

ICPSR 36853

SETUPS: Voting Behavior: The 2016 Election

Charles Prysby
University of North Carolina-Greensboro

Carmine Scavo
*East Carolina University-Greenville, North
Carolina*

American Political Science Association

*Inter-university Consortium for Political
and Social Research*

ICPSR Codebook

Inter-university Consortium for
Political and Social Research
P.O. Box 1248
Ann Arbor, Michigan 48106
www.icpsr.umich.edu

Terms of Use

The terms of use for this study can be found at:
<http://www.icpsr.umich.edu/icpsrweb/ICPSR/studies/36853/terms>

Information about Copyrighted Content

Some instruments administered as part of this study may contain in whole or substantially in part contents from copyrighted instruments. Reproductions of the instruments are provided as documentation for the analysis of the data associated with this collection. Restrictions on "fair use" apply to all copyrighted content. More information about the reproduction of copyrighted works by educators and librarians is available from the United States Copyright Office.

NOTICE

WARNING CONCERNING COPYRIGHT RESTRICTIONS

The copyright law of the United States (Title 17, United States Code) governs the making of photocopies or other reproductions of copyrighted material. Under certain conditions specified in the law, libraries and archives are authorized to furnish a photocopy or other reproduction. One of these specified conditions is that the photocopy or reproduction is not to be "used for any purpose other than private study, scholarship, or research." If a user makes a request for, or later uses, a photocopy or reproduction for purposes in excess of "fair use," that user may be liable for copyright infringement.

ICPSR PROCESSING NOTES FOR #36853
SETUPS: Voting Behavior: The 2016 Election

1. **Weighting Information:** The data are not weighted. However, the weight variable **WEIGHT** has been included and must be used in any analysis. Users must note that the frequencies found in the P.I. Codebook reflect the weighted data values.
2. **Variable Differences:** The question text for variable **P07** in the P.I. Codebook and the ICPSR Codebook are different. The P.I. provided information for this change in question text and should be considered as it appears in the ICPSR Codebook.
3. **Additional Information:**
 - a. SETUPS: Voting Behavior: The 2016 Election data have been drawn from the [American National Election \(ANES\) 2016 Time Series Study \(ICPSR 36824\)](#). Please refer to this collection for additional information and details.
 - b. For additional information about the SETUPS: Voting Behavior: The 2016 Election, please visit the [Voting Behavior: The 2016 Election](#) Web site.

ICPSR 36853

SETUPS: Voting Behavior: The 2016 Election

Variable Description and Frequencies

Note: Frequencies displayed for the variables are not weighted. They are purely descriptive and may not be representative of the study population. Please review any sampling or weighting information available with the study.

Summary statistics (minimum, maximum, arithmetic mean, median, mode, and standard deviation) may not be available for every variable in the codebook. Conversely, a listing of frequencies in table format may not be present for every variable in the codebook either. However, all variables in the dataset are present and display sufficient information about each variable. These decisions are made intentionally and are at the discretion of the archive producing this codebook.

A01: Voted in 2016 election

Did you vote in the November general election?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Voted	2862	78.4 %
2	Did not vote	787	21.6 %
	Total	3,649	100%

Based upon 3,649 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 1-1 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

A02: Presidential vote

For whom did you vote for President in 2016?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Clinton	1349	37.0 %
2	Trump	1238	33.9 %
3	Other	201	5.5 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	861	23.6 %
	Total	3,649	100%

Based upon 2,788 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 2-2 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

A03: House vote

For whom did you vote in the U.S. House elections? (Responses are classified by the party of the candidate)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Democrat	1144	31.4 %
2	Republican	1188	32.6 %
3	Other	70	1.9 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Missing Data		
9	NA	1247	34.2 %
	Total	3,649	100%

Based upon 2,402 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 3-3 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

A04: Senate vote

For whom did you vote in the U.S. Senate elections? (Responses are classified by the party of the candidate)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Democrat	966	26.5 %
2	Republican	797	21.8 %
3	Other	78	2.1 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	1808	49.5 %
	Total	3,649	100%

Based upon 1,841 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 4-4 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

A05: Type of House race

Classification of the type of race for the House of Representatives in the respondent's congressional district. Districts were classified as to whether: (1) a Democratic incumbent was running for reelection; (2) no incumbent was running for reelection; or (3) a Republican incumbent was running for reelection.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Democratic incumbent running	1257	34.4 %
2	Open seat (no incumbent running)	433	11.9 %
3	Republican incumbent running	1934	53.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	25	0.7 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Total	3,649	100%

Based upon 3,624 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 5-5 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

A06: Type of Senate race

Classification of the type of race for the U.S. Senate in the respondent's state. States were classified as to whether: (1) a Democratic incumbent was running for reelection; (2) no incumbent was running for reelection; (3) a Republican incumbent was running for reelection; or (4) no Senate race was being held in 2016.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Democratic incumbent running	415	11.4 %
2	Open seat (no incumbent running)	605	16.6 %
3	Republican incumbent running	1577	43.2 %
4	No Senate race	1052	28.8 %
	Total	3,649	100%

Based upon 3,649 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 6-6 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

A07: Party identification

Generally speaking, do you consider yourself a Democrat, a Republican, an Independent, or what? If Democrat or Republican, would you call yourself a strong Democrat/Republican or a not very strong Democrat/Republican? If independent, do you think of yourself as closer to the Republican Party or to the Democratic Party?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Strong Democrat	768	21.0 %
2	Weak Democrat	483	13.2 %
3	Independent Democrat	427	11.7 %
4	Independent	473	13.0 %
5	Independent Republican	442	12.1 %
6	Weak Republican	440	12.1 %
7	Strong Republican	598	16.4 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Missing Data		
9	NA	18	0.5 %
	Total	3,649	100%

Based upon 3,631 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 7-7 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

A08: Feeling thermometer: Democratic Party

On a scale of 0 to 100 degrees, how warm or cold do you feel toward the Democratic Party? (Higher scores indicate a greater degree of warmth; 50 degrees is defined as neutral, neither warm nor cool.)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	0-24	893	24.5 %
2	25-49	649	17.8 %
3	50	376	10.3 %
4	51-75	970	26.6 %
5	76-100	703	19.3 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	58	1.6 %
	Total	3,649	100%

Based upon 3,591 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 8-8 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

A09: Feeling thermometer: Republican Party

On a scale of 0 to 100 degrees, how warm or cold do you feel toward the Republican Party? (Higher scores indicate a greater degree of warmth; 50 degrees is defined as neutral, neither warm nor cool.)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	0-24	957	26.2 %
2	25-49	814	22.3 %
3	50	419	11.5 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
4	51-75	999	27.4 %
5	76-100	388	10.6 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	72	2.0 %
	Total	3,649	100%

Based upon 3,577 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 9-9 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

A10: Presidential primary vote

For whom did you vote in the presidential primary or caucus?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Clinton	504	13.8 %
2	Sanders	340	9.3 %
3	Other Democrat	16	0.4 %
4	Trump	375	10.3 %
5	Cruz	142	3.9 %
6	Other Republican	221	6.1 %
7	Did not vote in primary	2018	55.3 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	33	0.9 %
	Total	3,649	100%

Based upon 3,616 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 10-10 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

A11: Presidential vote in 2012

For whom did you vote in the 2012 presidential election?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Obama	1494	40.9 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
2	Romney	1086	29.8 %
3	Other	50	1.4 %
4	Did not vote	956	26.2 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	63	1.7 %
	Total	3,649	100%

Based upon 3,586 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 11-11 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

B01: Attention paid to government and politics

How often do you pay attention to what's going on in government and politics?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Always	739	20.3 %
2	Most of the time	1293	35.4 %
3	About half the time	749	20.5 %
4	Some of the time	803	22.0 %
5	Never	65	1.8 %
	Total	3,649	100%

Based upon 3,649 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 12-12 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

B02: Campaign involvement

Index of campaign involvement, built from the following questions: (1) Did you talk to any people and try to show them why they should vote for or against one of the parties or candidates? (2) Did you go to any political meetings, rallies, speeches, dinners, or other things in support of a particular candidate? (3) Did you wear a campaign button, put a campaign sticker on your car, or a sign in your window/front of your house? (4) Did you do any (other) work for one of the parties or candidates? (5) Did you visit any presidential candidates' websites?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	No acts	1466	40.2 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
2	One act	1920	52.6 %
3	Two or more acts	263	7.2 %
	Total	3,649	100%

Based upon 3,649 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 13-13 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

B03: Campaign contributions

Respondents were classified as having contributed money if they gave money during the election year to an individual candidate running for public office, to a political party, or to any other group that supported or opposed candidates.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	546	15.0 %
2	No	3103	85.0 %
	Total	3,649	100%

Based upon 3,649 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 14-14 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

B04: Contacted public official

During the past 12 months, have you telephoned, written a letter to, or visited a government official to express your views on a public issue?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	954	26.1 %
2	No	2695	73.9 %
	Total	3,649	100%

Based upon 3,649 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 15-15 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

B05: Interest in campaign

How interested have you been in the political campaigns so far this year?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Very interested	1931	52.9 %
2	Somewhat interested	1292	35.4 %
3	Not interested	426	11.7 %
	Total	3,649	100%

Based upon 3,649 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 16-16 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

B06: Frequency of political discussions

How many days in the past week did you talk about politics with family or friends?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	0 days	837	22.9 %
2	1-2 days	847	23.2 %
3	3-5 days	986	27.0 %
4	6-7 days	974	26.7 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	5	0.1 %
	Total	3,649	100%

Based upon 3,644 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 17-17 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

B07: Community work or meeting

Index built from the following questions: During the past 12 months, have you worked with other people to deal with some issue facing your community? During the past 12 months, did you attend a meeting about an issue facing your community or schools?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Did both	827	22.7 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
2	Did one	651	17.8 %
3	Did neither	2164	59.3 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	7	0.2 %
	Total	3,649	100%

Based upon 3,642 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 18-18 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

C01: Frequency of following news in mass media

During a typical week, how many days do you watch, read, or listen to news on TV, radio, printed newspapers, or the Internet, not including sports?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	0-2 days	390	10.7 %
2	3-4 days	460	12.6 %
3	5-6 days	811	22.2 %
4	7 days	1985	54.4 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	3	0.1 %
	Total	3,649	100%

Based upon 3,646 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 19-19 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

C02: Follow politics in media

How closely do you follow politics on television, radio, newspapers or the internet?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Very closely	691	18.9 %
2	Fairly closely	1696	46.5 %
3	Not very closely	1023	28.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
4	Not at all	229	6.3 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	10	0.3 %
	Total	3,649	100%

Based upon 3,639 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 20-20 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

