## Introduction to Machine Learning Homework 2: Multiple Linear Regression

## Prof. Sundeep Rangan

- 1. There is no one single correct answer to this problem. Below are possible ideas.
  - (a) A possible target variable, y, is the total sales (in some units like dollars or units) for the product.
  - (b) One way the features can be represented is to let  $x_1$  be the numeric score and  $x_2, x_3, \ldots, x_k$  be the frequency of occurrence for each of the k-1 words. The linear model could then be

$$y = \beta_1 x_1 + \ldots + \beta_k x_k + \epsilon.$$

- (c) One way is to normalize the scores so that  $x_1 = \text{score}/5$  when the score is out of five and  $x_1 = \text{score}/10$  when it is out of ten.
- (d) For this case, you could use a variation of one-hot coding. Specifically, we replace the single variable  $x_1$  with four variables  $x_1, \ldots, x_4$  using the following encoding:

Review type	$x_1$	$x_2$	$x_3$	$x_4$
Score available with score $s = 1, \dots, 5$	s	0	0	0
Good rating	0	1	0	0
Bad rating	0	0	1	0
No rating	0	0	0	1

Then, each rating method obtains a different offset where the offset for the case of the score is proportional to the score.

- (e) Probably, fraction of reviews with "good" is a more likely to be a useful statistic than total number of reviews. For example, a very bad product could have a 1000 reviews with only 10 reviews saying "good", while a second product could have 8 good reviews out of 9. If we use total number of reviews with "good", the first product would have a higher score in that feature.
- 2. (a) Since there are two features,

$$y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \beta_2 x_2 + \epsilon.$$

(b) The transformed feature matrix and response vector is

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{y} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 4 \\ 3 \\ 7 \end{bmatrix},$$

The solution is  $\hat{\beta} = (\mathbf{A}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{A})^{-1} \mathbf{A}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{y}$ . This can be solved in python with

```
A = np.array([[1,0,0],[1,0,1],[1,1,0],[1,1,1]])
y = np.array([1,4,3,7])
beta = np.linalg.lstsq(A,y)[0]
```

which returns the solution  $\hat{\beta} = [0.75, 2.5, 3.5].$ 

3. An automobile engineer wants to model the relation between the accelerator control and the velocity of the car. The relation may not be simple since there is a lag in depressing the accelerator and the car actually accelerating. To determine the relation, the engineers measures the acceleration control input  $x_k$  and velocity of the car  $y_k$  at time instants  $k = 0, 1, \ldots, T - 1$ . The measurements are made at some sampling rate, say once every 10 ms. The engineer then wants to fit a model of the form

$$y_k = \sum_{j=1}^{M} a_j y_{k-j} + \sum_{j=0}^{N} b_j x_{k-j} + \epsilon_k,$$
 (1)

for coefficients  $a_j$  and  $b_j$ . In engineering this relation is called a *linear filter* and it statistics it is called an *auto-regressive moving average (ARMA)* model.

(a) The parameter vector would be

$$\boldsymbol{\beta} = [a_1, \dots, a_M, b_0, \dots, b_N]^{\mathsf{T}}.$$

Note the transpose to make this a column vector. There are M+N+1 unknown parameters.

(b) We can rewrite the data as

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} y_{M-1} & \cdots & y_0 & x_M & \cdots & x_{M-N} \\ y_M & \cdots & y_1 & x_{M+1} & \cdots & x_{M-N+1} \\ \vdots & \cdots & \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ y_{T-2} & \cdots & y_{T-M-1} & x_{T-1} & \cdots & x_{T-N-1} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{y} = \begin{bmatrix} y_M \\ \vdots \\ y_{T-1} \end{bmatrix}.$$

(c) First, we partition the matrix **A** into two parts:

