 3 rows 1:400 of the data frame.

Use 5-fold CV (using function myCVids with seed=0) to calibrate tuning parameters for lasso regression, Random Forest (RF) and GBM. This should be done for each choice of $n_{train} = 100, 200, 400$ above.

For RF, use 500 as the number of trees and use mtry=c(1:7,50).

For GBM, use 1000 trees, $\lambda = 0.01$ and interaction depths 1:7. (Report results for 1000 trees but feel free to tune this.)

For each method, report 3 CV RMSE curves (one curve for each n=100,200 and 400), overlaid on the same plot. Mark the optimal value of the CV RMSE and the corresponding value of the tuning parameter.

Additionally, report the 3-by-6 matrix with rows corresponding to the three ML methods and columns corresponding to the CV RMSE and test RMSE for each value of n for model with parameters chosen by CV. (I.e., two RMSE values for n = 100, then the two RMSE values for n = 200, etc.) The CV RMSE will be computed from the training data only. The test RMSE should be computed by fitting the model on the entire training dataset with parameters determined by the CV; then this model is used to predict on the test set.

Briefly discuss your findings; particularly, as n increases.

For RF and GBM, report and discuss variable importance (for the best models).

Discuss what you expect: (i) as training sample size increases but the test sample is held fixed; (ii) training set is held fixed but the test sample size increases.

Bonus: Suppose another 50 predictors are added (uncorrelated with the response) so that we now have 100 predictors. What do you expect to happen to the predictive performance of the methods? Explore by simulating extra columns, generated as iid Uniform(-1,1).

Problem 6: [18 pts] K-fold CV for calibration of tuning parameters of ML models for classification.

I will likely replace only the data in this problem Use the data from prob6.df. Here, p=20 and n=200 for both training and test datasets. For your training data, use rows 1:200 of the data frame. For your test data, use rows 201:400 of the data frame.

Use 5-fold CV (using function myCVids with seed=0) to calibrate tuning parameters for the RF, GBM and the SVM (with radial kernel) classifiers.

The tuning parameters for RF and GBM are the same as in problem 5.

For each method, report CV misclassification error rate (MER) curves; this will be computed without using test data and used to select optimal tuning parameter values.

Additionally, report the test MER for each classifier with the parameters chosen by CV (the entire training dataset to train the model with this set of tuning parameters, then predictions are made for the test data to determine MER).

Lastly, overlay on the same plot ROCR curves for the three classifiers (with optimal tuning parameters). Here, the ROCR curves will be constructed using test data.

Briefly discuss.