C03: Heard anything about campaign on television news

Have you heard anything about the campaign on television news shows?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	3093	84.8 %
2	No	517	14.2 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	39	1.1 %
	Total	3,649	100%

Based upon 3,610 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 21-21 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

C04: Read anything about campaign in newspaper

Have you read anything about the campaign in the newspaper?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	1826	50.0 %
2	No	1784	48.9 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	39	1.1 %
	Total	3,649	100%

Based upon 3,610 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 22-22 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

C05: Heard anything about campaign on television talk shows

Have you heard anything about the campaign on television talk shows?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	2297	62.9 %
2	No	1313	36.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	39	1.1 %
	Total	3,649	100%

Based upon 3,610 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 23-23 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

C06: Saw anything about campaign on the internet

Have you heard anything about the campaign on the internet?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	2280	62.5 %
2	No	1330	36.4 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	39	1.1 %
	Total	3,649	100%

Based upon 3,610 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 24-24 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

C07: Heard anything about campaign on radio

Have you heard anything about the campaign on the radio?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	2006	55.0 %
2	No	1604	44.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	39	1.1 %
	Total	3,649	100%

Based upon 3,610 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 25-25 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

C08: Number of programs watched on tv about campaign

How many programs about the campaign for President did you watch on television?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	None	318	8.7 %
2	Just one or two	837	22.9 %
3	Several	1248	34.2 %
4	A good many	1246	34.1 %
	Total	3,649	100%

Based upon 3,649 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 26-26 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

C09: Number of speeches listened to on radio about campaign

How many speeches or discussions about the campaign for President did you listen to on radio?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	None	1394	38.2 %
2	Just one or two	817	22.4 %
3	Several	795	21.8 %
4	A good many	643	17.6 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Total	3,649	100%

Based upon 3,649 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 27-27 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

C10: Number of times got information on internet about campaign

How many times did you get information on the internet about the campaign for President?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	None	510	14.0 %
2	Just one or two	581	15.9 %
3	Several	1183	32.4 %
4	A good many	1373	37.6 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	2	0.1 %
	Total	3,649	100%

Based upon 3,647 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 28-28 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

C11: Number of articles read in newspaper about campaign

How many articles did you read in the newspaper about the campaign for President?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	None	1404	38.5 %
2	Just one or two	817	22.4 %
3	Several	770	21.1 %
4	A good many	658	18.0 %
	Total	3,649	100%

Based upon 3,649 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 29-29 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

D01: Clinton: strong leader

How well does the phrase 'she would provide strong leadership' describe Clinton?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Extremely well	509	13.9 %
2	Very well	728	20.0 %
3	Moderately well	806	22.1 %
4	Slightly well	550	15.1 %
5	Not well at all	1041	28.5 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	15	0.4 %
	Total	3,649	100%

Based upon 3,634 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 30-30 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

D02: Clinton: really cares

How well does the phrase 'she really cares about people like you' describe Clinton?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Extremely well	390	10.7 %
2	Very well	607	16.6 %
3	Moderately well	723	19.8 %
4	Slightly well	578	15.8 %
5	Not well at all	1337	36.6 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	14	0.4 %
	Total	3,649	100%

Based upon 3,635 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00

- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 31-31 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

D03: Clinton: knowledgeable

How well does the phrase 'she is knowledgeable' describe Clinton?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Extremely well	1005	27.5 %
2	Very well	975	26.7 %
3	Moderately well	828	22.7 %
4	Slightly well	444	12.2 %
5	Not well at all	381	10.4 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	16	0.4 %
	Total	3,649	100%

Based upon 3,633 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 32-32 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

D04: Clinton: honest

How well does the phrase 'she is honest' describe Clinton?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Extremely well	158	4.3 %
2	Very well	383	10.5 %
3	Moderately well	742	20.3 %
4	Slightly well	569	15.6 %
5	Not well at all	1781	48.8 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	16	0.4 %
	Total	3,649	100%

Based upon 3,633 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 33-33 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

D05: Clinton: speaks mind

How well does the phrase 'she speaks her mind' describe Clinton?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Extremely well	431	11.8 %
2	Very well	847	23.2 %
3	Moderately well	1018	27.9 %
4	Slightly well	634	17.4 %
5	Not well at all	701	19.2 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	18	0.5 %
	Total	3,649	100%

Based upon 3,631 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 34-34 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

D06: Clinton: even tempered

How well does the phrase 'she is even tempered' describe Clinton?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Extremely well	527	14.4 %
2	Very well	798	21.9 %
3	Moderately well	1013	27.8 %
4	Slightly well	615	16.9 %
5	Not well at all	675	18.5 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	21	0.6 %
	Total	3,649	100%

Based upon 3,628 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 35-35 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

D07: Trump: strong leader

How well does the phrase 'he would provide strong leadership' describe Trump?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Extremely well	463	12.7 %
2	Very well	649	17.8 %
3	Moderately well	672	18.4 %
4	Slightly well	501	13.7 %
5	Not well at all	1348	36.9 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	16	0.4 %
	Total	3,649	100%

Based upon 3,633 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 36-36 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

D08: Trump: really cares

How well does the phrase 'he cares about people like you' describe Trump?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Extremely well	245	6.7 %
2	Very well	447	12.2 %
3	Moderately well	640	17.5 %
4	Slightly well	459	12.6 %
5	Not well at all	1838	50.4 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	20	0.5 %
	Total	3,649	100%

Based upon 3,629 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 37-37 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

D09: Trump: knowledgeable

How well does the phrase 'he is knowledgeable' describe Trump?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Extremely well	223	6.1 %
2	Very well	516	14.1 %
3	Moderately well	813	22.3 %
4	Slightly well	642	17.6 %
5	Not well at all	1435	39.3 %
Missing Data			
9	NA	20	0.5 %
Total		3,649	100%

Based upon 3,629 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 38-38 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

D10: Trump: honest

How well does the phrase 'he is honest' describe Trump?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Extremely well	259	7.1 %
2	Very well	546	15.0 %
3	Moderately well	695	19.0 %
4	Slightly well	536	14.7 %
5	Not well at all	1591	43.6 %
Missing Data			
9	NA	22	0.6 %
Total		3,649	100%

Based upon 3,627 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 39-39 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

D11: Trump: speaks mind

How well does the phrase 'he speaks his mind' describe Trump?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Extremely well	2047	56.1 %
2	Very well	923	25.3 %
3	Moderately well	299	8.2 %
4	Slightly well	129	3.5 %
5	Not well at all	235	6.4 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	16	0.4 %
	Total	3,649	100%

Based upon 3,633 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 40-40 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

D12: Trump: even tempered

How well does the phrase 'he is even tempered' describe Trump?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Extremely well	94	2.6 %
2	Very well	166	4.5 %
3	Moderately well	649	17.8 %
4	Slightly well	640	17.5 %
5	Not well at all	2082	57.1 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	18	0.5 %
	Total	3,649	100%

Based upon 3,631 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 41-41 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

D13: Feeling thermometer: Clinton

On a scale of 0 degrees to 100 degrees, how warm or cool do you feel towards Hillary Clinton? (Higher scores indicate a greater degree of warmth; 50 degrees is defined as neutral, neither warm nor cool.)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	0-24	1368	37.5 %
2	25-49	514	14.1 %
3	50	158	4.3 %
4	51-75	835	22.9 %
5	76-100	743	20.4 %
Missing Data			
9	NA	31	0.8 %
Total		3,649	100%

Based upon 3,618 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 42-42 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

D14: Feeling thermometer: Trump

On a scale of 0 degrees to 100 degrees, how warm or cool do you feel towards Donald Trump? (Higher scores indicate a greater degree of warmth; 50 degrees is defined as neutral, neither warm nor cool.)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	0-24	1666	45.7 %
2	25-49	424	11.6 %
3	50	162	4.4 %
4	51-75	753	20.6 %
5	76-100	609	16.7 %
Missing Data			
9	NA	35	1.0 %
Total		3,649	100%

Based upon 3,614 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 43-43 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

D15: Feeling thermometer: Kaine

On a scale of 0 degrees to 100 degrees, how warm or cool do you feel towards Tim Kaine? (Higher scores indicate a greater degree of warmth; 50 degrees is defined as neutral, neither warm nor cool.)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	0-24	594	16.3 %
2	25-49	403	11.0 %
3	50	883	24.2 %
4	51-75	700	19.2 %
5	76-100	356	9.8 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	713	19.5 %
	Total	3,649	100%

Based upon 2,936 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 44-44 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

D16: Feeling thermometer: Pence

On a scale of 0 degrees to 100 degrees, how warm or cool do you feel towards Mike Pence? (Higher scores indicate a greater degree of warmth; 50 degrees is defined as neutral, neither warm nor cool.)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	0-24	702	19.2 %
2	25-49	392	10.7 %
3	50	704	19.3 %
4	51-75	669	18.3 %
5	76-100	610	16.7 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	572	15.7 %
	Total	3,649	100%

Based upon 3,077 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 45-45 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

D17: Clinton: feel angry

How often would you say you've felt angry because of the kind of person Hillary Clinton is or because of something she has done?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Always	593	16.3 %
2	Most of the time	629	17.2 %
3	Half of the time	365	10.0 %
4	Some of the time	1006	27.6 %
5	Never	1048	28.7 %
Missing Data			
9	NA	8	0.2 %
Total		3,649	100%

Based upon 3,641 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 46-46 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

D18: Clinton: feel hopeful

How often would you say you've felt hopeful because of the kind of person Hillary Clinton is or because of something she has done?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Always	251	6.9 %
2	Most of the time	650	17.8 %
3	Half of the time	404	11.1 %
4	Some of the time	767	21.0 %
5	Never	1568	43.0 %
Missing Data			
9	NA	9	0.2 %
Total		3,649	100%

Based upon 3,640 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 47-47 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

D19: Clinton: feel afraid

How often would you say you've felt afraid because of the kind of person Hillary Clinton is or because of something she has done?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Always	546	15.0 %
2	Most of the time	571	15.6 %
3	Half of the time	359	9.8 %
4	Some of the time	777	21.3 %
5	Never	1391	38.1 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	5	0.1 %
	Total	3,649	100%

Based upon 3,644 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 48-48 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

D20: Clinton: feel proud

How often would you say you've felt proud because of the kind of person Hillary Clinton is or because of something she has done?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Always	216	5.9 %
2	Most of the time	611	16.7 %
3	Half of the time	356	9.8 %
4	Some of the time	777	21.3 %
5	Never	1681	46.1 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	8	0.2 %
	Total	3,649	100%

Based upon 3,641 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 49-49 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

D21: Clinton: feel disgusted

How often would you say you've felt disgusted because of the kind of person Hillary Clinton is or because of something she has done?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Always	694	19.0 %
2	Most of the time	626	17.2 %
3	Half of the time	315	8.6 %
4	Some of the time	847	23.2 %
5	Never	1157	31.7 %
Missing Data			
9	NA	10	0.3 %
Total		3,649	100%

