1	Amplicon sequence variants artificially split bacterial genomes into
2	separate clusters
3	Running title: ASVs artificially split bacterial genomes
4	Patrick D. Schloss [†]
5	† To whom corresponsdence should be addressed:
6	pschloss@umich.edu
7	Department of Microbiology & Immunology
8	University of Michigan
9	Ann Arbor, MI 48109
10	Observation Format

Abstract

Amplicon sequencing variants (ASVs) have been proposed as an alternative to operational taxonomic units (OTUs) for analyzing microbial communities. ASVs have grown in popularity, in part, because of a desire to 13 reflect a more refined level of taxonomy since they do not cluster sequences based on a distance-based threshold. However, ASVs and the use of overly narrow thresholds to identify OTUs increase the risk of splitting a single genome into separate clusters. To assess this risk, I analyzed the intragenomic variation of 16S rRNA genes from the bacterial genomes represented in a rrn copy number database, which contained 20,427 genomes from 5,972 species. As the number of copies of the 16S rRNA gene increased in a genome, the number of ASVs also increased. There was an average of 0.58 ASVs per copy of the 16S rRNA gene for full length 16S rRNA genes. It was necessary to use a distance threshold of 5.25% to cluster full length ASVs from the same genome into a single OTU with 95% confidence for genomes with 7 copies of the 16S rRNA, such as E. coli. This research highlights the risk of splitting a single bacterial genome into 22 separate clusters when ASVs are used to analyze 16S rRNA gene sequence data. Although there is also a risk of clustering ASVs from different species into the same OTU when using broad distance thresholds, those risks are of less concern than artificially splitting a genome into separate ASVs and OTUs.

Importance

16S rRNA gene sequencing has engendered significant interest in studying microbial communities. There
has been a tension between trying to classify 16S rRNA gene sequences to increasingly lower taxonomic
levels and the reality that those levels were defined using more sequence and physiological information than
is available from a fragment of the 16S rRNA gene. Furthermore, naming of bacterial taxa reflects the biases
of those who name them. One motivation for the recent push to adopt ASVs in place of OTUs in microbial
community analyses is to allow researchers to perform their analyses at the finest possible level that reflects
species-level taxonomy. The current research is significant because it quantifies the risk of artificially splitting
bacterial genomes into separate clusters. Far from providing a better representation of bacterial taxonomy
and biology, the ASV approach can lead to conflicting inferences about the ecology of different ASVs from
the same genome.

```
16S rRNA gene sequencing is a powerful technique for describing and comparing microbial communities (1).
   Efforts to link 16S rRNA gene sequences to taxonomic levels based on distance thresholds date to at least
   the 1990s. The distance-based threshold that was developed and is now widely used was based on
   DNA-DNA hybridization approaches that are not as precise as genome sequencing (2, 3). Instead, genome
   sequencing technologies have suggested that the widely used 3% distance threshold to operationally define
41
   bacterial taxa is too coarse (4-6). As an alternative to operational taxonomic units (OTUs), amplicon
   sequencing variants (ASVs) have been proposed as a way to adopt the thresholds suggested by genome
   sequencing to microbial community analysis using 16S rRNA gene sequences (7–10). Approaches for
   identifying ASVs do not cluster sequences based on a distance-based threshold It is widely understood
   that individual bacterial genomes often have multiple 16S rRNA genes that are not identical and that a 16S
   rRNA gene sequence could be found with different versions of the sequence in different genomes (11)...
   12). This could lead to the problem that ASVs and using too fine a threshold to identify OTUs could split a
   single genome into multiple clusters. Proponents of ASVs are largely dissmissive of minimize concerns that
   most bacterial genomes have more than one copy of the rrn operon and that those copies are not identical
   (126, 13). Yet, ASVs and using too fine a threshold to identify OTUs could split a single genome into
   multiple clusters. Conversely, using too broad of a threshold to define OTUs could cluster together multiple
   bacterial species into the same OTU. An example of both is seen in the comparison of Staphylococcus
   aureus (NCTC 8325) and S. epidermidis (ATCC 12228) where each genome has 5 copies of the 16S rRNA
   gene. Each of the 10 copies of the 16S rRNA gene in these two genomes is distinct and represent 10 ASVs.
   Conversely, if the copies were clustered using a 3% distance threshold, then all 10 ASVs would cluster into
   the same OTU. The goal of this study was to quantify the tradeoff of splitting a single genome into multiple
   clusters and the risk of clustering different bacterial species into the same cluster when using ASVs and
   various OTU definitions.
   To investigate the variation in the number of copies of the 16S rRNA gene per genome and the intragenomic
   variation among copies of the 16S rRNA gene, I obtained 16S rRNA sequences from the rrn copy number
   database (rrnDB)(14). Among the 5,972 species represented in the rrnDB there were 20,427 genomes. The
   median rrn copy number per species ranged between 1 (e.g., Mycobacterium tuberculosis) and 19
   (Metabacillus litoralis). As the rrn copy number for a genome increased, the number of variants of the 16S
   rRNA gene in each genome also increased. On average, there were 0.58 variants per copy of the full length
   16S rRNA gene and an average of 0.32, 0.25, and 0.27 variants when considering the V3-V4, V4, and
   V4-V5 regions of the gene, respectively. Although a species tended to have a consistent number of 16S
   rRNA gene copies per genome, the number of total variants increased with the number of genomes that
```

