

5.4 Rank (in)equalities

Exercise 5.41 (Two zero blocks, rank)

(a) For any two matrices \mathbf{A} and \mathbf{D} (not necessarily square), show that

$$\operatorname{rk} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{A} & \mathbf{O} \\ \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{D} \end{pmatrix} = \operatorname{rk}(\mathbf{A}) + \operatorname{rk}(\mathbf{D}).$$

(b) For any two matrices \mathbf{B} and \mathbf{C} (not necessarily square), show that

$$\operatorname{rk} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{B} \\ \mathbf{C} & \mathbf{O} \end{pmatrix} = \operatorname{rk}(\mathbf{B}) + \operatorname{rk}(\mathbf{C}).$$

Solution

(a) The rank of a matrix is equal to the number of its linearly independent columns. Let

$$\mathbf{Z} := \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{A} & \mathbf{O} \\ \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{D} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \tilde{\mathbf{A}} := \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{A} \\ \mathbf{O} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \tilde{\mathbf{D}} := \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{O} \\ \mathbf{D} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let $\tilde{\mathbf{a}} := (\mathbf{a}'_1 \mathbf{0}')'$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{d}} := (\mathbf{0}', \mathbf{d}')'$ be two nonzero columns of $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{D}}$, respectively. Then $\tilde{\mathbf{a}}$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{d}}$ are linearly independent, because if

$$\lambda_1 \tilde{\mathbf{a}} + \lambda_2 \tilde{\mathbf{d}} = \lambda_1 \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{a} \\ \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix} + \lambda_2 \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{d} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 \mathbf{a} \\ \lambda_2 \mathbf{d} \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{0},$$

then $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = 0$ (since $\tilde{\mathbf{a}}$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{d}}$ are nonzero). This implies that $\operatorname{rk}(\tilde{\mathbf{A}} : \tilde{\mathbf{D}}) = \operatorname{rk}(\tilde{\mathbf{A}}) + \operatorname{rk}(\tilde{\mathbf{D}})$ and hence that $\operatorname{rk}(\mathbf{Z}) = \operatorname{rk}(\mathbf{A}) + \operatorname{rk}(\mathbf{D})$.

(b) The rank does not change if we interchange columns. Hence,

$$\operatorname{rk} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{B} \\ \mathbf{C} & \mathbf{O} \end{pmatrix} = \operatorname{rk} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{B} & \mathbf{O} \\ \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{C} \end{pmatrix} = \operatorname{rk}(\mathbf{B}) + \operatorname{rk}(\mathbf{C}),$$

using (a).

Exercise 5.42 (One off-diagonal zero block, rank) Consider the matrices

$$\mathbf{Z}_1 := \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{A} & \mathbf{B} \\ \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{D} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{Z}_2 := \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{A} & \mathbf{O} \\ \mathbf{C} & \mathbf{D} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Show that it is *not* true, in general, that $\operatorname{rk}(\mathbf{Z}_1) = \operatorname{rk}(\mathbf{A}) + \operatorname{rk}(\mathbf{D})$ or that $\operatorname{rk}(\mathbf{Z}_2) = \operatorname{rk}(\mathbf{A}) + \operatorname{rk}(\mathbf{D})$.

Solution

Take $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{O}$ and $\mathbf{D} = \mathbf{O}$. Then $\operatorname{rk}(\mathbf{A}) = \operatorname{rk}(\mathbf{D}) = 0$, but $\operatorname{rk}(\mathbf{Z}_1) = \operatorname{rk}(\mathbf{B})$ and $\operatorname{rk}(\mathbf{Z}_2) = \operatorname{rk}(\mathbf{C})$, which are not zero, unless $\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{O}$ and $\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{O}$.

Exercise 5.43 (Nonsingular diagonal block, rank) Consider the matrices \mathbf{Z}_1 and \mathbf{Z}_2 of Exercise 5.42. If either \mathbf{A} or \mathbf{D} (or both) is nonsingular, show that

$$\operatorname{rk}(\mathbf{Z}_1) = \operatorname{rk}(\mathbf{Z}_2) = \operatorname{rk}(\mathbf{A}) + \operatorname{rk}(\mathbf{D}).$$

Is this condition necessary?

