```
Problem I
 min \angle (\chi_1, \chi_2) = 4(\chi_{1-2})^2 + 3(\chi_2-4)^2
 s.t g_1(\chi_1,\chi_2) = -\chi_1 - \chi_2 + 5 \leq 0
         g_{2}(x_{1},x_{2})=-x_{1}+|\leq 0
         g_3 (x_1, x_2) = -x_2 + 2 \leq 0
         \nabla \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{X}_1, \mathcal{X}_2) = \begin{bmatrix} 8(\mathcal{X}_1 - 2) \\ 6(\mathcal{X}_2 - 4) \end{bmatrix}, \quad \nabla \mathcal{J}(\mathcal{X}_1, \mathcal{X}_2) = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}
         \nabla g_2(\mathcal{X}_1, \mathcal{X}_2) = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \nabla g_3(\mathcal{X}_1, \mathcal{X}_2) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}
KKT Gnditions
  \{(x^{*}-1)-\mu_{1}^{*}-\mu_{2}^{*}=0
                                                                       If (7) and (8) are binding at
 6 (グー4) - 以下 - 以下 = 0 —
                                                                       the same time, (9) can't be
 U_1^*(-x_1^*-x_2^*+5)=0 — (3)
                                                                        satisfied.
 M_2^*(-\chi_1^*+1)=0 — (4)
 \mathcal{M}_{2}^{*}\left(-\mathcal{N}_{2}^{*}+2\right)=0\quad \qquad (s)
 -\chi_1^* - \chi_2^* + 5 \le 0 \longrightarrow (6)
 -x^*+1 \leq 0 \quad -\infty)
 -\chi_{2}^{*}+2 \leq 0 - (8)
  M_1, M_2, M_3 \geq 0 — (9)
 Case I: (b) is binding, and (7) and (8) aren't binding (11/70, 11/2 = 11/3 = 0) 8(11/7 - 2) - 11/7 = 0) \Rightarrow 41/7 - 31/2 = -4

6(11/7 - 2) - 11/7 = 0) \Rightarrow 41/7 - 31/2 = -4
x_1^* + x_2^* = 5 \Rightarrow 4x_1^* + 4x_2^* = >0

(x_1^*, x_2^*, u_1^*, u_2^*, u_3^*) = (\frac{11}{7}, \frac{4}{7}, \frac{4}{7}, 0.0) (Infeasible!!)

Case 2: Binding \Rightarrow (b) and (1); Not binding \Rightarrow (8) (u_1^*, u_2^* > 0, u_3^* = 0)
 8(x/-2) - u/^2 - u/^2 = 0
  b(x_0^*-4) - \mu^* = 0 \Rightarrow (x_1^*, x_2^*, \mu^*, \mu^*, \mu^*) = (1,4,0,-8,0)
  \chi_{i}^{*}=[ , \chi_{i}^{*}+\chi_{i}^{*}=S
                                                                        Infeasible!!
Case 3: Binding → (6) and (8); Not binding → (7) (Mt, Mt >0, Mt=0)
 8(x_4^2-2) - x_4^2 = 0
  b(xx^2-4) - \mu^2 - \mu^2 = 0 \Rightarrow (xx^2, xx^2, \mu^2, \mu^2, \mu^2) = (3,2,8,0,-10)
 \chi_z^* = \lambda + \chi_z^* + \chi_z^* = 5
                                                                  Infeasible!!
Case 4: Binding \rightarrow (7); Not binding \rightarrow (6) and (8) (\mu = \mu = 0, \mu = 0)
```

```
\{(\chi_1^*-\lambda)-M_2^*=0
    b(\chi^{2}-4)=0 \Rightarrow (\chi^{2},\chi^{2},\chi^{2},\chi^{2},\chi^{2})=(1,4,0,-6,0)
                                                                                                                                  Infeasible!!
     X1X =
 Case 5: Binding → (8); Not binding → (6) and (7) (UT=UE=0, UE>0)
    8(x_{+}-2)=0
    6(1/2^{2}-4)-1/2^{2}=0 \Rightarrow (1/2^{2},1/2^{2},1/2^{2},1/2^{2},1/2^{2})=(2,2,0,0,-12)
    \chi_2^* = 2
                                                                                                                          Infeasible
Case 6: M_1^* = M_2^* = M_3^* = 0
   \frac{8(\chi_1^*-\chi)=0}{2} \Rightarrow (\chi_1^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_1^*,\chi_1^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_1^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_1^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,\chi_2^*,
    b(x = -4) = 0
     It is a KKT point, and Z(XX*, XX*) = 0
     \nabla^2 Z(X_1 X_2) = \begin{bmatrix} 8 & 0 \\ 0 & 6 \end{bmatrix}, which is definitely positive.