were sampled (Figure S1). For example, the 271 genome accessions of Mycobacterium tuberculosis in the rrnDB each had 1 copy of the gene per genome. However, across those accessions, there were 17 versions of the gene. An E. coli genome typically had 7 copies of the 16S rRNA gene with a median of 5 distinct full length ASVs per genome (intraquartile range between 3 and 6). Across the 1,390 E. coli genomes in the rrnDB, there were 1,402 versions of the gene. These observations highlight the risk of selecting a threshold for defining clusters that is too narrow because it is possible to split a single genome into multiple clusters. A method to avoid splitting a single genome into multiple clusters is to cluster 16S rRNA gene sequences together based on their distances between each other. Therefore, I assessed the impact of the distance threshold used to define clusters of 16S rRNA genes on the propensity to split a genome into separate clusters. To control for uneven representation of genomes across species, I randomly selected one genome from each species and repeated each randomization 100 times. I observed that as the rrn copy number increased, the distance threshold required to reduce the ASVs in each genome to a single OTU increased (Figure 1). Among species with 7 copies of the rrn operon (e.g., E. coli), a distance threshold of 5.25% was required to reduce full length ASVs into a single OTU for 95% of the species. Similarly, thresholds of 5.25, 2.50, and 3.75% were required for the V3-V4, V4, and V4-V5 regions, respectively. But, if a 3% distance threshold was used, then ASVs from genomes containing fewer than 6, 6, 8, and 6 copies of the rrn operon would reliably be clustered into a single OTU for ASVs from the V1-V9, V3-V4, V4, and V4-V5 regions. respectively. Consequently, these results demonstrate that broad thresholds must be used to avoid splitting different operons from the same genome into separate clusters. At broad thresholds, 16S rRNA gene sequences from multiple species could be clustered into the same ASV or OTU. I again randomly selected one genome from each species to control for uneven representation of genomes across speciesand for. For this analysis I measured the percentage of ASVs and OTUs that contained 16S rRNA gene sequences from multiple species (Figure 2). Without using distance-based thresholds, 4.1% of the ASVs contained sequences from multiple species when considering full length sequences and 10.9, 16.2, and 13.1% when considering the V3-V4, V4, and V4-V5 regions, respectively. At the commonly used 3% threshold for defining OTUs, 27.4% of the OTUs contained 16S rRNA gene sequences from multiple species when considering full length sequences and 31.7, 34.3, and 34.8% when considering the V3-V4, V4, and V4-V5 regions, respectively. Considering that species designations are inconsistently applied and reflect multiple human-imposed biases, the risk of splitting a genome into 97 multiple OTUs is more problematic than clustering species together. Therefore, larger thresholdsare advisable Although the actual fraction of ASVs and OTUs that contain sequences from multiple species is dependent on the taxonomic composition of the sequences in the rrnDB, this analysis highlights the

tradeoffs of using distance-based thresholds.

