# What algorithm have you implemented?

I first implement original Actor-Critic version, then PPO(Proximal Policy Optimization). Here's the rewards comparison:

Actor-C	Critic			PPO		
Episode 2		length: 154	reward: -396.04188633687227	Episode 20	avg length: 79	reward: -137
Episode 4		length: 118	reward: -267.82300554392606	Episode 40	avg length: 86	reward: -183
Episode 6		length: 150	reward: -517.5924279392121	Episode 60	avg length: 90	reward: -160
Episode 8		length: 153	reward: -423.0069357130834	Episode 80	avg length: 78	reward: -178
Episode 1		length: 113	reward: -326.1209005643042	Episode 100	avg length: 80	reward: -135
Episode 1		length: 98	reward: -242.49694728043406	Episode 120	avg length: 80	reward: -124
Episode 1		length: 89	reward: -449.4194637776465	Episode 140	avg length: 83	reward: -148
Episode 1		length: 87	reward: -482.7429554841181	Episode 160	avg length: 83	reward: -130
Episode 1		length: 69	reward: -202.55485482418368	Episode 180	avg length: 84	reward: -125
Episode 2		length: 81	reward: -72.84484324908954	Episode 200	avg length: 83	reward: -115
Episode 2		length: 153	reward: -67.53457492940767	Episode 220	avg length: 82	reward: -112
Episode 2		length: 98	reward: -55.19903008630845	Episode 240	avg length: 84	reward: -98
Episode 2		length: 142	reward: -71.64755129128199	Episode 260	avg length: 90	reward: -87
Episode 2		length: 114	reward: -154.9368873101258	Episode 280	avg length: 105	reward: -84
Episode 3		length: 164	reward: -94.65030034212754	Episode 300	avg length: 110	reward: -86
Episode 3		length: 114	reward: -65.86661435346787	Episode 320	avg length: 141	reward: -72
Episode 3		length: 240	reward: -142.0397544048803	Episode 340	avg length: 154	reward: -50
Episode 3		length: 113	reward: -7.190940598384961	Episode 360	avg length: 222	reward: -43
Episode 3		length: 90	reward: -13.850279174129543	Episode 380	avg length: 234	reward: 0
Episode 4		length: 999	reward: -31.896496560252377	Episode 400	avg length: 209	reward: 13
Episode 4		length: 533	reward: -167.72595909472014	Episode 420	avg length: 255	reward: 15
Episode 4		length: 107	reward: 15.139900602529238	Episode 440	avg length: 235	reward: 39
Episode 4		length: 109	reward: -2.381383773087257	Episode 460	avg length: 234	reward: 67
Episode 4		length: 999	reward: 25.760769990764015	Episode 480	avg length: 208	reward: 37
Episode 5		length: 98	reward: 24.396068747841376	Episode 500	avg length: 266	reward: 43
Episode 5		length: 84	reward: -8.112633434886545	Episode 520	avg length: 252	reward: 68
Episode 5		length: 105	reward: -10.359116183660918	Episode 540	avg length: 231	reward: 86
Episode !		length: 352	reward: 15.981948831060397	Episode 560	avg length: 233	reward: 77
Episode !		length: 133	reward: -26.934258157859848	Episode 580	avg length: 221	reward: 83
Episode (		length: 87	reward: -30.692083237474	Episode 600 Episode 620	avg length: 247	reward: 68 reward: 84
Episode (		length: 132	reward: 42.808327701430144	Episode 640	avg length: 252 avg length: 222	reward: 42
Episode (		length: 265	reward: 55.447502891734544	Episode 660	avg length: 224	reward: 76
Episode (		length: 661	reward: 58.21749017306778	Episode 680	avg length: 180	reward: 52
Episode (		length: 211	reward: 70.35205757349966	Episode 700	avg length: 209	reward: 59
Episode		length: 119	reward: 0.3060176177746371	Episode 720	avg length: 166	reward: 37
Episode :		length: 124	reward: 6.983869297775692	Episode 740	avg length: 180	reward: 50
Episode :		length: 125	reward: 24.21127854731189 reward: 159.17501666524296	Episode 760	avg length: 152	reward: 32
Episode :		length: 186	reward: 77.06971429338077	Episode 780	avg length: 202	reward: 19
Episode		length: 480 length: 194	reward: //.009/14293380// reward: -60.57491026973844	Episode 800	avg length: 197	reward: 33
Episode 8		_ ~ .	reward: 139.91903861701533	Episode 820	avg length: 195	reward: 81
Episode 8		length: 310 length: 155	reward: 93.73931904323548	Episode 840	avg length: 213	reward: 80
Episode 8		length: 185	reward: 164.68134109540782	Episode 860	avg length: 265	reward: 101
Episode 8		length: 253	reward: 135.43161183693707	Episode 880	avg length: 249	reward: 103
Episode 9		length: 397	reward: 130.396809300553	Episode 900	avg length: 268	reward: 124
•		length: 159	reward: 98.11736578930024	Episode 920	avg length: 269	reward: 137
Fnisode (		length: 135	reward: 118.98843261917996	Episode 940 Episode 960	avg length: 264	reward: 110
Episode 9	940		, end, d. 110.3007320131/330	EDISOUE 960	avg length: 259	reward: 99
Episode 9				•	ava longth. 249	nowand. OF
Episode 9 Episode 9	960	length: 175	reward: 198.11829463544026	Episode 980	avg length: 248	reward: 95
Episode 9 Episode 9 Episode 9	960 980	length: 175 length: 136	reward: 198.11829463544026 reward: 130.3790677522589	Episode 980 Episode 1000	avg length: 268	reward: 137
Episode 9 Episode 9 Episode 9 Episode 3	960 980 1000	length: 175 length: 136 length: 372	reward: 198.11829463544026 reward: 130.3790677522589 reward: 155.0528370037614	Episode 980 Episode 1000 Episode 1020	avg length: 268 avg length: 262	reward: 137 reward: 120
Episode 9 Episode 9 Episode 9	960 980 1000 1020	length: 175 length: 136	reward: 198.11829463544026 reward: 130.3790677522589	Episode 980 Episode 1000	avg length: 268	reward: 137