Solution

First, if $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{I}_m$ and $\mathbf{D} = \mathbf{I}_n$, then both Z_1 and Z_2 are nonsingular (their determinant is 1 by Exercise 5.25). Now assume that $|\mathbf{A}| \neq 0$. Then,

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{A} & \mathbf{B} \\ \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{D} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{I}_m & -\mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{B} \\ \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{I}_q \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{A} & \mathbf{O} \\ \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{D} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{I}_m & \mathbf{O} \\ -\mathbf{C}\mathbf{A}^{-1} & \mathbf{I}_n \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{A} & \mathbf{O} \\ \mathbf{C} & \mathbf{D} \end{pmatrix}$$

and the result follows from Exercise 4.24. Similarly, if $|\mathbf{D}| \neq 0$, we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{I}_m & -\mathbf{B}\mathbf{D}^{-1} \\ \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{I}_n \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{A} & \mathbf{B} \\ \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{D} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{A} & \mathbf{O} \\ \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{D} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{A} & \mathbf{O} \\ \mathbf{C} & \mathbf{D} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{I}_p & \mathbf{O} \\ -\mathbf{D}^{-1}\mathbf{C} & \mathbf{I}_n \end{pmatrix}.$$

The condition is not necessary. For example, if $\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{O}$ and $\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{O}$, then $\text{rk}(Z_1)$ and $\text{rk}(Z_2)$ are both equal to $\text{rk}(\mathbf{A}) + \text{rk}(\mathbf{D})$ whatever the ranks of \mathbf{A} and \mathbf{D} .

Exercise 5.44 (Nonsingular off-diagonal block, rank) Consider again the matrices Z_1 and Z_2 of Exercise 5.42. Show that

$$\text{rk}(Z_1) = \text{rk}(\mathbf{B}) + \text{rk}(\mathbf{D}\mathbf{B}^{-1}\mathbf{A})$$

if \mathbf{B} is square and nonsingular, and

$$\text{rk}(Z_2) = \text{rk}(\mathbf{C}) + \text{rk}(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{C}^{-1}\mathbf{D})$$

if \mathbf{C} is square and nonsingular.

Solution

The results follow from the equalities

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{I}_m & \mathbf{O} \\ -\mathbf{D}\mathbf{B}^{-1} & \mathbf{I}_n \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{A} & \mathbf{B} \\ \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{D} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{I}_p \\ \mathbf{I}_m & -\mathbf{B}^{-1}\mathbf{A} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{B} & \mathbf{O} \\ \mathbf{O} & -\mathbf{D}\mathbf{B}^{-1}\mathbf{A} \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{I}_n \\ \mathbf{I}_m & -\mathbf{A}\mathbf{C}^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{A} & \mathbf{O} \\ \mathbf{C} & \mathbf{D} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{I}_n & -\mathbf{C}^{-1}\mathbf{D} \\ \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{I}_q \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{C} & \mathbf{O} \\ \mathbf{O} & -\mathbf{A}\mathbf{C}^{-1}\mathbf{D} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Exercise 5.45 (Rank inequalities, 1)

(a) Prove that

$$\text{rk} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{A} & \mathbf{B} \\ \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{D} \end{pmatrix} \geq \text{rk}(\mathbf{A}) + \text{rk}(\mathbf{D}), \quad \text{rk} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{A} & \mathbf{O} \\ \mathbf{C} & \mathbf{D} \end{pmatrix} \geq \text{rk}(\mathbf{A}) + \text{rk}(\mathbf{D}).$$

(b) Show that it is not true, in general, that

$$\text{rk} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{A} & \mathbf{B} \\ \mathbf{C} & \mathbf{D} \end{pmatrix} \geq \text{rk}(\mathbf{A}) + \text{rk}(\mathbf{D}).$$

Solution

(a) Let

$$\mathbf{Z} := \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{A} & \mathbf{B} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{D} \end{pmatrix},$$

where the orders of the matrices are: \mathbf{A} ($m \times p$), \mathbf{B} ($m \times q$), and \mathbf{D} ($n \times q$). Suppose that $r := \text{rk}(\mathbf{A}) \leq p$ and that $s := \text{rk}(\mathbf{D}) \leq q$. Then \mathbf{A} has r linearly independent columns, say $\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_r$, and \mathbf{D} has s linearly independent columns, say $\mathbf{d}_1, \dots, \mathbf{d}_s$. Let \mathbf{b}_j denote the column of \mathbf{B} directly above \mathbf{d}_j in the matrix \mathbf{Z} . Now consider the set of $r + s$ columns of \mathbf{Z} ,

$$\left(\begin{matrix} \mathbf{a}_1 \\ \mathbf{0} \end{matrix} \right), \left(\begin{matrix} \mathbf{a}_2 \\ \mathbf{0} \end{matrix} \right), \left(\begin{matrix} \mathbf{a}_r \\ \mathbf{0} \end{matrix} \right), \dots, \left(\begin{matrix} \mathbf{b}_1 \\ \mathbf{d}_1 \end{matrix} \right), \left(\begin{matrix} \mathbf{b}_2 \\ \mathbf{d}_2 \end{matrix} \right), \dots, \left(\begin{matrix} \mathbf{b}_s \\ \mathbf{d}_s \end{matrix} \right).$$