The results of this analysis demonstrate that there is a significant risk of splitting a single genome into 102 multiple clusters if using ASVs or too fine of a threshold to define OTUs. An ongoing problem for amplicon-based studies is defining a meaningful taxonomic unit (+113, 15, 16). Since there is no consensus 104 for a biologicaly definition of a bacterial species (17-19), microbiologists must accept that how bacterial species are named is biased and that taxonomic rules are not applied in a consistent manner (e.g., (19, 20)). 106 This makes it impossible to fit a distance threshold to define an OTU definition that matches a set of species names (21). Furthermore, the 16S rRNA gene does not evolve at the same rate across all bacterial lineages 108 (15), which limits the biological interpretation of a common OTU definition. A distance-based definition of a taxonomic unit based on 16S rRNA gene or full genome sequences is , at best, operational and not 110 necessarily grounded in biological theory (15, 22-24). One benefit of a distance-based OTU definition is 111 the ability to mask residual sequencing error. The analysis in this study was conducted using ideal 112 sequences from assembled genomes whereas sequences generated in microbiome studies would harbor 113 PCR and sequencing errors. These errors would only exacerbate the inflated number of ASVs. There is 114 general agreement in bacterial systematics that to classify an organism to a bacterial species, phenotypic 115 and genome sequence data are needed (17–20). A short sequence from a bacterial genome simply cannot differentiate between species. Moreover, it is difficult to defend a clustering threshold that would split a single 117 genome into multiple taxonomic units. It is not biologically plausible to entertain the possibility that different rrn operons from the same genome would have different ecologies. Individual bacteria are defined at the 119 cellular or chromosomal level and not at the gene level. One could argue that, in practice, communities are compared on a relative rather than absolute basis. However, communities harboring populations that tend 121 to have more copies of the rrn operon would appear to have higher richness and diversity than those with 122 fewer copies purely due to the propensity for populations with more rrn operons to generate more ASVs. 123 Although there are multiple reasons that proponents favor ASVs, the significant risk of artificially splitting 124 genomes into separate clusters is too high to warrant their use.

Materials and Methods. (i) Data availability. The 16S rRNA gene sequences used in this study were

obtained from the *rrn*DB (https://rrndb.umms.med.umich.edu; version 5.7, released January 18, 2021) (14).

At the time of submission, this was the most current version of the database. The *rrn*DB obtained the

curated 16S rRNA gene sequences from the KEGG database, which ultimately obtained them from NCBI's

non-redundant RefSeg database. The *rrn*DB provided downloadable versions of the sequences with their

- taxonomy as determined using the naive Bayesian classifier trained on the RDP reference taxonomy. For some genomes this resulted in multiple classifications since a genome's 16S rRNA gene sequences were not identical. Instead, I mapped the RefSeq accession number for each genome in the database to obtain a single taxonomy for each genome. Because strain names were not consistently given to genomes across bacterial species, I disregarded the strain level designations.
- (ii) Definition of regions within the 16S rRNA gene. The full length 16S rRNA gene sequences were aligned to a SILVA reference alignment of the 16S rRNA gene (v. 138) using the mothur software package (v. 138) (25, 26). Regions of the 16S rRNA gene were selected because of their use in the microbial ecology literature. Full length sequences corresponded to *E. coli* str. K-12 substr. MG1655 (NC_000913) positions 28 through 1491, V4 to positions 534 through 786, V3-V4 to positions 358 through 786, and V4-V5 to positions 534 through 908. The positions between these coordinates reflect the fragments that would be amplified using commonly used PCR primers.
- (iii) Clustering sequences into OTUs. Pairwise distances between sequences were calculated using the
 dist.seqs command from mothur. The OptiClust algorithm, as implemented in mothur, was used to assign
 16S rRNA gene sequences to OTUs (27). Distance thresholds between 0.25 and 10.00% in 0.25 percentage
 point increments were used to assign sequences to OTUs.
- (iv) Controlling for uneven sampling of genomes by species. Because of the uneven distribution of
 genome sequences across species I randomly selected one genome from each species for the analysis of
 splitting genomes and clustering ASVs from different species (Figures 1 and 2). The random selection was
 repeated 100 times. Analyses based on this randomization reported the median of the 100 randomizations.
 The intraquartile range between randomizations was less than 0.0024. Because the range was so small, the
 confidence intervals were more narrow than the thickness of the lines in Figures 1 and 2 and were not
 included.
- (v) Reproducible data analysis. The code to perform the analysis in this manuscript and its history are
 available as a git-based version control repository on GitHub