I think PPO is much more stable than AC but it improves slower.

## • How do you implement the algorithm? (I will only discuss PPO version)

- 1. Read the PPO paper https://arxiv.org/abs/1707.06347
- 2. Use Pytorch

## **ActorCritic part:**

Action layer:

Sequential layers:Use Linear layers and Tanh activation function for first 2 times, and Linear layer with Softmax regression on output layer.

## Critic layer:

Sequential layers:Use Linear layers and Tanh activation function for first 2 times, and Linear layer on output layer.

## PPO part:

Optimizer: Adam

Policy(actor-critic) update:

Step 1: Use Monte Carlo estimate state rewards.

Step 2: Normalize the rewards

Step 3: Convert list of actions, states and log probabilities to tensor for later computation.

Step 4: Optimize policy for K epochs(K is 4)

Step 5: In each epoch:

Evaluate old actions and values

Find ratio (the explanation and code below)

But as we refine the current policy, the difference between the current and the old policy is getting larger. The variance of the estimation will increase. So, say for every 4 iterations, we synchronize the second network with the current policy again.

$$\pi_{\theta_{k+1}}(a_t|s_t) \leftarrow \pi_{\theta}(a_t|s_t)$$

In PPO, we compute a ratio between the new policy and the old policy:

$$r_t(\theta) = \pi_{\theta}(a_t|s_t)/\pi_{\theta_k}(a_t|s_t)$$

#### Code:

```
for i in range(self.K_epochs):
    logprobs, state_val, d_entropy = self.policy.evaluation(old_states,
    ratios = torch.exp(logprobs-old_logprob.detach())
```

Find surrogate loss(the explanation and code below)

#### Algorithm 5 PPO with Clipped Objective

Input: initial policy parameters  $\theta_0$ , clipping threshold  $\epsilon$  for k=0,1,2,... do Collect set of partial trajectories  $\mathcal{D}_k$  on policy  $\pi_k=\pi(\theta_k)$  Estimate advantages  $\hat{A}_t^{\pi_k}$  using any advantage estimation algorithm Compute policy update

$$heta_{k+1} = rg \max_{ heta} \mathcal{L}^{\mathit{CLIP}}_{ heta_k}( heta)$$

by taking K steps of minibatch SGD (via Adam), where

$$\mathcal{L}_{ heta_k}^{ extit{CLIP}}( heta) = \mathop{\mathbb{E}}_{ au \sim \pi_k} \left[ \sum_{t=0}^T \left[ \min(r_t( heta) \hat{A}_t^{\pi_k}, \operatorname{clip}\left(r_t( heta), 1 - \epsilon, 1 + \epsilon
ight) \hat{A}_t^{\pi_k}) 
ight] 
ight]$$

