# Econ 281 final project: Solving Blinder and Weiss (1976) numerically and estimating with time use data

#### Churn Ken Lee

I aim to numerically solve a discrete-time version of the model in Blinder and Weiss (1976), and then estimate the model using time use and labor market data.

## 1 Discrete-time version of Blinder and Weiss (1976)

Agents with finite lifespan T maximize lifetime utility

$$\sum_{t=0}^{T} \beta^{t} u(c_{t}, l_{t}) + B(A_{T+1})$$
(1)

where  $u(c_t, l_t)$  is their period utility over consumption and leisure, and  $B(A_{T+1})$  is their preference for bequest of financial assets at end of life,  $A_{T+1}$ . They choose consumption path  $\{c_t\}_{t=0}^T$ , leisure path  $\{l_t\}_{t=0}^T$ , and earnings-investment path  $\{x_t\}_{t=0}^T$  subject to the evolution of financial assets,

$$A_{t+1} = (1+r) * A_t + (1-l_t) * g(x_t) * K_t - c_t,$$
(2)

and human capital,

$$K_{t+1} = (1 - \delta) * K_t + a * x_t * (1 - l_t) * K_t,$$
(3)

period time constraint

$$l_t \in [0, 1], \tag{4}$$

and initial conditions  $A_0, K_0$ .

The function  $g(\cdot)$  has to satisfy several properties:

- 1.  $g(\cdot)$  is a concave, continuous, and decreasing function over the interval [0,1]
- 2. g'(0) < 0 and  $g'(1) > -\infty$
- 3. g(0) = 1 and g(1) = 0

Property 2 allows for the existence of corners  $x_t = 0$  and  $x_t = 1$  in the optimal path. For example, if g'(0) = 0, then no agent would choose x = 0 as a slightly higher x would entail no decrease in wages but a positive amount of human capital accumulation. Property 3 allows for interpreting g(x) as the proportion of potential earnings capacity, K, that is realized with the choice of x.

I chose a functional form for g(x):

$$g(x) = \frac{5}{4} - \left[ x * \left( \sqrt{\frac{5}{4}} - \frac{1}{2} \right) \right]^2.$$
 (5)

This form was chosen for simplicity, and not as an actual representation of the labor market equilibrium.

### 2 Solution strategy

I know the terminal value of the agent at t = T given state  $A_T, K_T$ :

$$V_T(A_T, K_T) = \max_{c_T, l_T} \{ u(c_T, l_T) + B(A_{T+1}) \}$$
(6)

subject to

$$A_{T+1} = (1+r) * A_T + (1-l_T) * K_T - c_T.$$
(7)

Since human capital after death,  $K_{T+1}$ , is not relevant for the agent, we know that  $x_T = 0$  regardless of the choice of  $l_T$ . I compute  $V_T(A_T, K_T)$  over a grid of values for  $A_T$  and  $K_T$  and obtain policy functions  $c_T(A_T, K_T)$ ,  $l_T(A_T, K_T)$ . I then interpolated  $V_T(A_T, K_T)$  over those grid points using a bivariate cubic spline to obtain a continuous function of  $V_T(A_T, K_T)$ .

The value of the agent at t = T - 1 given state  $A_{T-1}, K_{T-1}$  is

$$V_{T-1}(A_{T-1}, K_{T-1}) = \max_{c_{T-1}, l_{T-1}, x_{T-1}} \left\{ u(c_{T-1}, l_{T-1}) + \beta * V_T(A_T, K_T) \right\}$$
(8)

subject to

$$A_T = (1+r) * A_{T-1} + (1-l_{T-1}) * g(x_{T-1}) * K_{T-1} - c_{T-1},$$
(9)

$$K_T = (1 - \delta) * K_{T-1} + a * x_{T-1} * (1 - l_{T-1}) * K_{T-1}, \tag{10}$$

$$l_{T-1} \in [0,1]. \tag{11}$$

Since I have  $V_T(A_T, K_T)$ , I can compute  $V_{T-1}(A_{T-1}, K_{T-1})$ ,  $c_{T-1}(A_{T-1}, K_{T-1})$ ,  $l_T(A_{T-1}, K_{T-1})$ ,  $x_T(A_{T-1}, K_{T-1})$  over a grid of values of  $A_{T-1}$  and  $K_{T-1}$ . I interpolate  $V_{T-1}(A_{T-1}, K_{T-1})$  over those grid points to obtain a continuous function  $V_{T-1}(A_{T-1}, K_{T-1})$ . I can then repeat the process for t = T - 2 and so on, to eventually obtain the value function and policy functions for all t.

### References

Blinder, Alan S. and Yoram Weiss (1976). "Human Capital and Labor Supply: A Synthesis". In: *Journal of Political Economy* 84.3, pp. 449–472. ISSN: 00223808, 1537534X. URL: http://www.jstor.org/stable/1829864.