We shall show that these $r + s$ columns are linearly independent. Suppose they are linearly dependent. Then there exist numbers $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r$ and β_1, \dots, β_s , not all zero, such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^r \alpha_i \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{a}_i \\ \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix} + \sum_{j=1}^s \beta_j \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{b}_j \\ \mathbf{d}_j \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{0}.$$

This gives the two equations

$$\sum_{i=1}^r \alpha_i \mathbf{a}_i + \sum_{j=1}^s \beta_j \mathbf{b}_j = \mathbf{0}, \quad \sum_{j=1}^s \beta_j \mathbf{d}_j = \mathbf{0}.$$

Since the $\{\mathbf{d}_j\}$ are linearly independent, the second equation implies that $\beta_j = 0$ for all j . The first equation then reduces to $\sum_{i=1}^r \alpha_i \mathbf{a}_i = \mathbf{0}$. Since the $\{\mathbf{a}_i\}$ are linearly independent as well, all α_i are zero. We now have a contradiction. The matrix \mathbf{Z} thus possesses (at least) $r + s$ linearly independent columns, so that $\text{rk}(\mathbf{Z}) \geq r + s = \text{rk}(\mathbf{A}) + \text{rk}(\mathbf{D})$.

The second result can be proved analogously. Alternatively, it can be proved from the first result by considering the transpose:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{rk} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{A} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{C} & \mathbf{D} \end{pmatrix} &= \text{rk} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{A} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{C} & \mathbf{D} \end{pmatrix}' = \text{rk} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{A}' & \mathbf{C}' \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{D}' \end{pmatrix} \\ &\geq \text{rk}(\mathbf{A}') + \text{rk}(\mathbf{D}') = \text{rk}(\mathbf{A}) + \text{rk}(\mathbf{D}). \end{aligned}$$

(b) Consider

$$\mathbf{Z} := \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{A} & \mathbf{B} \\ \mathbf{C} & \mathbf{D} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{I}_m & \mathbf{I}_m \\ \mathbf{I}_m & \mathbf{I}_m \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then $\text{rk}(\mathbf{A}) = \text{rk}(\mathbf{D}) = \text{rk}(\mathbf{Z}) = m$, so that the inequality does not hold.

Exercise 5.46 (Rank inequalities, 2) Consider the matrices

$$\mathbf{Z}_1 := \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{A} & \mathbf{B} \\ \mathbf{C} & \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{Z}_2 := \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{B} \\ \mathbf{C} & \mathbf{D} \end{pmatrix}.$$

(a) If either \mathbf{B} or \mathbf{C} (or both) is nonsingular, then show that

$$\text{rk}(\mathbf{Z}_1) = \text{rk}(\mathbf{Z}_2) = \text{rk}(\mathbf{B}) + \text{rk}(\mathbf{C}).$$

(b) Show that

$$\text{rk}(\mathbf{Z}_1) = \text{rk}(\mathbf{A}) + \text{rk}(\mathbf{C}\mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{B})$$

if \mathbf{A} is square and nonsingular, and

$$\text{rk}(\mathbf{Z}_2) = \text{rk}(\mathbf{D}) + \text{rk}(\mathbf{B}\mathbf{D}^{-1}\mathbf{C})$$

if \mathbf{D} is square and nonsingular.

(c) Show that

$$\text{rk}(\mathbf{Z}_1) \geq \text{rk}(\mathbf{B}) + \text{rk}(\mathbf{C}), \quad \text{rk}(\mathbf{Z}_2) \geq \text{rk}(\mathbf{B}) + \text{rk}(\mathbf{C}).$$

Solution

Since the rank does not change if we interchange columns, we have

$$\text{rk}(\mathbf{Z}_1) = \text{rk} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{B} & \mathbf{A} \\ \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{C} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{rk}(\mathbf{Z}_2) = \text{rk} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{B} & \mathbf{O} \\ \mathbf{D} & \mathbf{C} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Results (a)–(c) now follow from Exercises 5.43–5.45.