 (https://github.com/SchlossLab/Schloss_rrnAnalysis_mSphere_2021). The analysis can be regenerated
 using a GNU Make-based workflow that made use of built-in bash tools (v. 3.2.57), mothur (v. 1.44.2), and R

 (v. 4.0.44.1.0). Within R, I used the tidyverse (v. 1.3.0.1), data.table (v. 1.13.21.14.0), Rcpp (v. 1.0.5.6), furrr

 (v. 0.2.1.2), here (v. 1.0.1) and rmarkdown (v. 2.52.8) packages. The conception and development of this
 analysis is available as a playlist on the Riffomonas YouTube channel

 (https://youtube.com/playlist?list=PLmNrK_nkgBpL7m_tyWdQgdyurerttCsPY).

- (vi) Note on usage of ASV, OTU, and cluster. I used "ASV" to denote the cluster of true 16S rRNA gene sequences that were identical to each other and "OTU" to denote the product of distance-based clustering of sequences. Although ASVs do represent a type of operational defition of a taxonomic unit and can be thought of as an OTU formed using a distance of zero, proponents of the ASV approach prefer to avoid the term OTU given the long history of OTUs being formed by distance-based clustering (https://github.com/benjjneb/dada2/issues/62; accessed 2021-02-26). For this reason, when an ASV split a genome into different units, those units were called clusters rather than OTUs.
- Acknowledgements. I am grateful to Robert Hein and Thomas Schmidt, who maintain the *rrn*DB, for their help in understanding the curation of the database and for making the 16S rRNA gene sequences and related metadata publicly available. I am also grateful to community members who watched the serialized version of this analysis on YouTube and provided suggestions and questions over the course of the development of this project. This work was supported, in part, through grants from the NIH (P30DK034933, U01AI124255, and R01CA215574).

75 References

- Lane DJ, Pace B, Olsen GJ, Stahl DA, Sogin ML, Pace NR. 1985. Rapid determination of 16S ribosomal
 RNA sequences for phylogenetic analyses. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 82:6955–6959. doi: 10.1073/pnas.82.20.6955.
- 2. Stackebrandt E, Goebel BM. 1994. Taxonomic note: A place for DNA-DNA reassociation and 16S rRNA
 sequence analysis in the present species definition in bacteriology. *International Journal of Systematic* and Evolutionary Microbiology 44:846–849. doi: 10.1099/00207713-44-4-846.
- 3. Goris J, Konstantinidis KT, Klappenbach JA, Coenye T, Vandamme P, Tiedje JM. 2007. DNA-DNA
 hybridization values and their relationship to whole-genome sequence similarities. *International Journal* of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology 57:81–91. doi: 10.1099/ijs.0.64483-0.
- 4. Rodriguez-R LM, Castro JC, Kyrpides NC, Cole JR, Tiedje JM, Konstantinidis KT. 2018. How much do
 rRNA gene surveys underestimate extant bacterial diversity? *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 84:e00014–18. doi: 10.1128/aem.00014-18.
- 5. Stackebrandt E, Ebers J. 2006. Taxonomic parameters revisited: Tarnished gold standards. *Microbiol Today* 33:152–155.
- 6. Edgar RC. 2018. Updating the 97% identity threshold for 16S ribosomal RNA OTUs. *Bioinformatics* 34:2371–2375. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/bty113.
- 7. Edgar RC. 2016. UNOISE2: Improved error-correction for illumina 16S and its amplicon sequencing.

