## SENG 300 Assignment 3

## John Ferguson (30032182)

Due: March 29, 2020

## Using:

- Java Inspection Checklist 1. Variable, Attribute, and Constant Declaration Defects (VC) ☑ Are descriptive variable and constant names used in accord with naming conventions? ☑ Are there variables or attributes with confusingly similar names? ☑ Is every variable and attribute correctly typed? ■ Is every variable and attribute properly initialized? ■ Could any non-local variables be made local? ☑ Are all for-loop control variables declared in the loop header? ☑ Are there literal constants that should be named constants? ☑ Are there variables or attributes that should be constants? ☑ Are there attributes that should be local variables? 🗷 Are there static attributes that should be non-static or vice-versa? 2. Method Definition Defects (FD) ☑ Are descriptive method names used in accord with naming conventions? ■ Is every method parameter value checked before being used? ■ For every method: Does it return the correct value at every method return point?
  - 3. Class Definition Defects (CD)
- ☑ Does each class have appropriate constructors and destructors?

☑ Are there static methods that should be non-static or vice-versa?

☑ Do any subclasses have common members that should be in the superclass?

☑ Do all methods have appropriate access modifiers (private, protected, public)?

- ☑ Can the class inheritance hierarchy be simplified?
  - 4. Data Reference Defects (DR)

□ ...

|            | 5.    | Computation/Numeric Defects (CN)   |
|------------|-------|--|
|            |       |  |
|            | 6.    | Comparison/Relational Defects (CR)   |
|            |       |  |
|            |       |  |
|            | 7.    | Control Flow Defects (CF)  |
|            |       |  |
|            | 8.    | Input-Output Defects (IO)  |
|            |       |  |
|            | 9.    | Module Interface Defects (MI)  |
|            |       | he number, order, types, and values of parameters in every method call in agreement with the nethod's declaration? |
|            | 10.   | Comment Defects (CM)   |
| <b>×</b> [ | Does  | every method, class, and file have an appropriate header comment?  |
| <b>×</b> [ | Does  | every attribute, variable, and constant declaration have a comment?  |
| × I        | s the | e underlying behavior of each method and class expressed in plain language?  |
| × [        | Oo th | ne comments and code agree?  |
| ☑ [        | Oo th | ne comments help in understanding the code?  |
| Ø A        | Are t | here enough comments in the code? Are there too many comments in the code?   |
|            | 11.   | Layout and Packaging Defects (LP)  |
|            |       |  |
|            | 12.   | Modularity Defects (MO)  |
|            |       |  |
|            | 13.   | Storage Usage Defects (SU)   |
| <b>V</b>   |       |  |
|            | 14.   | Performance Defects (PE)   |
|            |       |  |

| Serial<br>Number | Code Line<br>Number | Description  | Severity |
|------------------|---------------------|--|----------|
| 0                | 4                   | Missing or bad package com.foo   | High     |
| I                | 87                  | Main declaration should not be defined inside Object (Line 13) and should have its own class.  | High     |
| 2                | 12                  | Every class extends Object can leave it out  | Low      |
| 3                | 14                  | Bad name. Final 'ONE' is initialize to 0. Not used anywhere in program, could remove.  | Low      |
| 4                | 17                  | Misspelled name 'constructor'  | Low      |
| 5                | 21                  | Like (Serial I) you don't need to call and initialize the Object class.  No need to call super() here.   | Low      |
| 6                | 33                  | FI() is not a descriptive / helpful name   | Medium   |
| 7                | 34                  | hashCode() defines a 4 byte id unique to class instance, switching on it does not make sense its going to give you undefined behavior every time you create a new object. On top of that switching on it with only 0,1,2 when hashCode() can return numbers between 0 and 2^32 is not going to work. | High     |
| 8                | 38                  | Assumed missing break after $z = 15$ unless fall through is wanted. In that case that should be documented.  | High     |
| 9                | 44                  | Cases z = 3,4 and -1 are not dealt with  | High     |
| 10               | 46                  | Semi-colon after {if (z>4)->;<-} makes it so the print statement is always executed should deleted.  | High     |
| П                | 51                  | Poor function name and takes 2 parameters and always returns 0. Function should be implemented or deleted if no used.  | High     |
| 12               | 61                  | Documentation says there is a fourth values, but it only takes 3 parameters.   | Low      |
| 13               | 65                  | Function implies it will return the sum, but it returns the product of the three values.   | High     |
| 14               | 74                  | Documentation says it takes a fourth value but it only takes three.  | Low      |
| 15               | 77                  | Function redefinition. There already a sum with three integer parameters.  | High     |
| 16               | 77                  | Bad name. Documentation says it returns the largest but name 'sum' implies it adds the inputs  | Medium   |
| 17               | 78                  | Always return the third parameters which is not always going to be the largest value. Need to do some comparisons and return the correct value.  | High     |