Exercise 5.47 (The inequalities of Frobenius and Sylvester)

(a) Use Exercise 5.46 to obtain the following famous inequality:

$$\text{rk}(\mathbf{AB}) + \text{rk}(\mathbf{BC}) \leq \text{rk}(\mathbf{B}) + \text{rk}(\mathbf{ABC}),$$

if the product \mathbf{ABC} exists (*Frobenius*).

(b) From (a) obtain another famous inequality:

$$\text{rk}(\mathbf{AB}) \geq \text{rk}(\mathbf{A}) + \text{rk}(\mathbf{B}) - p$$

for any $m \times p$ matrix \mathbf{A} and $p \times n$ matrix \mathbf{B} (*Sylvester's law of nullity*).

(c) Show that $\mathbf{AB} = \mathbf{O}$ implies that $\text{rk}(\mathbf{A}) \leq p - \text{rk}(\mathbf{B})$ for any $m \times p$ matrix \mathbf{A} and $p \times n$ matrix \mathbf{B} . (This generalizes Exercise 4.8.)

Solution

(a) Consider the identity

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{I}_m & -\mathbf{A} \\ \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{I}_n \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{AB} \\ \mathbf{BC} & \mathbf{B} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{I}_q & \mathbf{O} \\ -\mathbf{C} & \mathbf{I}_p \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -\mathbf{ABC} & \mathbf{O} \\ \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{B} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Of the four matrices, the first and third are nonsingular. Hence,

$$\text{rk} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{AB} \\ \mathbf{BC} & \mathbf{B} \end{pmatrix} = \text{rk}(\mathbf{ABC}) + \text{rk}(\mathbf{B}).$$

Also, by Exercise 5.46(c),

$$\operatorname{rk} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{AB} \\ \mathbf{BC} & \mathbf{B} \end{pmatrix} \geq \operatorname{rk}(\mathbf{AB}) + \operatorname{rk}(\mathbf{BC}),$$

and the result follows.

(b) From Frobenius's inequality we obtain

$$\operatorname{rk}(\mathbf{AX}) + \operatorname{rk}(\mathbf{XB}) \leq \operatorname{rk}(\mathbf{X}) + \operatorname{rk}(\mathbf{AXB})$$

for any square matrix \mathbf{X} of order p . Setting $\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{I}_p$ gives the result.

(c) Since $\mathbf{AB} = \mathbf{O}$, Sylvester's inequality gives $0 = \operatorname{rk}(\mathbf{AB}) \geq \operatorname{rk}(\mathbf{A}) + \operatorname{rk}(\mathbf{B}) - p$.

Exercise 5.48 (Rank of a partitioned matrix: main result) Let

$$\mathbf{Z} := \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{A} & \mathbf{B} \\ \mathbf{C} & \mathbf{D} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Show that

$$\operatorname{rk}(\mathbf{Z}) = \operatorname{rk}(\mathbf{A}) + \operatorname{rk}(\mathbf{D} - \mathbf{CA}^{-1}\mathbf{B}) \quad (\text{if } |\mathbf{A}| \neq 0)$$

and

$$\operatorname{rk}(\mathbf{Z}) = \operatorname{rk}(\mathbf{D}) + \operatorname{rk}(\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{BD}^{-1}\mathbf{C}) \quad (\text{if } |\mathbf{D}| \neq 0).$$

Solution

If \mathbf{A} is nonsingular we can write

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{I}_m & \mathbf{O} \\ -\mathbf{CA}^{-1} & \mathbf{I}_n \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{A} & \mathbf{B} \\ \mathbf{C} & \mathbf{D} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{I}_m & -\mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{B} \\ \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{I}_q \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{A} & \mathbf{O} \\ \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{D} - \mathbf{CA}^{-1}\mathbf{B} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Similarly, if \mathbf{D} is nonsingular, we can write

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{I}_m & -\mathbf{BD}^{-1} \\ \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{I}_n \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{A} & \mathbf{B} \\ \mathbf{C} & \mathbf{D} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{I}_p & \mathbf{O} \\ -\mathbf{D}^{-1}\mathbf{C} & \mathbf{I}_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{A} - \mathbf{BD}^{-1}\mathbf{C} & \mathbf{O} \\ \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{D} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since for any matrix \mathbf{Z} , $\operatorname{rk}(\mathbf{Z}) = \operatorname{rk}(\mathbf{EZF})$ whenever \mathbf{E} and \mathbf{F} are nonsingular, the results follow.