 > This solution is unique!
 Problem 2
  min Z(x) = 5x_1^2 - 3x_1x_2 + 2x_2^2 + 7
   st g_1(x) = 5 - \lambda x_1 - x_2 = 0
                      g_2(\chi) = -\chi_1 \leq 0 , g_3(\chi) = -\chi_2 \leq 0
                       \nabla \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{X}) = \begin{bmatrix} 10x_1 - 3x_2 \\ -3x_1 + 4x_2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \nabla g_1(\mathcal{X}) = \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \nabla g_2(\mathcal{X}) = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \nabla g_3(\mathcal{X}) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}
 KKT Conditions
                                                                                                                                                   : gi(x) is an equation
   10x_1 - 3x_2 - 2H_1 - H_2 = 0
                                                                                                                                                    in g. (90) must be binding
-3\chi_1 + 4\chi_2 - \mu_1 - \mu_2 = 0
                                                                                                                                                 Then, MIDO, so we only need
   \mathcal{U}_1(5-2x_1-x_2)=0
                                                                                                                                                 to check if gzex) and gzex) are binding
   M_2(-\chi_1)=0
   M_3 \left(- \chi_2\right) = 0
                                                                                                                                                  or not.
                                                                                                                                                  Moreover, grax) and grax) cart be binding at the same time.
   5-2x1- X2 =0
   -\infty \leq 0
   - X2 50
    M1, M2, M3 ≥0
    Case I: Binding + g2 (x), Not binding + g3(x) (M1, M2 >0, M3=0)
```

```
10xx - 3xx - 2 1/1 - 1/2 = 0
-3117+4117-M7=0
                                            ⇒ (1/4, 1/2, 1/4, 1/4, 1/4) = (0,5, >0, -55, 0)
  21/x+1/x=5
                                                       Infeasible!
   20 x = 0
Case 2 = \text{Binding} \rightarrow g_3(x), Not binding \rightarrow g_2(x) (M1, M3 >0, M2 = 0)
10 x_1^* - 3x_2^* - 2x_1^* = 0
-3 xi*+4 xix - ux-ux =0
                                              => (90xx, 10xx, 14xx, 14xx, 14xx) = (\frac{5}{5}, 0, \frac{5}{5}, 0, ->0)
  21/1×+ 1/2×=5
                                                      Infeasible!!
  \chi_{i}^{*} = 0
Case 3: M1>0, M2 = M3 = 0
 |0 \chi_{1}^{*} - 3 \chi_{2}^{*} - 3 \chi_{1}^{*} = 0 \Rightarrow |0 \chi_{1}^{*} - || \chi_{2}^{*} = 0
-3xx+4xx+ Mi = 0
  2xi^{2} + xi^{2} = 5 \qquad \Rightarrow 16xi^{2} + 8xi^{2} = 40
 (x^*, x^*, M^*, M^*, M^*, M^*) = (\frac{52}{38}, \frac{40}{19}, \frac{85}{38}, 0, 0) \Rightarrow a \text{ KKT point}
z(x^*) = 5 \cdot (\frac{52}{38})^2 - 3 \cdot \frac{40}{19} \cdot \frac{85}{38} + 2 \cdot (\frac{40}{19})^2 + 7 = \frac{1207}{716}
               / feasible region
                                                12
Problem 3
                                                         \nabla \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{X}) = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}
 min Z(x) = \lambda x_1 + x_2
 s.t. g_1(x) = -3x_1 - x_2 + 1 \le 0 \forall g_1(x) = \begin{bmatrix} -3 \end{bmatrix}
          g_2(x) = -x_1 - 4x_2 + 2 \le 0 \forall g_2(x) = \begin{bmatrix} -4 \end{bmatrix}

g_3(x) = x_1 - x_2 + 1 \le 0 \forall g_3(x) = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \end{bmatrix}
 \nabla_{\chi}(1,\mu) = \begin{bmatrix} 2-3\mu_1 - \mu_2 + \mu_3 \\ 1-\mu_1 - 4\mu_2 - \mu_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}
 Note I: Since there are only two decision variable, only two of
                constraints can be binding.
Note 2: there are at least 2 constraints to be binding to reach an
                optimum solution, so there are 3 possible cases.
Case I : M_1, M_2 > 0 ; M_3 = 0
                 (x*, x², u*, u*) = (2, 1, 1, 1) > a KKT point
```