Based upon 3,639 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 50-50 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

D22: Trump: feel angry

How often would you say you've felt angry because of the kind of person Donald Trump is or because of something he has done?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Always	809	22.2 %
2	Most of the time	710	19.5 %
3	Half of the time	385	10.6 %
4	Some of the time	969	26.6 %
5	Never	766	21.0 %
Missing Data			
9	NA	10	0.3 %
Total		3,649	100%

Based upon 3,639 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 51-51 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

D23: Trump: feel hopeful

How often would you say you've felt hopeful because of the kind of person Donald Trump is or because of something he has done?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Always	219	6.0 %
2	Most of the time	566	15.5 %
3	Half of the time	406	11.1 %
4	Some of the time	654	17.9 %
5	Never	1796	49.2 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	8	0.2 %
	Total	3,649	100%

Based upon 3,641 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 52-52 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

D24: Trump: feel afraid

How often would you say you've felt afraid because of the kind of person Donald Trump is or because of something he has done?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Always	793	21.7 %
2	Most of the time	674	18.5 %
3	Half of the time	371	10.2 %
4	Some of the time	820	22.5 %
5	Never	980	26.9 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	11	0.3 %
	Total	3,649	100%

Based upon 3,638 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 53-53 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

D25: Trump: feel proud

How often would you say you've felt proud because of the kind of person Donald Trump is or because of something he has done?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Always	156	4.3 %
2	Most of the time	392	10.7 %
3	Half of the time	377	10.3 %
4	Some of the time	673	18.4 %
5	Never	2045	56.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	6	0.2 %
	Total	3,649	100%

Based upon 3,643 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 54-54 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

D26: Trump: feel disgusted

How often would you say you've felt disgusted because of the kind of person Donald Trump is or because of something he has done?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Always	995	27.3 %
2	Most of the time	727	19.9 %
3	Half of the time	338	9.3 %
4	Some of the time	960	26.3 %
5	Never	621	17.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	8	0.2 %
	Total	3,649	100%

Based upon 3,641 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 55-55 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

E01: Presidential job approval

How much do you approve or disapprove of the way Barack Obama is handling his job as President?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Strongly approve	1251	34.3 %
2	Approve	631	17.3 %
3	Disapprove	340	9.3 %
4	Strongly disapprove	1383	37.9 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	44	1.2 %
	Total	3,649	100%

Based upon 3,605 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 56-56 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

E02: Obama handling of economy

How much do you approve or disapprove of the way Barack Obama is handling the economy?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Strongly approve	1159	31.8 %
2	Approve	702	19.2 %
3	Disapprove	348	9.5 %
4	Strongly disapprove	1394	38.2 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	46	1.3 %
	Total	3,649	100%

Based upon 3,603 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 57-57 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

E03: Obama handling of health care

How much do you approve or disapprove of the way Barack Obama is handling health care?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Strongly approve	961	26.3 %
2	Approve	543	14.9 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
3	Disapprove	295	8.1 %
4	Strongly disapprove	1810	49.6 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	40	1.1 %
	Total	3,649	100%

Based upon 3,609 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 58-58 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

E04: Obama handling of foreign affairs

How much do you approve or disapprove of the way Barack Obama is handling relations with foreign countries?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Strongly approve	1021	28.0 %
2	Approve	747	20.5 %
3	Disapprove	366	10.0 %
4	Strongly disapprove	1455	39.9 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	60	1.6 %
	Total	3,649	100%

Based upon 3,589 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 59-59 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

E05: Feeling thermometer: Obama

On a scale of 0 degrees to 100 degrees, how warm or cool do you feel towards Barack Obama? (Higher scores indicate a greater degree of warmth; 50 degrees is defined as neutral, neither warm nor cool.)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	0-24	1054	28.9 %
2	25-49	427	11.7 %
3	50	154	4.2 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
4	51-75	685	18.8 %
5	76-100	1311	35.9 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	18	0.5 %
	Total	3,649	100%

Based upon 3,631 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 60-60 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

E06: Congressional job approval

How much do you approve or disapprove of the way the U.S. Congress has been handling its job?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Strongly approve	224	6.1 %
2	Approve	622	17.0 %
3	Disapprove	693	19.0 %
4	Strongly disapprove	1982	54.3 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	128	3.5 %
	Total	3,649	100%

Based upon 3,521 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 61-61 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

E07: Feeling thermometer: Congress

On a scale of 0 degrees to 100 degrees, how warm or cool do you feel towards Congress? (Higher scores indicate a greater degree of warmth; 50 degrees is defined as neutral, neither warm nor cool.)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	0-24	729	20.0 %
2	25-49	1194	32.7 %
3	50	571	15.6 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
4	51-75	915	25.1 %
5	76-100	209	5.7 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	31	0.8 %
	Total	3,649	100%

Based upon 3,618 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 62-62 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

E08: Feeling thermometer: Supreme Court

On a scale of 0 degrees to 100 degrees, how warm or cool do you feel towards the Supreme Court? (Higher scores indicate a greater degree of warmth; 50 degrees is defined as neutral, neither warm nor cool.)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	0-24	156	4.3 %
2	25-49	584	16.0 %
3	50	678	18.6 %
4	51-75	1582	43.4 %
5	76-100	608	16.7 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	41	1.1 %
	Total	3,649	100%

Based upon 3,608 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 63-63 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

E09: Country on right track

Do you feel things in this country are generally going in the right direction, or do you feel things have pretty seriously gotten off on the wrong track?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Right direction	938	25.7 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
2	Wrong track	2681	73.5 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	30	0.8 %
	Total	3,649	100%

Based upon 3,619 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 64-64 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

E10: Government job last 8 years

How good a job do you think the government has done in the last eight years?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Very good job	239	6.5 %
2	Good job	1417	38.8 %
3	Bad job	1269	34.8 %
4	Very bad job	687	18.8 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	37	1.0 %
	Total	3,649	100%

Based upon 3,612 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 65-65 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

F01: Financial situation past year

Would you say you are better off or worse off financially than you were a year ago?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Much better	259	7.1 %
2	Somewhat better	786	21.5 %
3	About the same	1674	45.9 %
4	Somewhat worse	654	17.9 %
5	Much worse	264	7.2 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Missing Data		
9	NA	12	0.3 %
	Total	3,649	100%

Based upon 3,637 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 66-66 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

F02: Financial situation next year

Do you think that a year from now you will be better off financially, worse off, of just about the same as now?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Much better	333	9.1 %
2	Somewhat better	959	26.3 %
3	About the same	1794	49.2 %
4	Somewhat worse	421	11.5 %
5	Much worse	103	2.8 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	39	1.1 %
	Total	3,649	100%

Based upon 3,610 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 67-67 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

F03: Concern over personal finances

How worried are you about you and your family's personal financial situation?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Extremely worried	402	11.0 %
2	Very worried	444	12.2 %
3	Moderately worried	1104	30.3 %
4	A little worried	1040	28.5 %
5	Not worried	652	17.9 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Missing Data		
9	NA	7	0.2 %
	Total	3,649	100%

Based upon 3,642 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 68-68 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

F04: Economy past year

Thinking about the economy in the country as a whole, would you say that over the past year the nation's economy has gotten better, stayed about the same, or gotten worse?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Much better	208	5.7 %
2	Somewhat better	844	23.1 %
3	About the same	1538	42.1 %
4	Somewhat worse	559	15.3 %
5	Much worse	485	13.3 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	15	0.4 %
	Total	3,649	100%

Based upon 3,634 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 69-69 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

F05: Economy next year

Do you expect the economy in the country as a whole to get better, stay about the same, or get worse in the next 12 months?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Much better	216	5.9 %
2	Somewhat better	677	18.6 %
3	About the same	1918	52.6 %
4	Somewhat worse	514	14.1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
5	Much worse	254	7.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	70	1.9 %
	Total	3,649	100%

Based upon 3,579 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 70-70 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

F06: Unemployment past year

Would you say that over the past year, the level of unemployment in the country has gotten better, stayed about the same, or gotten worse?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Much better	283	7.8 %
2	Somewhat better	1104	30.3 %
3	About the same	1406	38.5 %
4	Somewhat worse	460	12.6 %
5	Much worse	380	10.4 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	16	0.4 %
	Total	3,649	100%

Based upon 3,633 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 71-71 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

F07: Economy since 2008

Would you say that compared to 2008, the nation's economy is now better, worse, or about the same?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Much better	737	20.2 %
2	Somewhat better	794	21.8 %
3	About the same	864	23.7 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
4	Somewhat worse	584	16.0 %
5	Much worse	648	17.8 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	22	0.6 %
	Total	3,649	100%

Based upon 3,627 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 72-72 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

F08: Income gap change

Do you think the difference in incomes between rich people and poor people in the United States today is larger, smaller, or about the same as it was 20 years ago?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Much larger	2160	59.2 %
2	Somewhat larger	807	22.1 %
3	About same	477	13.1 %
4	Somewhat smaller	120	3.3 %
5	Much smaller	64	1.8 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	21	0.6 %
	Total	3,649	100%

Based upon 3,628 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 73-73 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

F09: Best party for economy

Which party do you think would do a better job of handling the nation's economy?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Democrats	1160	31.8 %
2	Not much difference	1216	33.3 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
3	Republicans	1244	34.1 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	29	0.8 %
	Total	3,649	100%

Based upon 3,620 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 74-74 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

G01: Ideology

Where would you place yourself on this seven point liberal/conservative scale?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Very liberal	133	3.6 %
2	Liberal	458	12.6 %
3	Slightly liberal	600	16.4 %
4	Moderate	818	22.4 %
5	Slightly conservative	846	23.2 %
6	Conservative	593	16.3 %
7	Very Conservative	145	4.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	56	1.5 %
	Total	3,649	100%

Based upon 3,593 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 75-75 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

G02: Clinton: Ideological placement

Where would you place Hillary Clinton on this seven point liberal/conservative scale?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Very liberal	882	24.2 %
2	Liberal	1102	30.2 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
3	Slightly liberal	540	14.8 %
4	Moderate	579	15.9 %
5	Slightly conservative	199	5.5 %
6	Conservative	170	4.7 %
7	Very Conservative	86	2.4 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	91	2.5 %
	Total	3,649	100%

Based upon 3,558 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 76-76 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

G03: Trump: Ideological placement

Where would you place Donald Trump on this seven point liberal/conservative scale?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Very liberal	277	7.6 %
2	Liberal	225	6.2 %
3	Slightly liberal	181	5.0 %
4	Moderate	510	14.0 %
5	Slightly conservative	726	19.9 %
6	Conservative	917	25.1 %
7	Very Conservative	675	18.5 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	138	3.8 %
	Total	3,649	100%