Exercise 5.49 (Relationship between the ranks of $\mathbf{I}_m - \mathbf{BB}'$ and $\mathbf{I}_n - \mathbf{B}'\mathbf{B}$) Show that

$$\operatorname{rk} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{I}_m & \mathbf{B} \\ \mathbf{B}' & \mathbf{I}_n \end{pmatrix} = m + \operatorname{rk}(\mathbf{I}_n - \mathbf{B}'\mathbf{B}) = n + \operatorname{rk}(\mathbf{I}_m - \mathbf{BB}').$$

Solution

From Exercise 5.48 we obtain

$$\operatorname{rk} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{I}_m & \mathbf{B} \\ \mathbf{B}' & \mathbf{I}_n \end{pmatrix} = \operatorname{rk}(\mathbf{I}_m) + \operatorname{rk}(\mathbf{I}_n - \mathbf{B}'\mathbf{B}) = m + \operatorname{rk}(\mathbf{I}_n - \mathbf{B}'\mathbf{B})$$

and also

$$\operatorname{rk} \begin{pmatrix} I_m & B \\ B' & I_n \end{pmatrix} = \operatorname{rk}(I_n) + \operatorname{rk}(I_m - BB') = n + \operatorname{rk}(I_m - BB').$$

Exercise 5.50 (Relationship between the ranks of $I_m - BC$ and $I_n - CB$)

(a) Let B and C be square $n \times n$ matrices. Show that

$$\operatorname{rk}(I_n - BC) = \operatorname{rk}(I_n - CB).$$

(b) Now let B be an $m \times n$ matrix and C an $n \times m$ matrix. Extend the result under (a) by showing that

$$\operatorname{rk}(I_m - BC) = \operatorname{rk}(I_n - CB) + m - n.$$

Solution

(a) We have

$$\begin{pmatrix} I_n & -B \\ O & I_n \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I_n & B \\ C & I_n \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I_n & O \\ -C & I_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} I_n - BC & O \\ O & I_n \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\begin{pmatrix} I_n & O \\ -C & I_n \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I_n & B \\ C & I_n \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I_n & -B \\ O & I_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} I_n & O \\ O & I_n - CB \end{pmatrix}.$$

This proves (a) and shows in addition that

$$\operatorname{rk}(I_n - BC) = \operatorname{rk}(I_n - CB) = \operatorname{rk} \begin{pmatrix} I_n & B \\ C & I_n \end{pmatrix}.$$

(b) The argument is identical to the argument under (a), except for the order of the identity matrices. Thus, we conclude that

$$\operatorname{rk} \begin{pmatrix} I_m - BC & O \\ O & I_n \end{pmatrix} = \operatorname{rk} \begin{pmatrix} I_m & O \\ O & I_n - CB \end{pmatrix}$$

and the result follows.

Exercise 5.51 (Upper bound for the rank of a sum) Let A and B be matrices of the same order. We know from Exercise 4.14 that

$$\operatorname{rk}(A + B) \leq \operatorname{rk}(A) + \operatorname{rk}(B).$$

Provide an alternative proof, using partitioned matrices.

Solution

The argument builds on the two matrices

$$Z_1 := \begin{pmatrix} A & O \\ O & B \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad Z_2 := \begin{pmatrix} A + B & B \\ B & B \end{pmatrix}.$$

The matrices Z_1 and Z_2 have the same rank, because

$$\begin{pmatrix} I_m & I_m \\ \mathbf{O} & I_m \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A & \mathbf{O} \\ \mathbf{O} & B \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I_n & \mathbf{O} \\ I_n & I_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A+B & B \\ B & B \end{pmatrix}.$$

Clearly, $\text{rk}(Z_1) = \text{rk}(A) + \text{rk}(B)$. Also, since $A+B$ is a submatrix of Z_2 we must have $\text{rk}(Z_2) \geq \text{rk}(A+B)$ (Exercise 4.17). Hence,

$$\text{rk}(A+B) \leq \text{rk}(Z_2) = \text{rk}(Z_1) = \text{rk}(A) + \text{rk}(B).$$

Exercise 5.52 (Rank of a 3-by-3 block matrix) Consider the symmetric matrix Z of Exercise 5.19. Show that

$$\text{rk}(Z) = \text{rk}(D) + \text{rk}(E) + \text{rk}(A - BD^{-1}B' - CE^{-1}C')$$

if D and E are nonsingular.