 bioRxiv. doi: 10.1101/081257.
- 8. Amir A, McDonald D, Navas-Molina JA, Kopylova E, Morton JT, Zech Xu Z, Kightley EP, Thompson LR,
 Hyde ER, Gonzalez A, Knight R. 2017. Deblur rapidly resolves single-nucleotide community sequence
 patterns. mSystems 2:e00191–16. doi: 10.1128/mSystems.00191-16.
- 9. Callahan BJ, McMurdie PJ, Rosen MJ, Han AW, Johnson AJA, Holmes SP. 2016. DADA2: High-resolution
 sample inference from illumina amplicon data. *Nature Methods* 13:581–583. doi: 10.1038/nmeth.3869.
- 10. Eren AM, Morrison HG, Lescault PJ, Reveillaud J, Vineis JH, Sogin ML. 2014. Minimum entropy decomposition: Unsupervised oligotyping for sensitive partitioning of high-throughput marker gene sequences. *The ISME Journal* 9:968–979. doi: 10.1038/ismej.2014.195.
- 202 11. Callahan BJ, McMurdie PJ, Holmes SP. 2017. Exact sequence variants should replace operational
 203 taxonomic units in marker-gene data analysis. *The ISME Journal* 11:2639–2643. doi:

10.1038/ismej.2017.119.

204

- 12. 11. Pei AY, Oberdorf WE, Nossa CW, Agarwal A, Chokshi P, Gerz EA, Jin Z, Lee P, Yang L, Poles M,
 Brown SM, Sotero S, DeSantis T, Brodie E, Nelson K, Pei Z. 2010. Diversity of 16S rRNA genes within individual prokaryotic genomes. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 76:3886–3897. doi:
 10.1128/aem.02953-09.
- 13.-12. Sun D-L, Jiang X, Wu QL, Zhou N-Y. 2013. Intragenomic heterogeneity of 16S rRNA genes causes
 overestimation of prokaryotic diversity. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 79:5962–5969. doi:
 10.1128/aem.01282-13.
- 13. Callahan BJ, McMurdie PJ, Holmes SP. 2017. Exact sequence variants should replace operational
 taxonomic units in marker-gene data analysis. *The ISME Journal* 11:2639–2643. doi:
 10.1038/ismej.2017.119.
- 14. Stoddard SF, Smith BJ, Hein R, Roller BRK, Schmidt TM. 2014. rrnDB: Improved tools for interpreting
 rRNA gene abundance in bacteria and archaea and a new foundation for future development. Nucleic
 Acids Research 43:D593–D598. doi: 10.1093/nar/gku1201.
- 15. Schloss PD, Westcott SL. 2011. Assessing and improving methods used in operational taxonomic
 unit-based approaches for 16S rRNA gene sequence analysis. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 77:3219–3226. doi: 10.1128/aem.02810-10.
- 16. Johnson JS, Spakowicz DJ, Hong B-Y, Petersen LM, Demkowicz P, Chen L, Leopold SR, Hanson BM,
 Agresta HO, Gerstein M, Sodergren E, Weinstock GM. 2019. Evaluation of 16S rRNA gene sequencing
 for species and strain-level microbiome analysis. *Nature Communications* 10:5029. doi:
 10.1038/s41467-019-13036-1.
- Staley JT. 2006. The bacterial species dilemma and the genomicphylogenetic species concept.
 Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 361:1899–1909. doi:
 10.1098/rstb.2006.1914.
- 18. Oren A, Garrity GM. 2013. Then and now: A systematic review of the systematics of prokaryotes in the last 80 years. *Antonie van Leeuwenhoek* 106:43–56. doi: 10.1007/s10482-013-0084-1.
- 19. Sanford RA, Lloyd KG, Konstantinidis KT, Löffler FE. 2021. Microbial taxonomy run amok. *Trends in Microbiology*. doi: 10.1016/j.tim.2020.12.010.
- 20. Baltrus DA, McCann HC, Guttman DS. 2016. Evolution, genomics and epidemiology of *Pseudomonas*syringae. Molecular Plant Pathology 18:152–168. doi: 10.1111/mpp.12506.