Based upon 3,511 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 77-77 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

G04: Democratic Party: Ideological placement

Where would you place the Democratic Party on this seven point liberal/conservative scale?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Very liberal	790	21.6 %
2	Liberal	1307	35.8 %
3	Slightly liberal	517	14.2 %
4	Moderate	574	15.7 %
5	Slightly conservative	151	4.1 %
6	Conservative	168	4.6 %
7	Very Conservative	69	1.9 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	73	2.0 %
	Total	3,649	100%

Based upon 3,576 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 78-78 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

G05: Republican Party: Ideological placement

Where would you place the Republican Party on this seven point liberal/conservative scale?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Very liberal	93	2.5 %
2	Liberal	198	5.4 %
3	Slightly liberal	168	4.6 %
4	Moderate	476	13.0 %
5	Slightly conservative	488	13.4 %
6	Conservative	1292	35.4 %
7	Very Conservative	850	23.3 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	84	2.3 %
	Total	3,649	100%

Based upon 3,565 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 79-79 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

G06: Self left-right placement

Where would you place yourself on a scale of 0 to 10 where 0 means the left and 10 means the right? (Responses have been collapsed into five categories.)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Left	198	5.4 %
2	Somewhat left	409	11.2 %
3	Middle of the road	1618	44.3 %
4	Somewhat right	767	21.0 %
5	Right	511	14.0 %
Missing Data			
9	NA	146	4.0 %
Total		3,649	100%

Based upon 3,503 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 80-80 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

G07: Democratic Party: Left-right placement

Where would you place the Democratic Party on a scale of 0 to 10 where 0 means the left and 10 means the right? (Responses have been collapsed into five categories.)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Left	1004	27.5 %
2	Somewhat left	1018	27.9 %
3	Middle of the road	924	25.3 %
4	Somewhat right	281	7.7 %
5	Right	238	6.5 %
Missing Data			
9	NA	184	5.0 %
Total		3,649	100%

Based upon 3,465 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 81-81 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

G08: Republican Party: Left-right placement

Where would you place the Republican Party on a scale of 0 to 10 where 0 means the left and 10 means the right? (Responses have been collapsed into five categories.)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Left	211	5.8 %
2	Somewhat left	244	6.7 %
3	Middle of the road	808	22.1 %
4	Somewhat right	1041	28.5 %
5	Right	1163	31.9 %
Missing Data			
9	NA	182	5.0 %
Total		3,649	100%

Based upon 3,467 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 82-82 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

H01: Health insurance plan scale

Where would you place yourself on a seven-point scale that runs from having a government run health insurance plan that covered everyone to having everyone rely on private insurance plans?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Government insurance plan	568	15.6 %
2	-	337	9.2 %
3	-	344	9.4 %
4	-	629	17.2 %
5	-	406	11.1 %
6	-	427	11.7 %
7	Private insurance plan	528	14.5 %
Missing Data			
9	NA	410	11.2 %
Total		3,649	100%

Based upon 3,239 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Mean: 4.04
- Median: 4.00
- Mode: 4.00

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 2.05

Location: 83-83 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

H02: Clinton: Health insurance plan scale

Where would you place Hillary Clinton on a seven-point scale that runs from having a government run health insurance plan that covered everyone to having everyone rely on private insurance plans?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Government insurance plan	1143	31.3 %
2	-	663	18.2 %
3	-	587	16.1 %
4	-	623	17.1 %
5	-	230	6.3 %
6	-	168	4.6 %
7	Private insurance plan	149	4.1 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	86	2.4 %
	Total	3,649	100%

Based upon 3,563 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Mean: 2.79
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.72

Location: 84-84 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

H03: Trump: Health insurance plan scale

Where would you place Donald Trump on a seven-point scale that runs from having a government run health insurance plan that covered everyone to having everyone rely on private insurance plans?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Government insurance plan	133	3.6 %
2	-	118	3.2 %
3	-	141	3.9 %
4	-	498	13.6 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
5	-	483	13.2 %
6	-	804	22.0 %
7	Private insurance plan	1360	37.3 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	112	3.1 %
	Total	3,649	100%

Based upon 3,537 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Mean: 5.53
- Median: 6.00
- Mode: 7.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.64

Location: 85-85 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

H04: Support for Obamacare

Do you favor or oppose the health care reform law passed in 2010?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Greatly favor	664	18.2 %
2	Somewhat favor	713	19.5 %
3	Neither favor nor oppose	736	20.2 %
4	Somewhat oppose	512	14.0 %
5	Greatly oppose	1019	27.9 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	5	0.1 %
	Total	3,649	100%

Based upon 3,644 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 86-86 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

H05: Effect of Obamacare on health care service

Has the 2010 health care law improved or worsened the quality of health care services in the United States?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Improved	1215	33.3 %
2	Had no effect	624	17.1 %
3	Worsened	1747	47.9 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	63	1.7 %
	Total	3,649	100%

Based upon 3,586 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 87-87 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

H06: Effect of Obamacare on number of insured

Has the 2010 health care law improved or worsened the number of insured in the United States?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Improved	2671	73.2 %
2	Had no effect	422	11.6 %
3	Worsened	495	13.6 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	61	1.7 %
	Total	3,649	100%

Based upon 3,588 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 88-88 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

H07: Effect of Obamacare on cost of health care

Has the 2010 health care law increased or decreased the cost of health care in the United States?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Increased	2679	73.4 %
2	Had no effect	457	12.5 %
3	Decreased	431	11.8 %
	Missing Data		

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
9	NA	82	2.2 %
	Total	3,649	100%

Based upon 3,567 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 89-89 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

H08: Effect of Obamacare on personal health care costs

Has the 2010 health care law improved or worsened the cost of your personal health care?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Increased	1829	50.1 %
2	Had no effect	1508	41.3 %
3	Decreased	275	7.5 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	37	1.0 %
	Total	3,649	100%

Based upon 3,612 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 90-90 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

H09: Government financial assistance with health care

Do you favor an increase or decrease in government spending to help people pay for health insurance when they can't pay for it all themselves?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Greatly increase	545	14.9 %
2	Increase	1145	31.4 %
3	No change	988	27.1 %
4	Decrease	571	15.6 %
5	Greatly decrease	347	9.5 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	53	1.5 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Total	3,649	100%

Based upon 3,596 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 91-91 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

H10: Have health insurance

Do you presently have any kind of health insurance?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	3334	91.4 %
2	No	311	8.5 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	4	0.1 %
	Total	3,649	100%

Based upon 3,645 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 92-92 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

H11: Health self evaluation

How would you describe your general health condition?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Excellent	642	17.6 %
2	Very good	1240	34.0 %
3	Good	1123	30.8 %
4	Fair	511	14.0 %
5	Poor	126	3.5 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	7	0.2 %
	Total	3,649	100%

Based upon 3,642 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 93-93 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

J01: Government services and spending scale

Where would you place yourself on this seven-point scale where 1 means 'government should provide many fewer services and reduce spending a lot' and 7 means 'government should provide many more services and increase spending a lot'?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Government should provide many fewer services	323	8.9 %
2	-	391	10.7 %
3	-	510	14.0 %
4	-	768	21.0 %
5	-	563	15.4 %
6	-	319	8.7 %
7	Government should provide many more services	259	7.1 %
Missing Data			
9	NA	516	14.1 %
Total		3,649	100%

Based upon 3,133 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Mean: 3.91
- Median: 4.00
- Mode: 4.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.71

Location: 94-94 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

J02: Clinton: Government services and spending scale

Where would you place Hillary Clinton on this seven-point scale where 1 means 'government should provide many fewer services and reduce spending a lot' and 7 means 'government should provide many more services and increase spending a lot'?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Government should provide many fewer services	123	3.4 %
2	-	88	2.4 %
3	-	168	4.6 %
4	-	602	16.5 %
5	-	819	22.4 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
6	-	846	23.2 %
7	Government should provide many more services	939	25.7 %
Missing Data			
9	NA	64	1.8 %
Total		3,649	100%

Based upon 3,585 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Mean: 5.29
- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 7.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.53

Location: 95-95 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

J03: Trump: Government services and spending scale

Where would you place Donald Trump on this seven-point scale where 1 means 'government should provide many fewer services and reduce spending a lot' and 7 means 'government should provide many more services and increase spending a lot'?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Government should provide many fewer services	873	23.9 %
2	-	857	23.5 %
3	-	692	19.0 %
4	-	591	16.2 %
5	-	225	6.2 %
6	-	153	4.2 %
7	Government should provide many more services	168	4.6 %
Missing Data			
9	NA	90	2.5 %
Total		3,649	100%

Based upon 3,559 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Mean: 2.88
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.66

Location: 96-96 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

J04: Jobs and standard of living scale

Where would you place yourself on this seven-point scale where 1 means 'government should see to it that every person has a job and a good standard of living' and 7 means 'government should let people get ahead on their own'?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Government should see to it	307	8.4 %
2	-	289	7.9 %
3	-	455	12.5 %
4	-	707	19.4 %
5	-	545	14.9 %
6	-	521	14.3 %
7	Individuals should be on own	431	11.8 %
Missing Data			
9	NA	394	10.8 %
Total		3,649	100%

Based upon 3,255 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Mean: 4.28
- Median: 4.00
- Mode: 4.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.81

Location: 97-97 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

J05: Clinton: Jobs and standard of living scale

Where would you place Hillary Clinton on this seven-point scale where 1 means 'government should see to it that every person has a job and a good standard of living' and 7 means 'government should let people get ahead on their own'?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Government should see to it	753	20.6 %
2	-	707	19.4 %
3	-	795	21.8 %
4	-	744	20.4 %
5	-	282	7.7 %
6	-	150	4.1 %
7	Individuals should be on own	143	3.9 %
Missing Data			
9	NA	75	2.1 %
Total		3,649	100%

Based upon 3,574 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Mean: 3.03
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 3.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.61

Location: 98-98 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

J06: Trump: Jobs and standard of living scale

Where would you place Donald Trump on this seven-point scale where 1 means 'government should see to it that every person has a job and a good standard of living' and 7 means 'government should let people get ahead on their own'?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Government should see to it	135	3.7 %
2	-	112	3.1 %
3	-	158	4.3 %
4	-	467	12.8 %
5	-	412	11.3 %
6	-	832	22.8 %
7	Individuals should be on own	1453	39.8 %
Missing Data			
9	NA	80	2.2 %
Total		3,649	100%

Based upon 3,569 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Mean: 5.58
- Median: 6.00
- Mode: 7.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.65

