CS5224 Project Final Report WeekendsDoWhat

Chua Ping Chan, Peh Kai Yi Esmond, Pranav, Xi Liming

April 4, 2022

Do up Title page

Table of Contents

1	Executive Summary					
2	Business Case Identification					
3	Business Model					
4 SaaS Architecture and Implementation						
	4.1	Applic	ation Implementation	5		
		4.1.1	Data Source	5		
		4.1.2	Frontend, Application and Database	5		
	4.2	SaaS A	Architecture	5		
		4.2.1	AWS Services Deployed	5		
		4.2.2	System Considerations	6		
		4.2.3	Limitations	8		
5	Econ	nomic F	actors	8		
6	Con	clusion		8		

1 Executive Summary

Our SaaS aims to provide local Singaporeans itineraries given a certain location. Unlike other existing services like Google Map and TripAdvisor that only provide information for a single searched location, we provide recommendations of nearby restaurants if users searched for a national park, or vice versa. We require all users to create an account so that we could control spam contents. Moreover, we encourage users to actively leave detailed reviews for our provided itineraries so that we could create authoritative and authentic rankings of parks and restaurants, which makes our database attractive enough to charge premium users monthly fee to access these reviews. Currently, we couldn't find such online communities or applications providing similar services in Singapore. Thus, we think our SaaS could fill the gap for such needs.

Our SaaS architecture design is similar to a traditional 3-tier model which uses AWS Elastic Beanstalk PaaS service for the frontend and backend deployment and AWS Aurora PaaS service for the database. Our database deployment spans across 2 availability zones to provide optimal availability and resilience to failures. Since our initial database is less than 50GB, using business grade on-premise databases would be 50 times more expensive and leave a huge amount of storage space unused, as they usually come with 10s of TBs. With the Auto scaling and Cloudwatch monitoring used in our Elastic Beanstalk deployments, we could significantly decrease the cost during off-peak hours as we only keep four EC2 instances active and provide users better service by scaling up our EC2 instances based on demand. With the elasticity provided by Auto scaling groups, we would be able to save \$3400 per month compared with on-premise IT resources.

As there were no competitors in our proposed community and the cost saving measures by utilizing the suitable AWS services for our business model, we estimate our profit per month could reach \$24,034.34. AWS cloud services have provided us a platform to develop and deploy our SaaS without worrying much on the infrastructure implementation and able to focus on delivering our business model. Our team is pleased to learn and utilize cloud services through this module.

2 Business Case Identification

With the COVID-19 situation limiting travels overseas, it is more common for people in Singapore to feel bored during the weekends due to the lack of ideas of leisure activities. Furthermore, food outlets, parks and entertainment outlets may find it difficult to attract visitors due to the COVID-19 safety measures discouraging people to explore Singapore.

In line with Singapore's effort to rebuild the economy and gradually opening her borders, we would like to provide a SaaS to increase awareness amongst people in Singapore on the various activities and places they can visit during leisure time.

We are developing a SaaS service which will recommend leisure itineraries to users so that they can spend their weekends in a better way in Singapore. The user can give a preferred location as input to the application and get customized itinerary recommendations based on the input.

The service will suggest a few choices of itineraries where each itinerary comprises a series of things to do for the day, it includes some details of the place or theme park that the user can visit, nearby restaurants or hawker centers to eat after the activity, etc. It will also include the details of each restaurant suggested like reviews and its ratings.

Our target users would be local Singaporeans. We would like all users to create an account and actively provide

feedback on the locations in our itinerary. Within a smaller community, we could make sure that the feedback of locations are up-to-date and possibly filter some extreme feedback that are caused by personal reasons. Our goal would be to provide an authentic and authoritative ranking system of all the locations on our website.

Just like online shopping, users are more likely to provide feedback if items received don't meet their expectation and never bother to spend time writing a detailed review on how good the product is unless given some incentives. Thus, we would provide users with free membership if they are willing to provide 10 reviews with photos. We would also allow users to post follow-ups on other people's reviews as we encourage debates like which place has the best chicken rice. Such debate could help to increase our daily active users as users might visit our website even if they are not asking for itineraries.

Currently, Google Map also provides similar review and rating service, but it could not generate itineraries and many reviews are from years ago, which don't represent the current situation. Moreover, some places have very few reviews so few bad/good reviews could greatly impact the overall rating. Tripadvisor is also a website that provides ratings and reviews of parks and restaurants. However, Tripadvisor is tailored towards foreign tourists and few Singaporeans contribute to the reviews. Thus, based on the current market and our target users, we believe that our SaaS is unique enough and would face little competition.

3 Business Model

We separate our users into two groups, namely free tier and premium tier users. Premium tier users will have access and provide user ratings and reviews to all locations that they visit. They will also not be shown any advertisements while using our SaaS. Free tier users will only be able to see the overall ratings of the locations provided in the itinerary. On the right of the itinerary page, free tier users will also be shown advertisements from Google Adsense. Based on Google AdSense revenue calculator, if our website has 50,000 views per month, we would earn approximately \$6,324 per year, which is \$527 per month.

Our early strategy when launching the SaaS is to rapidly gain popularity and grow the size of our user base. With a larger user base, we believe our rating and ranking system will become more reliable for users to make their choices and owners of restaurants would become more interested to work with us to gain more visibility in our service. Thus, we could collaborate with restaurants by requesting them to provide our premium users coupons for each detailed review and in return they will be ranked higher in our recommendation.

For newly opened/listed restaurants, we could work with the restaurant to provide all our premium tier users with a discount during the first 2 weeks of opening. Hopefully, the restaurants can get enough feedback for improvement. In return, restaurants could also choose not to display their ratings until a certain number of reviews is reached.

Based on the above services, we would charge premium tier users SGD 4.99 per month. Thus, if we have 5,000 premium tier subscriptions, we could earn 24,950 per month without taking corporate tax and GST into account.

Table 1 shows the cost for on-demand AWS service based on Asia Pacific-Singapore region. Note that all the costs are based on the AWS pricing calculator. For Elastic Beanstalk, AWS may launch more EC2 instances if needed, so the calculated cost is only an estimate based on the previously mentioned number of monthly active users.

Service	Configuration	Cost per month (USD)
RDS	Amazon Aurora PostgreSQL-Compatible DB	231.27
	with 20 GB storage	
Elastic Beanstalk for	EC2: t4g.large (minimum of 1 and maximum	147.36
frontend in nodejs	of 4); Application Load Balancer: 5GB data	
	processed per hour	
Elastic Beanstalk for	EC2: t3.2xlarge (minimum of 1 and	683.86
backend in Python	maximum of 4); Application Load Balancer:	
	10GB data processed per hour	

Table 1: Cost for on-demand AWS service (price based on Asia Pacific-Singapore region)

To be formatted better

Total = 1033.29 USD = 1442.66 SGD

Profit per month = 24950 + 527 - 1442.66 = 24034.34

In comparison, for on-premise IT resources, the cost of a server that matches the maximum computing power set in the elastic load balancer is as shown in Table 2.

Part	Model	MSRP (USD)
CPU	AMD Ryzen Threadripper 3960X	1,399
Motherboard	GIGABYTE TRX40 DSIGNARE	629
RAM	G.SKILL DDR4-3200 CL16 RIPJAWS V (2 x 16 GB)	139.98
SSD	SAMSUNG 970 EVO PLUS	114.99
GPU	Nvidia GeForce RTX 3050	249
Power	Seasonic Focus Plus Gold 850	110
Total		$2641.97 (\approx \text{SGD } 3588.06)$

Table 2: Cost for on-demand AWS service (price based on Asia Pacific-Singapore region)

The total cost of this server would be 3588.06 dollars, which is 2.48 times the monthly cost of our AWS cost. However, since our itinerary computation task is not memory intensive, we would need to spend another 3588.06 dollars if we need more computing resources. However, with the deployment of Elastic Beanstalk, adding t4g.large and t3.2xlarge instances would cost less than 100 dollars per month. Instead of utilizing very little of the computer power of the additional Threadripper CPU and paying a huge upfront cost, using AWS Elastic Beanstalk would be much more economical. Moreover, we didn't include the electricity cost, network cost, software license cost, labor cost for maintaining the server and the office cost for placing the server. The monthly wage to hire a competent IT staff in Singapore to maintain the server would be more than \$5000, which exceeds the cost of our single server. In comparison, the estimated setup cost for AWS would be only \$1200 per month as we could hire a part-time IT staff or do it ourselves, since most of the maintenance job would be handled by AWS.

To check

4 SaaS Architecture and Implementation

4.1 Application Implementation

4.1.1 Data Source

For data integrity, consistency and reliability, we obtained our datasets from the trusted data.gov.sg and primarily selected two datasets on eating establishments and parks in Singapore for our application. We didn't consider alternative data sources as we want to have reliable data and don't prefer to have a 'garbage-in-garbage-out' situation when we provide our solutions to our users.

Providing high quality itinerary recommendations requires clean and high quality datasets. We carefully vetted the datasets chosen and cleaned the data in various ways, for example, removing data with missing values, selecting only the critical fields/columns and rename of similar words for consistency.

We also noticed that datasets from the government weren't updated periodically and some of the latest versions were from two years ago. Consequently, we provide a feedback button for users to provide new information and report any out-of-date or inaccurate information in the data. We further enhanced the data points by integrating with additional Python libraries to provide an image to each location of our suggestion.

4.1.2 Frontend, Application and Database

Similar to a typical 3-tier application model, we built our frontend using ReactJS to provide a modern and responsive user experience and our backend application using Flask to provide APIs and implement the business logic. As our data naturally fits the relational data model, we used PostgreSQL as our database for data storage. We also carefully designed our SaaS application to be stateless to support multi-tenancy. We will cover the application deployment in detail in section 4.2.

To provide high quality itinerary recommendations, we rely on a few techniques and heuristics. We select places for users to visit and rank them based on their distances from the user's location as well as the overall ratings given by past visitors. Many users also visit places recommended by our applications without leaving a rating, so we also adjust the rank based on how many views (clicks) a particular place got. Also, one common pattern we observe is that people like to have meals between different activities, therefore our recommendations also follow this pattern.

4.2 SaaS Architecture

The diagram below illustrates our SaaS architecture design and we will describe how our application is designed and what AWS services are deployed in the sub-sections.

Insert SaaS architecture diagram

4.2.1 AWS Services Deployed

For readability, we will emphasise the font of the AWS services we utilized for our SaaS application.

For our SaaS application deployment, we use AWS services extensively from end-to-end. We set up our Virtual

bold
appears too
exaggerated
in LaTeX

<u>Private Cloud (VPC)</u> within the ap-southeast-1 region, Singapore, as our targeted users are people exploring places in Singapore and attached an <u>Internet gateway (IGW)</u> to our VPC for public access.

Within the VPC, we set up two Elastic Beanstalk to host our frontend and backend application. We also configured the Auto scaling group in our elastic beanstalks to spread across two Availability Zones (AZ), illustrated with yellow dotted lines, zone 1a and 1b, with minimum instances count of 2 to ensure there is one EC2 instance in each AZ. The S3 bucket, Load Balancer, Security Groups, illustrated with red dotted lines, and Cloudwatch alarms are automatically set up when we launch the elastic beanstalk for our frontend and backend application. Additionally, we configured the security group of the backend application of the elastic beanstalk to only allow ingress traffic for HTTP (port 80) from the frontend's security group. The S3 bucket is used to archive the application versions deployed on each elastic beanstalk.

AWS provided a compatible range of services which enables our SaaS application to be more secure and most importantly, the basic services we used are free. We created a SSL certificate via the <u>AWS Certificate Manager</u> for our frontend elastic beanstalk so that all public traffic will use HTTPS protocol to communicate with our frontend elastic beanstalk. The SSL/TLS certificate provisioned through Certificate Manager is free. CloudTrail is automatically enabled and records every activity occurring in our elastic beanstalks. We can easily view, search, and download recent <u>CloudTrail</u> events along with other AWS service events in Event history. <u>AWS Shield</u> Standard is also available to provide protection and support high availability for our SaaS application.

For our PostgreSQL database, we deployed <u>Aurora PostgreSQL with multi-AZ</u> and database deletion protection enabled, having a writer replica in ap-southeast-1a zone and a reader replica in ap-southeast-1b zone. Security group for our database is automatically created during the initial database creation and we configured additional ingress traffic for RDS (port 5432) from the backend application's security group on our database's security group.

4.2.2 System Considerations

While there are advantages and disadvantages of using cloud over on-premise for our SaaS application, the advantages outweigh the disadvantages for our business case. We were able to save cost on on-premise equipment and additional employment of engineers to maintain the infrastructure. We can focus on the development and deliveries of our SaaS application, rather than spending time to set up and manage the infrastructure. Using cloud services also allows us to pay on demand. We also do not have to worry and can rely on the cloud platform for our business continuity and disaster recovery strategy. We will dive into details for each system component and provide our design considerations for our SaaS application.

Systems

AWS Elastic beanstalk as our frontend and backend application deployments

We decided to use AWS Elastic beanstalk as our application stack as it automates the setup, configuration, and provisioning of other AWS services like EC2 instances, S3 bucket, security groups, Cloudwatch alarms and Elastic Load Balancing. This PaaS is free and we only need to pay for the AWS services we used for our SaaS application. Elastic beanstalk also enables easy deployment of new application versions through AWS CLI. As most of us are new to cloud computing, this PaaS allows us to deploy and manage our application within minutes in the AWS cloud.

Aurora PostgreSQL as our database

We decided to use Aurora PostgreSQL-Compatible Edition over Amazon RDS PostgreSQL due to a number of

factors. Aurora's unique architecture gives us more durability, scale and resilience despite being more costly than AWS RDS PostgreSQL.

For performance, Aurora PostgreSQL performs better than Amazon RDS PostgreSQL in throughput as it uses shared storage for the writer and readers replicas and allows scaling storage across the two AZs we used in the region. Amazon Aurora gives two times the throughput provided by RDS PostgreSQL or five times the throughput provided by standard MySQL running on similar hardware. Aurora PostgreSQL allows provisioning up to 15 replicas, and the replication is done in milliseconds. On the other hand, RDS PostgreSQL only allows five replicas, and the process of replication is slower compared to Amazon Aurora.

For disaster recovery, failover is done automatically to prevent data loss.

Availability

Elastic Beanstalk includes an Auto Scaling group that manages the Amazon EC2 instances in our environment. In a load-balanced environment, we configured the group with a range of instances to run, and Auto Scaling adds or removes instances as needed, based on load. The Auto Scaling group used two Amazon CloudWatch alarms to trigger scaling operations. We are able to configure triggers that are appropriate for our application, instance type, and service requirements and scale based on several statistics including latency, disk I/O, CPU utilization, and request count. For our SaaS, the most computational expensive part is itinerary generation. Thus, in order to achieve a server response time less than 100ms, we would add an additional EC2 instance if CPU utilization for backend exceeds 80%. Additionally, the Auto Scaling monitors the health of each Amazon EC2 instance that it launches. If any instance terminates unexpectedly, Auto Scaling detects the termination and launches a replacement instance.

Network

We deployed our SaaS application via elastic beanstalks on multi AZ to ensure availability and configure our database with multi-AZ replication on a separate AZ for data recovery.