- 21. Konstantinidis KT, Tiedje JM. 2005. Towards a genome-based taxonomy for prokaryotes. *Journal of Bacteriology* 187:6258–6264. doi: 10.1128/jb.187.18.6258-6264.2005.
- 22. Barco RA, Garrity GM, Scott JJ, Amend JP, Nealson KH, Emerson D. 2020. A genus definition for
 bacteria and archaea based on a standard genome relatedness index. *mBio* 11:02475–19. doi:
 10.1128/mbio.02475-19.
- 23. Parks DH, Chuvochina M, Chaumeil P-A, Rinke C, Mussig AJ, Hugenholtz P. 2020. A complete
 domain-to-species taxonomy for bacteria and archaea. *Nature Biotechnology* 38:1079–1086. doi:
 10.1038/s41587-020-0501-8.
- 24. Yarza P, Yilmaz P, Pruesse E, Glöckner FO, Ludwig W, Schleifer K-H, Whitman WB, Euzéby J, Amann R,
 Rosselló-Móra R. 2014. Uniting the classification of cultured and uncultured bacteria and archaea using
 16S rRNA gene sequences. *Nature Reviews Microbiology* 12:635–645. doi: 10.1038/nrmicro3330.
- 25. Schloss PD, Westcott SL, Ryabin T, Hall JR, Hartmann M, Hollister EB, Lesniewski RA, Oakley BB,
 Parks DH, Robinson CJ, Sahl JW, Stres B, Thallinger GG, Horn DJV, Weber CF. 2009. Introducing
 mothur: Open-source, platform-independent, community-supported software for describing and
 comparing microbial communities. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 75:7537–7541. doi:
 10.1128/aem.01541-09.
- 26. Quast C, Pruesse E, Yilmaz P, Gerken J, Schweer T, Yarza P, Peplies J, Glöckner FO. 2012. The SILVA ribosomal RNA gene database project: Improved data processing and web-based tools. *Nucleic Acids Research* 41:D590–D596. doi: 10.1093/nar/gks1219.
- 27. Westcott SL, Schloss PD. 2017. OptiClust, an improved method for assigning amplicon-based sequence data to operational taxonomic units. *mSphere* 2:00073–17. doi: 10.1128/mspheredirect.00073-17.

- Figure 1. The distance threshold required to prevent the splitting of genomes into multiple OTUs
 increased as the number of *rrn* operons in the genome increased. Each line represents the median
 distance threshold for each region of the 16S rRNA gene that is required for 95% of the genomes with the
 indicated number of *rrn* operons to cluster their ASVs to a single OTU. The median distance threshold was
 calculated across 100 randomizations in which one genome was sampled from each species. Only those
 number of *rrn* operons that were found in more than 100 species are included.
- Figure 2. As the distance threshold used to define an OTU increased, the percentage of ASVs and
 OTUs representing multiple species increased. These data represent the median fractions for both
 measurements across 100 randomizations. In each randomization, one genome was sampled from each
 species.
- Figure S1. The ratio of number of distinct ASVs per copy of the *rrn* operon increased for a species as
 the number of genomes in the *rrn*DB for that species increased. Each point represents a different
 species and was shaded to be 80% transparent so that when points overlap they become darker. The blue
 line represents a smoothed fit through the data. Both axes use a logarithmic scale (base 10).