Location: 99-99 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

J07: Limit imports to preserve US jobs

Should the US place limits on imports in order to preserve American jobs?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Favor limits	1712	46.9 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
2	Oppose limits	1039	28.5 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	898	24.6 %
	Total	3,649	100%

Based upon 2,751 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 100-100 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

J08: Effects of Trade

Have increased amounts of trade with other countries had a good effect on the US?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Good effect	1684	46.1 %
2	Neither good nor bad	1206	33.1 %
3	Bad effect	705	19.3 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	54	1.5 %
	Total	3,649	100%

Based upon 3,595 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 101-101 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

J09: Free trade agreements

Do you favor or oppose the US making free trade agreements with other countries?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Favor	1420	38.9 %
2	Neither favor nor oppose	1449	39.7 %
3	Oppose	726	19.9 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	54	1.5 %
	Total	3,649	100%

Based upon 3,595 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 102-102 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

J10: Government policy on outsourcing jobs

Should the federal government discourage big American companies from hiring workers in foreign countries to replace workers in the U.S?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Discourage outsourcing	2523	69.1 %
2	Stay out of this	946	25.9 %
3	Encourage outsourcing	152	4.2 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	28	0.8 %
	Total	3,649	100%

Based upon 3,621 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 103-103 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

J11: Government regulation of business

How much government regulation of business is good for society?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Great deal	643	17.6 %
2	Moderate amount	2174	59.6 %
3	Little or none	811	22.2 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	21	0.6 %
	Total	3,649	100%

Based upon 3,628 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 104-104 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

J12: Government regulation of banks

Should the government do more to regulate the activity of banks?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	A great deal more	820	22.5 %
2	Somewhat more	881	24.1 %
3	Same	1414	38.8 %
4	Somewhat less	372	10.2 %
5	A great deal less	109	3.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	53	1.5 %
	Total	3,649	100%

Based upon 3,596 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 105-105 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

J13: Government action to reduce income inequality

How much do you agree or disagree that the government should take actions to reduce income inequality between the richest and poorest households?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Agree strongly	644	17.6 %
2	Agree somewhat	1041	28.5 %
3	Neither agree or disagree	787	21.6 %
4	Disagree somewhat	531	14.6 %
5	Disagree strongly	614	16.8 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	32	0.9 %
	Total	3,649	100%

Based upon 3,617 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 106-106 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

J14: Environmental protection scale

Where would you place yourself on this seven-point scale where 1 means 'the federal government needs to regulate business to protect the environment and create jobs' and 7 means 'federal government regulation will not do much to protect the environment and will cost us jobs'?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Regulate business	774	21.2 %
2	-	525	14.4 %
3	-	479	13.1 %
4	-	565	15.5 %
5	-	305	8.4 %
6	-	245	6.7 %
7	Do not regulate	160	4.4 %
Missing Data			
9	NA	596	16.3 %
Total		3,649	100%

Based upon 3,053 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Mean: 3.16
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.82

Location: 107-107 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

J15: Clinton: Environmental protection scale

Where would you place Hillary Clinton on this seven-point scale where 1 means 'the federal government needs to regulate business to protect the environment and create jobs' and 7 means 'federal government regulation will not do much to protect the environment and will cost us jobs'?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Regulate business	932	25.5 %
2	-	807	22.1 %
3	-	657	18.0 %
4	-	700	19.2 %
5	-	210	5.8 %
6	-	117	3.2 %
7	Do not regulate	113	3.1 %
Missing Data			

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
9	NA	113	3.1 %
	Total	3,649	100%

Based upon 3,536 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Mean: 2.79
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.58

Location: 108-108 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

J16: Trump: Environmental protection scale

Where would you place Donald Trump on this seven-point scale where 1 means 'the federal government needs to regulate business to protect the environment and create jobs' and 7 means 'federal government regulation will not do much to protect the environment and will cost us jobs'?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Regulate business	184	5.0 %
2	-	154	4.2 %
3	-	224	6.1 %
4	-	608	16.7 %
5	-	485	13.3 %
6	-	723	19.8 %
7	Do not regulate	1153	31.6 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	118	3.2 %
	Total	3,649	100%

Based upon 3,531 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Mean: 5.22
- Median: 6.00
- Mode: 7.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.77

Location: 109-109 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

J17: Government action on rising temperatures

What do you think the federal government should be doing about rising temperatures?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Govt should do more	1799	49.3 %
2	Same as now	1155	31.7 %
3	Govt should do less	619	17.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	76	2.1 %
	Total	3,649	100%

Based upon 3,573 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 110-110 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

J18: Government spending on Social Security

Should the federal government increase spending on Social Security?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Increase	2130	58.4 %
2	Stay the same	1277	35.0 %
3	Decrease	221	6.1 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	21	0.6 %
	Total	3,649	100%

Based upon 3,628 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 111-111 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

J19: Government spending on public schools

Should the federal government increase spending on public schools?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Increase	2538	69.6 %
2	Stay the same	817	22.4 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
3	Decrease	280	7.7 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	14	0.4 %
	Total	3,649	100%

Based upon 3,635 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 112-112 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

J20: Government spending on science and technology

Should the federal government increase spending on science and technology?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Increase	2149	58.9 %
2	Stay the same	1203	33.0 %
3	Decrease	276	7.6 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	21	0.6 %
	Total	3,649	100%

Based upon 3,628 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 113-113 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

J21: Government spending on dealing with crime

Should the federal government increase spending on dealing with crime?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Increase	2306	63.2 %
2	Stay the same	1038	28.4 %
3	Decrease	290	7.9 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	15	0.4 %
	Total	3,649	100%

Based upon 3,634 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 114-114 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

J22: Government spending on welfare programs

Should the federal government increase spending on welfare programs?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Increase	666	18.3 %
2	Stay the same	1253	34.3 %
3	Decrease	1701	46.6 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	29	0.8 %
	Total	3,649	100%

Based upon 3,620 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 115-115 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

J23: Government spending on child care

Should the federal government increase spending on child care?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Increase	1755	48.1 %
2	Stay the same	1375	37.7 %
3	Decrease	479	13.1 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	40	1.1 %
	Total	3,649	100%

Based upon 3,609 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 116-116 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

J24: Government spending on aid to the poor

Should the federal government increase spending on aid to the poor?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Increase	1545	42.3 %
2	Stay the same	1441	39.5 %
3	Decrease	632	17.3 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	31	0.8 %
	Total	3,649	100%

Based upon 3,618 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 117-117 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

J25: Government spending on protecting the environment

Should the federal government increase spending on protecting the environment?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Increase	1945	53.3 %
2	Stay the same	1185	32.5 %
3	Decrease	498	13.6 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	21	0.6 %
	Total	3,649	100%

Based upon 3,628 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 118-118 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

J26: Tax on millionaires

Should income taxes be increased on people making more than one million dollars per year?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Favor	2443	66.9 %
2	Neither favor nor oppose	644	17.6 %
3	Oppose	549	15.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	13	0.4 %
	Total	3,649	100%

Based upon 3,636 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 119-119 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

J27: Minimum wage

Should the minimum wage be raised?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Raise	2335	64.0 %
2	Keep the same	1058	29.0 %
3	Lower/Eliminate	229	6.3 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	27	0.7 %
	Total	3,649	100%

Based upon 3,622 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 120-120 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

K01: Abortion attitude

Which one of these opinions best agrees with your view on abortion? It should never be permitted; it should be permitted only in cases of rape, incest, and danger to the life of the mother; it should be permitted in other cases, if a clear need is established; it should be permitted whenever the mother chooses to have one.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Never permitted	471	12.9 %
2	Only for rape, etc.	929	25.5 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
3	If clear need	539	14.8 %
4	Always permitted	1661	45.5 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	49	1.3 %
	Total	3,649	100%

Based upon 3,600 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 121-121 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

K02: Clinton: Abortion attitude

Where would you place Hillary Clinton on the abortion scale?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Never permitted	175	4.8 %
2	Only for rape, etc.	363	9.9 %
3	If clear need	407	11.2 %
4	Always permitted	2627	72.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	77	2.1 %
	Total	3,649	100%

Based upon 3,572 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 122-122 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

K03: Trump: Abortion attitude

Where would you place Donald Trump on the abortion scale?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Never permitted	1242	34.0 %
2	Only for rape, etc.	1429	39.2 %
3	If clear need	441	12.1 %
4	Always permitted	433	11.9 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Missing Data		
9	NA	104	2.9 %
	Total	3,649	100%

Based upon 3,545 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 123-123 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

K04: Favor laws against gay/lesbian job discrimination

Do you favor or oppose laws to protect gays and lesbians against job discrimination?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Strongly favor	2420	66.3 %
2	Favor	564	15.5 %
3	Oppose	184	5.0 %
4	Strongly oppose	416	11.4 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	65	1.8 %
	Total	3,649	100%

Based upon 3,584 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 124-124 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

K05: Allow gays/lesbians to adopt

Should gays and lesbians be allowed to adopt children?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	2627	72.0 %
2	No	936	25.7 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	86	2.4 %
	Total	3,649	100%

Based upon 3,563 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 125-125 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

K06: Gay/lesbian marriage

Should gays and lesbians be allowed to marry?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Should allow	2111	57.9 %
2	Allow civil unions only	853	23.4 %
3	Should not allow	634	17.4 %
Missing Data			
9	NA	51	1.4 %
Total		3,649	100%

Based upon 3,598 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 126-126 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

K07: Moral traditionalism Index

Index of support for traditional moral values, built from how strongly one agrees/disagrees with the following statements: (1) The world is always changing and we should adjust our view of moral behavior to those changes (reversed); (2) The newer lifestyles are contributing to the breakdown of our society; (3) We should be more tolerant of people who choose to live according to their own moral standards, even if they are very different from our own (reversed); and (4) This country would have many fewer problems if there were more emphasis on traditional family ties. Those who rank high on this index were more likely to select the traditional moral position on these items.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	High traditionalism	738	20.2 %
2	-	951	26.1 %
3	-	859	23.5 %
4	-	656	18.0 %
5	Low traditionalism	416	11.4 %
Missing Data			
9	NA	29	0.8 %
Total		3,649	100%

Based upon 3,620 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Mean: 2.74
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.29

Location: 127-127 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

K08: Equality Index

Index of commitment to equality, built from how strongly one agrees/disagrees with the following statements: (1) Our society should do whatever is necessary to make sure that everyone has an equal opportunity to succeed; (2) This country would be better off if we worried less about how equal people are (reversed); (3) It is not really that big a problem if some people have more of a chance in life than others (reversed); (4) If people were treated more equally in this country, we would have many fewer problems. Those who rank high on this index were more likely to choose the pro-equality position on each of these items.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	High commitment	573	15.7 %
2	-	797	21.8 %
3	-	729	20.0 %
4	-	888	24.3 %
5	Low commitment	630	17.3 %
Missing Data			
9	NA	32	0.9 %
Total		3,649	100%

