Problem solving 1

- There are many problems that use sorting as like a pre-step.
- This is where you would need to pick a sorting algorithm based on various trade offs.

- Problems we will look at:
 - sum to target problem
 - Intersection of two sorted arrays

- Input:
 - An array of numbers
 - Target number
- Output:
 - Return any pair of numbers from the input that add up to the target number.
 - Example 1:
 - Input: (2, 7, 16, 25) and target = 27
 - Output: 2, 25
 - Example 2:
 - Input: (2, 7, 16, 25) and target = 34
 - Output: empty set

- What are our options for a solution?
- Brute Force?

```
for each number num1 in input

num2 = target - num1

Linear search for num2 in input.

if found

return (num1, num2)

return empty set
```

Time complexity: ?

Space: ?

What about a better option?

- How about if we sort the input?
 - What will that cost?

```
sort input
    for each number num1 in input
         num2 = target - num1
         Binary search for num2 in input.
        if found
                 return (num1, num2)
    return empty set
Time complexity: ?
Space: ?
```

Any other option after having sorted the array?

Once we sort the array, we could use a 2 indices (pointers).

Traverse sorted array from both ends, each time summing the two numbers and comparing with target.

Input: 7 9 11 14 16 17 21 26

Target: 25

leftElement = 7	rightElement = 26	sum = 33
leftElement = 7	rightElement = 21	sum = 28
leftElement = 7	rightElement = 17	sum = 24
leftElement = 9	rightElement = 17	sum = 26
leftElement = 9	rightElement = 16	sum = 25 Found a solution (9, 16)
Answer found, we are done. Can continue if more pairs r needed. If so, ++ leftIndex or rightIndex.		
leftElement = 9	rightElement = 14	sum = 23
leftElement = 11	rightElement = 14	sum = 25 Found a solution (11, 14)
leftElement = 11	rightElement = 11	leftIndex == rightIndex, we scanned the entire input array

- Once we sort the array, we could use 2 indices (pointers).
 - Traverse sorted array from both ends, each time summing the two numbers and comparing with target.

```
Input: 7 9 11 14 16 17 21 26
                                                Target: 25
      sort input
      leftIndex = 0;
      rightIndex = n-1;
                                                                                    // n is size of input
      while leftIndex < rightIndex
            sum = input [ leftIndex ] + input [ rightIndex ]
            if sum == target
                        return (input [ leftIndex ], input [ rightIndex ])
            else if ( sum > target )
                                                                                    // sum is bigger, so we want smaller numbers
                                                                                    // we move right index towards the left
                        -- rightIndex
            else
                        ++ leftIndex
                                                                                    // we want bigger numbers
     return empty set
Time complexity:
                        Sorting + looking for solution : ?
Space: ?
```

• Any other options ?

• Using a hash table

Would the following work, or do u see any issues?

- Lets look at the bug here:
 - Consider this input: 7 2 1 8 25 3 Target: 16

HashTable HT; for each number num1 in input HT.Insert(num1)

	HT
2	
25	
7	
1	
3	
8	

for each number num1 in input

num2 = target - num1

if HT.Contains (num2)

return (num1, num2)

return empty set

num1	num2	num2 In HT?
7	9	no
2	14	no
1	15	no
8	8	yes

We will fix the bug:input: 7 2 1 8 25 3 Target: 16

Time complexity: ?

Space: ?

num1	num2	num2 In HT?	НТ
7	9	no	7
2	14	no	7,2
1	15	no	7,2,1
8	8	no	7,2,1,8
25	-9	no	7,2,1,8,25
3	13	No	7,2,1,8,25,3

sum to target problem (summary)

Option	Time	Space
Brute force	$O(N^2)$	O(1)
Sort, then binary search	O (N log N) + O (N log N) = O (N log N)	O (1) if in-place sort.
Using 2 pointers	O (N log N) + O (N) = O (N log N)	O (1) if in-place sort.
Hash table	O (N)	O (N)

LAB 1 of 2 (sum to target problem)

• Write a function **GetPairSum** (input, target)

• Input:

• An array of numbers: 7 2 1 8 25 3

• Target number: 16

- Output:
 - Return any pair of numbers from the input that add up to the target number, if no such pair exists, return an empty pair.
 - Example 1:
 - Input: (2, 7, 16, 25) and target = 27
 - Output: 2, 25
 - Example 2:
 - Input: (2, 7, 16, 25) and target = 34
 - Output: empty set

- Lets say we need to find the intersection of two sorted arrays.
- Many ways to do this.
- Use case?
 - 3

• Brute force

```
for each element a in array 1
search a in array 2
if found
add to result collection
```

- Time complexity?
- Space complexity?