Solution

Let

$$\tilde{A} := A, \quad \tilde{B} := (B : C), \quad \tilde{C} := (B : C)', \quad \tilde{D} := \begin{pmatrix} D & \mathbf{O} \\ \mathbf{O} & E \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then, using Exercise 5.48,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{rk}(Z) &= \text{rk}(\tilde{D}) + \text{rk}(\tilde{A} - \tilde{B}\tilde{D}^{-1}\tilde{C}) \\ &= \text{rk}(D) + \text{rk}(E) + \text{rk}(A - BD^{-1}B' - CE^{-1}C'). \end{aligned}$$

Exercise 5.53 (Rank of a bordered matrix) Let

$$Z := \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0} & A \\ \alpha & a' \end{pmatrix}.$$

Show that

$$\text{rk}(Z) = \begin{cases} \text{rk}(A) & (\alpha = 0 \text{ and } a \in \text{col}(A')), \\ \text{rk}(A) + 1 & (\text{otherwise}). \end{cases}$$

Solution

If $\alpha \neq 0$ then $\text{rk}(Z) = \text{rk}(A) + 1$ by Exercise 5.46(a). If $\alpha = 0$ then $\text{rk}(Z) = \text{rk}(A' : a)$.

If $a \in \text{col}(A')$ then

$$\text{rk}(A' : a) = \text{rk}(A') = \text{rk}(A).$$

If $a \notin \text{col}(A')$ then

$$\text{rk}(A' : a) = \text{rk}(A') + 1 = \text{rk}(A) + 1.$$

5.5 The sweep operator

Exercise 5.54 (Simple sweep) Consider the 2×2 matrix

$$\mathbf{A} := \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}.$$

- (a) Compute $\mathbf{A}^{(1)} := \text{SWP}(\mathbf{A}, 1)$ and state the condition(s) under which it is defined.
- (b) Compute $\mathbf{A}^{(2)} := \text{SWP}(\mathbf{A}^{(1)}, 2)$ and state the condition(s) under which it is defined.
- (c) Show that $\mathbf{A}^{(2)} = -\mathbf{A}^{-1}$.

Solution

- (a) By definition, we have

$$\mathbf{A}^{(1)} = \text{SWP}(\mathbf{A}, 1) = \begin{pmatrix} -1/a & b/a \\ c/a & d - bc/a \end{pmatrix},$$

provided $a \neq 0$.

- (b) Applying the definition to $\mathbf{A}^{(1)}$ gives

$$\mathbf{A}^{(2)} = \text{SWP}(\mathbf{A}^{(1)}, 2) = \frac{a}{ad - bc} \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{ad - bc}{a^2} & \frac{cb}{a^2} & \frac{b}{a} \\ \frac{c}{a} & -1 & \end{pmatrix} = \frac{-1}{ad - bc} \begin{pmatrix} d & -b \\ -c & a \end{pmatrix},$$

provided $a \neq 0$ and $ad - bc \neq 0$.

- (c) We recognize $-\mathbf{A}^{(2)}$ as the inverse of \mathbf{A} or, if we don't, we can verify that $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{A}^{(2)} = -\mathbf{I}_2$.

Exercise 5.55 (General sweep)

- (a) Let \mathbf{A} be a 3×3 matrix. Compute $\text{SWP}(\mathbf{A}, 2)$ and state the condition(s) under which it is defined.
- (b) Let \mathbf{A} be an $n \times n$ matrix. For $1 \leq p \leq n$, compute $\text{SWP}(\mathbf{A}, p)$ and state the condition(s) under which it is defined.

Solution

- (a) Let

$$\mathbf{A} := \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then, applying the definition,

$$\text{SWP}(\mathbf{A}, 2) = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} - a_{12}a_{21}/a_{22} & a_{12}/a_{22} & a_{13} - a_{12}a_{23}/a_{22} \\ a_{21}/a_{22} & -1/a_{22} & a_{23}/a_{22} \\ a_{31} - a_{32}a_{21}/a_{22} & a_{32}/a_{22} & a_{33} - a_{32}a_{23}/a_{22} \end{pmatrix},$$

provided $a_{22} \neq 0$.

