# MHF3202 Exam 1

Oliver Deng

February 9, 2025

1.

$$E = \{x : x = 2n, n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$$

where E is the set of all even real numbers.

2.

$$P = \{x : x = 2n, n \in \mathbb{Z}, x \text{ is a prime number}\}\$$

where P is the set of all even prime numbers.

3.

$$F = \{(x, y) : x \in \mathbb{R}, y = \sin(x^2) - 3\}$$

where F is the graph of the function  $f(x) = \sin(x^2) - 3$ .

4.

$$\mathcal{P}(X) = \{A : A \subseteq X, X = \{x : x = 17n, n \in \mathbb{Z}\}\}\$$

where  $\mathcal{P}(X)$  is the power set of all real numbers that are evenly divisible by 17.

# Question 2

For any number n bigger than N, the power set of A is the set of all strict subsets X of A, where the cardinality of X is less than n.

This statement is false, since X can also be the set A itself.

 $\mathbf{T}$ 

Τ

 $\mathbf{T}$ 

#### Question 3

 $(\neg P) \land (Q \implies R)$  and  $(P \land R) \lor (\neg Q)$  are not equivalent because their respective truth tables Table 1 and Table 2 have different values.

Table 1: Truth table of  $(\neg P) \land (Q \implies R)$ 

F	Т	Т	Т	Т	Т
F	${f T}$	$\bar{\mathrm{F}}$	$\overline{\mathrm{T}}$	$^{ m -}$	$^{-}$
F F F	F	$\Gamma$	Т	${ m T}$	${ m T}$
F	T T F	F	T T T	${ m T}$	Τ
Г	F	F	1	1	

Table 2: Truth table of  $(P \wedge R) \vee (\neg Q)$ 

14510 2. 114th table of (1 /(1t) v ( 4t)						
P	Q	R	$(P \wedge R)$	$\neg Q$	$(P \land R) \lor (\neg Q)$	
$\overline{T}$	Т	Т	Т	F	Т	
$\mathbf{T}$	T	F	F	F	F	
${ m T}$	F	Т	T	T	T	
$\mathbf{T}$	F	F	F	Т	${ m T}$	
$\mathbf{F}$	T	Т	F	F	F	
$\mathbf{F}$	T	F	F	F	F	
$\mathbf{F}$	F	Т	F	Т	${ m T}$	
$\mathbf{F}$	F	F	$\mathbf{F}$	T	m T	

The original supposition is that for all numbers x in a subset A of the real numbers, there is a number y in a subset B such that multiplying x and y results in a number that is **not** an integer.

It does not necessarily follow that there exists an x in that subset A such that every element y in B, when multiplied by x, is not an integer.

Consider the sets  $A = \{\pi, 5\}$  and  $B = \{2, 3\}$ . For all x in A, both elements of B guarantee that for all  $x, xy \in \mathbb{Z}$  is false, since both  $\pi * 2$  or  $\pi * 3$  are not integers.

However, we can say that there exists an x in A such that for all elements y in B,  $xy \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Take 5 from A. We can easily see that for both (all) elements in B,  $xy \in \mathbb{Z}$ , since 5\*2=10 and 5\*3=15, and both of those numbers are integers.

Thus, the claim in question does not follow from the original claim.

#### Question 5

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{R}, \exists N \in \mathbb{N} \text{ s.t. } \forall y(y > N), \frac{1}{y} < x$$

#### Question 6

1.

$$\overline{A} = \{x : (x \notin \mathbb{Q}) \text{ or } (\forall y \in \mathbb{N}, 3xy \notin \mathbb{N})\}$$

2.

$$A \cap B = \{x \in \mathbb{Z} : 2x \in N\}$$

3. A does not have a least element because given a rational number, you can always find a smaller one, and you can also find a bigger natural number that satisfies the second condition, since the natural numbers do not have a largest element.

A also does not a largest element, because the rational numbers include the positive integers, which satisfy both conditions, and also do not have a largest element.

# Question 7

- 1. No
- 2. The power set of A and the union of all  $B_i$  are not equal, since the union of all  $B_i$  do not consider two sets: the empty set and A itself.

The empty set is not considered, since the empty set's cardinality is 0, but  $B_i$  only considers sets with cardinality from 1 to N. However, the empty set is a part of A's power set.

A itself is not considered, because  $B_i$  only considers proper subsets of A, but A is not a proper subset of itself. However, A is a part of its power set.

Therefore,

$$\mathcal{P}(A) \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{N} B_i = \{A, \varnothing\}$$

- 1. We can say that it is true.
- 2. **Proof:** Suppose that  $P \implies Q$  is false.

Then we know by Table 3 that P is true and Q is false, since that is the only case where implication is false.

Also, since P is true, then  $(\neg R \lor P)$  is true, and then the whole right-hand side of the implication in the claim is true.

Then, again by Table 3, we know that in any case where the right-hand side of an implication is true, the implication must also be true.

Hence, we know that  $(R \vee S) \vee (R \wedge P) \implies ((\neg P) \wedge Q) \vee ((\neg R) \vee P)$  is true.

Table 3: Truth table for implication

☺

	P	Q	$P \implies Q$			
	Т	Т	${ m T}$			
	Τ	F	$\mathbf{F}$			
	F	T	${ m T}$			
	F	F	${ m T}$			

# Question 9

Let D(x) be the statement that "x is a dog."

Let C(x) be the statement that "x is a cat."

Let O(x) be the statement that "x is an octopus."

Let L(x) be the statement that "x can learn most tricks from their keepers."

Let T(x) be the statement that "x can trick its keepers about what it has learned."

1.

$$(\forall x (D(x) \implies L(x))) \land (\exists y (C(y) \land L(y))) \land (\forall z (T(z) \implies O(z)))$$

2.

$$(\exists x (D(x) \land \neg L(x))) \lor (\forall y (C(y) \implies \neg L(y))) \lor (\exists z (T(z) \land \neg O(z)))$$

3. Either there exists an x such that it's a dog and cannot learn most tricks from its keepers, or for all y, y being a cat implies that it cannot learn most tricks from its keeper, or there exists a z such that it's an octopus and it cannot trick its keepers about what it has learned.

- 1. The truth or falseness of the claim is unknowable.
- 2. **Proof:** Suppose that  $(P \Longrightarrow Q) \veebar (Q \Longrightarrow R)$  is true.

Then we have 2 cases according to the truth table given:

(a) Case 1:  $P \implies Q$  is true and  $Q \implies R$  is false.

Then, by Table 3, we know that Q is true and that R is false.

If Q is true, then P can be either true or false in order to satisfy that  $P \implies Q$  is true.

Now, we can construct Table 4 with those 2 cases to show that  $(P \implies R) \iff (Q \iff \neg P)$  is true.

(b) Case 2:  $P \implies Q$  is false and  $Q \implies R$  is true.

Then, by Table 3, we know that P is true and that Q is false.

If Q is false, then R can be either true or false in order to satisfy that  $Q \implies R$  is true.

Now, we can construct Table 5 with those 2 cases to show that  $(P \implies R) \iff (Q \iff \neg P)$  is either true or false, which is the same as saying that it is unknown.

Since there is one case that is unknowable, we can see that  $(P \implies R) \iff (Q \iff \neg P)$  is unknowable.