Based upon 3,617 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Mean: 3.06
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 4.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.34

Location: 128-128 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

K09: Tolerance toward Immigrants Index

Index of tolerance for immigrants, built from how strongly one agrees/disagrees with the following statements: (1) Immigrants are generally good for America's economy (reversed); (2) America's culture is generally harmed by immigrants; and (3) Immigrants increase crime rates in the US. Those who rank high on this index are more likely to be tolerant of immigration.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Low tolerance	598	16.4 %
2	-	849	23.3 %
3	-	799	21.9 %
4	-	696	19.1 %
5	High tolerance	662	18.1 %
Missing Data			
9	NA	45	1.2 %
Total		3,649	100%

Based upon 3,604 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Mean: 2.99
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.35

Location: 129-129 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

K10: US policy toward unauthorized immigrants

What should government policy be toward unauthorized immigrants now living in the U.S.? (1) Make all unauthorized immigrants felons and send them back to their home country; (2) Have a guest worker program that allows unauthorized immigrants to remain; (3) Allow unauthorized immigrants to remain in the United States, with certain requirement; (4) Allow unauthorized immigrants to remain in the United States without penalties.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Make a felony and deport	589	16.1 %
2	Guest worker program	546	15.0 %
3	Remain in US with requirements	2110	57.8 %
4	Remain in US without penalties	364	10.0 %
Missing Data			
9	NA	40	1.1 %
Total		3,649	100%

Based upon 3,609 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 130-130 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

K11: Desirable immigration level

Do you think the number of immigrants from foreign countries who are permitted to come to the U.S. to live should be increased, decreased, or kept the same?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Increased	203	5.6 %
2	Kept the same	1825	50.0 %
3	Decreased a little	688	18.9 %
4	Decreased a lot	906	24.8 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	27	0.7 %
	Total	3,649	100%

Based upon 3,622 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 131-131 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

K12: Immigration reduces jobs

How likely is it that recent immigration levels will take jobs away from people already here?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Extremely likely	553	15.2 %
2	Very likely	737	20.2 %
3	Somewhat likely	1474	40.4 %
4	Not at all likely	866	23.7 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	19	0.5 %
	Total	3,649	100%

Based upon 3,630 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 132-132 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

K13: Eliminate birthright citizenship

Should the U.S. Constitution should be changed so that the children of unauthorized immigrants do not automatically get citizenship if they are born in this country?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Greatly favor	669	18.3 %
2	Favor	500	13.7 %
3	Neither favor nor oppose	1006	27.6 %
4	Oppose	602	16.5 %
5	Greatly oppose	854	23.4 %
Missing Data			
9	NA	18	0.5 %
Total		3,649	100%

Based upon 3,631 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 133-133 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

K14: Build a wall with Mexico

Should the US build a wall on the border with Mexico?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Greatly favor	759	20.8 %
2	Favor	420	11.5 %
3	Neither favor nor oppose	769	21.1 %
4	Oppose	368	10.1 %
5	Greatly oppose	1314	36.0 %
Missing Data			
9	NA	19	0.5 %
Total		3,649	100%

Based upon 3,630 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 134-134 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

K15: Death penalty

How strongly do you favor or oppose the death penalty for persons convicted of murder?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Strongly approve	1907	52.3 %
2	Approve	558	15.3 %
3	Disapprove	460	12.6 %
4	Strongly disapprove	644	17.6 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	80	2.2 %
	Total	3,649	100%

Based upon 3,569 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 135-135 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

K16: Gun control

Do you think the federal government should make it more difficult for people to buy a gun, make it easier, or keep the rules about the same as they are now?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	More difficult	1954	53.5 %
2	Same/easier	1676	45.9 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	19	0.5 %
	Total	3,649	100%

Based upon 3,630 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 136-136 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

K17: Importance of gun control issue

How important is the issue of gun access to you personally?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Extremely important	1200	32.9 %
2	Very important	1138	31.2 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
3	Somewhat important	915	25.1 %
4	Not too important	387	10.6 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	9	0.2 %
	Total	3,649	100%

Based upon 3,640 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 137-137 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

K18: Legalize marijuana

Do you favor, oppose, or neither favor nor oppose the use of marijuana being legal?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Favor	1648	45.2 %
2	Neither favor nor oppose	927	25.4 %
3	Oppose	1057	29.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	17	0.5 %
	Total	3,649	100%

Based upon 3,632 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 138-138 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

L01: Importance of women being elected

How important is it that more women get elected to political office?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Extremely important	496	13.6 %
2	Very important	819	22.4 %
3	Moderately important	1179	32.3 %
4	A little important	494	13.5 %
5	Not at all important	633	17.3 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Missing Data		
9	NA	28	0.8 %
	Total	3,649	100%

Based upon 3,621 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 139-139 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

L02: Women demanding equality seek special favors

When women demand equality these days, how often are they actually seeking special favors?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Most or all of the time	411	11.3 %
2	Half the time	618	16.9 %
3	Sometimes	1477	40.5 %
4	Never	1083	29.7 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	60	1.6 %
	Total	3,649	100%

Based upon 3,589 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 140-140 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

L03: Women complaining about discrimination cause more problems

When women complain about discrimination, how often do they cause more problems than they solve?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Most or all of the time	489	13.4 %
2	Half the time	663	18.2 %
3	Sometimes	1625	44.5 %
4	Never	813	22.3 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	59	1.6 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Total	3,649	100%

Based upon 3,590 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 141-141 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

L04: Attention media should pay to discrimination against women

Should the media pay more attention to discrimination against women?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	More attention	1505	41.2 %
2	Same amount	1482	40.6 %
3	Less attention	616	16.9 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	46	1.3 %
	Total	3,649	100%

Based upon 3,603 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 142-142 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

L05: Should Trump video about women have mattered in vote

How much do you think information from the 2005 video featuring Donald Trump having a crude conversation about women should have mattered in their vote?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	A great deal	1021	28.0 %
2	A lot	473	13.0 %
3	A moderate amount	634	17.4 %
4	A little	620	17.0 %
5	Not at all	654	17.9 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	247	6.8 %
	Total	3,649	100%

Based upon 3,402 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 143-143 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

L06: Modern sexism index

Index of attitudes toward women built from how strongly one agrees/disagrees with the following statements: (1) Many women interpret innocent remarks as being sexist; (2) Most women fail to appreciate fully all that men do for them; (3) Women seek to gain power by getting control over men; (4) Once a woman gets a man to commit to her, she tries to put him on a tight leash. Those who rank high on this index were more likely to agree with the above statements.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	High	753	20.6 %
2	-	951	26.1 %
3	-	573	15.7 %
4	-	731	20.0 %
5	Low	552	15.1 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	89	2.4 %
	Total	3,649	100%

Based upon 3,560 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Mean: 2.83
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.38

Location: 144-144 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

L07: How Trump treats women

In general, how well do you think Donald Trump treats women?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Poorly	1950	53.4 %
2	Neither poorly nor well	918	25.2 %
3	Well	754	20.7 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	27	0.7 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Total	3,649	100%

Based upon 3,622 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 145-145 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

L08: How Clinton treats women

In general, how well do you think Hillary Clinton treats women?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Poorly	445	12.2 %
2	Neither poorly nor well	1027	28.1 %
3	Well	2148	58.9 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	29	0.8 %
	Total	3,649	100%

Based upon 3,620 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 146-146 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

M01: Aid to Blacks scale

Where would you place yourself on a seven-point scale where 1 means 'government should help Blacks' and 7 means 'Blacks should help themselves'.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Govt should help Blacks	314	8.6 %
2	-	280	7.7 %
3	-	344	9.4 %
4	-	759	20.8 %
5	-	409	11.2 %
6	-	508	13.9 %
7	Blacks should help themselves	623	17.1 %
	Missing Data		

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
9	NA	412	11.3 %
	Total	3,649	100%

Based upon 3,237 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Mean: 4.45
- Median: 4.00
- Mode: 4.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.90

Location: 147-147 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

M02: Clinton: Aid to Blacks scale

Where would you place Hillary Clinton on a seven-point scale where 1 means 'government should help Blacks' and 7 means 'Blacks should help themselves'.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Govt should help Blacks	908	24.9 %
2	-	688	18.9 %
3	-	720	19.7 %
4	-	766	21.0 %
5	-	217	5.9 %
6	-	114	3.1 %
7	Blacks should help themselves	146	4.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	90	2.5 %
	Total	3,649	100%

Based upon 3,559 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Mean: 2.89
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.61

Location: 148-148 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

M03: Trump: Aid to Blacks scale

Where would you place Donald Trump on a seven-point scale where 1 means 'government should help Blacks' and 7 means 'Blacks should help themselves'.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Govt should help Blacks	111	3.0 %
2	-	77	2.1 %
3	-	135	3.7 %
4	-	573	15.7 %
5	-	474	13.0 %
6	-	700	19.2 %
7	Blacks should help themselves	1494	40.9 %
Missing Data			
9	NA	85	2.3 %
Total		3,649	100%

Based upon 3,564 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Mean: 5.61
- Median: 6.00
- Mode: 7.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.58

Location: 149-149 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

M04: Affirmative action in universities

How strongly do you favor or oppose allowing universities to increase the number of Black students studying at their schools by considering race along with other factors when choosing students?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Strongly favor	297	8.1 %
2	Favor somewhat	383	10.5 %
3	Neither favor nor oppose	1296	35.5 %
4	Oppose somewhat	687	18.8 %
5	Strongly oppose	947	26.0 %
Missing Data			
9	NA	39	1.1 %
Total		3,649	100%

Based upon 3,610 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 150-150 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

M05: Preferential hiring/promotion for Blacks

Are you for or against preferential hiring and promotion for Blacks?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Strongly support	448	12.3 %
2	Support	419	11.5 %
3	Against	786	21.5 %
4	Strongly against	1893	51.9 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	103	2.8 %
	Total	3,649	100%

Based upon 3,546 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 151-151 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

M06: Black support index

Index of support for Blacks, built from how strongly one agrees or disagrees with the following statements: (1) Irish, Italians, Jewish and many other minorities overcame prejudice and worked their way up. Blacks should do the same without any special favors (reversed); (2) Generations of slavery and discrimination have created conditions that make it difficult for Blacks to work their way out of the lower class; (3) Over the past few years, Blacks have gotten less than they deserve. Those who rank high on this index were more likely to give responses that were more sympathetic to Blacks on each of these items.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Low support	838	23.0 %
2	-	787	21.6 %
3	-	675	18.5 %
4	-	586	16.1 %
5	High support	727	19.9 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	36	1.0 %
	Total	3,649	100%