(b) More generally, if

$$\mathbf{A} := \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{A}_{11} & \mathbf{a}_{12} & \mathbf{A}_{13} \\ \mathbf{a}'_{21} & a_{22} & \mathbf{a}'_{23} \\ \mathbf{A}_{31} & \mathbf{a}_{32} & \mathbf{A}_{33} \end{pmatrix},$$

where \mathbf{A}_{11} has order $p - 1$, a_{22} is a scalar, and \mathbf{A}_{33} has order $n - p$, then we obtain in the same way

$$\text{SWP}(\mathbf{A}, p) = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{A}_{11} - \mathbf{a}_{12}\mathbf{a}'_{21}/a_{22} & \mathbf{a}_{12}/a_{22} & \mathbf{A}_{13} - \mathbf{a}_{12}\mathbf{a}'_{23}/a_{22} \\ \mathbf{a}'_{21}/a_{22} & -1/a_{22} & \mathbf{a}'_{23}/a_{22} \\ \mathbf{A}_{31} - \mathbf{a}_{32}\mathbf{a}'_{21}/a_{22} & \mathbf{a}_{32}/a_{22} & \mathbf{A}_{33} - \mathbf{a}_{32}\mathbf{a}'_{23}/a_{22} \end{pmatrix},$$

provided a_{22} is nonzero.

***Exercise 5.56 (The sweeping theorem)** Let \mathbf{A} be an $n \times n$ matrix and let $1 \leq p \leq n$. Define $\mathbf{A}^{(k)}$ recursively by $\mathbf{A}^{(k)} := \text{SWP}(\mathbf{A}^{(k-1)}, k)$ for $k = 1, \dots, p$ with starting value $\mathbf{A}^{(0)} := \mathbf{A}$.

(a) If \mathbf{A} is partitioned as

$$\mathbf{A} := \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{P} & \mathbf{Q} \\ \mathbf{R} & \mathbf{S} \end{pmatrix},$$

where \mathbf{P} is a $p \times p$ matrix, show that

$$\mathbf{A}^{(p)} = \begin{pmatrix} -\mathbf{P}^{-1} & \mathbf{P}^{-1}\mathbf{Q} \\ \mathbf{R}\mathbf{P}^{-1} & \mathbf{S} - \mathbf{R}\mathbf{P}^{-1}\mathbf{Q} \end{pmatrix}.$$

(b) Hence show that $\mathbf{A}^{(n)} = -\mathbf{A}^{-1}$.

Solution

(a) We prove this by induction on p . The result is true for $p = 1$, because $\mathbf{A}^{(1)} = \text{SWP}(\mathbf{A}, 1)$ and the definition of the sweep operator or Exercise 5.54(a). Next, assume that the result holds for $p - 1$, and let \mathbf{A} be partitioned as

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{A}_{11} & \mathbf{a}_{12} & \mathbf{A}_{13} \\ \mathbf{a}'_{21} & a_{22} & \mathbf{a}'_{23} \\ \mathbf{A}_{31} & \mathbf{a}_{32} & \mathbf{A}_{33} \end{pmatrix},$$

where \mathbf{A}_{11} has order $p - 1$, a_{22} is a scalar, and \mathbf{A}_{33} has order $n - p$. Then, by the induction hypothesis, we have

$$\mathbf{A}^{(p-1)} = \begin{pmatrix} -\mathbf{A}_{11}^{-1} & \mathbf{A}_{11}^{-1}\mathbf{a}_{12} & \mathbf{A}_{11}^{-1}\mathbf{A}_{13} \\ \mathbf{a}'_{21}\mathbf{A}_{11}^{-1} & a_{22} - \mathbf{a}'_{21}\mathbf{A}_{11}^{-1}\mathbf{a}_{12} & \mathbf{a}'_{23} - \mathbf{a}'_{21}\mathbf{A}_{11}^{-1}\mathbf{A}_{13} \\ \mathbf{A}_{31}\mathbf{A}_{11}^{-1} & \mathbf{a}_{32} - \mathbf{A}_{31}\mathbf{A}_{11}^{-1}\mathbf{a}_{12} & \mathbf{A}_{33} - \mathbf{A}_{31}\mathbf{A}_{11}^{-1}\mathbf{A}_{13} \end{pmatrix}.$$

We now use Exercise 5.55(b); this shows that $\text{SWP}(\mathbf{A}^{(p-1)}, p)$ is equal to