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{A}_Y & \mathbf{A}_X \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{A}_Y = \begin{bmatrix} y_{M-1} & \cdots & y_0 \\ y_M & \cdots & y_1 \\ \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ y_{T-2} & \cdots & y_{T-M-1} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{A}_X = \begin{bmatrix} x_M & \cdots & x_{M-N} \\ x_{M+1} & \cdots & x_{M-N+1} \\ \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ x_{T-1} & \cdots & x_{T-N-1} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Now,

$$\frac{1}{T}\mathbf{A}^\mathsf{T}\mathbf{A} = \left[ \begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{A}_Y^\mathsf{T}\mathbf{A}_Y & \mathbf{A}_Y^\mathsf{T}\mathbf{A}_X \\ \mathbf{A}_X^\mathsf{T}\mathbf{A}_Y & \mathbf{A}_X^\mathsf{T}\mathbf{A}_X \end{array} \right].$$

The first term can be simplified as

$$\frac{1}{T} (\mathbf{A}_{Y}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{A}_{Y})_{i,j} \stackrel{(a)}{=} \frac{1}{T} \sum_{k} (\mathbf{A}_{Y}^{\mathsf{T}})_{ik} (\mathbf{A}_{Y})_{kj} 
\stackrel{(b)}{=} \frac{1}{T} \sum_{k} (\mathbf{A}_{Y})_{ki} (\mathbf{A}_{Y})_{kj} 
\stackrel{(c)}{=} \frac{1}{T} \sum_{k} y_{M+k-i} y_{M+k-j} \stackrel{(d)}{=} \frac{1}{T} \sum_{k} y_{k} y_{k+i-j} \approx R_{yy} (i-j),$$

where (a) follows from the definition of matrix multiplication; (b) uses the definition of a transpose matrix; (c) is the formula for the entries in  $\mathbf{A}_Y$  (d) follows from a change of variables and (e) is the definition of the auto-correlation. The approximation is that there is a slight change in the end points of the summation which will not matter for large T. Similarly, one can show that

$$\frac{1}{T}(\mathbf{A}_{Y}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{A}_{X})_{i,j} = \frac{1}{T}\sum_{k}\sum_{k}y_{M+k-i}x_{M+k-j} \approx R_{yx}(i-j)$$

$$\frac{1}{T}(\mathbf{A}_{X}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{A}_{Y})_{i,j} = \frac{1}{T}\sum_{k}\sum_{k}x_{M+k-i}y_{M+k-j} \approx R_{xy}(i-j)$$

$$\frac{1}{T}(\mathbf{A}_{X}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{A}_{X})_{i,j} = \frac{1}{T}\sum_{k}\sum_{k}x_{M+k-i}x_{M+k-j} \approx R_{xx}(i-j).$$

Also,

$$\frac{1}{T}(\mathbf{A}_Y^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{y})_i = \frac{1}{T} \sum_k \sum_k y_{M+k-i} y_{M+k} \approx R_{yy}(M-i).$$

4. (a) Define

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos(\Omega_1(0)) & \cdots & \cos(\Omega_L(0)) & \sin(\Omega_1(0)) & \cdots & \sin(\Omega_L(0)) \\ \cos(\Omega_1(1)) & \cdots & \cos(\Omega_L(1)) & \sin(\Omega_1(1)) & \cdots & \sin(\Omega_L(1)) \\ \vdots & \cdots & \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ \cos(\Omega_1(T-1)) & \cdots & \cos(\Omega_L(T-1)) & \sin(\Omega_1(T-1)) & \cdots & \sin(\Omega_L(T-1)) \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$\mathbf{x} = \left[ egin{array}{c} x_0 \ dots \ x_{T-1} \end{array} 
ight], \quad oldsymbol{eta} = \left[ egin{array}{c} a_1 \ dots \ a_L \ b_1 \ dots \ b_L \end{array} 
ight].$$

Then  $\mathbf{x} \approx \mathbf{A}\boldsymbol{\beta}$ .

(b) If the frequencies  $\Omega_{\ell}$  are not known, then the model is nonlinear.