Based upon 3,613 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Mean: 2.88

- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.45

Location: 152-152 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

M07: Minorities should adapt

Do you agree or disagree that minorities should adapt to the customs and traditions of the United States?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Agree	2197	60.2 %
2	Neither agree nor disagree	679	18.6 %
3	Disagree	751	20.6 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	22	0.6 %
	Total	3,649	100%

Based upon 3,627 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 153-153 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

M08: Will of majority prevails

Do you agree or disagree that the will of the majority should always prevail even over the rights of minorities?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Agree	930	25.5 %
2	Neither agree nor disagree	940	25.8 %
3	Disagree	1747	47.9 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	32	0.9 %
	Total	3,649	100%

Based upon 3,617 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 154-154 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

M09: Feeling thermometer: Blacks

On a scale of 0 degrees to 100 degrees, how warm or cool do you feel towards Blacks? (Higher scores indicate a greater degree of warmth; 50 degrees is defined as neutral, neither warm nor cool.)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	0 - 24	82	2.2 %
2	25 - 49	270	7.4 %
3	50	702	19.2 %
4	51 - 75	1147	31.4 %
5	76 - 100	1370	37.5 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	78	2.1 %
	Total	3,649	100%

Based upon 3,571 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 155-155 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

N01: U.S. position in world

During the past year, has the US position in the world grown weaker or stronger?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Weaker	2004	54.9 %
2	Same	1357	37.2 %
3	Stronger	274	7.5 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	14	0.4 %
	Total	3,649	100%

Based upon 3,635 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 156-156 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

N02: U.S. security under Obama

Compared to 2008, is the US more secure from its foreign enemies?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	More secure	657	18.0 %
2	Same	1071	29.4 %
3	Less secure	1898	52.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	23	0.6 %
	Total	3,649	100%

Based upon 3,626 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 157-157 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

N03: U.S. better if not involved

Do you agree or disagree that this country would be better off if we just stayed home and did not concern ourselves with problems in other parts of the world?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Agree	1113	30.5 %
2	Disagree	2503	68.6 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	33	0.9 %
	Total	3,649	100%

Based upon 3,616 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 158-158 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

N04: Use force to solve international problems

How willing should the U.S. be to use military force to solve international problems?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Very willing	601	16.5 %
2	Moderately willing	1887	51.7 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
3	Not very willing	1135	31.1 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	26	0.7 %
	Total	3,649	100%

Based upon 3,623 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 159-159 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

N05: Defense spending scale

Where would you place yourself on this seven-point scale where 1 means 'greatly decrease defense spending' and 7 means 'greatly increase defense spending'?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Greatly decrease	163	4.5 %
2	-	217	5.9 %
3	-	348	9.5 %
4	-	868	23.8 %
5	-	679	18.6 %
6	-	521	14.3 %
7	Greatly increase	374	10.2 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	479	13.1 %
	Total	3,649	100%

Based upon 3,170 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Mean: 4.50
- Median: 4.00
- Mode: 4.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.59

Location: 160-160 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

N06: Clinton: Defense spending scale

Where would you place Hillary Clinton on this seven-point scale where 1 means 'greatly decrease defense spending' and 7 means 'greatly increase defense spending'?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Greatly decrease	411	11.3 %
2	-	482	13.2 %
3	-	571	15.6 %
4	-	1072	29.4 %
5	-	592	16.2 %
6	-	265	7.3 %
7	Greatly increase	171	4.7 %
Missing Data			
9	NA	85	2.3 %
Total		3,649	100%

Based upon 3,564 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Mean: 3.68
- Median: 4.00
- Mode: 4.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.59

Location: 161-161 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

N07: Trump: Defense spending scale

Where would you place Donald Trump on this seven-point scale where 1 means 'greatly decrease defense spending' and 7 means 'greatly increase defense spending'?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Greatly decrease	139	3.8 %
2	-	129	3.5 %
3	-	197	5.4 %
4	-	479	13.1 %
5	-	616	16.9 %
6	-	976	26.7 %
7	Greatly increase	1027	28.1 %
Missing Data			
9	NA	86	2.4 %
Total		3,649	100%

Based upon 3,563 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Mean: 5.34
- Median: 6.00
- Mode: 7.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.62

Location: 162-162 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

N08: Concern over terrorism

How worried are you that the U.S. will experience a terrorist attack in the near future?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Extremely worried	645	17.7 %
2	Very worried	844	23.1 %
3	Moderately worried	1225	33.6 %
4	Slightly worried	682	18.7 %
5	Not worried	244	6.7 %
Missing Data			
9	NA	9	0.2 %
Total		3,649	100%

Based upon 3,640 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 163-163 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

N09: Send troops to fight Islamic State of Iraq and Syria (ISIS)

Do you favor or oppose the U.S. sending ground troops to fight Islamic militants, such as ISIS, in Iraq and Syria?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Greatly favor	564	15.5 %
2	Somewhat favor	786	21.5 %
3	Neither favor nor oppose	1064	29.2 %
4	Somewhat oppose	598	16.4 %
5	Greatly oppose	608	16.7 %
Missing Data			
9	NA	29	0.8 %
Total		3,649	100%

Based upon 3,620 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 164-164 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

N10: Allow Syrian refugees

Do you favor or oppose allowing Syrian refugees to come to the U.S?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Greatly favor	352	9.6 %
2	Somewhat favor	517	14.2 %
3	Neither favor nor oppose	988	27.1 %
4	Somewhat oppose	586	16.1 %
5	Greatly oppose	1177	32.3 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	29	0.8 %
	Total	3,649	100%

Based upon 3,620 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 165-165 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

N11: China military threat

How big a threat is China's military to the U.S?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Major threat	1636	44.8 %
2	Minor threat	1560	42.8 %
3	No threat	389	10.7 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	64	1.8 %
	Total	3,649	100%

Based upon 3,585 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 166-166 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

P01: Trust in government index

Index of trust in government, built from the following questions: (1) How often can you trust the government in Washington to do what is right? (2) Would you say the government is pretty much run by a few big interests looking out for themselves or that it is run for the benefit of the people? (3) Do you think that the people in government waste a lot of the money we pay in taxes, waste some of it, or don't waste very much of it? (4) How many people running the government are corrupt? Those who rank high on this index were more likely to choose the more trusting response on each of these items.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	High trust	628	17.2 %
2	-	762	20.9 %
3	-	1227	33.6 %
4	Low trust	982	26.9 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	50	1.4 %
	Total	3,649	100%

Based upon 3,599 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Mean: 2.71
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 3.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.05

Location: 167-167 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

P02: Political efficacy index

Index of political efficacy, built from how strongly one agrees/disagrees with the following statements: (1) Public officials don't care much what people like me think (2) People like me don't have any say about what the government does. Those who rank high on this index were more likely to indicate that people can influence their government on each of these items.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	High efficacy	886	24.3 %
2	-	786	21.5 %
3	-	1177	32.3 %
4	Low efficacy	781	21.4 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	19	0.5 %
	Total	3,649	100%

Based upon 3,630 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Mean: 2.51
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 3.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.08

Location: 168-168 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

P03: Big government index

Index of attitude toward big government, built from selections from the following pairs of statements: (1) One of the main reasons government has become bigger over the years is because it has gotten involved in things that people should do for themselves OR Government has become bigger because the problems we face have become bigger (reversed); (2) We need a strong government to handle today's complex economic problems OR The free market can handle these problems without government becoming involved; (3) The less government the better OR There are more things that government should be doing (reversed). Those who rank high on this index were more likely to feel that big government was necessary on each of these items.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	High support	1140	31.2 %
2	-	801	22.0 %
3	-	696	19.1 %
4	Low support	949	26.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	63	1.7 %
	Total	3,649	100%

Based upon 3,586 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Mean: 2.41
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.19

Location: 169-169 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

P04: Country needs strong leader

Do you agree or disagree that what our country needs is a strong, determined leader who will crush evil and take us back to our true path?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Strongly agree	926	25.4 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
2	Somewhat agree	978	26.8 %
3	Neither agree nor disagree	699	19.2 %
4	Somewhat disagree	459	12.6 %
5	Strongly disagree	573	15.7 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	14	0.4 %
	Total	3,649	100%

Based upon 3,635 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 170-170 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

P05: Strong leader good for U.S.

Do you agree or disagree that having a strong leader in government is good for the U.S. even if the leader bends the rules to get things done?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Strongly agree	313	8.6 %
2	Somewhat agree	1029	28.2 %
3	Neither agree nor disagree	756	20.7 %
4	Somewhat disagree	915	25.1 %
5	Strongly disagree	614	16.8 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	22	0.6 %
	Total	3,649	100%

Based upon 3,627 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 171-171 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

P06: Trust in politicians index

Index of trust in politicians, built from how strongly one agrees or disagrees with the following statements: (1) Most politicians do not care about people (reversed); (2) Most politicians are trustworthy; (3) Politicians are the main problem in the U.S. (reversed).

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	High trust	531	14.6 %
2	-	994	27.2 %
3	-	1062	29.1 %
4	Low trust	1035	28.4 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	27	0.7 %
	Total	3,649	100%

Based upon 3,622 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Mean: 2.72
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 3.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.03

Location: 172-172 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

P07: Politics too complicated

Do you agree or disagree that politics and government seem so complicated that you can't really understand what's going on?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Strongly agree	203	5.6 %
2	Somewhat agree	791	21.7 %
3	Neither agree nor disagree	994	27.2 %
4	Somewhat disagree	1317	36.1 %
5	Strongly disagree	329	9.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	15	0.4 %
	Total	3,649	100%

Based upon 3,634 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 173-173 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

P08: Elections make government responsive

How much do you feel that having elections makes the government pay attention to what people think?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	A great deal	990	27.1 %
2	Some	1699	46.6 %
3	Not much	948	26.0 %
Missing Data			
9	NA	12	0.3 %
Total		3,649	100%

Based upon 3,637 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 174-174 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

P09: Rich buy elections

How often do you think rich people buy elections?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	All of the time	355	9.7 %
2	Most of the time	870	23.8 %
3	Half of the time	538	14.7 %
4	Some of the time	1434	39.3 %
5	Never	400	11.0 %
Missing Data			
9	NA	52	1.4 %
Total		3,649	100%

Based upon 3,597 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 175-175 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

P10: Votes counted fairly

How often do you think votes are counted fairly?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	All of the time	643	17.6 %
2	Most of the time	2019	55.3 %
3	Half of the time	442	12.1 %
4	Some of the time	373	10.2 %
5	Never	143	3.9 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	29	0.8 %
	Total	3,649	100%

Based upon 3,620 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 176-176 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

P11: Party control of government

Do you think it is better when one party controls both the presidency and Congress or better when control is split between parties?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	One party control better	943	25.8 %
2	Does not matter	858	23.5 %
3	Divided government better	1810	49.6 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	38	1.0 %
	Total	3,649	100%

Based upon 3,611 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 177-177 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

P12: Should politicians compromise?