end for

- Clipped Objective
  - New objective function: let  $r_t(\theta) = \pi_{\theta}(a_t|s_t)/\pi_{\theta_k}(a_t|s_t)$ . Then

$$\mathcal{L}_{ heta_k}^{\mathit{CLIP}}( heta) = \mathop{\mathbb{E}}_{ au \sim \pi_k} \left[ \sum_{t=0}^{\mathcal{T}} \left[ \min(r_t( heta) \hat{A}_t^{\pi_k}, \mathsf{clip}\left(r_t( heta), 1 - \epsilon, 1 + \epsilon
ight) \hat{A}_t^{\pi_k}) 
ight] 
ight]$$

where  $\epsilon$  is a hyperparameter (maybe  $\epsilon=0.2$ )

• Policy update is  $\theta_{k+1} = \arg \max_{\theta} \mathcal{L}_{\theta_k}^{CLIP}(\theta)$ 

#### Code:

```
advantages = rewards - state_val.detach()
surrogate1 = ratios*advantages
surrogate2 = torch.clamp(ratios, 1-self.clip, 1+self.clip)*advantages
loss = -torch.min(surrogate1, surrogate2)+0.5*self.MseLoss(state_val, rewards)-0.01*d_entropy
```

Take gradient step:

```
self.optimizer.zero_grad()
loss.mean().backward()
self.optimizer.step()

for input, target in dataset:
    optimizer.zero_grad()
    output = model(input)
    loss = loss_fn(output, target)
    loss.backward()
    optimizer.step()
```

Example:

Step 6: Copy new weights into old policy then start another epoch again.

## Anything you have tried?

1. I tried to run gym environment on colab, but showed error. I searched on google and solved it by adding this:

```
!apt-get install xvfb
!pip install pyvirtualdisplay
!pip install Pillow
from pyvirtualdisplay import Display
display = Display(visible=0, size=(1400, 900))
display.start()
```

(since there's no window for colab to display the environment)

## What hyper parameters have you tried?

#### Reference:

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1fNVfqgAifDWnTq-4izPPW\_CVAUu9FXl3dWkqWIXz04o/edit#gid=0

### 1. Clipping maintain 0.2

algorithm	avg. normalized score	_
No clipping or penalty	-0.39	-
Clipping, $\epsilon = 0.1$	0.76	
Clipping, $\epsilon = 0.2$	0.82	
Clipping, $\epsilon = 0.3$	0.70	(PPO paper

- 2. Discount gamma maintain 0.99
- 3. Epoch set as 4 (3 is also fine but need more time to converge)
- 4. Alter learning rate: I tried 0.003, 0.002, 0.0001(learn too slow) and 0.0005(slow) and finally chose 0.002.
- 5. Minibatches set as 2000 update\_timestep = 2000 I also tried 3000, but the result is bad.

## How you design the reward function?

### **Expected discounted reward**

The expected discounted reward  $\eta$  is calculated as:

$$\eta(\pi) = \mathbb{E}_{s_0,a_0,\dots} \left[ \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \gamma^t r(s_t) \right], \text{ where } s_0 \sim \rho_0(s_0), \ a_t \sim \pi(a_t|s_t), \ s_{t+1} \sim P(s_{t+1}|s_t,a_t).$$

Alternatively, we can compute the reward of a policy using another policy. This lays down the foundation of comparing two policies.

$$\eta(\tilde{\pi}) = \eta(\pi) + \sum_{s} \rho_{\tilde{\pi}}(s) \sum_{a} \tilde{\pi}(a|s) A_{\pi}(s,a).$$

where  $\rho_{\pi}$  be the (unnormalized) discounted visitation frequencies where  $s_0 \sim \rho_0$ 

$$\rho_{\pi}(s) = P(s_0 = s) + \gamma P(s_1 = s) + \gamma^2 P(s_2 = s) + \dots,$$

### Code:

```
for reward, is_terminal in zip(reversed(memory.rewards), reversed(m
    if is_terminal:
        discounted_reward = 0
    discounted_reward = reward + (self.gamma * discounted_reward)
    rewards.insert(0, discounted_reward)
```

(gamma is the discount factor)

## Observation

I found out that although PPO is much efficient (reward gains quickly in the beginning, but it's easily to stuck in the local minimum. Original Actor-critic version is not stable but can get to higher reward quickly.

I found out that PPO stuck in local optimum quite often (AC version does not).