Security

We secure our application with the use of multiple AWS components as described below:

- Security groups and policies created with permissions for our frontend, backend server and database to securely talk to each other. All the servers are configured so they can only talk to and have permissions for what they need.
- Basic monitoring of our servers through Cloudwatch.
- Logging Amazon Route 53 API calls with AWS CloudTrail.
- AWS Shield Standard to protect against common and most frequently occurring infrastructure (layer 3 and 4) attacks like SYN/UDP floods, reflection attacks, and others to support high availability.

Data and Recovery

Our Aurora PostgreSQL is deployed with multi-AZ data replication and failover is done automatically to prevent data loss. This allows us to achieve a shorter Recovery time objective (RTO) and faster Recovery point objective (RPO) for Business continuity and Disaster Recovery (BC/DR).

4.2.3 Limitations

Using elastic beanstalk also comes with its limitations. Our deployments would take 5 minutes at least and sometimes stretch to 15 minutes. We noticed with more servers, deployments could take even longer. With every new version deployment, Elastic Beanstalk archives the old application version in an S3 bucket. We learned to occasionally delete old application versions to prevent deployment failures.

Our workload can be considerably small to medium and for this case, using AWS Aurora PostgreSQL is more costly than AWS RDS PostgreSQL. It is only cost effective if we have high workloads, and with that, using Aurora is cheaper and faster than running the equivalent RDS database.

We hope we can provide a better SaaS in future if more time permits by enhancing our deployment process with Docker containers to add more versatility.

5 Economic Factors

Since AWS has its own servers and database in Singapore, so even if users ask to have their data stored locally, we could still utilize the AWS RDS service. The only advantage for on-premise IT resources is that we have full control of the hardware and could perform security updates as soon as possible. We have noticed that AWS elastic beanstalk doesn't support many of the latest packages and language updates, which could cause security vulnerabilities like the recent Log4j. However, as illustrated in the Business Model section, the cost of hiring IT staff in Singapore would exceed the cost of AWS. Moreover, we expect to have rapid user growth in the first few months, so using cloud service could provide the elasticity to expand our business. With current global chip shortages and delay in logistics, newly purchased on-premise servers might not arrive in time. Thus, despite the few disadvantages of AWS, deploying our SaaS on cloud service is the more feasible way.

Since we don't think there would be a fixed minimum number of user accesses throughout the day, we would not opt for reserved instances on AWS. With our configuration on elastic beanstalk, we will only have 2 instances for frontend and backend respectively during off-peak hours, which will cost us a total of \$1.0144 per hour. Compared with on demand pricing, cost reduction of 1-year reserved small instances is negligible. For ease of development and higher reliability, all of our instances are based on x86 processors currently. As more software improves their performance and compatibility on ARM based processors, we could immediately switch to cheaper and higher performance ARM instances on AWS if we opt for on demand pricing for all of our instances.

We also considered using AWS Lambda for our backend, because it is serverless and more agile than Elastic Beanstalk. However, we discovered that AWS Lambda would take more than 2 seconds to respond if the trigger function has been inactive for a long time. In order to reduce the latency of AWS Lambda to less than 100 ms, we have to constantly ping the functions even if no users are using the service. This significantly increased our cost for using AWS Lambda to \$850 per month, which is \$166.14 more than using Elastic Beanstalk for backend.

6 Conclusion

WS cloud has been a great enabler in allowing our team to deliver our business model in a relatively short amount of time by focusing on the features, rather than managing the infrastructure or platforms. AWS services come with a variety of AI/ML and security tools which we have benefited from such as the AWS CloudTrail, AWS

Cloudwatch and AWS shield to secure our application from malicious actors. AWS cloud also helps us to reduce cost effectively as we are required to pay as per demand and we can choose which AWS services appropriately for our SaaS after a thorough cost analysis with their AWS cost estimation.

We hope that our SaaS will be able to provide more recommendations for locals to explore local parks and eateries and be the most attractive platform used by people as the Covid-19 measures ease in Singapore.

We also would like to give thanks and appreciation to our professor Teo and his teaching staff for providing useful feedback during our consultations.