Would you prefer a government official who compromises to get things done or who sticks to his or her principles no matter what?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Should compromise	2350	64.4 %
2	Should stick to principles	1259	34.5 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Missing Data		
9	NA	40	1.1 %
	Total	3,649	100%

Based upon 3,609 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 178-178 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

R01: Gender

Gender of respondent

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Male	1690	46.3 %
2	Female	1917	52.5 %
3	Other	9	0.2 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	33	0.9 %
	Total	3,649	100%

Based upon 3,616 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 179-179 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

R02: Race/ethnicity

Respondent's racial self-identification

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	White	2631	72.1 %
2	Black	343	9.4 %
3	Hispanic	373	10.2 %
4	Asian-American	114	3.1 %
5	Other	165	4.5 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	23	0.6 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Total	3,649	100%

Based upon 3,626 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 180-180 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

R03: Age

What is your age?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	18-24	286	7.8 %
2	25-34	615	16.9 %
3	35-44	554	15.2 %
4	45-54	588	16.1 %
5	55-64	702	19.2 %
6	65-74	522	14.3 %
7	75+	286	7.8 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	96	2.6 %
	Total	3,649	100%

Based upon 3,553 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 181-181 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

R04: Marital status

Are you now married, widowed, divorced, separated or never married? Are you currently living with a partner, or not?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Married	1815	49.7 %
2	Domestic partner	339	9.3 %
3	Widowed	239	6.5 %
4	Divorced/Separated	547	15.0 %
5	Never married	691	18.9 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Missing Data		
9	NA	18	0.5 %
	Total	3,649	100%

Based upon 3,631 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 182-182 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

R05: Sexual orientation

Do you consider yourself to be heterosexual or straight, homosexual or gay, or bisexual?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Heterosexual	3366	92.2 %
2	Gay/lesbian	99	2.7 %
3	Bisexual	102	2.8 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	82	2.2 %
	Total	3,649	100%

Based upon 3,567 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 183-183 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

R06: Children at home

How many children or teenagers age 0 to 17 live in this household?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	None	2425	66.5 %
2	One	534	14.6 %
3	Two	439	12.0 %
4	Three or more	240	6.6 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	11	0.3 %
	Total	3,649	100%

Based upon 3,638 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 184-184 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

R07: Education

What is the highest level of school you have completed or the highest degree you have received?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Less than HS	230	6.3 %
2	HS diploma	674	18.5 %
3	Some college	1268	34.7 %
4	College graduate	843	23.1 %
5	Graduate degree	603	16.5 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	31	0.8 %
	Total	3,649	100%

Based upon 3,618 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 185-185 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

R08: Family income

What was the total family income in 2015 for all of your family members living here?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Less than \$20K	596	16.3 %
2	\$20K to \$35K	517	14.2 %
3	\$35K to \$55K	617	16.9 %
4	\$55K to \$80K	567	15.5 %
5	\$80K to \$125K	660	18.1 %
6	More than \$125K	539	14.8 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	153	4.2 %
	Total	3,649	100%

Based upon 3,496 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 6.00

Location: 186-186 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

R09: Employment status

Are you working now, temporarily laid off, or are you unemployed, retired, permanently disabled, a homemaker, a student, or what?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Currently working	2243	61.5 %
2	Unemployed	219	6.0 %
3	Retired/disabled	900	24.7 %
4	Homemaker	190	5.2 %
5	Student	86	2.4 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	11	0.3 %
	Total	3,649	100%

Based upon 3,638 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 187-187 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

R10: Social class

Most people say they belong to either the middle class or the working class. Do you ever think of yourself as belonging in one of these classes? Which one?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Middle class	2043	56.0 %
2	Working class	1556	42.6 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	50	1.4 %
	Total	3,649	100%

Based upon 3,599 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 188-188 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

R11: Union membership

Do you or anyone else in this household belong to a labor union or to an employee association similar to a union?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	493	13.5 %
2	No	3139	86.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	17	0.5 %
	Total	3,649	100%

Based upon 3,632 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 189-189 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

R12: Religious affiliation

What is your religious preference or affiliation? The mainline Protestant category includes most Presbyterians, Methodists, and Lutherans, along with some smaller Protestant denominations. The evangelical Protestant category includes Baptists, Pentecostals, and a number of smaller evangelical groups. The other Christian group includes Mormons, Jehovah's Witnesses, Eastern Orthodox churches, along with other Christian churches not considered Protestant or Roman Catholic.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Catholic	790	21.6 %
2	Mainline Protestant	749	20.5 %
3	Evangelical Protestant	989	27.1 %
4	Other Christian	158	4.3 %
5	Jewish	75	2.1 %
6	Other Non-Christian	92	2.5 %
7	None	751	20.6 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	45	1.2 %
	Total	3,649	100%

Based upon 3,604 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 190-190 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

R13: Church attendance

How often do you attend religious services, apart from occasional weddings, baptisms or funerals?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Every week	673	18.4 %
2	Almost every week	463	12.7 %
3	Once or twice a month	395	10.8 %
4	A few times a year	608	16.7 %
5	Never	1495	41.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	15	0.4 %
	Total	3,649	100%

Based upon 3,634 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 191-191 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

R14: Importance of religion

Do you consider religion to be an important part of your life?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Important	2361	64.7 %
2	Not important	1268	34.7 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	20	0.5 %
	Total	3,649	100%

Based upon 3,629 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 192-192 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

R15: Religious guidance in life

How much guidance does religion provide in your day-to-day living?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Great deal	1229	33.7 %
2	Quite a bit	650	17.8 %
3	Some	473	13.0 %
4	Not at all	1268	34.7 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	29	0.8 %
	Total	3,649	100%

Based upon 3,620 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 193-193 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

R16: Bible interpretation

Which of these statements comes closest to describing your feelings about the Bible?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Word of God	1001	27.4 %
2	Word of God but not literal	1671	45.8 %
3	Word of Man	920	25.2 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	57	1.6 %
	Total	3,649	100%

Based upon 3,592 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 194-194 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

R17: Born again?

Would you call yourself a born-again Christian, that is, have you personally had a conversion experience related to Jesus Christ?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	1146	31.4 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
2	No	2494	68.3 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	9	0.2 %
	Total	3,649	100%

Based upon 3,640 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 195-195 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

R18: Military service

Did you serve in the U.S. Armed Forces?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Served in military	395	10.8 %
2	Did not serve	3246	89.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	8	0.2 %
	Total	3,649	100%

Based upon 3,641 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 196-196 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

R19: Home ownership

Do you pay rent for your home, own your home, or have some other living arrangement?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Own home	2251	61.7 %
2	Pay rent	1105	30.3 %
3	Other arrangement	273	7.5 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	20	0.5 %
	Total	3,649	100%

Based upon 3,629 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 197-197 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

R20: Where respondent grew up

Where did you mainly grow up?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Northeast	805	22.1 %
2	Midwest	1129	30.9 %
3	South	891	24.4 %
4	West	665	18.2 %
5	U.S. territory	13	0.4 %
6	Outside U.S.	123	3.4 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	23	0.6 %
	Total	3,649	100%

Based upon 3,626 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 6.00

Location: 198-198 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

R21: Birthplace of parents

Where were your parents born?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Both born in U.S.	2975	81.5 %
2	One born in U.S.	216	5.9 %
3	Neither born in U.S.	434	11.9 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	24	0.7 %
	Total	3,649	100%

Based upon 3,625 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 199-199 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

R22: Gun ownership

Do you or anyone else living here own a gun?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	No guns	2347	64.3 %
2	1-2 guns	556	15.2 %
3	3 or more guns	551	15.1 %
Missing Data			
9	NA	195	5.3 %
Total		3,649	100%

Based upon 3,454 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 200-200 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

R23: Sexual orientation of friends

Among your immediate family members, relatives, neighbors, co-workers, or close friends, are any of them gay, lesbian, or bisexual as far as you know?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Have gay friends	1980	54.3 %
2	No gay friends	1590	43.6 %
Missing Data			
9	NA	79	2.2 %
Total		3,649	100%

Based upon 3,570 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 201-201 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

R24: Region of residence

Region of the country in which R resides

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Northeast	729	20.0 %
2	Midwest	978	26.8 %
3	South	1148	31.5 %
4	West	794	21.8 %
	Total	3,649	100%

Based upon 3,649 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 202-202 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

WEIGHT: Weight

WEIGHT

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0.0885	-	1	0.0 %
0.0957	-	1	0.0 %
0.1162	-	1	0.0 %
0.1267	-	1	0.0 %
0.1390	-	1	0.0 %
0.1412	-	1	0.0 %
0.1468	-	1	0.0 %
0.1639	-	1	0.0 %
0.1697	-	1	0.0 %
0.1713	-	1	0.0 %
0.1756	-	1	0.0 %
0.1873	-	1	0.0 %
0.1886	-	1	0.0 %
0.1903	-	1	0.0 %
0.1921	-	1	0.0 %
0.1942	-	1	0.0 %
0.1996	-	1	0.0 %
0.2039	-	1	0.0 %
0.2055	-	1	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0.2083	-	1	0.0 %
0.2099	-	1	0.0 %
0.2116	-	1	0.0 %
0.2155	-	1	0.0 %
0.2198	-	1	0.0 %
0.2219	-	1	0.0 %
0.2231	-	1	0.0 %
0.2235	-	1	0.0 %
0.2239	-	1	0.0 %
0.2240	-	1	0.0 %
0.2254	-	1	0.0 %
0.2257	-	1	0.0 %
0.2316	-	1	0.0 %
0.2332	-	1	0.0 %
0.2381	-	1	0.0 %
0.2410	-	1	0.0 %
0.2464	-	1	0.0 %
0.2479	-	1	0.0 %
0.2505	-	1	0.0 %
0.2553	-	1	0.0 %
0.2573	-	2	0.1 %
0.2579	-	2	0.1 %
0.2583	-	1	0.0 %
0.2598	-	1	0.0 %
0.2601	-	1	0.0 %
0.2613	-	1	0.0 %
0.2618	-	1	0.0 %
0.2624	-	1	0.0 %
0.2633	-	1	0.0 %
0.2651	-	1	0.0 %
0.2670	-	1	0.0 %
	Total	3,649	100%

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 3,649 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

- Mean: 1.0000
- Minimum: 0.0885
- Maximum: 6.4445
- Standard Deviation: 0.6781

Location: 203-208 (width: 6; decimal: 4)
Variable Type: numeric

CASEID: CASEID

CASEID

Based upon 3,649 valid cases out of 3,649 total cases.

Location: 209-214 (width: 6; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric