McMaster University

SMARTSERVE

SOFTWARE & MECHATRONICS CAPSTONE

Development Process & Implementation

Authors:
Christopher McDonald
Harit Patel
Janak Patel
Jared Rayner
Nisarg Patel
Sam Hamel
Sharon Platkin

Professor:
Dr. Alan Wassyng

Teaching Assistants:
Bennett Mackenzie
Nicholas Annable
Stephen Wynn-Williams
Viktor Smirnov



Last compiled on November 22, 2017

Contents

1	oduction 2					
	1.1	Project Overview				
	1.2	Naming Conventions & Terminology				
2	Pro	ject Team 3				
	2.1	Team Organization Overview				
	2.2	Roles & Responsibilities				
	2.3	Meeting Schedule				
	2.4	Communication Pipelines				
	2.5	Handling Change				
3 Version Control						
	3.1	Technology				
	3.2	Workflow				
	3.3	Setup				
	3.4	Versioning Scheme				
4	Process Workflow					
5	Onboarding Process					
$\mathbf{L}^{:}$	ist c	of Figures				
	1	Revision History				
	2 Project Team Organization Chart with Hierarchy levels					
	3	Feature-Branch and Develop Git Workflow				
	4	Rapid Prototyping Development Processes				

Date	Revision	Comments	${f Author(s)}$
October 28, 2017	1.0	Added structure	Christopher McDonald
October 28, 2017		and content	Christopher McDonaid
	1.1	Added detail into	
October 30, 2017		Version Control	Sharon Platkin &
October 50, 2017		section and	Christopher McDonald
		revised document	
November 10th	1.2	Added Team	
November 19th, 2017		Organization	Nisarg Patel
2017		Overview	
November 22nd,	1.3	Added details in	
/		Roles and	Nisarg Patel
2017		Responsibilites	

Figure 1: Revision History

1 Introduction

1.1 Project Overview

SmartServe is an autonomous table tennis training system for a wide range of table tennis players to aid in diagnosing and improving their performance. The system does so by shooting balls toward the player and detecting successful returns. It can then adapt to the player's weaknesses and expose them by playing more to them over time. This alleviates problems of finding and working with a coach or training many players in a short amount of time. The system will be deemed a success if table tennis players enjoy and can see value in using our system in place of or in tandem to a coach.

The development started at the beginning of the Fall 2017 academic term and will conclude at the end of the Winter 2018 term. The team was assembled from MECHTRON 4TB6/SFWR ENG 4G06 Capstone course for the Software and Mechatronics Engineering disciplines.

1.2 Naming Conventions & Terminology

- **DevOps**: development operations
- **Git**: a version control system for tracking changes and coordinate work among multiple collaborators
- Master Branch: the main branch of the GitHub repository

- **PR**: pull request, a request to add changes from one branch into another done via a merge commit or rebase
- Slack: a messaging platform for teams to subscribe and publish to channels or send messages between two or more team members
- Trello: a web-based project management application utilized by the project team

2 Project Team

The project team members consists of:

- Christopher McDonald Software Engineering and Management
- Harit Patel Software Engineering and Management
- Janak Patel Mechatronics Engineering and Management
- Jared Rayner Mechatronics Engineering and Management
- Nisarg Patel Mechatronics Engineering and Management
- Sam Hamel Software Engineering
- Sharon Platkin Software Engineering

Additionally, Dr. Alan Wassyng will be the Project Advisor and will advise the project team as needed with challenges and provide guidance. Importantly, the project team will work closely with Nicholas Annable and Viktor Smirnov as well as seek advice from them on frequent basis.

2.1 Team Organization Overview

The core project team has three hierarchical vertical levels: Team Guide, Team Leads and Team Members. At first, the Team Guide is essentially a centralized Project Leader who primarily ensures that the team is executing the project as planned as well as the team is meeting all the project's requirements such as time, budget and specified scope. Moreover, due to the complexity of the project's scope the team has decided to divide the team into two main areas: Software and Hardware. The software team will be primarily responsible for delivering all of the software aspects of the project to ensure that the hardware team is fully supported by software systems to run the hardware. Whereas, the hardware team will be primarily responsible for delivering all of the hardware components of the project such as Electrical, Mechanical and Mechatronics systems. Next, the team has decided to appoint Team Leads for the Software and Hardware team to increase the effectiveness of tasks delegation and ease sub-team management. A visual representation of the team's organizational structure is shown in Figure 2.




Figure 2: Project Team Organization Chart with Hierarchy levels

2.2 Roles & Responsibilities

See Table 1 for a breakdown of roles and responsibilities. When a backup member is needed, they will be listed in italics.

Table 1: Roles & Responsibilities Breakdown

Role	Member(s)	Responsibility
Scribe	Sharon Platkin	Taking notes for all meetings
	Jared Rayner	Posting notes to Slack after meet-
		ings
		Booking rooms for team meetings
DevOps Developer	Christopher McDonald	Solving issues related to GitHub
	Sharon Platkin	Configuring CI Tools
		Merging code changes into the
		master branch
Team Contact	Christopher McDonald	Handle a majority of communi-
	Nisarg Patel	cation with Project Advisor and
		Teaching Assistants
Hardware Team Member	Janak Patel	Handle most hardware focused
	Jared Rayner	work tasks
	Nisarg Patel	
Software Team Member	Christopher McDonald	Handle most software focused
	Harit Patel	work tasks
	Sam Hamel	
	Sharon Platkin	

2.3 Meeting Schedule

The team currently has weekly meetings scheduled on Tuesdays at 16:30 and Fridays at 16:30 for a duration of 50 minutes. Every member of the team is expected to attend. Every other Tuesday meeting must cover reflecting on the previous 2 weeks and planning the following 2 weeks for what work needs to be done in that time. All other meetings will be for progress updates, clarifying any work going forward and removing roadblocks which inhibit optimal performance.

The Hardware and Software team will schedule meetings on an ad hoc basis, inviting any members which have a stake in the content being discussed. In the event Sharon Platkin is not present and therefore cannot be the Scribe, a member will be elected by and among the attendees.

2.4 Communication Pipelines

All inter-team communication will be done through Slack. This will allow team members to communicate via channels or groups. Examples of the channels currently used are hardware, software, documentation, meetings, deliverables and git. The git channel will

give updates on every open PR (Pull Request) and the *deliverables* channel gives reminders 2 days prior to deliverable deadlines. Meeting notes will be posted in the *meetings* channel.

When communicating with the TAs or Project Advisor, email will be used and the Team Contact will relay all relevant information to all other team members.

2.5 Handling Change

In the event any aspect of the project must be changed, all members can be involved in the decision making. In the absence of a unanimous decision, the team must decide if the change is paramount to the outcome of the project. If not, the decision will be left to a vote by all meeting attendees. If so, a teaching assistant will be involved in the decision making to aid in arriving to a unanimous decision. The Project Advisor will also be involved at the discretion of the teaching assistant.

Once the change has been decided, all documentation and code changes will be given the highest priority to reflect the change made. This will ensure no further work will be done using documentation or code that does not reflect the team's ideals.

3 Version Control

3.1 Technology

The technology used for version control will be *git*. The *git* repository will be hosted by GitHub and can be found here. *Git* allows developers to host a decentralized version of the source code for any project. This is in addition to a copy hosted on a remote repository. This technology allows any number of developers to perform work on their own copy of the source without impeding on the other developers. Once a developer makes a complete set of changes, they can include these changes in a commit and push them to the remote repository.

3.2 Workflow

The workflow we will be using is a feature-branch workflow with the addition of a two-stage release process. For all releases, changes will be made via a PR into the *master* branch from the *develop* branch. Therefore, any demonstrations and deliverables can be found by checking out the *master* branch. When making any changes, an ad hoc branch will be made off the *dev* branch. Once completed, a PR can be made from that branch into the *develop* branch. This pertains to documentation and source code. A flowchart for this can be found in Figure 3. Once a developer begins working on any item, they will start by making a branch based off of *develop*.

In the event commits have been added to *develop* after making your branch and before merging it into develop, a rebase will be required. This will effectively place all your commits made to your feature branch, onto the current *develop* branch.




Figure 3: Feature-Branch and Develop Git Workflow

3.3 Setup

The following steps must be taken to contribute to the source code.

- Clone the Project
 - git clone https://github.com/ChristopherMcDonald/SoftwareTronCapstone.git
- Add a Feature Branch

```
cd /path/to/repo
git fetch --all
git checkout develop
git checkout -b BRANCH_NAME
```

• Push Changes to Repository

```
git add FILE_NAME
git commit -m "MESSAGE"
git push # for first push, git push --set-upstream origin BRANCH_NAME
```

• Rebase Against develop Branch

```
git fetch --all
git rebase develop
# deal with any conflicts
git push -f
```

3.4 Versioning Scheme

When items of this system are added or revised, the version number of the system will be updated. This number will follow the *major.minor.patch* versioning scheme. This means when anything major has changed, such as a feature being added or a specification being changed, it will increment the current *major* number by one. *Minor* updates will include changes of items in order to refine a feature or meet a specification and will also be incremented by one. For any bug fixes or commentary changes, the *patch* number will be incremented by one.

4 Process Workflow

In order to facilitate the development of the system, work will be organized into 2-week long sessions. At the beginning of the week, the team will consider the next 2-3 deliverables and schedule them in accordingly. The team will attempt to finish each deliverable a week or more before the deadline. This allows the team to be ahead of schedule and gives them the ability to respond to other items should they arise. During meetings before the end of each 2-week session, any priorities will be adjusted as needed. When the work session is completed, items will be placed into the next session or placed in the backlog until further consideration. This task tracking is achieved through a real-time collaboration software called Trello. Tello informs every team member on what's being worked on, who's working on what and the status of all tasks.

For the hardware design and assembly of the system, the team is currently using a rapid prototyping approach similar to the cycle in Figure 4. This allows the team to quickly develop and build prototypes for parts of the system. Instead of building everything at once, portions of the system will be built incrementally and integrated into each other to form our complete system. Using the agile methodology again in conjunction with rapid prototyping allows sections of the system to be designed and built simultaneously. This approach is beneficial because it gives more opportunities to obtain feedback from TAs and/or Dr. Alan Wassyng to further refine the prototype. The team is using ready made parts and 3D printing for prototype development because it allows for quick changes and improvements to the prototype which will eventually be implement into the final system.

5 Onboarding Process

The following administrative work must be done to onboard another team member:

- Invite them to Slack team
- Share team's Google Calendar with them




Figure 4: Rapid Prototyping Development Processes

- Add them as a collaborator on GitHub
- Add them to the list of authors on the documentation template

The new member will be expected to read all current revisions of documentation made for the project. Any questions which arise can be asked during meetings or on Slack. After a comprehensive understanding of the project, tasks can be assigned to them and any education will be done on an ad hoc basis.

The following tools will need to be installed by the member with the help of the DevOps developer:

- Git version 2.14.0 found here
- LaTeX version \LaTeX 2 ε found here