• But of course, we wont use brute force

• What are some other options?

- What are some other options?
 - Traverse one and do binary search on the other.

```
for each element a in array1 // or if array2 , then use array1 below for binary search if ( BinarySearch ( array2, a ) ) add to result collection
```

Assume one array is size *m*, other is size *n*

- Time complexity: ?
- Space complexity ?

Would you have a preference on which array to traverse and which one to run a binary search on?

• Another option: Using 2 indices (one in each array). Traverse both arrays, looking for common elements.

array1: 1 4 7 11 array2: 5 7 9 11

What's the space complexity of pseudocode above?

What's the time complexity of pseudocode above?

```
while index1 < array1_Length and index2 < array2_Length
    if array1[index1] < array2 [index2]
        ++ index1
    else if array1[index1] > array2 [index2]
        ++ index2
    else
        add to result collection
        ++ index1 and ++ index2
```

Worst case: Traverse both arrays fully \rightarrow O (m + n)

Best case: Have to traverse only one array \rightarrow O (m) or O (n), depending on which array is traversed.

Lets see what sort of input would lead to the worst and best case.

• Worst case: O (m + n)

• Traverse both arrays fully... last element in both arrays is the same.

array1: 1 4 7 11

array2: 5 7 9 11

• Best case: O (m) or O (n)

You end up traversing only one of the arrays

array1: 1 4 7 11

array2: 15 17 19 25

• Which array would you traverse fully for the inputs above?

- Question for u all:
 - Which one do u think will give u better runtime for finding the intersection of two sorted arrays
 - A. Traverse one and run a binary search on the other?
 - O (m log n)
 - B. Using 2 indices: Linear traversal of both arrays, looking for common elements?
 - O (m + n)
 - C. Cannot tell without more information.
 - D. Both approaches, binary search and 2 indices, are about the same

Lets take some input sizes and see how the math works out.

```
Let m = 1000,000 and n = 2000,000
```

- Traverse one and run a **binary search** on the other?
 - O (m log n)
 - 1000,000 * log (2000,000)
 - 1000,000 * 21
 - 21,000,0000
- Linear traversal of both arrays, looking for common elements?
 - O (m+n)
 - 1000,000 + 2000,000
 - 3,000,000
- Which one gives u better performance?

```
Let m = 1,000,000

n = 20,000,000,000
```

- Traverse one and run a binary search on the other?
 - O (m log n)
 - 1000,000 * log (20,000,000,000)
 - Now, log (2 * 10,000,000,000) = log (2) + log(10,000,000,000)
 - = $\log(2) + \log(10 * 1,000,000,000)$
 - = $\log(2) + \log(10) + \log(1,000,000,000)$
 - approx = 1 + 3 + 30 = 34
 - So, 1000,000 * log (20,000,000,000) = 1000,000 * 34 = 34,000,000
- Linear traversal of both arrays, looking for common elements?
 - O(m+n)
 - 1000,000 + 20,000,000,000
 - approx 20,000,000,000 (can ignore 1000,000)
- Which one gives u better performance in this scenario?

Could we use a hash table to find the intersection?

If yes, what would be:

Time complexity?

Space complexity?

Pseudocode on next slide

Using a hash table

```
for each element a in array1 ← O ( m )

Insert a in HT

for each element a in array2 ← O ( n )

if HT.Contains( a ) ← O ( 1 )

add to result collection
```

Time complexity : O(m + n)

Space: O(m)

LAB 2 of 2 (intersection of 2 sorted arrays)

- Input:
 - Two sorted arrays (or unsorted, and call sort in initialization step).
- Output:
 - Collection of elements that form the intersection of two given arrays
- Write this function using binary search
 - FindIntersection_BinarySearch(array1, array2)