$$\begin{pmatrix} -\mathbf{B}_{11} & -\mathbf{b}_{12} & \mathbf{B}_{11}\mathbf{A}_{13} + \mathbf{b}_{12}\mathbf{a}'_{23} \\ -\mathbf{b}'_{21} & -\mathbf{b}_{22} & \mathbf{b}'_{21}\mathbf{A}_{13} + \mathbf{b}_{22}\mathbf{a}'_{23} \\ \mathbf{A}_{31}\mathbf{B}_{11} + \mathbf{a}_{32}\mathbf{b}'_{21} & \mathbf{A}_{31}\mathbf{b}_{12} + \mathbf{a}_{32}\mathbf{b}_{22} & \mathbf{A}_{33} - \mathbf{D} \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{B}_{11} &:= \mathbf{A}_{11}^{-1} + \mathbf{A}_{11}^{-1} \mathbf{a}_{12} \mathbf{a}'_{21} \mathbf{A}_{11}^{-1} / \beta, \\ \mathbf{b}_{12} &:= -\mathbf{A}_{11}^{-1} \mathbf{a}_{12} / \beta, \quad \mathbf{b}'_{21} := -\mathbf{a}'_{21} \mathbf{A}_{11}^{-1} / \beta, \\ b_{22} &:= 1 / \beta, \quad \beta := a_{22} - \mathbf{a}'_{21} \mathbf{A}_{11}^{-1} \mathbf{a}_{12}, \\ \mathbf{D} &:= \mathbf{A}_{31} \mathbf{A}_{11}^{-1} \mathbf{A}_{13} + (\mathbf{a}_{32} - \mathbf{A}_{31} \mathbf{A}_{11}^{-1} \mathbf{a}_{12})(\mathbf{a}'_{23} - \mathbf{a}'_{21} \mathbf{A}_{11}^{-1} \mathbf{A}_{13}) / \beta.\end{aligned}$$

Noticing that

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{A}_{11} & \mathbf{a}_{12} \\ \mathbf{a}'_{21} & a_{22} \end{pmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{B}_{11} & \mathbf{b}_{12} \\ \mathbf{b}'_{21} & b_{22} \end{pmatrix},$$

using Exercise 5.16(a), and that

$$\mathbf{D} = (\mathbf{A}_{31} : \mathbf{a}_{32}) \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{B}_{11} & \mathbf{b}_{12} \\ \mathbf{b}'_{21} & b_{22} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{A}_{13} \\ \mathbf{a}'_{23} \end{pmatrix},$$

the result follows.

- (b) This follows directly from (a). The inverse of \mathbf{A} can thus be computed by n sequential sweep operations, a very useful fact in numerical inversion routines.

Exercise 5.57 (Sweeping and linear equations)

- (a) Show how the sweep operator can be used to solve the linear system $\mathbf{P}\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{Q}$ for nonsingular \mathbf{P} .
(b) In particular, solve the system $2x_1 + 3x_2 = 8$ and $4x_1 + 5x_2 = 14$ using the sweep operator.

Solution

- (a) We know from Exercise 5.56 that

$$\mathbf{A} := \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{P} & \mathbf{Q} \\ \mathbf{R} & \mathbf{S} \end{pmatrix} \implies \mathbf{A}^{(p)} = \begin{pmatrix} -\mathbf{P}^{-1} & \mathbf{P}^{-1} \mathbf{Q} \\ \mathbf{R} \mathbf{P}^{-1} & \mathbf{S} - \mathbf{R} \mathbf{P}^{-1} \mathbf{Q} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence, the solution $\mathbf{P}^{-1} \mathbf{Q}$ appears as the $(1, 2)$ -block of $\mathbf{A}^{(p)}$, where p denotes the order of the square matrix \mathbf{P} .

- (b) Denoting irrelevant elements by *s, we define

$$\mathbf{A}^{(0)} := \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 3 & 8 \\ 4 & 5 & 14 \\ * & * & * \end{pmatrix}.$$

This gives

$$\mathbf{A}^{(1)} := \text{SWP}(\mathbf{A}^{(0)}, 1) = \begin{pmatrix} -1/2 & 3/2 & 4 \\ 2 & -1 & -2 \\ * & * & * \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\mathbf{A}^{(2)} := \text{SWP}(\mathbf{A}^{(1)}, 2) = \begin{pmatrix} 5/2 & -3/2 & 1 \\ -2 & 1 & 2 \\ * & * & * \end{pmatrix},$$

so that the solution is $x_1 = 1, x_2 = 2$.

Notes

A good survey of results with partitioned matrices can be found in Chapter 2 of Zhang (1999). The inequalities in Exercise 5.47 were first obtained by Sylvester in 1884 and Frobenius in 1911. Sylvester's inequality is called the "law of nullity", because it implies that

$$\dim(\ker(\mathbf{AB})) \leq \dim(\ker(\mathbf{A})) + \dim(\ker(\mathbf{B})),$$

and the dimension of the kernel of a matrix is known as its "nullity". The sweep operator (Exercises 5.54–5.57) plays a role in inversion routines. It was introduced by Beaton (1964); see also Dempster (1969).